



# Efficient Sulphate Reduction by Cellulolytic and Sulphate-Reducing Bacterial Co-Culture Using Different Agro-Industrial Wastes as Growth Substrates

Muhammad Muneeb<sup>1</sup>, Ali Hussain<sup>2\*</sup>, Qurat-ul-Ain Ahmad<sup>3</sup>, Arshad Javid<sup>1</sup> and Jibran Hussain<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Applied and Environmental Microbiology Laboratory, Department of Wildlife and Ecology, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan

<sup>2</sup>Applied and Environmental Microbiology Laboratory, Institute of Zoology, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan

<sup>3</sup>Department of Zoology, Division of Science and Technology, University of Education, Lahore, Pakistan

<sup>4</sup>Department of Poultry Production, Faculty of Animal Production and Technology, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan

## Article Information

Received 24 November 2022

Revised 18 January 2023

Accepted 28 February 2023

Available online 29 April 2023

(early access)

## Authors' Contribution

MM performed all experiments. QA co-supervised the work. AJ worked on collecting and arranging data. JH helped in statistical analysis and compilation of data. AH supervised the work and drafted manuscript.

## Key words

Aquatic pollution, Economical bioremediation, Microbial consortium, Organic wastes, Sulphate-reducing bacteria

## ABSTRACT

Aquatic resources are being devastating rapidly due to the continuous intrusion of untreated wastes into the environment due to rapid industrialization and causing severe problems to aquatic life. Different physicochemical methods have been used to reduce these pollutants but all have their own limitations including production of secondary pollutants. The current study was designed to show the effect of cellulolytic and sulphate-reducing bacterial species in the form of a co-culture to treat an in-vitro prepared sulphate-rich wastewater while employing various agro-industrial organic waste as economical growth substrates. A combination of sulphate-reducing and cellulolytic bacteria in a ratio of 1:1 (v/v) was proved to be efficient for the reduction of sulphate in controlled as well as in the experimental conditions. The implicated microbial co-culture reduced 96 and 93 % of the added sulphate (5 gL<sup>-1</sup>) while using rice straw and animal manure, respectively in a 60-day trial of anaerobic incubation. Mixture of industrial and agricultural waste reduced about 90 % of the total added sulphate. A trend of decrease in pH with time was observed in all the incubated cultures. Our findings will be helpful for devising sustainable waste management strategies.

## INTRODUCTION

In addition to many positive aspects of modern industrialized life, its eco-destructive aspects cannot be ignored (Idris *et al.*, 2007). There is a close relationship between health hazards and anthropogenic sources of generation of different metallic pollutants (Campbell, 2006; Scragg, 2006; Becker *et al.*, 2010; Paithankar *et al.*, 2021;

Karunanidhi *et al.*, 2022). Different industries including food processing, potato starch, edible oil production, pulp manufacturing, petroleum refineries, textile, tanneries and solid waste process plants are adding different metallic and non-metallic pollutants in the environment constantly. Among these pollutants, various compound forms of sulphates are considered as the major culprits of environmental pollution (Wang, 2002; Boshoff *et al.*, 2004; Vaiopoulou *et al.*, 2005; Huang *et al.*, 2006). These forms of sulphates have been causing some severe health hazards including pulmonary oedema, renal failure, hepatotoxicity, loss of consciousness and nervous disorders (Christia-Lotter *et al.*, 2006; Kucukatay *et al.*, 2007; Mortazavi and Jafari-Javid, 2009).

A number of physicochemical methods to treat industrial wastewaters including but not limited to solvent removal, electro-dialysis, adsorption, reverse osmosis, electrochemical extraction and coagulation

\* Corresponding author: ali.zool@pu.edu.pk  
0030-9923/2023/0001-0001 \$ 9.00/0



Copyright 2023 by the authors. Licensee Zoological Society of Pakistan.

This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

have been applied to reduce the level of metallic and non-metallic pollutants in the wastewaters (El-Samrani *et al.*, 2008; Aguado *et al.*, 2009; Rafique *et al.*, 2022; Pereira *et al.*, 2023). All these methods require energy and generate secondary pollutants. Thus, the application of physicochemical treatment methods for the remediation of wastes can be problematic (Rocha *et al.*, 2009; Batool *et al.*, 2019). In this connection, implication of metals-resistant sulphate-reducing bacteria (SRB) have been proved to be potential candidates of treating sulphates and metals ions concomitantly by utilizing sulphates as their terminal electron acceptors and converting metal ions into their respective sulphides (Gadd, 2000; Diels *et al.*, 2002; Kaksonen *et al.*, 2004; Van Roy *et al.*, 2006; Hussain and Qazi, 2016a; Hussain *et al.*, 2016; Muneeb *et al.*, 2020).

Various researchers have identified different types of wastes used as source of carbon and energy for bacterial sulphate reduction and consequent precipitation of metals (Hussain *et al.*, 2014a, b; Hussain and Qazi, 2016b; Hussain *et al.*, 2019). As SRB have not the potential to utilize complex growth substrates that's why they can be employed in the form of co-culture along with those bacteria that have the potential to convert complex substrates into simpler substrates like cellulolytic bacteria (CB) to enhance the efficiency of such remedial processes. In this co-culture, CB will degrade the implicated waste and SRB will utilize the degraded waste as growth substrate and consequently reduce sulphates and metals from the wastewater to be treated. Therefore, the present study was designed to construct a cellulolytic and sulphate-reducing bacterial co-culture that will potentially utilize cellulosic wastes as growth substrates efficiently and reduce sulphate from artificially prepared sulphate-rich wastewater.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### *Microorganisms and culture media*

Two bacterial species namely, *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans*-HAQ3 (SRB) and *Bacillus cereus*-HA1 (CB) were employed as co-remedial agents for the co-culturing of cellulolytic and sulphate-reducing bacteria. Both of the two bacterial species were obtained from Microbial Culture Repository of Microbial Biotechnology Laboratory, Institute of Zoology, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan. The SRB culture was initially maintained in Postgate B medium ( $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$  0.5  $\text{gL}^{-1}$ ,  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$  1  $\text{gL}^{-1}$ ,  $\text{CaSO}_4$  1  $\text{gL}^{-1}$ ,  $\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$  2  $\text{gL}^{-1}$ ,  $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$  0.5  $\text{gL}^{-1}$ , Sodium lactate 3.5  $\text{mL gL}^{-1}$ , Yeast extract 1  $\text{gL}^{-1}$ , Ascorbic acid 0.1  $\text{gL}^{-1}$ , Thioglycolic acid 0.1  $\text{mL gL}^{-1}$ , pH: 7.0 to 7.5), while the CB culture ( $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$  0.5  $\text{gL}^{-1}$ ,  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$  2  $\text{gL}^{-1}$ ,  $\text{CaCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  0.06  $\text{gL}^{-1}$ ,  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  2,  $\text{gL}^{-1}$ ,  $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$  0.005  $\text{gL}^{-1}$ , Organic waste\* 2%  $\text{gL}^{-1}$ , Yeast extract 0.1  $\text{gL}^{-1}$ ,

Sodium citrate 0.3  $\text{gL}^{-1}$ , pH: 7.0  $\pm$  0.5) was maintained in nutrient broth.

### *Storage of stock cultures*

The stock culture of SRB was stored at room temperature in Postgate B medium and that of CB was preserved on nutrient agar slants. SRB growth was revived in Postgate B medium, while the growth of CB was revived in nutrient broth (CM0001B, Oxoid) for all further experiments.

### *Optimization of growth conditions of the bacterial co-culture*

The culture conditions (temperature and pH) of the bacterial consortium were then optimized using a mixture of nutrient broth and Postgate B medium in equal proportions (v/v). A temperature of 30 °C and pH range of 7.0 and 7.5 was found optimum for the both bacterial cultures.

### *Co-culturing of cellulolytic and sulphate-reducing bacteria*

In order to construct the co-culture, the sulphate-reducing and cellulolytic bacterial species were mixed in different proportions. The bacterial ratios in co-culturing such as inoculum size of SRB (% v/v): Inoculum size of CB (% v/v) = 1:1, 1:2, 2:1.

**Table I. Collection and processing of organic wastes as economic growth substrates for biological sulphate reduction.**

Sr. No.	Major group of organic waste	Sub-type	Collection and processing of waste for experimental trial
1.	Industrial wastes	Sugarcane bagasse Molasses Animal manure	All these wastes were collected separately in clean and dry containers, oven-dried completely (60 °C), ground well and sieved to get fine powder and stored at room temperature ( $\pm$ 25 °C) in air-tightened glass containers.
2.	Agricultural wastes	Cotton sticks Maize sticks Rice straw Wheat straw Potato peels	
3.	Mixture	Sugarcane bagasse Molasses Animal manure Cotton sticks Maize sticks Rice straw Wheat straw Potato peels	

### *Effectiveness of agricultural wastes as growth substrates for the bacterial co-cultures*

The capability and efficacy of various local agro-

industrial organic wastes to support the biological sulphate reduction was estimated. For this purpose, agro-industrial wastes were collected and processed as shown in Table I. The experiments for this purpose were performed under batch cultivation conditions.

#### Batch experiments

Sterile serum vials (120 mL) were used to perform the experiment in triplicate. Different agro-industrial wastes were used independently as growth substrates instead of sodium lactate in Postgate B medium. Initially, the concentration of  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  was adjusted to  $5 \text{ gL}^{-1}$  in all the vials using sodium sulphate. The wastes (2 %) were added to the medium independently except the control group. Different proportions (v/v) of inocula of CB and SRB were made for the experiments. To make the conditions an-aerobic, a layer of autoclaved and cooled liquid paraffin (about 3–5 mm thick) was put over the vials. These vials were kept at  $30^\circ\text{C}$  in an incubator (Memmert, IN55plus, Germany) for 60 days after inoculation and sealing of vials with butyl rubber stoppers and aluminium crimp seals.

#### Analysis of various parameters

To analyse  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  and pH, after every ten days, a sample of 5 mL was taken out with the help of sterilized syringes and centrifuged for 10 min at 4000 rpm. Percentage of  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  was estimated after Cha *et al.* (1999), while pH was measured using InoLab 7110 basic pH/mV/T bench top meter (Germany).

#### Statistical analysis

The data were analysed according to Completely

Randomized Design (CRD,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ) under factorial arrangement using General Linear Model (GLM) procedures. Means were separated out using Duncan's Multiple Range (DMR) test with the help of SAS 9.1 for windows.

## RESULTS

#### Growth conditions of the bacterial co-culture

Bacterial growth was optimized on the basis of C.F.U. counts and quantity of sulphate reduced. Bacterial strain showed a direct relationship between sulphate reduction and C.F.U. counts. The bacteria showed maximum growth and sulphate reduction at  $30^\circ\text{C}$  with pH 7.0–7.5 using an inoculum of 1:1 (SRB: CB). That's why all further experiments were performed using this proportion of the bacterial species.

#### Biological sulphate reduction

There was 100 % reduction of  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  under control conditions using sodium lactate as a source of carbon within ten days of the incubation (Tables II and III).

In the vials where agricultural wastes were used carbon sources, during first 10–30 days of incubation, the sulphate was reduced up to 70% but in the later stages of incubation (40–60 days), sulphate reduction became slower. Among different employed agricultural wastes, rice straw appeared as the most suitable carbon source for biological reduction of sulphate (Tables II and III). A trend of decrease in pH was observed in all the cultures (Table IV).

**Table II. Pattern of biological sulphate reduction following periodic incubation of 10 days using agro-industrial wastes as growth substrates.**

Agro-industrial waste	Incubation period (days)					
	10	20	30	40	50	60
Sugarcane bagasse	$2.84 \pm 0.11^{\text{bcd}}$	$0.59 \pm 0.21^{\text{bc}}$	$0.74 \pm 0.06^{\text{b}}$	$0.77 \pm 0.14^{\text{ab}}$	$0.54 \pm 0.11^{\text{b}}$	$0.43 \pm 0.02^{\text{ab}}$
Animal manure	$0.71 \pm 0.16^{\text{c}}$	$0.40 \pm 0.10^{\text{bc}}$	$0.69 \pm 0.09^{\text{b}}$	$0.52 \pm 0.18^{\text{b}}$	$0.59 \pm 0.28^{\text{b}}$	$0.34 \pm 0.05^{\text{b}}$
Rice straw	$2.89 \pm 0.22^{\text{bc}}$	$0.29 \pm 0.04^{\text{bc}}$	$0.48 \pm 0.38^{\text{b}}$	$0.20 \pm 0.03^{\text{c}}$	$0.70 \pm 0.13^{\text{ab}}$	$0.34 \pm 0.03^{\text{b}}$
Wheat straw	$2.85 \pm 0.17^{\text{bcd}}$	$0.83 \pm 0.08^{\text{bc}}$	$0.56 \pm 0.13^{\text{b}}$	$0.64 \pm 0.04^{\text{ab}}$	$0.51 \pm 0.22^{\text{ab}}$	$0.39 \pm 0.13^{\text{ab}}$
Maize straw	$3.35 \pm 0.64^{\text{b}}$	$1.10 \pm 0.34^{\text{b}}$	$0.75 \pm 0.15^{\text{b}}$	$0.71 \pm 0.02^{\text{ab}}$	$0.96 \pm 0.12^{\text{b}}$	$0.40 \pm 0.02^{\text{ab}}$
Cotton sticks	$2.22 \pm 0.28^{\text{d}}$	$0.47 \pm 0.12^{\text{bc}}$	$0.79 \pm 0.02^{\text{b}}$	$0.64 \pm 0.20^{\text{ab}}$	$0.59 \pm 0.11^{\text{b}}$	$0.52 \pm 0.16^{\text{ab}}$
Potato peels	$2.44 \pm 0.31^{\text{cd}}$	$0.98 \pm 0.77^{\text{b}}$	$0.50 \pm 0.11^{\text{b}}$	$0.52 \pm 0.16^{\text{b}}$	$0.65 \pm 0.20^{\text{ab}}$	$0.65 \pm 0.20^{\text{ab}}$
Mixture	$2.91 \pm 0.24^{\text{bc}}$	$1.12 \pm 0.50^{\text{b}}$	$0.76 \pm 0.16^{\text{b}}$	$0.86 \pm 0.23^{\text{a}}$	$0.67 \pm 0.17^{\text{ab}}$	$0.51 \pm 0.06^{\text{ab}}$
Molasses	$4.09 \pm 0.63^{\text{a}}$	$3.74 \pm 1.15^{\text{a}}$	$1.33 \pm 0.11^{\text{a}}$	$0.81 \pm 0.26^{\text{ab}}$	$0.75 \pm 0.28^{\text{ab}}$	$0.64 \pm 0.32^{\text{a}}$
Sodium lactate	$0.00 \pm 0.00^{\text{f}}$	$0.00 \pm 0.00^{\text{c}}$	$0.00 \pm 0.00^{\text{c}}$	$0.00 \pm 0.00^{\text{c}}$	$0.00 \pm 0.00^{\text{c}}$	$0.00 \pm 0.00^{\text{c}}$

Values represent sulphate concentration ( $\text{gL}^{-1}$ ) and are means  $\pm$  S.E. of three replicates. Those not sharing a common alphabet within a respective column are significantly different from each other. Single factor analysis of variance at  $P < 0.05$

**Table III. Percentage of biological sulphate reduction following periodic incubation of 10 days using agro-industrial wastes as growth substrate.**

Agro-industrial waste	Incubation period (days)						
	0	10	20	30	40	50	60
Sugarcane bagasse	0	43.00	84.00	85.14	88.04	89.00	91.00
Animal manure	0	85.76	86.14	88.00	89.40	92.00	93.00
Rice straw	0	42.10	86.00	90.22	93.00	94.00	96.00
Wheat straw	0	44.00	83.40	87.00	89.00	90.00	92.00
Maize sticks	0	33.00	78.00	81.00	85.00	86.00	92.00
Cotton sticks	0	55.42	84.00	87.00	88.00	90.00	92.00
Potato peels	0	52.00	80.24	87.00	89.00	90.00	92.00
Mixture	0	42.00	78.00	83.00	85.00	87.00	90.00
Molasses	0	18.04	25.02	73.38	81.42	83.00	90.00
Sodium lactate	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

**Table IV. Pattern of pH following periodic incubation of 10 days using agro-industrial wastes as growth substrates.**

Agro-industrial waste	Incubation period (days)					
	10	20	30	40	50	60
Sugarcane bagasse	7.10 ± 0.00 <sup>a</sup>	6.20 ± 0.20 <sup>ef</sup>	5.76 ± 0.55 <sup>d</sup>	5.40 ± 0.10 <sup>d</sup>	5.43 ± 0.15 <sup>d</sup>	5.43 ± 0.15 <sup>c</sup>
Animal manure	7.13 ± 0.05 <sup>a</sup>	7.13 ± 0.06 <sup>ab</sup>	6.93 ± 0.05 <sup>ab</sup>	7.06 ± 0.05 <sup>a</sup>	7.10 ± 0.00 <sup>a</sup>	7.23 ± 0.05 <sup>a</sup>
Rice straw	7.03 ± 0.32 <sup>a</sup>	6.90 ± 0.10 <sup>bc</sup>	6.26 ± 0.11 <sup>c</sup>	6.13 ± 0.05 <sup>c</sup>	6.16 ± 0.12 <sup>c</sup>	6.30 ± 0.10 <sup>c</sup>
Wheat straw	6.56 ± 0.32 <sup>b</sup>	6.43 ± 0.30 <sup>de</sup>	6.43 ± 0.28 <sup>c</sup>	6.30 ± 0.26 <sup>bc</sup>	6.30 ± 0.17 <sup>c</sup>	6.40 ± 0.26 <sup>bc</sup>
Maize straw	6.33 ± 0.15 <sup>b</sup>	6.70 ± 0.10 <sup>cd</sup>	6.20 ± 0.20 <sup>c</sup>	6.26 ± 0.05 <sup>c</sup>	6.40 ± 0.00 <sup>bc</sup>	6.66 ± 0.20 <sup>b</sup>
Cotton sticks	7.03 ± 0.05 <sup>a</sup>	6.93 ± 0.06 <sup>bc</sup>	6.56 ± 0.05 <sup>bc</sup>	6.60 ± 0.00 <sup>b</sup>	6.66 ± 0.06 <sup>b</sup>	6.60 ± 0.00 <sup>bc</sup>
Potato peels	5.43 ± 0.30 <sup>d</sup>	5.30 ± 0.30 <sup>g</sup>	4.60 ± 0.17 <sup>f</sup>	4.50 ± 0.17 <sup>f</sup>	4.70 ± 0.10 <sup>e</sup>	4.66 ± 0.11 <sup>f</sup>
Mixture	5.90 ± 0.10 <sup>c</sup>	5.93 ± 0.25 <sup>f</sup>	5.53 ± 0.32 <sup>de</sup>	5.70 ± 0.40 <sup>d</sup>	5.66 ± 0.35 <sup>d</sup>	5.80 ± 0.36 <sup>d</sup>
Molasses	5.23 ± 0.15 <sup>d</sup>	5.46 ± 0.28 <sup>g</sup>	5.13 ± 0.25 <sup>c</sup>	4.83 ± 0.20 <sup>c</sup>	5.40 ± 0.26 <sup>d</sup>	5.36 ± 0.305 <sup>e</sup>
Sodium lactate	7.30 ± 0.00 <sup>a</sup>	7.30 ± 0.00 <sup>a</sup>	7.30 ± 0.00 <sup>a</sup>	7.30 ± 0.00 <sup>a</sup>	7.30 ± 0.00 <sup>a</sup>	7.30 ± 0.00 <sup>a</sup>

Values represent pH and are means ± S.E. of three replicates. Those not sharing a common alphabet within a respective column are significantly different from each other. Single factor analysis of variance at  $P < 0.05$

Among different industrial wastes, the most efficient carbon source was animal manure (93 %) for the reduction of sulphate followed by sugarcane bagasse (91 %) and molasses (90 %) (Tables II and III).

Almost same trend of sulphate reduction was observed for the mixture of agro-industrial waste as seen for the individual wastes. Up to 85 % sulphate was reduced at the end of 60 days, however, there was more than 70 % sulphate reduction in the first 30 days of incubation (Tables II and III).

## DISCUSSION

In the controlled experiments, there was 100 % reduction of sulphate within first 10 days of incubation as

there were optimum conditions for SRB growth having sodium lactate as a source of carbon and slight basic pH of the medium. Several researchers have concluded parallel observations for SRB growth using simpler growth substances and basic pH (Zagury *et al.*, 2006; Martins *et al.*, 2009). Agro-industrial organic wastes proved to be good sources of carbon for the growth of SRB, though sulphate reduction appeared slow when compared to cultures amended with sodium lactate. During the first half of incubation (up to 30 days), the rate of sulphate reduction was higher compared to the latter half (30–60 days) possibly due to low sulphate contents and accumulation of metabolic wastes of the bacteria in the latter half (Hussain and Qazi, 2016b; Hussain *et al.*, 2019; Zhang *et al.*, 2019).

All agricultural organic wastes appeared to be a good

source of carbon for the activity of SRB, however, rice straw appeared as the most efficient organic waste in this regard which caused reduction of sulphate up to 96 % in the anaerobic trial of 60 days. It indicates that cellulose fibers of rice straw are an easy target for the cellulolytic bacteria as compared to wheat straw, maize wastes, potato peels etc. However, while studying the efficiency of different agro-industrial wastes as cost-effective carbon sources for biological sulphate reduction, a reverse relation between waste complexity and sulphate reduction has been reported by a number of researchers (Martins *et al.*, 2009; Hussain and Qazi, 2012). Among industrial organic wastes, CB can efficiently decompose comparatively simpler/semi-digested molecules found in animal manure (Nagpal *et al.*, 2000; Gibert *et al.*, 2004; Tsukamoto *et al.*, 2004; Zagury *et al.*, 2006; Hussain and Qazi, 2012; Dhakal *et al.*, 2020). Moreover, these simple organic molecules also create low redox potential which in turn is responsible for creating an appropriate environment for the growth of SRB (Cohen, 2006). Semi-digested organic molecules in animal manure also provides the reasonable ratio between carbon and nitrogen which should be between 44–120 (Gibert *et al.*, 2004) as less carbon to nitrogen ratio in organic waste resists to provide carbon for the SRB and consequently causes less efficiency of these bacteria (Munwar and Riwandi, 2010). Moreover, higher the lignin contents in the organic waste lower will be the activity of the SRB as lignin is comparatively harder for biodegradation and providing carbon (Gibert *et al.*, 2004; Zhang and Wang, 2014; Chai *et al.*, 2023). Most likely, all these were the reasons that in the presence of animal manure SRB and CB consortium showed maximum reduction (93 %) of sulphate as compared to molasses and sugarcane bagasse.

There was 91 % reduction of sulphate by SRB after the completion of incubation period (60 days). Sugarcane bagasse reduced 91 % after 60 days of incubation. There is complex as well as simple sugars in sugarcane bagasse and during the lag phase of incubation, SRB might have taken time in acclimation. In a complex nutrient medium containing different complex soluble carbon source, diauxic growth pattern of microbes is a common phenomenon (Crueger and Crueger, 2005; Hussain and Qazi, 2016b; Laraib *et al.*, 2021a, b). Among industrial organic wastes, molasses showed lesser sulphate reduction (73 %) at the end of incubation period. A number of researchers concluded that there are several non-biodegradable substances along with high amount of volatile unsaturated fatty acids which might be unfavourable for the reduction of sulphate by SRB (Maree *et al.*, 1991). Several researchers believe in that a mixture of SRB cultures can use molasses as an acceptable source of carbon for sulphate reduction (Maree *et al.*, 1986; Maree and Hill, 1987; Annachatre and

Suktrakoolvait, 2001; Hussain *et al.*, 2014a).

A mixture of all the organic wastes, i.e., molasses, animal manure, cotton sticks, wheat straw, potato peel, sugarcane bagasse, rice straw and maize sticks caused sulphate reduction at the end of 60<sup>th</sup> day of anaerobic incubation period up to 90 %. Here sulphate reduction was same as it was for the individual organic waste, indicating that there was no antagonistic nor supporting phenomenon was involved in the mixture.

In the start of the experiment, pH of all the media was neutral, i.e.,  $7.0 \pm 0.5$ , however, as far as there was sulphate reduction and release of H<sub>2</sub>S gas during the first half of the incubation period, a decreasing trend (slightly acidic) of pH was observed. It was again close to neutral or slight basic in the 2<sup>nd</sup> half of the incubation period (40 to 60 days) due to a decrease in rate of the sulphate reduction reaction. Martins *et al.* (2009) also reported the relationship between neutral to slight basic pH of the medium to the sulphate reduction ability of SRB.

For agricultural countries like Pakistan there is huge production of agri-wastes per day that is manic to the environment. Our study will provide a baseline data for the bioremediation of industrial sulphates along with the consumption of agro-industrial organic wastes which are themselves sources of point and non-point source pollution. For speedy bioremediation process, it is suggested that there should be natural substrates composed of simple molecules with minimum lignin contents for the effective growth of SRB. On the other hand, the existing substrate should be modified with appropriate additions for the optimum carbon to nitrogen ratio and de-lignified in addition to source of simple molecules for speedy growth of SRB for the effectively sulphate elimination strategies.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The support of Dr. Shahid Ali, Associate Professor, F.G. College, Gujranwala Cantt., is highly acknowledged for carefully editing the manuscript.

### *Funding*

No funding was received for this study.

### *IRB approval*

The research work was approved by Departmental Board of Studies of Department of Wildlife and Ecology, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore, Paki-stan.

### *Ethical approval*

Not applicable.

#### Availability of data and materials

These will be provided by the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### Statement of conflict of interest

The authors have declared no conflict of interest.

### REFERENCES

- Aguado, J., Arsuaga, J.M., Arencibia, A., Lindo, M., and Gascon, V., 2009. Aqueous heavy metals removal by adsorption on amine functionalized mesoporous silica. *J. Hazard. Mater.*, **163**: 213-221. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.06.080>
- Annachhatre, A.P., and Suktrakoolvait, S., 2001. Biological sulfate reducing using molasses as a carbon source. *Water Environ. Res.*, **73**: 118-126. <https://doi.org/10.2175/106143001X138778>
- Barnes, L.J., 1998. Removal of heavy metals and sulphate from contaminated groundwater using sulphate-reducing bacteria development of a commercial process. In: *Bioremediation technologies* (eds. S.K. Sikdar and R.L. Irvine). Publ. Co. Inc. Lancaster USA, **3**: 577-619.
- Batool, S., Hussain, A., Iqbal, M.A., Javid, A., Ali, W., Bukhari, S.M., Akmal, M., and Qazi, J.I., 2019. Implication of highly metal-resistant microalgal-bacterial co-cultures for the treatment of simulated metal-loaded wastewaters. *Int. Microbiol.*, **22**: 41-48. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10123-018-0025-y>
- Becker, M., Edwards, S., and Massey, R.I., 2010. Toxic chemicals in toys and children's products: limitations of current responses and recommendations for government and industry. *Environ. Sci. Tech.*, **44**: 7986-7991. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es1009407>
- Boshoff, G., Duncan, J., and Rose, P.D., 2004. Tannery effluent as a carbon source for biological sulphate reduction. *Water Res.*, **38**: 2651-2658. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2004.03.030>
- Campbell, P.G.C., 2006. Cadmium-A priority pollutant. *Environ. Chem.*, **3**: 387-388. <https://doi.org/10.1071/EN06075>
- Cha, J.M., Cha, W.S., and Lee, J.H., 1999. Removal of organo-sulphurodour compounds by *Thiobacillus novellus* SRM, sulphur-oxidizing bacteria. *Process Biochem.*, **34**: 659-665. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-9592\(98\)00139-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-9592(98)00139-3)
- Chai, G., Wang, D., Zhang, Y., Wang, H., Li, J., Jing, X., Meng, H., Wang, Z., Guo, Y., Jiang, C., and Li, H., 2023. Effects of organic substrates on sulfate-reducing microcosms treating acid mine drainage: performance dynamics and microbial community comparison. *J. environ. Manage.*, **330**: 117148. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.117148>
- Christia-Lotter, A., Bartoli, C., Piercecchi-Marti, M.D., Demory, D., Pelissier-Alicot, A.L., Sanvoisin, A., and Leonetti, G., 2006. Fatal occupational inhalation of hydrogen sulfide. *Forensic Sci. Int.*, **169**: 206-209. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2006.02.043>
- Cohen, R.R.H., 2006. Use of microbes for cost reduction of metal removal from metals and mining industry waste streams. *J. Clean Prod.*, **14**: 1146-1157. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2004.10.009>
- Crueger, W., and Crueger, A., 2005. *Biotechnology a textbook of industrial microbiology*. Panima Publishing Corporation, New Delhi.
- Dhakal, S., Boath, J.M., Van, T.T., Moore, R.J., and Macreadie, I.G., 2020. *Siccibacter turicensis* from kangaroo scats: Possible implication in cellulose digestion. *Microorganisms*, **8**: 635. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8050635>
- Diels, L., Van Der Lelie, N., and Bastiaens, L., 2002. New developments in treatment of heavy metal contaminated soils. *Rev. environ. Sci. Biotechnol.*, **1**: 75-82. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1015188708612>
- El-Samrani, A.G., Lartiges, B.S. and Villie'ras, F., 2008. Chemical coagulation of combined sewer overflow: heavy metal removal and treatment optimization. *Water Res.*, **42**: 951-960. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2007.09.009>
- Gadd, G.M., 2000. Bioremedial potential of microbial mechanisms of metal mobilization and immobilization. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.*, **11**: 271-279. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0958-1669\(00\)00095-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0958-1669(00)00095-1)
- Gibert, O., DePablo, J., Cortina, J.L., and Ayora, C., 2004. Chemical characterization of natural organic substrates for biological mitigation of acid mine drainage. *Water Res.*, **38**: 4186-4196. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2004.06.023>
- Huang, C.C., Chen, C.H., and Chu, M.S., 2006. Effect of moisture on H<sub>2</sub>S adsorption by copper impregnated activated carbon. *J. Hazard. Mater.*, **136**: 866-873. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.01.025>
- Hussain, A., and Qazi, J.I., 2012. Biological sulphate reduction using watermelon rind as a carbon source. *Biologia (Pakistan)*, **58**: 85-92.
- Hussain, A., and Qazi, J.I., 2016a. Metals-induced functional stress in sulphate-reducing thermophiles. *3 Biotech*, **6**: 17. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-015-0342-1>
- Hussain, A., and Qazi, J.I., 2016b. Application of sugarcane bagasse for passive anaerobic

- biotreatment of sulphate rich wastewaters. *Appl. Water Sci.*, **6**: 205-211. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13201-014-0226-2>
- Hussain, A., Hasan, A., Javid, A., and Qazi, J.I., 2016. Exploited application of sulfate-reducing bacteria for concomitant treatment of metallic and non-metallic wastes: A mini review. *3 Biotech*, **6**: 119. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-016-0437-3>
- Hussain, A., Iqbal, M.A., Javid, A., Razaq, A., Aslam, S., Hasan, A., Akmal, M., and Qazi, J.I., 2019. Application of fruit wastes as cost-effective carbon sources for biological sulphate reduction. *Iran. J. Sci. Technol. Trans. Sci.*, **43**: 33-41. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40995-017-0436-1>
- Hussain, A., Qazi, J.I., and Shakir, H.A., 2014a. Implication of molasses as electron donor for biological sulphate reduction. *Am. J. environ. Eng.*, **4**: 7-10.
- Hussain, A., Shakir, H.A., and Qazi, J.I., 2014b. Anaerobic biodegradation of sulphate employing animal manure as a cost-effective growth substrate. *J. Anim. Pl. Sci.*, **24**: 913-918.
- Idris, A.M., Eltayeb, M.A.H., Potgieter-Vermaak, S.S., Van-Grieken, R., and Potgieter, J.H., 2007. Assessment of heavy metals pollution in Sudanese harbours along the Red Sea coast. *Microchem. J.*, **87**: 103-112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2007.06.004>
- Kaksonen, A.H., Plumb, J.J., Franzman, P.D., and Puhakka, J.A., 2004. Simple organic electron donors support diverse sulfate-reducing communities in fluidized bed reactors treating acidic metal and sulfate containing wastewater. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.*, **47**: 279-289. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-6496\(03\)00284-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-6496(03)00284-8)
- Karunanidhi, D., Aravinthasamy, P., Subramani, T., Chandrajith, R., Raju, N.J., and Antunes, I.M., 2022. Provincial and seasonal influences on heavy metals in the Noyyal River of South India and their human health hazards. *Environ. Res.*, **204**: 111998. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2021.111998>
- Kucukatay, V., Bor-Kucukatay, M., Atsak, P., and Agar, A., 2007. Effect of ingested sulfite on hippocampus antioxidant enzyme activities in sulfite oxidase competent and deficient rats. *Int. J. Neurosci.*, **117**: 971-983. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207450600934085>
- Laraib, N., Hussain, A., Javid, A., Bukhari, S.M., Ali, W., Manzoor, M., and Jabeen, F., 2021a. Mixotrophic cultivation of *Scenedesmus dimorphus* for enhancing biomass productivity and lipid yield. *Iran. J. Sci. Technol. Trans. Sci.*, **45**: 397-403. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40995-020-01055-3>
- Laraib, N., Manzoor, M., Javid, A., Jabeen, F., Bukhari, S.M., Ali, W., and Hussain, A., 2021b. Mixotrophic cultivation of *Chlorella vulgaris* in sugarcane molasses preceding nitrogen starvation: Biomass productivity, lipid content, and fatty acid analyses. *Environ. Prog. Sustain. Energ.*, **40**: e13625. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ep.13625>
- Maree, J.P., and Hill, G.E., 1987. An integrated process for biological treatment of sulfate-containing industrial effluents. *J. Water Pollut. Contr. Fed.*, **59**: 1069-1074.
- Maree, J.P., Gerber, A., and Strydom, W.F., 1986. A biological process for sulphate removal from industrial effluent. *Water S.A.*, **12**: 139-144.
- Maree, J.P., Hulse, G., Dods, D., and Schutte, C.E., 1991. Pilot plant studies on biological sulphate removal from industrial effluent. *Water Sci. Technol.*, **23**: 1293-1300. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.1991.0581>
- Martins, M., Faleiro, L.M., Barros, R.J., Veri'Ssimo, A.R., and Costa, C.M., 2009. Biological sulphate reduction using food industry wastes as carbon sources. *Biodegradation*, **20**: 559-567. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10532-008-9245-8>
- Mortazavi, F., and Jafari-Javid, A., 2009. Acute renal failure due to copper sulfate poisoning: A case report. *Iran. J. Pediatr.*, **19**: 75-78.
- Munawar, A., and Riwardi, R., 2010. Chemical characteristics of organic wastes and their potential use for acid mine drainage remediation. *J. Nat. Indones.*, **12**: 167-172. <https://doi.org/10.31258/jnat.12.2.167-172>
- Muneeb, M., Rashid, M., Javid, A., Bukhari, S.M., Ali, W., Hasan, A., Akmal, M., and Hussain, A., 2020. Concomitant treatment of tannery and paper mill effluents using extremely metal-tolerant sulphate-reducing bacteria. *Environ. Process.*, **7**: 243-253. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40710-019-00416-4>
- Nagpal, S., Chuichulcherm, S., Livingstone, A., and Peeva, L., 2000. Ethanol utilization by sulfate-reducing bacteria: an experimental and modeling study. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.*, **16**: 533-543. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0290\(20001205\)70:5<533::AID-BIT8>3.0.CO;2-C](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0290(20001205)70:5<533::AID-BIT8>3.0.CO;2-C)
- Paithankar, J.G., Saini, S., Dwivedi, S., Sharma, A. and Chowdhuri, D.K., 2021. Heavy metal associated health hazards: An interplay of oxidative stress and signal transduction. *Chemosphere*, **262**: 128350. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.128350>
- Pereira, D., Gil, M.V., Esteves, V.I., Silva, N.J., Otero,

- M., and Calisto, V., 2023. Ex-situ magnetic activated carbon for the adsorption of three pharmaceuticals with distinct physicochemical properties from real wastewater. *J. Hazard. Mater.*, **443**: 130258. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2022.130258>
- Postgate, J.R., 1984. *The sulphate-reducing bacteria*. Cambridge University Press, New York.
- Rafique, M., Hajra, S., Tahir, M.B., Gillani, S.S., and Irshad, M., 2022. A review on sources of heavy metals, their toxicity and removal technique using physico-chemical processes from wastewater. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, **29**: 16772-16781. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-18638-9>
- Rocha, G.G., Zaia, D.A.M., Alfaya, R.V.D., and Alfaya, A.A.D., 2009. Use of rice straw as biosorbent for removal of Cu (II), Zn (II), Cd (II) and Hg (II) ions in industrial effluents. *J. Hazard. Mater.*, **166**: 383-388. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.11.074>
- Scragg, A., 2006. *Environmental biotechnology*. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed Oxford University Press, Oxford UK.
- Tsukamoto, T.K., Killion, H.A., and Miller, G.C., 2004. Column experiments for microbiological treatment of acid mine drainage low-temperature low pH and matrix investigations. *Water Res.*, **38**: 1405-1418. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2003.12.012>
- Vaiopoulou, E., Melidis, P., and Aivasidis, A., 2005. Sulfide removal in wastewater from petrochemical industries by autotrophic denitrification. *Water Res.*, **39**: 4101-4109. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2005.07.022>
- Van Roy, S., Vanbroekhoven, K., and Diels, L., 2006. Immobilization of heavy metals in the saturated zone by sorption and in situ bioprecipitation processes. *Hydrometallurgy*, **83**: 195-203. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hydromet.2006.03.024>
- Wang, R., 2002. Investigation of hydrogen sulfide removal and sulfur recovery from low sulfur containing gases with aqueous solution of heteropoly compound. *J. Chem. Eng. Japan*, **35**: 15-21. <https://doi.org/10.1252/jcej.35.15>
- Zagury, G.J., Kulnieks, V., and Neculita, C.M., 2006. Characterization and reactivity assessment of organic substrates for sulphate-reducing bacteria in acid mine drainage treatment. *Chemosphere*, **64**: 944-954. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2006.01.001>
- Zhang, M., and Wang, H., 2014. Organic wastes as carbon sources to promote sulfate reducing bacterial activity for biological remediation of acid mine drainage. *Miner. Eng.*, **69**: 81-90. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mineng.2014.07.010>
- Zhang, X., Li, X., Zhao, X. and Li, Y., 2019. Factors affecting the efficiency of a bioelectrochemical system: A review. *RSC Advan.*, **9**: 19748-19761. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C9RA03605A>