



## Short Communication

# Virulence of *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Hypocreales: Clavicipitaceae) Strains against the Eggs and Larvae of *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* (Acari: Ixodidae)

Laura Alejandra Arriola-Mosqueda<sup>1</sup>, Yadira Jiménez-Lara<sup>2</sup>, Eugenia del Carmen Prieto-Avella<sup>1</sup>, Carlos Ricardo Cruz-Vazquez<sup>3</sup>, Roberto Montesinos-Matias<sup>4</sup>, Mauricio Valencia-Posadas<sup>2</sup>, Ana Martha Cruz-Avalos<sup>5</sup>, Jaime Molina-Ochoa<sup>6,7</sup> and César Andrés Angel-Sahagún<sup>2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Maestría Interinstitucional en Producción Pecuaria, Universidad de Guanajuato, Irapuato, Guanajuato, México

<sup>2</sup>Departamento de Veterinaria y Zootecnia, Universidad de Guanajuato, Irapuato, Guanajuato, México

<sup>3</sup>National Technological Institute of Mexico, Technological Institute El Llano, Aguascalientes, Aguascalientes, Mexico

<sup>4</sup>Centro Nacional de Referencia de Control Biológico, Tecomán, Colima, México

<sup>5</sup>Universidad Tecnológica del Sureste de Guanajuato, México

<sup>6</sup>Coordinación General de Investigación, Universidad de Colima, Tecomán, Colima, México

<sup>7</sup>Department of Entomology, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, USA

## ABSTRACT

The aim of this research was to evaluate the virulence of *Metarhizium anisopliae* on the eggs and larvae of *Rhipicephalus sanguineus*, as well as the LC<sub>50</sub> on *R. sanguineus* larvae, were determined and the germination (at 18 and 24 h post-inoculation) and radial growth (after 14 days) of four strains of *M. anisopliae*. We evaluated the pathogenicity on the eggs and larvae of all tested strains of *R. sanguineus* at day 3 and 7. The LC<sub>50</sub> of four strains of *M. anisopliae* was determined by Probit analysis, and a chi-square test was used to determine the relationship of LC<sub>50</sub> with variable radial growth and germination at 18 and 24 h. Germination at 18 h and 24 h ranged from 83.8–92.5% and 89.5–100%, respectively. The average radial growth ranged from 2.3–3.3 mm per day. The pathogenicity results for eggs and larvae showed 100% mortality for both biological stages. The Ma4 strain showed an outstanding LC<sub>50</sub> value with  $1.78 \times 10^6$  conidia mL<sup>-1</sup>. *M. anisopliae* is effective for controlling *R. sanguineus*, and that the radial growth characteristics and germination were not correlated with the fungal virulence against *R. sanguineus* larvae. We can conclude that there are differences between the evaluated strains of *M. anisopliae* in regard to germination at 18 and 24 h, radial growth and virulence against the larvae of *R. sanguineus*. There is also no relationship between the biological characteristics and virulence of *M. anisopliae* against *R. microplus* larvae.

**R***hipicephalus sanguineus* (Latreille) *sensu stricto* (Acari: Ixodidae) is a hematophagous ectoparasite. Its main host is the domestic dog, but it can also parasitize other domestic and wild animals as well as humans, and may also act as a vector-transmitter of various pathogens (Dantas-Torres, 2010).

In order to control this tick, different chemical

acaricides are used; however, the inappropriate use of these products adversely impacts human and animal health, contributes to environmental pollution and drives the development of resistance in ticks (Encinosa-Guzmán *et al.*, 2016). Therefore, sustainable alternative methods that are environmentally safer and profitable are sought after (Dantas-Torres, 2008).

Entomopathogenic fungi (EPF) such as *Metarhizium anisopliae sensu lato* (Metschnikoff) Sorokin and *Beauveria bassiana sensu lato* (Balsamo) Vuillemin have demonstrated ability to kill the larvae of *R. sanguineus*

\* Corresponding author: [csahagun@ugto.mx](mailto:csahagun@ugto.mx)  
0030-9923/2021/0001-0001 \$ 9.00/0

Copyright 2021 Zoological Society of Pakistan

**Article Information**  
Received 27 August 2019  
Revised 22 November 2019  
Accepted 11 March 2020  
Available online 13 July 2020

### Authors' Contributions

LAAM, YJL, ECPA, CRCV, JMO, AMCA and CAAS designed the study, supervised the work, and wrote the manuscript with input from all authors and carried out the experiments. MVP, RMM analysed the data.

### Key words

*Metarhizium anisopliae*, Tick, Biological control, LC<sub>50</sub>, Spore viability.

under laboratory conditions, with mortalities ranging from 70–100% of larvae and up to 90% of eggs (Prette *et al.*, 2005).

Investigation of the efficacy of EPF on *R. sanguineus* has facilitated the selection of outstanding strains from different geographical regions; however, the lethal concentrations of the tested strains have not yet been reported. Consequently, it is necessary to determine the lethal concentrations (LC<sub>50</sub>) of strains of EPF *M. anisopliae* to differentiate and subsequently use the most virulent strain under controlled conditions for animal or plant strains. Together with this data, determining the growth characteristics of each strain under laboratory conditions is useful for selecting candidates for use in *in vivo* models.

This paper summarizes the pathogenicity of four strains of *M. anisopliae sensu lato*, all native to the state of Guanajuato, Mexico, against the eggs and unfed larvae of *R. sanguineus sensu stricto*. We also evaluated the characteristics of spore germination of tested strains.

#### Materials and methods

*R. sanguineus sensu stricto* engorged ticks were collected from domestic dogs in the city of Irapuato in the state of Guanajuato, Mexico. Specimens were collected manually and placed in a Petri dish for transportation to the laboratory. The ticks were placed in individual Petri dishes until oviposition and maintained at 25°C and 85% relative humidity (RH). The eggs were incubated at 28°C and 85% RH until the larvae hatched. We waited until the larvae were 7 days post-hatching to use them in the bioassay. Another part of the ovigerous mass was placed in a Petri dish for 3 days before being used in the bioassay (Angel-Sahagún *et al.*, 2010).

The fungal strains used in this study were obtained from the Mycological Collection of the Laboratory of Parasitology and Biological Control of the University of Guanajuato (Table 1). Four strains of *M. anisopliae* (Ma3, Ma4, Ma7 and Ma8) were grown in Sabouraud dextrose agar supplemented with 1% yeast extract and 500 ppm

of chloramphenicol for 21 days at 25±1°C under a 12-h light/dark cycle (Angel-Sahagún *et al.*, 2010). Conidia were harvested by scraping the culture medium, and then resuspended in sterile distilled water with 0.1% (v/v) Tween 80. Conidia were diluted to obtain the concentrations used in the different evaluations (Angel-Sahagún *et al.*, 2010).

For each strain of *M. anisopliae*, a suspension of 1×10<sup>6</sup> conidia mL<sup>-1</sup> was adjusted with 0.1% Tween 80 (v/v), then 100 µL was inoculated in Petri dishes with Sabouraud dextrose agar. The inoculum was distributed with a cell disperser and the germination (G) was assessed after 18 and 24 h. Each count consisted of 100 conidia with four replicates per treatment. A conidium was considered to have germinated only if a germ tube was at least as long as the length of an ungerminated conidium.

For radial growth (RG) initially, Petri dishes containing Sabouraud dextrose agar were inoculated with 100µL of the conidia suspension at a concentration of 1×10<sup>6</sup> conidia mL<sup>-1</sup>. The Petri dishes were incubated at 25±1°C for between 3 and 8 days post-inoculation (PI). Once uniform growth was observed on Sabouraud dextrose agar in the Petri dish, a mycelial unsporulated disc of 6 cm in diameter was extracted using a punch and placed individually in the center of another dish and incubated at 25±1°C (Dimbi *et al.*, 2004). RG was recorded until day 14 PI from the center of the colony in four equidistant radii previously marked on each Petri dish (Fargues *et al.*, 1999).

For pathogenicity tests on the eggs and larvae of *R. sanguineus*, conidia concentrations of 1×10<sup>7</sup> and 1×10<sup>8</sup> conidia mL<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, were used. For virulence bioassays, seven concentrations of conidia (1×10<sup>2</sup>, 1×10<sup>3</sup>, 1×10<sup>4</sup>, 1×10<sup>5</sup>, 1×10<sup>6</sup>, 1×10<sup>7</sup> and 1×10<sup>8</sup> conidia mL<sup>-1</sup>) were prepared. In all treatments, the experimental unit consisted of 25 specimens.

The evaluation of pathogenicity against eggs was performed according to Gindin *et al.* (2009). A total of four strains of EPF of *M. anisopliae* were tested, with four replications per treatment. The inoculation was performed

**Table I.- Germination, radial growth, fungal infection and LC<sub>50</sub> (95% confidence interval) of entomopathogenic fungi against the eggs and larvae of *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* under laboratory conditions.**

Strain	Place of isolation	Germination (%)		Radial growth (mm day <sup>-1</sup> )	Mycosis (%)		Virulence, LC <sub>50</sub> , (IC) conidia mL <sup>-1</sup>
		18 h	24 h		Eggs	Larvae	
Ma3	Abasolo, Guanajuato	83.8 (2.9) <sup>c</sup>	89.5 (6.0) <sup>b</sup>	3.3 (0.1) <sup>a</sup>	100.0 (0.0) <sup>a</sup>	100.0 (0.0) <sup>a</sup>	2.35×10 <sup>6</sup> (1.06×10 <sup>6</sup> -6.57×10 <sup>6</sup> )
Ma4	Guanajuato, Guanajuato	86.8 (4.7) <sup>bc</sup>	98.0 (0.8) <sup>a</sup>	2.3 (0.3) <sup>c</sup>	100.0 (0.0) <sup>a</sup>	100.0 (0.0) <sup>a</sup>	1.78×10 <sup>6</sup> (4.59×10 <sup>5</sup> -6.68×10 <sup>6</sup> )
Ma7	Silao, Guanajuato	92.5 (3.6) <sup>a</sup>	100.0 (0.0) <sup>a</sup>	2.7 (0.2) <sup>b</sup>	100.0 (0.0) <sup>a</sup>	100.0 (0.0) <sup>a</sup>	2.88×10 <sup>7</sup> (1.25×10 <sup>7</sup> -6.30×10 <sup>8</sup> )
Ma8	Irapuato, Guanajuato	90.8 (1.5) <sup>ab</sup>	98.5 (1.2) <sup>a</sup>	2.5(0.1) <sup>bc</sup>	100.0 (0.0) <sup>a</sup>	100.0 (0.0) <sup>a</sup>	5.49×10 <sup>8</sup> (1.64×10 <sup>7</sup> -1.82×10 <sup>10</sup> )
Witness		0.0 (0.0) <sup>d</sup>	0.0 (0.0) <sup>c</sup>	0.0 (0.0) <sup>d</sup>	0.0 (0.0) <sup>b</sup>	0.0 (0.0) <sup>b</sup>	-
P-value		0.2133	0.2183	0.2231	<0.0001	<0.0001	-

Means (± standard deviation) with different letters within the same column are significantly different (P ≤ 0.05).

by applying 500 $\mu$ L of a conidia suspension of  $1 \times 10^7$  conidia mL<sup>-1</sup> to each group of eggs. The control group was inoculated with sterile distilled water containing 0.1% Tween 80. The dishes were incubated at  $25 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$  under a 12-h light/dark photoperiod. For each treatment, the number of eggs infested with fungi was observed and recorded every 48 h until the emergence of larvae in the control.

For evaluation of pathogenicity against larvae, groups of 25 larvae were stuck onto 5-cm thick pieces of adhesive tape, then immersed for 5 s in an suspension of conidia at  $1 \times 10^8$  mL<sup>-1</sup> (Kaaya *et al.*, 1996). The control consisted of submerging the larvae in a solution containing Tween 80 (0.1%). Bioassays were incubated at  $25 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$  under a 12-h light/dark photoperiod. Larval mortality was recorded every 48 h for 16 days (Angel-Sahagún *et al.*, 2010).

Virulence assays were developed using seven concentrations of conidia ranging from  $1 \times 10^2$  to  $1 \times 10^8$  conidia mL<sup>-1</sup> (Angel-Sahagún *et al.*, 2010). Groups of 25 *R. sanguineus* larvae were used for each treatment, each of which had four replicates. Larvae were placed on a 5-cm thick piece of adhesive tape and immersed in the corresponding concentration of conidia for 5 s, then placed in a Petri dish containing a double layer of paper moistened with sterile distilled water. The larval control treatment involved immersion in a solution of Tween 80 (0.1%). The dishes were incubated at  $25 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$  under a 12-h light/dark cycle. The number of infected larvae was recorded every 48 h and fungal infection was confirmed upon fungal sporulation under larvae body (Smith *et al.*, 2000).

For statistical analysis, the germination (G) percentage values were transformed to  $\text{Arc Sen} \sqrt{x/100}$ . An ANOVA with a completely randomized design was performed, followed by between-group comparison by Tukey's test ( $P = 0.05$ ) for the following variables used to assess pathogenicity: G, RG (cumulative growth after 14 days), and the percentage of mycosis eggs and larvae. All analyses were performed using SAS software (SAS, 1997). A Probit analysis was used to assess the results of the virulence bioassay in order to determine the lethal concentrations 50% of the population (Angel-Sahagún *et al.*, 2010). In addition, a chi-square ( $X^2$ ) test (95% confidence) was performed to investigate correlations between growth variables (G, RG) and virulence ( $\text{LC}_{50}$ ) of the tested strains.

### Results and discussion

The viability of conidia at 18 and 24 h (PI) ranged from 83.8–92.5% and from 89.5–100%, respectively. The outstanding strain at both 18 and 24 h PI was Ma7. The average RG per day varied from 2.5–3.9 mm, and the outstanding strains were Ma7 and Ma8 (Table I). The

ANOVA of germination at 18 and 24 h and radial growth showed statistically significant differences ( $P < 0.05$ ), and the Tukey ( $P < 0.05$ ) post hoc test formed three groups (Table I).

The results in our study corroborate that there are differences in the percentage of germination, radial growth and  $\text{LC}_{50}$  between the strains tested. At 24 h, we observed 100% germination of conidia that had been incubated at  $25^\circ\text{C}$ . A value of 94.9% germination was previously reported by Dimbi *et al.* (2004) for strains of *M. anisopliae* isolated mainly from the soil, and these authors suggested that the geographical origin of the strains is probably related to germination characteristics.

Magalhães *et al.* (2003) observed differences in radial growth between strains of *M. anisopliae* at 14 days, with values reported to range between 2.52 and 4.46 mm day<sup>-1</sup>, which are similar to those reported for the Ma3, Ma7, and Ma8 strains in our study. Furthermore, Dimbi *et al.* (2004) reported a radial growth of *M. anisopliae* between 2.0 and 2.27 mm day<sup>-1</sup>, which is less than the values obtained in the present study. This could be attributed to the germination characteristics of the strains at different geographical origins, which may influence the biological characteristics.

The four strains tested were found to have pathogenicity against the eggs and larvae of *R. sanguineus*, with 100% infection observed at  $1 \times 10^8$  and  $1 \times 10^7$  conidia mL<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The mycosis was observed from the sixth day post inoculation. The ANOVA showed statistically significant differences between EPF and the control ( $P < 0.0001$ ) (Table I).

The  $\text{LC}_{50}$  values estimated for the four strains of *M. anisopliae* ranged from  $1.78 \times 10^6$  to  $5.49 \times 10^8$  conidia mL<sup>-1</sup>. The Ma4 strain was the most outstanding and Ma8 was the least outstanding, with an  $\text{LC}_{50}$  of  $5.49 \times 10^8$  conidia/mL<sup>-1</sup>.

Our results related to the pathogenicity against tick eggs of *R. sanguineus* are in agreement with those reported by Gindin *et al.* (2002). These authors have evaluated *M. anisopliae* strains on eggs of different tick species under laboratory conditions, and reported mortalities up to 98%. They also found differences in mortality between strains of the same species. Other reports focused on *M. anisopliae* have found similar efficacy between the strains evaluated in relation to tick species and the biological egg stage, as the greatest susceptibility was noted during the development phase.

To our knowledge, no studies in the literature have reported the 50% lethal concentrations ( $\text{LC}_{50}$ ) of strains of EPF on the larvae of *R. sanguineus*. In the present study, we found that the more virulent strains of fungi had higher and lower  $\text{LC}_{50}$  values against *R. sanguineus* than those reported for other ticks in a study by Angel-Sahagún *et al.*

(2010), who reported  $LC_{50}$  values ranging from  $1.1 \times 10^4$  and  $9.2 \times 10^4$  conidia  $mL^{-1}$  for strains of *M. anisopliae* on larvae of *R. microplus*. This is not conclusive, as Quinelato *et al.* (2012) determined an  $LC_{50}$  of  $1.14 \times 10^7$  conidia  $mL^{-1}$  for *M. anisopliae* on *R. microplus*. These  $LC_{50}$  results are different to those reported in this study; however, they were conducted using a different species of tick. These differences in results suggest that *M. anisopliae* has varying virulence between members of the same species, which may be due to strain characteristics such as the production of toxins, biological stage of the host and the environmental conditions. The results of the chi-square ( $X^2$ ) test indicate that there is no association between germination at 18 h PI ( $P > 0.2133$ ), 24 h PI ( $P > 0.2183$ ) or radial growth ( $P > 0.2231$ ) with the  $LC_{50}$  results of the four strains tested in this study.

In the present study, we failed to demonstrate an association between germination and radial growth on the virulence of *M. anisopliae* against the larvae of *R. sanguineus*. The EPF *M. anisopliae* can germinate and have a radial growth non-efficiently and have an outstanding  $LC_{50}$ . Maniania and Fargues (1992) noted that the radial growth of *Isaria fumosorosea* (= *Paecilomyces fumosoroseus*) (Wize) A. H. S. Br. and G. Sm. is related to infection of *Spodoptera littoralis* Boisduval. Furthermore, Inglis *et al.* (1999) assessed the effect of *M. flavoviride* (Gams and Rozsypal) on *Melanoplus sanguinipes* (Fabricius) and found that germination was correlated with pathogenicity. This is likely to be due to differences between the species of fungus and/or pest species, and even probably due to differences between agricultural and livestock pests, particularly considering that the latter part of their life cycle is dependent on the host.

#### Conclusions

We can conclude that there are differences between the evaluated strains of *M. anisopliae* in regard to germination at 18 and 24 h, radial growth and virulence against the larvae of *R. sanguineus*. There is also no relationship between the biological characteristics and virulence of *M. anisopliae* against *R. microplus* larvae.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the Directorate for Research Support and Postgraduate Programs at the University of Guanajuato for their support in the translation and editing of the English-language version of this article. The authors thank Roberto Lezama Gutiérrez for comments and suggestions.

#### Statement of conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

#### References

- Angel-Sahagún, C.A., Lezama-Gutiérrez, R., Molina-Ochoa, J., Pescador-Rubio, A., Skoda, S.R., Cruz-Vázquez, C., Lorenzoni, A.G., Galindo-Velasco, E., Fragoso-Sánchez, E.H. and Foster J.E., 2010. *Vet. Parasitol.*, **170**: 278-286. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2010.02.037>
- Dantas-Torres, F., 2008. *Vet. Parasitol.*, **152**: 173–185. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2007.12.030>
- Dantas-Torres, F., 2010. *Parasites Vectors*, **3**: 26. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-3-26>
- Dimbi, S., Maniania, N.K., Lux, S.A. and Mueke, J.M., 2004. *Biocontrol*, **49**: 83–94. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:BICO.0000009397.84153.79>
- Encinosa-Guzmán, P.E., Bello-Soto, Y. and Rodríguez-Mallon, A., 2016. *Int. J. Acarol.*, **42**: 18–25. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01647954.2015.1113309>
- Fargues, J., Goettel, S., Smits, N., Ouedraogo, A. and Rougier, M., 1999. *Mycology*, **89**: 383–392. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00275514.1997.12026797>
- Gindin, G., Samish, M., Zangi, G., Mishoutchenko, A. and Glanzer, I., 2002. *Exp. appl. Acarol.*, **28**: 283–288. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1025379307255>
- Gindin, G., Ment, D., Rot, A., Glazer, I. and Samish, M., 2009. *J. med. Ent.* **46**: 533–538. <https://doi.org/10.1603/033.046.0318>
- Inglis, G.D., Duke, G.M., Kawchuk, L.M. and Goettel, M.S., 1999. *Biol. Contr.*, **14**: 111–120. <https://doi.org/10.1006/bcon.1998.0666>
- Kaaya, G.P., Mwangi, E.N. and Ouna, E.A., 1996. *J. Inverteb. Pathol.*, **67**: 15–20. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jipa.1996.0003>
- Magalhães, B.P., Silvana-Tigano, M., Martins, I., Frazão, H. and Ramirez, H.G., 2003. *Pesqui. Agropecu. Bras.*, **38**: 1469–1475. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-204X2003001200014>
- Maniania, N.K. and Fargues, J., 1992. *J. appl. Ent.*, **113**: 518–524. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0418.1992.tb00698.x>
- Prette, N., Monteiro, A.C., Garcia, M.V. and Soares, V.E., 2005. *Ciênc. Rural*, **35**: 855–861. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0103-84782005000400017>
- Smith, K.E., Wall, R. and French, N.P., 2000. *Vet. Parasitol.*, **92**: 97–105. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4017\(00\)00277-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4017(00)00277-6)
- Quinelato, S., Golo, S.P., Perinotto, M.S.W., Sá, A.F., Camargo, G.M., Angelo, C.I., Moraes, M.L.A. and Bittencourt, R.E.P.V., 2012. *Vet. Parasitol.*, **190**: 556–565. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2012.06.028>
- SAS, 1997. *SAS/STAT software: Change and enhancements through release 6.12*. SAS Institute, Cary, NC.