Research Article



Genetic Diversity of Native Buffalo Populations in Vietnam Based on Mitochondrial D-Loop Nucleotide Sequence

NGOC TAN NGUYEN^{1*}, PHAM KIM NGAN NGUYEN¹, THAO HUONG PHAN¹, TAN LOI LE¹, THI KHANH LY NGUYEN¹, TUAN THANH HOANG², CONG THIEU PHAM³, CONG DINH NGUYEN³, NGUYEN KHANG DUONG⁴

¹Faculty of Biological Sciences, Nong Lam University in Ho Chi Minh City – Linh Trung Ward, Thu Duc City, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam; ²Vigova Poultry Research and Development Center – 496/101 Duong Quang Ham Street, Ward 6, Go Vap District, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam; ³National Institute of Animal Sciences – No 9 Tan Phong street, Thuy Phuong Commune, Bac Tu Lien District, Hanoi City, Vietnam; ⁴Faculty of Animal Science and Veterinary Medicine, Nong Lam University in Ho Chi Minh City – Linh Trung Ward, Thu Duc City, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam.

Abstract | This study aimed to better understand the genetic diversity of native buffalo populations in different regions of Vietnam based on nucleotide sequence in a displacement loop (D-loop) of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA). Thirty-one blood samples that were collected from three native buffalo populations such as Bao Yen (BY: 7 samples), LangBiang (LB: 7 samples) and Thanh Chuong (TC: 7 samples) and two imported buffaloes from Thailand (T: 5 samples) and India (Murrah – M: 5 samples) were extracted for total DNA and amplified about 760 bp mtDNA fragment, with 576 bp in the D-loop region, followed by sequencing to analyze genetic diverse indices and genetic distance to construct a phylogenetic tree. Results showed that the fragment of 760 bp was successfully amplified. Variations of nucleotide sequence in 576 bp fragment length from 31 individual buffaloes were analyzed and revealed the nucleotide composition as Adenine (A) = 32.6%, Thymine (T) = 26.8%, Guanine (G) = 14.7% and Cytosine (C) = 25.9%. A total of 108 nucleotide polymorphic sites and 26 haplotypes were observed. Nucleotide and haplotype diversity index (π and Hd) were 0.06267 and 0.987, respectively. Genetic distance among swamp buffalo populations was smaller (ranging 0.050-0.056) than between Murrah and the swamp buffalo populations (ranging 0.091-0.125). Among the three native Vietnamese buffalo groups, the genetic distance between Bao Yen and LangBiang (0.050) buffalo populations was smaller than between Thanh Chuong and Bao Yen (0.055) or LangBiang (0.056). The phylogenetic tree showed that domestic buffalo populations are separated into two clusters that could be distinguished from the Murrah population. In conclusion, the genetic variation on Vietnamese domestic buffaloes is higher than other Asian swamp buffaloes. Most of native buffalo populations cluster in one clade and have a genetic relationship closer to Thai and Philippine swamp buffaloes in maternal origin. Further insights regarding the genetic diversity of Vietnamese native buffaloes will require more in-depth studies.

Keywords | Bubalus bubalis, D-loop sequence, Genetic divergence, Mitochondrial DNA, Swamp buffalo

Received | July 07, 2022; Accepted | August 15, 2022; Published | September 01, 2022

*Correspondence | Ngoc Tan Nguyen, Faculty of Biological Sciences, Nong Lam University in Ho Chi Minh City - Linh Trung Ward, Thu Duc City, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam; Email: nntan@hcmuaf.edu.vn, ngoctan0068@gmail.com

Citation | Nguyen NT, Nguyen PKN, Phan TH, Le TL, Nguyen TK, Hoang TT, Pham CT, Nguyen CD, Duong NK (2022). Genetic diversity of native buffalo populations in vietnam based on mitochondrial d-loop nucleotide sequence. Adv. Anim. Vet. Sci. 10(9): 2059-2067. DOI | http://dx.doi.org/10.17582/journal.aavs/2022/10.9.2059.2067

ISSN (Online) | 2307-8316



Copyright: 2022 by the authors. Licensee ResearchersLinks Ltd, England, UK.

This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/).

open@access INTRODUCTION

B uffaloes are raised in many countries in the world and used for multiple purposes including meat, milk, and agronomical works. Buffaloes are divided into two types based on behavior, morphology, ecology, cytology and molecular genetic attributes as river buffalo and swamp buffalo (Cockrill, 1981; Kumar et al., 2007). The swamp buffaloes are found in many countries in Asia spreading from India to China while river buffaloes are widely distributed throughout Eastern Europe, the subcontinent of India, Northern Africa or the Middle-East area (Cockrill, 1981). Vietnamese native swamp buffaloes play an important role in agriculture. They were domesticated in Vietnam in ancient times and became a traditional symbol of Vietnamese culture. In Southeast Asia, buffaloes are kept by smallholders for multiple purposes including draught power, meat, and other by-products (fertilizer, leather). During the past decade, the Vietnamese native swamp buffalo population has declined due to (i) increasing farming mechanization, (ii) low productivity, especially in reproduction. To better understand domestic animal genetic sources, studies of animal husbandry breeds have mainly focused on estimating the relationships among breeds (Barker et al., 1997) to control both preservation and development (Martin-Burriel et al., 1999). Furthermore, understanding of the characterizations of animals such as behaviour, morphology or molecular genetic is one of the critical step for genetic preservation and development procedures (Martin-Burriel et al., 1999). Molecular analysis is an important tool to identify the polymorphism of genome or mtDNA and is now being increasingly used to figure out diversity and evolution (Navani et al., 2001). Genetic divergence profiles are affected by the changes in living environments resulted in allowing species to survive through adaptations (Yusnizar et al., 2015) and can also result in improved genetically transmitted traits (Hassan et al., 2018). The mtDNA has been used to obtain information in order to identify the original species at the molecular levels. The D-loop is a non-coding region, and acts as a promoter for both the heavy and light strands of the mtDNA, and contains essential transcription and replication elements and the evolution in other regions of the mtDNA is lower than in the D-loop (Sharma et al., 2005). Moreover, mtDNA sequences; particularly in the D-loop, have been applied to analyze the phylogeny for over last decades (Moore, 1995). A modification of D-loop sequence was used to identify genetic differences among buffalo types in Southeast Asia or Brazil and Italy (Lau et al., 1998; Kierstein et al., 2004).

The mtDNA markers are impacted through the genetic flow of mammalian females and are acknowledged as key elements to understanding the connection between current genetic structural population and the whole variation

Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences

of genetic sources (Ruihua et al., 2018). High variation of D-loop region in mtDNA has been the focus of the change in genetic variation research owing to their high mutation rate (Yacoub and Fathi, 2013). Genetic diversity and phylogenetic analyses of swamp buffaloes using variable regions in mtDNA markers have been reported in many previous studies in Asia, and mtDNA is regarded as an important maternal material for analyzing genetic diversity in term of genetic source management, genetic characterization, as well as livestock conservation policies (Lau et al., 1998; Kierstein et al., 2004; Lei et al., 2011; Sayres, 2018; Shaari et al., 2019; Winaya et al., 2019).

In Vietnam, scarce published data exists regarding the genetic diversity of native swamp buffaloes. While, the D-loop sequence in native Vietnam-based buffalo has never been investigated. Therefore, this is the first study in Vietnam aimed to analyze the diversity of genetic and reconstruct a phylogenetic tree for native buffalo breeds.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

SAMPLE COLLECTION AND DNA EXTRACTION

All procedures involved in the handling and caretaking of animals were approved by the Faculty of Animal Science and Veterinary of Nong lam University (NLU-20200106). The buffaloes were handled in accordance with good animal practice and all efforts were made to minimize the stress. The samples are collected at the different households in each sampled area without pedigree information; the age of sampled buffaloes is about more than three years old.

Thirty-one whole blood samples were individually collected from jugular vein and stored at 4 °C in tube with EDTA before transportation to the laboratory, in which 21 samples collected in the three populations of native swamp buffaloes, 7 samples each, as Lang Biang (LB) in Lam Dong Province, Thanh Chuong (TC) in Nghe An Province and Bao Yen (BY) in Lao Cai Province, together with 5 samples each from imported Murrah (M) and Thai (T) swamp buffaloes raised at the Ruminant Research and Development Center, Binh Duong Province (Figure 1). Total DNA extraction was conducted using a Gene-JET Whole Blood Genomic DNA Purification Mini Kit according the manufacturer's instructions, extracted DNA were measured OD value using Bio-drop machine (UK) and stored at -80 °C until used.

PRIMER DESIGN

A set of primers (T760) was designed using Primer3 software (Version 4.1.0) based on the sequence from GenBank with access number AY488491.1. The forward primer was 5'- AATACCAACGGCCAGCATAA -3' and the reverse primer was 5'- GAGCATGGGCTGATTAGACA. The

forward primer started from the Cytb region and the reverse primer started in the D-loop region with 760 bp in fragment length, containing 50 bp in Cytb, 134 bp in two tRNAs (Thereonine and Proline) and 567 bp in D-loop.

DNA AMPLIFICATION AND SEQUENCING

Amplification of the fragment was done using the Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) with the thermocycler machine (MasterCycler Pro S; Eppendorf, Germany). The amplified reaction was performed at a volume of 25 μ L, consisting of 2 μ L DNA templates (50 ng/ μ L), 1 μ L (10 pM each) primers (Phu Sa, Vietnam), 12.5 μ L of My TaqTM Mix 2X (Bioline, UK) and then add the water (ddH₂O) up to 50 μ L. The PCR process was operated with 35 cycles consisting of (1) 95°C for 4 min, (2) 95°C for 30', (3) 59°C for 30', (4) 72°C for 30', (5) repeated from 2-4 for 35 cycles and (6) 72°C for 5 min. After electrophoresis, the products of PCR were then observed using 1.5% agarose gel (30 min, 100V) with a 100 bp DNA ladder (Thermo). The PCR products were purified and sequenced directly by 1st BASE Sequence Company (Malaysia).

DATA ANALYSIS

The D-loop sequences were aligned with the selected sequence of D-loop mtDNA from the database in genbank (AY488491.1) using BioEdit (Version 7.2.5), after alignment and adjustment, the nucleotide sequences out of D-loop were subtracted and discarded. The part of sequences with about 576 bp in the D-loop region was used for further analysis. Nucleotide haplotype diversity was calculated using DNA Sequence Polymorphism (Version 6.12.03 x64). The genetic distance and phylogenetic tree using D-loop mtDNA sequences (576 bp) of 21 Vietnamese native swamp buffaloes, 5 Thai swamp buffaloes and 5 Murrah buffaloes were estimated using MEGAX software (Version 10.2.5). Five mtDNA D-loop sequences retrieved from GenBank (MT186741.1; AY488491.1; KU687004.1; FJ873678.1; NC049568.1) were used as references for in groups and one for Bos taurus (NC006853.1) was applied as the out group to construct the phylogenetic tree.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

NUCLEOTIDE AND HAPLOTYPE DIVERSITY

After sequencing, the results were subjected to alignment and then subtracted the part sequence out of the D-loop, thirty-one sequences with 576 bp in the D-loop region were then used for analysis, and an average nucleotide composition as shown in the Table 1. Average nucleotide compositions for A, T, G and C were 32.63, 26.81, 14.67 and 25.89%. The percentage of A+T was 59.44% and C+G was 40.56%. The trend of low G+C content in this study was also observed and reported in other buffalo breeds in Thailand (Suhardi et al., 2021), Egypt (Youssef et al., 2021) and Pakistan (Babar et al., 2011) or other small ruminants (Ganbold et al., 2020; Nguyen et al., 2022). Beside this, Shaari et al. (2019) found that the A+T content in. The trend of A+T bias in mtDNA D-loop is a strong evidence for nucleotide variations and mutation in D-loop, indicating the base A occurs most often and base G the least in control region of mtDNA of mammalian species (Parma et al., 2004).

Nucleotide polymorphism was analyzed, with results presented in the Figure 2 and Table 2. A total of 108 polymorphic sites were found, with mutations caused by transition (67), transversion (25), deletion (5) and insertion (8). Two polymorphic sites (247 and 433) were found in all buffaloes. While 24 polymorphic sites were only found in Vietnamese native buffaloes (19; 30; 61; 108; 146; 152; 153; 160; 161; 164; 176; 196; 253; 270; 313; 340; 352; 354; 358; 368; 430; 463; 479; 535), 2 polymorphic sites were found in Thai buffaloes (163; 353) and one site was found only in Murrah buffaloes. Twenty-two polymorphic sites were found in both Vietnamese and Thai buffaloes (19; 30; 61; 108; 146; 152; 153; 161; 164; 176; 196; 253; 270; 313; 340; 352; 354; 358; 368; 430; 479; 535). Suhadi et al. (2021) reported 140 sequences from D-loop elucidated for 24 haplotypes. The sites of mutation by transition and transversion were 293 and 60, respectively and caused by insertions and deletions were 20 and 15. The variations of the fragment sequence in the D-loop of 123 individual buffaloes affirmed 40 singleton sites with 52 haplotypes, and the ratio of transition-to-transversion was a strongly bias toward transition, which is obviously an indicator of mitochondrial D-loop evolution in mammals (Babar et al., 2011). Many studies also stated the trend of strong bias toward transitions is a distinguishing of mitochondrial DNA evolution, as observed in buffaloes (Lau et al., 1998; Kierstein et al., 2004; Lei et al., 2007a; Raungprim et al., 2021), and in other mammal species or chickens (Liu et al., 2004; Chen et al., 2005; Guo et al., 2005; Lai et al., 2006).

Nucleotide diversity (π), haplotype and haplotype diversity (Hd) of three Vietnamese swamp buffalo populations and two types of imported buffaloes were evaluated, and presented in the Table 3. The haplotype diversity (Hd = 1.00) was high in Bao Yen (BY), LangBiang (LB) and Thai (T) buffalo types, then lower (Hd = 0.952) in Thanh Chuong (TC) and lowest (Hd = 0.800) in Murrah buffaloes. The nucleotide diversity (π) was highest recorded in TC (0.05619) and LB (0.05372) buffaloes, with lower values in BY (0.04671) and T (0.01683) and lowest (π = 0.00191) was found in Murrah buffaloes. The diverse values of nucleotide (π) and haplotype (Hd) were 0.06267 and 0.987, respectively. Investigation of 30 individuals from 6 buffalo types in China, the results showed average diversity index

of nucleotide and haplotype were 0.00684 and 0.798, respectively, indicating the plentiful genetic divergence of buffalo populations in China (Lei et al., 2007a,b).

Average haplotype diversity indicated abundant genetic divergence in the three Vietnamese native buffalo groups in this study, comparable to diversity of swamp buffalo genetic in Asian countries (Lau et al., 1998; Yue et al., 2013; Villamor et al., 2021). However, average nucleotide diversity obtained from the current study of Vietnamese native buffaloes was higher ($\pi = 0.062$) than reported by previous studies ($\pi = 0.007$ -0.049) (Lei et al., 2007a, 2011; Yue et al., 2013; Villamor et al., 2021).

Comparing the DNA sequences from 31 individual buffaloes revealed 26 different haplotypes (Table 4) with a haplotype diversity (Hd) of 0.987±0.00015 (Table 3). Two buffaloes shared H-1 (TC1; BY4), three buffaloes shared H-3 (TC3; TC5; LB4), two Murrah buffaloes shared H-24 (M1; M2) and another two shared H-26 (M4; M5). Swamp buffaloes in this study showed higher diversity than Murrah buffaloes. In swamp buffalo, the 7 samples from each native buffalo were placed in different haplotypes, except for the TC group (Table 3). Results were similar in Thai buffaloes, while 5 samples of Murrah buffaloes were placed in three haplotypes. Shaari et al. (2021) found that Murrah buffaloes showed highly potential for variation since all 4 individual samples, which were collected from the same farm, were separated in different sub-clades. In contrast, swamp and crossbred buffaloes showed less diversity as compared to those in Murrah breeds. Borghese and Mazzi (2005) stated that Murrah buffaloes possibly originated from diverse sources after introductions from the subcontinent of India during the last century. Meanwhile, the swamp buffaloes are reported as an indigenous breed not only to Malaysia but also in many countries of Southeast Asia with less imported exotic breeds (Lau et al., 1998; Yue et al., 2013; Shaari et al., 2021). In the current study, sampled Murrah buffaloes were imported from India and reared at RRDC for a prolonged time without any genetic improvement.

Villamor et al. (2021) analyzed 107 sequences from the Philippine Carabao and the results showed that average haplotype and nucleotide diversities in 23 populations of Philippine Carabao were 0.695 and 0.004, respectively. The result in current study indicates that Vietnamese native buffaloes have higher genetic variation than other Asian swamp buffaloes.

GENETIC DISTANCE AND PHYLOGENY ANALYSIS

As shown in Table 5, genetic distances were smaller (ranging 0.050-0.056) in among swamp buffalo populations than between Murrah and swamp buffalo populations (ranging 0.091-0.125). Among the Vietnamese native buffalo groups, genetic distances between Bao Yen and LangBiang (0.05) populations were smaller than between Thanh Chuong and Bao Yen or LangBiang (0.054).

The phylogenetic tree in Figure 3 showed that Murrah and swamp buffaloes, including Thai buffalos, formed two clusters, indicating clear maternal divergence. The phylogenetic tree revealed Murrah closer to Indian and Italian buffaloes. By contrast, swamp buffaloes developed into one clade and then separated into two clusters. One cluster consisting of 5 individuals (BY; LB1; LB4; TC3; TC5) was closely related to Chinese swamp buffaloes, while the other consisting of mostly Vietnamese and imported Thai buffaloes was closely related to Thai (KU687004.1) and Philippine (FJ873678.1) swamp buffaloes. Raungprim et al. (2021) analyzed the nucleotide sequence in D-loop of Thai native buffaloes and reported that they segregated into two maternal lineages (A and B), and predominant of lineage A was found. Similarity, Villamor et al. (2021) analyzed the phylogeny of Philippine Carabao buffaloes the results showed that more than 97% of sampled Philippine Cacabao buffaloes belong to maternal lineage A. Beside this, the authors also stated that the current strategic conservation (in situ and ex situ) and management of swamp buffalo genetic resources are still limited to haplotypes belonged to maternal lineage A, and newly detected individuals from maternal lineage B would be highly considered for new strategic conservation and management program (Villamor et al., 2021)

No general agreement exists on the timing and placement of domestication and migration of buffaloes, especially regarding swamp buffaloes. Scant information is available regarding the historical distribution of swamp buffalo in Asia (Barker et al., 1991; Tulloh and Holmes, 1992). River buffalo domestication most likely existed around 6,300 years ago around of Indian subcontinent (Kumar et al., 2007; Nagarajan et al., 2015), whereas swamp buffaloes were domesticated in Thailand and then dispersed Southwest to Indonesia, and North to Central and Eastern China (Sari et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017; Colli et al., 2018), possibly further spreading to the Philippine islands through Taiwan (Zhang et al., 2011). However, analysis of the whole mitogenome suggests that buffalo domestication in Southeast Asia occurred in around of Indochina border region among Vietnam, Laos and China frontier area (Zhang et al., 2011, 2016; Wang et al., 2017). Additional research is required to determine the relationship and position of Vietnamese native buffaloes against other Asian origins.

 Table 1: Distribution of mtDNA D-loop nucleotide composition for each breed and in population based on partialy mtDNA D-loop sequence examed

Buffalo type	Ν	Percentage (%)													
		Α	Т	G	С	A+T	G+C								
TC	7	32.76	26.86	14.55	25.83	59.62	40.38								
BY	7	32.65	26.59	14.84	25.92	59.24	40.76								
LB	7	32.65	26.59	14.62	26.14	59.24	40.76								
Т	5	32.08	26.36	15.19	26.37	58.44	41.56								
М	5	32.94	27.82	14.12	25.12	60.76	39.24								
Average		32.63	26.81	14.76	25.89	59.44	40.56								

TC: Thanh Chuong; BY: Bao Yen; LB: LangBiang; T: Thailand; M: Murrah

Table 2: The parsimony and singleton informative sites, substitution and variable sites based on partialy mtDNA D-loop sequence examed

Items		Number	Substitution	Variable sites
Two variants	Singleton	4	C/T	535
			G/A	273; 504
			A/G	419
	Parsimony	88	C/T	39; 55; 82; 91; 112; 139; 168; 170; 199; 210; 239; 320; 366; 401; 465; 495; 499
			T/C	5; 47; 150; 162; 164; 271; 300; 326; 339; 340; 343; 361; 362; 427; 449; 467; 529
			G/A	105; 114; 138; 146; 147; 161; 213; 247; 256; 308; 354; 369; 415; 416; 461; 521
			A/G	94; 132; 149; 182; 265; 292; 301; 305; 321; 338; 344; 382; 483
			G/C	393; 524
			C/G	16; 507
			A/T	228; 299
			T/A	3; 26; 284
			A/C	21; 77; 126; 133; 270; 509; 514
			C/A	61; 234; 289; 349; 481
			T/G	207; 396; 453
			G/T	142
Three variants	Singleton	0		
	Parsimony	3	T/G/A	192
			T/C/A	522
			A/T/C	450
Insertion		8	G	185; 186; 189
			С	187; 188; 468
			G/A	184
			A/G/T	482
Deletion		5	Т	177
			С	194
			A/G/Del A	183; 485
			C/T/Del C	190

Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences

Table 3: Values of number of haplotypes, haplotypes diversity (Hd), nucleotide diversity (π) for each breed and population

Buffalo type	Samples	Number of haplotypes	Diversity index (mean ±SI	E)
			Haplotype (Hd)	Nucleotide (π)
TC	7	6	0.952±0.00912	0.05619 ± 0.0000755
BY	7	7	1.000±0.00583	0.04671 ± 0.0000823
LB	7	7	1.000±0.00583	0.05372 ± 0.0000771
Т	5	5	1.000±0.01600	0.01683±0.0000088
М	5	3	0.800±0.02688	0.00191±0.0000003
Total/Average	31	26	0.987±0.00015	0.06267 ± 0.0000244

TC: Thanh Chuong; BY: Bao Yen; LB: LangBiang; T: Thailand; M: Murrah

Table 4: Distribution of haplotypes and haplotype shared in population examed

Haplotype (H)	Number	Individual buffaloes
H-1	2	TC1, BY1
H-2	1	TC2
H-3	3	TC3, TC5, LB4
H-4	1	TC4
H-5	1	TC6
H-6	1	TC7
H-7	1	BY2
H-8	1	BY3
H-9	1	BY5
H-10	1	BY6
H-11	1	BY7
H-12	1	BY8
H-13	1	LB1
H-14	1	LB2
H-15	1	LB6
H-16	1	LB7
H-17	1	LB9
H-18	1	LB10
H-19	1	T1
H-20	1	Т6
H-21	1	Т8
H-22	1	Т9
H-23	1	T10
H-24	2	M1, M2
H-25	1	M3
H-26	2	M4, M5

TC: Thanh Chuong; BY: Bao Yen; LB: LangBiang; T: Thailand; M: Murrah

Table 5: Genetic distance among buffalo subpopulations

	TC	BY	LB	Т	Μ	
TC	0.062	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.017	
BY	0.054	0.050	0.008	0.009	0.019	
LB	0.054	0.050	0.058	0.008	0.017	
Т	0.055	0.055	0.056	0.017	0.016	

Μ

0.111 0.125 0.113 0.091 0.003 Values above the diagonal show the margin of error of genetic distance, with genetic distance values below the diagonal. Values in

the diagonal are genetic distance within breeds. TC: Thanh Chuong; BY: Bao Yen; LB: LangBiang; T: Thailand; M: Murrah buffalo.



Figure 1: Sampling locations and pictures of the five studied buffalo populations. BY: Bao Yen; TC: Thanh Chuong; LB: LangBiang; M: Murrah; T: Thailand. RRDC: Ruminant Research and Development Center.

						-		-						_	_	_					-	-									_	_	_	_				-			-				-			
based on	1.6	- 6		8.1	6 6	6		÷.	÷.	÷ .	6.1	6.1	6.6	1			. 6	- 6	÷.	÷.,	÷.	6.6	- 6	÷.	÷ 1	6 6		. 6		÷							÷.	÷.,	÷ .	÷.,	÷.	÷.,	÷.	6	÷.,	ê i	6 6	5 5
GenBank	1	4		4	1 1	1		1	5	5	5 1	5 1	5 5	5 5	5 5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5 5	5	5	5	5 5	5	. 5		6							5	4	5.1	5.	÷.	6	5	5	6	5 1	5 5	5 5
AV4004511	1	- 1	-			i i	- 2	÷.		÷	6	5	1			1	- 2	- 2	-	4	6		- 6		÷.,			ě		2						- 2	÷.	÷	5	ő	÷	÷.	÷.,	÷.	1	÷ .	1	1.1
Sequenced	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 1	1 1			1	1	1	1	1	1	1 1	1	1	1	1 1	1	1	1	1	1	1 1		1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2 3	2 2	2 2
position			1	- S - J	: :	: :	2		4		7 3	2 1			1	1	3	2	2	2	:	: :	2	0	-		1 1	4		•		: :				2	3	2	2	2	1	÷.	÷.	2	2	: :	5 5	1
	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-					-		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	÷			÷	÷	-	-			-	-	-	÷	-		÷	-	-	-	-				
TCA	-	-	8	~					~	<u>.</u>		<u> </u>				- 2		-	¥-				-	*	÷ .	. 1		-		-	-	-	_	-	-	-	*	<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>	<u> </u>	¥-		~	<u>.</u>	¥			-
TG2		ē	o.		1	ē					÷ i	õ.			2	ä		~	÷		A .	Α.	ē	~	ā.	G T			ō.	a .	ο.						ä.				÷				÷.		A 6	5
TCA		c	G			c					- 3	6				0			т		Α.	۸.	c		c				G	21	A 1	n -							т						τ.	A .	۸.,	
TC4	•	c	a	c		c		^	C	τ.	1 1	G (۰.				c	1	1	τ.	<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>	c	^	C I	C 1			0	0	2.1	8 4	•				<u>^</u>		2		7		τ.	^	1	2.5	<u>^</u>	3 C
105			0		1		÷.		÷	43	4.3		2.3				÷	- 2	÷.	÷ 1	2.1	2	6		2					è .	2						÷.		۰.	à	÷				÷.,	<u> </u>	2.2	1
TC7	L A	c	6	c .	A 1	č		Α.	č	÷.	÷ i	6 1	Α.		6	6	c	Ä	÷	÷.	Ä .	Α	č	A	c i	c t	τ÷		G	6	6	6 6	5				õ.				÷.		τ.	Ã.	÷		2.3	5 c
81/1		C	G			C						6			۰.	0			т		Α.	Α.	C	۸	C	C T			G		-					-	G				т				т		A C	3 .
8V2		0	0	0	1.1	9	7			т	1 1	<u>s</u>	- 3	1		. 6	0		T		ð	Α -	0		9	9 7	1			0	9.1	6 6					9			9	Τ.			•	Τ.		6.5	2 0
8773		0	0			0					1.2	G .				: 0		-	÷		<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>	C C	~	0	C 1			0	8	8 1		: :		à		0		÷	•	÷.				÷.	2.5	<u> </u>	, c
8008					1.16			÷.,	è.	÷.	÷ 3	ä .	2.5				i i	- 2	÷	÷ .	20	2	10	÷.	8.3	i i	÷÷			ă (ă (1		~		÷.		S 1	÷.	÷ .	÷.	÷.	÷.	÷ .	9.0	2.2	
877	À.	c	0	ċ.	A 1	c	Ŧ			Ŧ.	7 4	6				0	c		Ť	Ť.	A .	Α.	c	A	ē i	c t	Ť		6	0	6 1	3 0	ς				6		T.		÷.				τ.		A C	5
BYB		C	G		. 1	c	т		C	т	т (σ.	. 1	r 9	4 0	: 0	c	A	т		Α.	Α.	С	A	c	C T	T	-	6	0	σ.	5 0	5 -	•	-	-	G			G	т				т	. 1	A C	3 .
LOI		c	9		1.12	e					: 1	9				a		^	7		<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>	c		9	2 2			a	21	a .	a .					۵.		т		2.1				1	^ ·	<u>^</u>	
104		8	8		1	6					1.5	8			1	0		-	÷.		2	2	6	^	8	c ,			8	0	8	8 3			12	- 51	0		÷.		1.				÷.,	1.1	2	
100	- i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	č		é .	i i	č		à.	é.		4.3	ă i						2	÷	÷.	2	20	G		ä :	Ġ Ť	÷ 4		ä	à			1	1.0	- 2	- 21	á.			à l	÷		÷.		÷		2.2	à à
L87		c	G		. 1	c	Ť.		C		τ.	6			4 0	: 0	c		т		Α.	κ.	c		C ·	C T		-	6	0	6 1	5 6	s -			-	G				т				т		A (5
LBB		c	0		. 1	C	τ		C	τ	τ 4	6	. 3		4 G	: 0	c		т		Α .	Α.	C		C	C T	Ť		6	6	6	5 6	s -				0			0	т				т	11.1	A C	C
1.810		0	0	•	5.7	0			•	× .	1.1	o 1	• •			0		1	2	τ.	<u>^</u>	<u>.</u>	0	^	8 1	9 1	1	-	0	2 1	2 3		• •				2			0	5	2	Υ.	^	5		2.5	0
n		e c	0			0					1.5	<u> </u>		1	2.1	0		÷.	1		<u>.</u>	A 0	c	1	0	с <u>т</u>			0	8	8 1		5.3	5.3			0				1	^			τ.	- 1	2.5	S C
18		č	ö			õ					9.6	ő i				ŏ		~	÷		2.1	A G	č		č				ö	0	6 1										÷.	à.			÷.		23	à è
75		6	6			0					1.1	6			۱. I	6			т		Α.	A (e .	с т			6	6	a)	в.,					6				τ.				τ.		4 6	
T10		C	G			C					2.14	G .			ς	- 0			т		Α.	A C	C		C	C T			G	0	6	σ.					G				Υ	٠			т	0.4	A (s .
MI																																																
10																																			- 22	÷											2	
144																																				÷											7 3	
MS																																				π.												
						1	-													-	_					-	215		~	-	_	_	-	_		_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	~		
D-loop position based on	1	1	1	1 1	-	1	1	1		-	1	1	1	1	-	- 0	- 0	1	1	1		10	- 0	1.0	1	1	- 0	- 0	- 0	1			- 0	2	- 0		1	1	1	1	- 0	- 0	-	1	1	1		1
D-loop position based on GenDark			0 1	1 0 7	107	107	107	57	0 0	- 0 7	- 0.7	107	107	107	107	- 0 7	- 0 7	107	107	5 5	- 0 -	100	- 0 0				- 0 0	- 0 0	- 0 0				- 0 0		- 0 0		- 0.0			10.9	- 0 0		- 0.0	- 0.0	1 0 9	0 1		
D-loop position based on GenDark accession no.			10707	10710		- 07.20	107.04	15720	1 5 7 6 5	- 07.4	10740	10761	10760	10760	10766	10767	10770	10777	1078	5786	- 0 - 0 0		- 0 0 - 0					- 0 0 0 -	-0070											10920				10934				-0.000
D-loop position based on GeeDark accession no. Av4054311 Seguenced	106942	106962	107072	1 1 0 7 1 0 7 1 0 7 1 0 2 2		157293	157243	57203	1 0 7 7 4 5 5 5	- 0 7 4 4 5	107403	107613	1 5 7 6 2 3	157633	107663	107673	1 0 7 7 2 3	1 5 7 7 7 3	1 0 7 8 4 3	5 7 1 5 7 8 5 3 3 3	07.00	100053		1 5 8 1 9 3	0 0 0 0 0	5 0 0 0		- 0 0 0 0 4	100724	1 0 0 7 0 4			100904		109004	4		10004	1	109204					10942	10343		
D-loop position based on GeeDark accession no. Availabit Sequenced position			1070720	1071029		1072030	10724 30	1572930	1 0 7 4 0 3 2	- 07 4 4 5 1	1074932	1076133	1 3 7 6 7 3 3 5	1076734	1076634	1076734	1077034	1077736	1 5784 30	1 5 7 8 5 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	070030	1000530		1 0 0 1 0 3 9	0.02440	1		- 0 0 0 0 4 11		1007040				4 0		1		1 5 3 0 4 4 8	1001640			-001000		1092651	1 0 9 4 2 6 2	0 0 4 7 5 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
D-loop position based on GeeDark accession no. Ary4094311 Sequenced position analysis	10034271	15636273	10707204	1 0716292		10720300	15724 301	1 7 2 0 3 0 1	1 0 7 4 0 3 2 0	10744	10740320	15761338	1 5762339	10787340	-0766343	10767 344	10770349	15777354	1 5 7 8 4 3 0 1	3 2 6	0792309	10005382	10010385	1 5 8 1 9 3 9 6	1002440	1 0 0 0 0 4 1 0		-0000444		1 0 0 7 0 4 6 0			10090407	4 0 8	10900401	4 8 2	1 5 5 0 4 4 8 3	10004405	1 0 9 1 4 9 5	10920499	10925594	10920507	10000000	10926514	10942821	0 0 4 0 6 2 2	10040024	
D-loop position based on GeeDark accession no. Averaged position analysis Averaged position analysis	15694271	1 5 6 9 6 2 7 3 8	1 0 7 0 7 2 0 4 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1	1 0 7 1 5 2 9 2 A		15727390 10	1 572 A 301 A	1 5 7 2 9 3 0 5 A	1 1 5 5 7 7 3 4 1 3 3 3 9 2 8 0 8 0 8 0	10744321 A	10749328 10	15761338 40	1 5762 339 T	10787340 ¥	10766343 T	10767 344 40	1 0 7 7 2 3 4 9 C	15777354 G	10784 301 T	1 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	1 3 7 1 3 1 3 9 1 3 9	1 5 8 8 5 3 8 2 4 0	1 0 0 1 0 3 9 3 G	1 5 8 1 9 3 9 6 T				1 0 0 0 0 4 2 7 T	10072449 10	1 0 0 7 0 4 6 0 0 A			1 0 0 9 0 4 0 7 T	4 0 8	15902481 C	4 8 2 .	15904483 4	1 5 2 0 4 4 8 5 4		1 0 9 2 0 4 9 9 C	10925594	10920597 C		10936514	1 0 9 4 2 5 2 1 0	5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 2 1 7 1	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	
D-loop position based on GeeDark accession no. Av4834311 Sequenced position analgsis Av482451.1 TC1 TC1	1 5 6 5 4 2 7 1 ¥ C C	1 5 6 9 6 2 7 3 G A 6	1 7 0 7 2 6 4	1 1 0 7 1 5 2 9 2 A		10720300 F C U	1 5 7 2 4 3 0 1 A	5 7 2 3 3 5 4 6	1 1 5 5 7 7 3 4 1 3 3 3 9 2 8 0 9 C		5749 328 TO 0	1 57 61 338 4 0 0	1 5 7 6 2 3 3 9 T		10766 343 FC 0	- 0767 344 400	1 0 7 7 2 3 • 9 C	15777 354 GA	10784 301 TCG	1 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	309		1 0 0 1 0 3 9 3 0 0	1 5 8 1 9 3 9 6 T	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		10050427	- 0 07 0 4 4 9 + O U	5 87 0 4 5 0 0 T			1 0 0 9 0 4 0 7 F 0 0	4 0 8	1 5 9 0 2 4 6 1 C	4 8 2	15904 483 4			1 5 9 2 0 4 9 9 C	10925594	1 5 5 2 0 5 9 7 C		10936514 4	1 5 9 4 2 5 2 1 G A A	5 5 5 2 2 7 6	1 5 5 5 5 2 5 1 5 5 2 4 1 5 5 5 2 4 1 5 5 5 2 4 1 5 5 5 2 4 1 5 5 5 2 4 1 5 5 5 2 4 1 5 5 5 2 4 1 5 5 5 2 4 1 5 5 5 2 4 1 5 5 5 2 4 1 5 5 5 2 4 1 5 5 5 2 4 1 5 5 5 5 2 4 1 5 5 5 5 2 4 1 5 5 5 5 2 4 1 5 5 5 2 4 1 5 5 5 2 4 1 5 5 5 2 4 1 5 5 5 2 4 1 5 5 5 2 4 1 5 5 5 2 4 1 5 5 5 2 4 1 5 5 5 2 4 1 5 5 5 2 4 1 5 5 5 2 4 1 5 5 5 2 4 1 5 5 5 2 4 1 5 5 5 5 2 4 1 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
D-loop position based on GereBark accession no. Avesses accession acce	1 5 6 9 4 2 7 1 ¥ C C .	1 5 6 9 6 2 7 3 G A A A	1 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	1 1 0 7 1 5 2 9 2 A		1 0 7 2 7 3 9 0 ¥ C C C	15724 301 A	5 7 2 9 3 0 5 4 0 5	1 1 5 5 7 7 3 4 1 5 8 0 8 0 8 0 7 T		5749326 TCCC	15761 338 4000	1 5 7 6 2 3 3 9 T	1 57 57 5 4 0 ¥ C C C	- 0766343 - 00.	10767 344 A G O G	1 6 7 7 2 3 4 9 C	1 5 7 7 7 3 5 4 G A A A	1 5 7 8 4 3 6 1 ¥ C C C	1 1 7 1 8 5 7 1 9			1 0 1 2 3 9 3 6	1 5 8 1 9 3 9 6 ¥			10040419 4000	1 0 0 5 0 4 2 7 T	100704+3 + 000	1 5 8 7 5 4 5 0 A T T T T			1 5 8 9 0 4 6 7 1 0 0	408	1 5 9 0 9 4 8 1 C · · ·	4 8 2				10920499 C	15925594	10920507		1 5 9 3 K 5 1 4 A	1 9 9 4 2 5 2 1 6	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		5 9 5 6 5 3 5 C
D-loop position based on GeoDark accession no. <u>AV4594511</u> Sequenced position analgsis AY458451.1 TC1 TC2 TC3 TC4	10694 271 ¥ Q U . O	1 0 6 9 6 2 7 3 G A A A A	107072264 T	1 0 7 1 5 2 9 2 A		10727300 FCCCC	1 5724 301 A	5 7 2 0 5 1 6 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1	1 1 5 5 7 7 3 4 5 5 8 0 6 C 7 7 7 7 3 5 2 5 8 0 6 C 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 3 5 2 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7		- 57 4 9 3 2 8 ¥ 0 0 0 0	1 57 E 1 3 3 8 A G 0 G G	1 5762339 T		- 07843+3 + CC . C		1 577 2 3 4 9 C · · · A	15777354 GAAAA	1 5 7 # 4 3 6 1 ¥ C C C C	1 1 7 1 8 1 5	10732305 G · A · A	- 0 0 × 2 × 0 0 · 0	1 0 8 1 4 3 9 3 G · · · C	1 5 0 1 5 3 9 6 T G	1 5 8 2 4 4 9 1 C			- 0 80 0 4 N 7 T C		1 0 0 7 0 4 6 0 7 1 T T T T T			10890407 FCC	4 0 8	10900401 C · · · A	4 8 2			1 3 4 5 7	1 5 9 2 0 4 9 9 C	1 5 9 2 5 5 9 4 6	10920507 C		1 5 9 3 5 5 1 4 A C	10942521 8	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
D-loop position based on Gerblack alcession no. <u>AV4854511</u> Sequenced position analptis TC1 TC2 TC3 TC4 TC4 TC5 TC4	1 0 0 0 1 1 7 1 1 0 0 . C . C	1 5 6 9 6 2 7 5 G A A A A A	1070722041 Y	10715292 A G .		10720300 100000	1 5724 301 A	1 7 2 0 3 0 5 1 1 0 5 1 1 1 1	1 1 5 57 7 7 3 4 1 3 3 3 2 8 0 6 C 7 T 7 T 7 T 7 T 7 T 7 T 7 T 7 T 7 T 7 T		- 5749 328 + 00000		1 5 7 6 2 3 3 9 T		- 0. 0.0 - 8 - 8 - 8 - 8 - 6		1 577 2 3 4 9 C · · · A · 4		1 57 8 4 3 6 1 Y C C C C C C C	1 57 8 5 3 3 2 C 1 1 C 1 1		- 0 . 0 D Nen 2000-		1 5 0 1 5 3 9 0 T G				- 0 0 0 0 4 4 7 F C - C		5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			10090407 FOU	4 0 8	10909481 C ··· A ··	4 8 2		1 5 2 0 4 4 8 5 A G G	1 9 1 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1	1 5 9 2 0 4 9 9 C	10925504			10925 514 A C	10942521 8	1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
D-loop position based on GeeBark alocetrisin to Available position analysis Available TC1 TC2 TC3 TC4 TC4 TC5 TC4 TC5 TC6 TC6 TC6 TC6 TC6 TC6 TC6 TC6 TC6 TC6			10707204 T	10710209 A G		0.0000 - 000000 - 0	1 5724 3001 A	1 57 2 0 3 1 57 2 0 3 1 5 7 2 0 3 1 1 5 7 2 0 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 10 77 74 3 32 8 0 9 CT T A T A T A T T				1 5762 3 3 5 ¥ · · · · · ·		00.0.00 × 8 × 8 × 8 × 8 × 8 × 8 × 8 × 8 × 8 ×		- 077 2 3 4 9 C · · · A · A A		1 5784 301 ¥ C C C C C C C C C	1 57 8 5 3 2 5 5 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	- 07 9 0 3 0 9 G · A · A · A A	00.0.00 Nex 2000		1 5 8 1 9 3 9 6 T G . G G	1 0 0 2 4 4 0 1 C		000000000000000000000000000000000000000	- 0 0 0 4 4 7 F C . C C		1 5 8 7 7 7 4 5 0 A T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T			- 5 8 9 0 4 67 - 0 0 · · · 0	408		4012			1 9 1 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1	1 0 9 2 0 4 9 9 C	15925504	1 0 9 2 0 6 9 7 C		1 5 9 3 5 5 1 4 A C . C .	10942521 8	1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
D-loop position based on GeeDark appending Averagement position analysis Averagement position analysis Averagement position analysis CT TC2 TC3 TC3 TC4 TC5 TC5 TC5 TC5 TC5 TC5 TC5 TC5 TC5 TC5	000.0.00 = = ~ 00.0.000	1 5 6 9 6 2 7 3 G 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	10707264 T	10716292 A G			1 5724 301 A	1 572 9 3 0 5 1 5 7 2 9 3 0 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 100773 403 3208 00 TT				1 5762 3 3 5 T		000-0-00 - 000- C00		107723.9 C · · · A · A A ·		1 57 # 4 3 5 1 T C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	1 5 7 8 6 7 8 5 1 2 1 2 8 5 1 2 8 5 1 2 8 5 1 2 8 5 1 2 8 5 1 2 8 5 1 2 8 5 1 2 8 5 1 2 8 5 1 2 8 5 1 2 8 5 1 2 8 5 1 2 8 5 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1	0790305 G . A . A A	000 0 000 Nen 4000		1 5 8 1 9 3 9 6 ¥ · · · 0 · G G ·	100244010			- D D O O A NA O O O O -	0000004444	1 5 8 7 7 7 4 5 0 A T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T			- 0	4 6 8 4 · · · · · Q ·	10909481 C · · · A · A A ·			1	1 3 4 5 7 7 7	1 5 9 2 0 4 9 9 9 C	10925594 6	1 0 9 2 0 5 0 7 C	1 5 5 5 6 5 9 A G	1 5 9 3 6 5 1 4 A C . C	10342521 8	10343522 ¥ 4		
D-loop position based on Gredbark acression no. Aversation position analysis TC1 TC3 TC4 TC3 TC4 TC5 TC6 TC6 TC6 TC7 TC7 TC7 TC7 TC7 TC7 TC7 TC7	0000-0-00		10707264 Y	10716292 A		000 0000 000 000 000	1 5724 301 A	1 5 7 2 0 3 1 1 5 7 2 0 3 1 1 5 7 2 0 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 0 0 7 7 4 0 0 7 7 4 0 0 7 7 4 0 0 7 7 4 0 0 7 7 4 0 0 7 7 4 0 0 7 7 7 7	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1 5 7 E 1 3 3 8 A G O G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	1 0762 3 3 9 T		- 0700 - 0 - 0 0 - 0 - 0 0 0 0		10770 349 C · · · A · A A · A		1 9784 391 T C G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	1 57 3 5 3 5 0 C 1 1 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C		0000 · 0 · 0 0 · 0 · 0 · 0 · 0		1 5 0 1 9 3 9 0 T G . G G . G				- D D D - D	00000004 *** 0100000	1 5 8 7 5 4 6 6 7 1 T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T			1 0 0 0 4 0 7 T C C C . C C	4 6 8 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10909461 C · · · A · A A · ·	4 6 2	0.00.00. 0 A 288 4 988 1	1 5 9 0 4 4 8 5 A 0 0 · · · · · · 0 ·	1 5 1 4 5 7 7 7	1 5 9 2 0 4 9 9 9 C	1 5 9 2 8 5 0 4 G	1 5 5 2 0 5 0 7 C	15930509 A	1 5 9 3 8 5 1 4 A · · · C · C · · C	10342521 8	10343522 T		C
D-loop position Based on GeeBaak accession no. Average assignment position assignment TG1 TG2 TG3 TG3 TG3 TG3 TG3 TG3 TG4 TG5 TG3 TG3 TG3 TG3 TG3 TG3 TG3 TG3 TG3 TG3	00000 0 00 H H H H H H H H H H H H H H	15696 273 BAAAAAAAAA	15707284 Y	107102992 A		1 5727 398 ¥ C C C C C C C	1 5724 30 1 A	1 7 2 9 3 1 0 6 1 0 6	1 5774 3 3 20 G T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	1 3 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 0 0 0 0	1 574 9 326 T C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	1 57 5 1 3 3 8 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 5 7 6 2 3 3 5 T		- 5764 3 * 3 * 0 0 . 0 . 0 0 0 0 0	10767 3 • 4 C 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10772349 C · · · A · A A · A A		1 5784 3 51 ¥ C G G C U G G C C C	1 5 5 7 8 6 5 7 8 6 7 8 6 7 8 6 7 8 6 7 8 6 7 8 6 7 8 6 7 8 7 8	1 0 0 7 9 9 2 1 3 0 9 9 1 3 0 9 9 1 3 0 9 9 1 3 0 9 9 1 3 0 9 9 1 3 0 9 1 1 3 0 1 1 3 0 1 1 1 3 0 1 1 1 3 0 1 1 1 1	1 5 8 0 5 3 8 2 A 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 5 8 1 6 3 5 3 G · · · C · C C · C C	1 5 0 1 9 3 9 0 T · · · G · G G · G G				1 5 8 5 0 4 27 ¥ · · · C · C C · · ·	100704+8 H00000000000	1 5 8 7 5 4 6 6 0 A T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T			1 5 8 9 9 0 4 6 7 7 C C C C C C C	4 0 0 1 1 1 1 U 1 1 U 1 1	15900 481 C		15904 483 A 0 . 00 . 00	1 5 3 0 4 8 5 A 0 0 · · · · · · 0 · ·	1 9 1 5 7 7 7 7	1 5 9 2 0 4 9 5 C	1 0 9 2 5 5 0 4 G	1 5 9 2 0 5 9 7 C	15520509 A	1 5 5 3 5 5 1 4 A C . C		5 3 4 3 5 2 2 Y 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4		0 9 9 6 6 8 3 6 C
D loop position D loop position D loop position Average of the Average of	- 00000 - 0 - 00 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	15636273 BAAAAAAAAAAA	15707264 T A A	107122992 A		1 5727 390 FOCOCO COCCO	1 5 7 2 4 3 0 1 A	1 57 2 2 2 3 3 5 4 6 4 6 4 6 4 6 4 6 7 7 7 2 9 5 1 5 7 7 7 2 9 5 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	1 1 3 7 3 3 3 3 8 0 8 0 8 0 1 1 1 2 3 3 3 3 2 2 8 0 1 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1 57 5 1 3 3 8 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 5 7 6 2 3 3 5 T		- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		1 577 2 3 4 5 C · · · A · A A · A A ·		1 5784 3 61 ¥ C C C C C C C C C		1 3 0 9 1 1 3 0 9 1 1 3 0 9 1 1 3 0 9 1 1 1 3 0 9 1 1 1 3 0 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 5 8 0 5 3 8 2 A 0 0 . G . G G G G G . G		1 5 6 1 9 3 7 6 T G . G G				1 5 5 5 4 47 ¥ · · · C · C C · · · · C		1 0 87 0 4 60 A T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T			1 5 8 3 0 4 6 7 T C C	4 6 8 · · · · · · · · G · · · ·	15902481 C A . A A	482		1 5 3 0 4 4 8 5 A G G · · · · · · G · · · ·	1 9 1 5 7 7 7 7	1 5 9 2 0 4 9 5 C	1 0 9 2 5 5 0 4 4 G	1 5 5 2 0 5 9 7 C	15520505 A	1 0 9 3 5 5 1 4 A C . C	1 5 5 4 2 5 2 1 G A A A A A A A A A A	1 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		
D-loop position based on Gredbak historican of position addata position diversion position addata position diversion position addata position diversion position addata position diversion position diversion diver di diversion diversion d	00.0000.0.0000.00	15696 273 BAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA	15707264 Y	10710209 C A AA		1 5727 398 FOCUCE GOCCOC	1 5 7 2 4 3 0 1 A	1 57 2 2 3 3 5 6 4 6 6 7 7 2 2 2 8 3 5 7 7 2 9 5 7 7 2 9 5 5 7 7 7 2 9 5 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	1 1 7 7 7 3 3 2 8 0 8 0 7 7 3 4 3 2 8 0 8 0 7 7 7 4 7 7 7 4 7 7 7 4 7 7 7 7 7 4 7 7 7 7	1 3 3 2 1 4 4 3 2 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4		1 5 7 5 1 3 3 8 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 5 7 6 2 3 3 5 T		00.00000.0.00 + 00.00000000		1 577 2 3 4 5 C · · · A · A A · A A		1 578 4 3 9 1 T C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C		107923050 10050 10050000 10050 100000000	1 5 8 0 5 3 8 2 A 0 0 . 0 . 0 0 0 0 0 . 0 .		1 5 6 1 9 3 9 6 T 0 . 0 G . 0 G . 0 G				00						4 6 8 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	15902481 C A . A A A A	402	00.00.00.00.0	1 5 9 0 4 4 8 5 A G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	1 9 1 5 7 7 7 7 7	109204955C	1 5 9 2 5 5 9 4 G	1 0 9 2 0 5 0 7 C	1 5 9 3 0 5 9 9 A	1 5 9 3 5 5 1 4 A C . C	10942521 GAAAAAAAAAAAA	1 5 5 4 3 5 2 Z T A A G		
Discop position operation description advertision no. <u>AV4685811</u> TC1 TC3 TC3 TC3 TC3 TC3 TC3 TC3 TC3 TC3 TC3		15696273 BAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA	15707284 Y	1 5 7 1 5 2 9 9 2 A	- 5722 275		1 5 7 2 4 3 0 1 A	1 57 2 9 3 0 1 5 7 2 9 3 0 1 5 7 2 9 3 0 1 5 7 2 9 3 0 1 5 7 2 9 3 0 1 5 7 7 2 9 3 0 1 5 7 7 2 9 9 7 7 2 9 9 7 7 7 9 9 7 7 9 9 7 7 9 9 7 7 9 9 7 7 9 9 7 9	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			1 5 7 5 1 5 3 8 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 5762 339 T		000-00000-0-00 - 000-000		10772349 C · · · A · A A · A A · A A ·		1 578 4 3 5 1 ¥ C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10792305 G	1 5 8 0 5 3 8 7 A 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 0 3 9 3 G · · · C · C C · C · · ·	1 5 6 1 9 3 9 6 T 0 . G G . 0 G . 0 G G				1 5 5 5 0 4 2 7 T · · · C · C C · · · · C C ·						* 08 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	15900481 C A A A .	402	000.00.00.00.00	1 5 9 0 4 4 8 5 A G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	1 9 1 5 7 7 7 7 7 7	10920495 C	1 5 9 2 5 5 9 4 G	1 5 5 2 0 5 9 7 C	1 5 9 3 0 5 9 9 A	1 5 9 3 5 5 1 4 A C . C	1 3 3 4 2 5 2 1 6	1 5 5 4 7 5 2 2 T A A C .		
D Scop porticus based on GeroDark alteration no. AVEESSI Sequenced postnon assignis TC2 TC3 TC4 TC3 TC4 TC5 TC7 BV1 BV2 BV3 BV5 BV7 BV5 BV7 BV5 BV7 BV5 BV7 BV5 BV7		15696273 BAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA	10707284 T	1 1 5 5 7 7 1 5 2 2 9 9 2 2 9 9 2 A G A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	- 5722 275		1 5 7 2 4 3 0 1 1 A	1 57 2 9 3 0 1 5 7 2 9 3 0 1 5 7 2 9 3 0 1 5 7 2 9 3 0 1 5 7 2 9 3 0 1 5 7 7 2 9 3 0 1 5 7 7 2 9 3 0 1 5 7 7 2 9 9 1 5 9 1 5 9 1 5 9 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			1 5 7 5 1 3 3 8 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 5762 339 T		- 000-0000-0.00 - 000- 000-		10772349 C · · · A · A A · A A · · ·		1 5784 3 51 ¥ C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	T C 1	107922305 1305 1305 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	1 5 8 0 5 3 8 2 A 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 0 3 9 3 G · · · C · C C · C · C · · · ·	1 5 6 1 9 3 7 6 T 0 . G G . 0 G G .				1 5 5 5 0 4 2 7 T · · · C · C C · · · · C C · · ·					1 5 8 3 0 4 6 7 7 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	* ea	15900481 C A A A A A	482		1 5 9 0 4 4 8 5 A G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	1 9 9 1 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	109204999C	1 0 9 2 5 5 9 4 G	1 5 5 2 0 5 0 7 C	15920593 A	1 5 9 3 5 5 1 4 A C . C	1 3 3 4 2 5 2 1 6	1 5 5 4 7 5 2 2 T 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6		1 5 5 5 6 5 3 5 C
Discoperation Discoperation GerDunk accession nov. Accession nov. Pergenerat poston analysis TC2 TC2 TC3 TC4 TC4 TC4 TC5 TC6 SY1 SY1 SY1 SY2 SY2 SY2 SY2 SY2 SY2 SY2 SY2			15707264 T · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 1 5 5 7 7 7 1 5 2 2 9 9 2 2 9 9 2 0 A G A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		10720300 ¥00000 000000000000000000000000000	1 5 7 2 4 3 0 1 A	5 77 2 8 3 1 7 7 2 8 3 1 7 7 2 8 3 1 7 7 2 8 3 1 7 7 2 8 3 1 7 7 7 2 8 3 1 7 7 7 7 7 8 1 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			1 5 7 8 1 3 3 8 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10762339 T		- 000 - 00000 - 0 - 0 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0		10770349 C · · · A · A A · · A A · · ·		1 5784 301 T CCCCCCCC . CCCCCC	15 0 77 1 5 3 2 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0	10792309 13099 1000 1000	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		1 5 0 1 5 3 7 0 T 0 . G G				1 5 8 5 0 4 27 T · · · C · C C · · · · C C . · · · · · ·	- 0 0 7 0 4 4 9 F G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G					4 6 8 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	15909 481 C A A A	482		1 5 9 0 4 4 8 5 A G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	1 9 9 4 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	109204999C	10925594 G	1 5 5 2 0 5 0 7 C	15920599 A	1 5 9 3 5 5 1 4 A C . C	1 5 5 4 2 5 2 1 G	1 5 5 2 2 7 6 5 2 2 7 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6		1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Disposition Bandow Gerburk Soression no. Accession no. Accession no. Accession no. Accession no. Accession no. Accession no. Bequered pomon awabits TC2 TC2 TC2 TC2 TC2 TC2 TC2 TC2 TC2 TC2			15707264 T · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 0 7 1 5 2 9 9 2 A G A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A			1 5 7 2 4 3 0 1 A	5 77 2 8 3 5 77 2 8 3 5 77 2 8 3 5 77 2 8 3 5 77 2 8 3 5 7 7 2 8 3 5 7 7 2 8 3 5 7 7 2 8 3 5 7 7 7 2 8 3 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			1 5 7 8 1 3 3 8 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 5762 3 3 5 ¥ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		- 0- 000- 00000- 0- 00 - 0- 000 - 0- 000 - 0- 0		10770349 C · · · A · A A · · A A · · · ·		1 5784 301 T CCCCCCCCC . CCCCCCC	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10792309 0 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	- 0 . 0 . 0 0 0 0 . 0 0 . 0 . 0 . 0 . 0		1 5 8 1 5 3 7 8 T 0 . G G				1 5 8 5 0 4 27 T · · · C · C C · · · · C C · · · · 6					- 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4 6 8 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10900 481 C A A A	482 · · · A · A A · A A · A G A · · T 6	10904 463 A 0.00.00.000	1 5 3 9 4 4 8 5 A G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	1 9 9 4 4 9 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	109204 99204 995 C	1092x 594 G	1 5 5 2 0 5 9 7 C	15920509 A	1 5 9 3 8 5 1 4 A C . C		1 5 5 2 2 7 6 5 2 2 7 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6		1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Discoporations Discoporations Discoporations GereBlanka accession non- A2/2883311 Sequenced	1 5 6 9 4 27 1 1 0 0 . 0 . 0 0 0 . 0 0 0 . 0 . 0 0	15696 273 BAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA	10707284 Y	1 0 7 1 5 2 9 2 A G A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		1 0 7 2 7 3 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 5 7 2 4 3 0 1 A	5 77 2 0 3 0 0 5 0 6 0 6 0 6 0	10 10 77 7 4 3 3 3 2 0 8 C T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	A 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		1 5 7 5 1 5 5 8 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10782 558 T		00-0-0000-00000-0-00-00-00-000-000-00-0		10770349 C · · · A · A A · A A · · · · ·		1 9784 391 YCGCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC		10792309 G · A · A · A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 . 0 . 0 . 0 . 0 . 0 . 0 . 0 . 0 .	1 5 6 1 9 3 9 6 T G . G G . G G 0				1 5 8 5 0 4 27 Y C . C C C		1 5 8 7 7 4 5 0 7 T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T			100.000.000.000.000	408 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	15909 481 C A . A A A A A A	482 · · · A · A A · A A · A G A · · T A A	10904 463 A 0 . 0 0 . 0 0 0 0 0	1 5 3 9 4 4 8 5 A G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	1 9 9 4 9 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	109204 99204 995 C	1092x 594 G	1 5 5 2 0 5 9 7 C	15920509 A	1 5 9 3 8 5 1 4 A C . C		1 5 5 4 3 6 2 2 Y 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4		
Discopposition Discopposition GereBunk alcorestion no. All Selection analysis TC2 TC2 TC3 TC3 TC3 TC3 TC3 TC3 TC3 TC3 TC3 TC3	1969 271 FOU. 0.0000.000.000	15636 273 BAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA	10707284 Y	107152269 C A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		10700000000000000000000000000000000000	1 5 7 2 4 3 9 1 A	5 77 2 0 3 0 1 5 0 6 0 6 0 6 0 6 0	10 10 77 3 4 3 3 2 0 B C T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	3221 A C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C		1 5 7 5 1 5 5 8 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10782 550 T		000-0-000-00000-0-00- 0-00-		10770349 C · · · A · A A · A A · · · · · · A		1978-301 10000000000000000000000000000000000		10792305 G A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0.0.0.00.00.00.0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 5 6 1 9 3 9 6 T G . G G . G G G G				1 5 5 5 6 4 27 Y C . C C C C C C					000.0.000.000.000.000.000	4 6 8 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	15909 481 C A . A A A A A A	482 · · · A · A A · A A · A G A · · T A A A	000000.00.00.00	1 5 3 0 4 4 8 5 A O O · · · · · O O · · · · · O · · · ·	1 9 9 4 9 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	109204999C	1 5 9 2 5 5 9 4 G	1 5 5 2 0 5 0 7 C	15530 595 A	5 5 3 5 5 1 4 A · · · C · C · · C C · O C · · · · G · ·		1 5 3 4 3 5 2 2 ¥		
Disciplosition Bandion GereBank accession no. A2(2883311 Bequested) antibility TC1 TC2 TC2 TC3 TC3 TC3 TC3 TC3 TC3 TC3 TC3 TC3 TC3	1000 0 . 000 . 000 0 . 0 0		10707284 T	10715292 A GAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA			1 5 7 2 4 3 0 1 A	5 72 0 3 0 5 1 0 6 0 0 0	10 10 77 74 0 20 77 74 0 20 77 74 0 20 77 74 0 20 77 74 0 20 77 74 0 20 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77	10744321 A 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		1 8 7 8 1 3 3 8 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10762 339 Y		0000-0-000-00000-0-00- 0-00-		10772 3+9 C · · · A · A A · A A · · · · · · · · ·		1 578 3 51 FCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	5 7 3 5 3 5 6 7 7 5 5 3 5 6 7 7 5 5 3 5 6 7 7 5 5 3 5 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	10792309 G . A . A . A A A A A A A A A A A A	2020.0.0.0.00000.0.000 Nex 1000	00 0.0.0000000000000000000000000000000	1 5 6 1 9 3 9 6 T G . G G G				1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10070 + + B + CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	1 0 87 0 4 6 0 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				4 08 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	15900 481 C A A A A	482 · · · A · A A · A A · A G A · · T A A A A	0000 ··· 000 · 00 · 00 · 00 · 000	1 5 3 0 4 4 8 5 A G 0	T T T T T T T	109204999C	15925594 G	1 5 5 2 0 5 0 7 C	15930 599 A	1 5 5 3 5 5 1 4 A C . C	10942521 Q	1 5 3 4 3 5 2 2 Y A A A		
Discopportation Discopportation GereBunk alcorestion non- All defaults prostrom auklights TC1 TC2 TC3 TC3 TC3 TC3 TC3 TC3 TC3 TC3 TC3 TC3	10000.0.000.0.000.0.00		10707264 T · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10715292 A GAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA		187223888 FCCCCC CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	1 5 7 2 4 3 0 1 A G G G	5 72 0 3 0 5 7 2 0 3 0 5 7 2 0 3 0 5 7 2 0 3 0 5 7 0 5 7 2 0 5 7 2 0 5 7 7 2 0 5 7 7 0 5 7 7 0 5 7 7 0 5 7 7 0 5 7 7 0 5 7 5 7	10 10 77 4 3 3 2 0 0 0 T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	A C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C			10762 339 T		- 970000.0.0000.0000.0.00 - 8.00 - 9.00000		10772 3+8 C · · · A · A A · A A · · · · · · · · ·		1 9784 301 YCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	T C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	10792 309 G . A . A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	120220.0.0.0.00000.0.000 Nev Nosc	1 5 8 1 4 3 5 3 6 · · · C · C · · C · · · · · · C · C ·	1 5 6 1 9 3 9 6 T G . G G G				1 5 5 5 4 27 F C . C C C C C	10070 *** FOUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUU	1 0 87 0 4 6 0 A T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T				4 0 8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	15905 481 C A .A A A A		0000 000.00.00. A	1 5 3 0 6 4 8 5 A G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	1 9 9 1 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	1 5920 495 C	10925504 0	G	15930509 A	1 5 5 3 5 5 1 4 A C . C		109405222 T		
D Scopportion Description GereBurk, and service (Service) Segmented position and addition TC1 TC1 TC3 TC3 TC3 TC3 TC3 TC3 TC3 TC3 TC3 TC3	0 0000.0.000.0000.0.00 H 178 - 688		10707264 T · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 07152292 A G A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A			1 5724 391 A	5 7 2 3 5 5 6 6 6 6 6	10 77 3 5 3 5 2 0 0 C T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	A C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C			197623339 T				10777349 C · · · A · A A · A A · · · · · · A A ·		1 9784 391 YCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC		10792 309 G . A . A . A A A A A A . A . A A A A	0000000 0. 0. 0. 00000. 0. 000 Net 20000		1 5 6 1 9 3 7 6 T 0 . 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				1 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9						4 6 8 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	15903481 C A . A A	102 · · · A · A A · A G · · · T A A A A · · ·		1 5 3 0 6 4 8 5 A G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	1 9 9 1 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	1 5920 495 C	10925504 0	G	15930509 A	1 5 5 3 5 5 1 4 A C . C	10312521 6	10949522 T		
D Scopposition Disord one Gerbark, mis- Gerbark, mis- Gerbark, mis- Gerbark, mis- Gerbark, mis- Gerbark, mis- Scopposition Availability, Mis- Scopposition Availability, Mis- Scopposition Availability, Mis- Scopposition Availability, Mis- Scopposition Scopposition Availability, Mis- Scopposition Scopposi	1000 0.0000.0.0000.0.000.0.000.0.0000.0.0000.0.		10707284 T · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 5715292 A G A AA	- 5720 298		5724 391 4	5 77 2 9 3 0 1 5 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10 10 77 74 0 3 3 2 0 0 0 0 T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	10744321 A 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			1 57 5 2 3 3 5 T		0000000.0.000.0000.0000.000 . 000 .		10770349 C · · · A · A A · · A A · · · · · · · ·		1 9784 391 TCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	1578 5 3 3 5 5 7 8 5 3 5 5 7 8 5 3 5 5 7 8 5 3 5 5 7 8 5 3 5 5 7 8 5 3 5 7 8 5 7 7 8 5 7 7 8 5 7 7 8 5 7 7 7 7	10792309 G . A . A . A . A . A . A . A . A . A .	00030010.0.0.0.00003.0.00 > Net 3081		1 5 8 1 5 3 9 8 T G . G G G . G G					- 0.00000000000000000000000000000000000					408 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10900 181 C A	102 · · · A · A A · A G A · · · · · · ·		1 5 3 0 4 4 8 5 A G O · · · · · G · · · · G · · · · · · G O G G	1 9 9 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	1 59204999 C	1 5 9 2 5 5 9 4 G	1 5 5 2 9 5 9 7 C	15930595 A	1 5 9 3 5 5 1 4 A C . C	15942521 Q	100400 T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T		
Di-Loop position bearding in Gerback, in- international and in- ternational and in- ternational and in- accessing in the in- manual and in- m	1000 0 0000 0 000 0 000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		10707284 T · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10715292 A G G A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	- 5722 298		1 57 2 4 3 9 1 A	5 77 2 9 3 3 5 1 5 1 6 4 6 4 6 4 6 4 6 4 6 4 6 4 6 4 6 4 6 4	107740320 CTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT				1 57 5 2 3 3 5 ¥		00000000.0-000-0000-0000-0-000		10770349 C · · · A · A A · A A · · · · · · · · ·		1 9784 391 T CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	1578 53 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	107993309 G A A AA A AAAAA	000000000 0.0.00000 0.000		1 5 8 1 5 3 9 8 T G . G G . G G G G G G G						10870460 ATTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT				408 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	15909 481 C	102 · · · A · A A · A G A · · · · · ·		1 5 3 0 4 4 8 5 A G O · · · · · G O · · · · G O · · · · ·	1 9 1 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	1 59204999 C	10925504 G	1 5 5 2 9 5 9 7 C	15930 595 A	1 5 9 3 5 5 1 4 A C . C	10942521 G.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A	100400 T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T		
Disorgeneration D	10694 271 ¥ CC . C. CCCC . CCC . C. CCC . C		10707204 Y	107152209 C			1 5724 3 0 1 A	5772 2 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	107743320 CTT TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT				1 5762 335 T		000000000.0.000.0000.000.000. 0.00		107703+8 C · · · A · A A · A A · · · · · · · A A · · · · ·		19784301 FCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	15785 3 3 2 7 C		. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 . 0 . 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		1 5 6 1 9 3 9 6 T 0 . G 0 0					0	10070460 ATTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT				408	10909481C	482 · · · A · A A · A G A · · T A A A A · · · · ·		1 5 3 0 4 4 5 A G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	1 5 1 1 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	1 599204999 C	10925504 G	1 9 9 2 0 5 9 7 C	15930 595 A	1 5 5 3 5 5 1 4 A C . C		1004352211		
Di-Loop position bearding in Gerbark, in- ingential and in- ternational and in- ternational and in- ternational and in- many sectors in the Acceleration and international and in- many sectors in the acceleration of the acceler	10694 271 ¥ 00.0.00000.000.0.000.0.000.0.000.0.000.0.		10707204 T	107152285 C			1 5724 3 0 1 A	5772 2 3 3 9 1 5 5 1 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	1077493200 CTT TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT				1 57 52 33 5 T				10772349 C A . A A . A A		1 9784391 FCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	19785 3862 T C	10792305 G . A . A . A A A . A . A A A . A . A .			1 5 6 1 5 3 7 6 T 0 . 0 0 . 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0					100/04 *** * 00000000000000000000 *** ***					408	15900 481 C	482 · · · A · A A · A A · A G A · · · · · A A A A	0000-000-000	1 5 5 9 4 4 8 5 A G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G		109204999C	10925594 G	G	15930 509 A	19935 514 A C . C . C C . C C		100403522 T		
Discopposition Description Descripti Descripti Description Descriptio			10707204 T · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 5715292 A G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	- 5722 288		1 5724 3 0 1 A	577223 505 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60	1 0773 3 200 G C T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	107443214 A 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		1 * 7 * 1 * * * 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 57 62 5 3 5 9 T				10770 349 C · · · A · AA · AA · AA · · · · · · ·		1 578 3 5 1 Y COCCUCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC		10792309 G A A AA AAAAAA			1 5 6 1 9 3 7 6 T G						10070460 ATTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT				408	15900 481 C A . A A A A A A	482 · · · A · A · A A · A B A · · · · · · ·		1 5 5 9 4 4 8 5 A G O · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		109204999C	10928594 G	G	15930 599 A	19935 514 A C. C CC C		100403522 ¥		
Discoportion Discoportion Gereitank, Carella			10707284 T	107152209 C			6	5 7 2 2 2 3 3 3 2 9 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	107333200 GTT T TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT	10744321 4000000000000000000000000000000000000		1 5 7 5 1 5 3 5 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 57 52 3 3 5 T		0000000000000.0.000.0.000.0.000 .0.000 .0.000		10770 349 C A . A A . A A . A A		1578 301 FCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC		10792309 G A A AA AAAAA A AAAAA		1 0 0 1 0 3 9 3 G · · · C · C · C · · · · C · C · C · C	1 5 0 1 9 3 7 6 T 0 . G 0 . G 0 . G 0				1 9 8 5 0 4 2 7 T C . C C C C C C C		10872460 ATTTTTTTTTTTTTTGTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT				408 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	15500481 C	482 ···· A · A A · A A · A G A · · · · A A A A		1 5 5 0 4 4 8 5 A G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	109204999 C	10925594 6	1 9 9 2 0 5 9 7 C	15936 599 A	1 5 5 3 5 5 1 4 A C . C	10342521 GAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA	10343522 T A		

Figure 2: Sequence variation and polymorphic sites observed on the mitochondrial D-loop sequence. AY488491.1: Ref. from GenBank; TC: Thanh Chuong, BY: Bao Yen, LB: LangBiang, M: Murrah, T: Thailand buffaloes. A: Adenine; T: Thymine; G: Guanine; C: Cytosine; (.): Identity; (-): deletion



Figure 3: A dendrogram of phylogenetic tree of sampled buffaloes. TC: Thanh Chuong; BY: Bao Yen; LB: LangBiang; M: Murrah; T: Thailand. Bubalus bubalis (MT186741.1; AY488491.1; KU687004.1; FJ873678.1; AY488491.1); Bos taurus (NC006853.1).

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This study, for the first time, reports the genetic diversity of swamp buffalo in three regions of Vietnam using on mtDNA D-loop sequences. The genetic variation in Vietnamese native buffaloes is higher than other Asian swamp buffaloes. Based on phylogenetic tree, the native buffalo populations are separated into two clusters, most of them cluster in one clade and have a genetic relationship closer to Thai and Philippine swamp buffaloes. Further insights regarding the genetic diversity of Vietnamese native buffaloes will require more in-depth studies to gain more the fundamental information to create the policy and strategy for conservation and development in future.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors offer their sincere appreciation for owner's buffalo households and Ruminant Research and Development Center for donating the buffalo samples for this research.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

There is no conflict of interest with any finance sources or materials discussed in this manuscript.

Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences

open daccess NOVELTY STATEMENT

To our knowledge, this is the first study in Vietnam to report the genetic diversity of native buffalo in three representative populations based on mtDNA D-loop, the results will contribute to the scientific literatures on molecular genetic of Vietnamese native buffalo genetic resources and to provide as a useful database to develop the native buffalo conservation and development strategy

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION

All authors generally contributed to designing the experiments, read and approved the manuscript in each step. NGUYEN, N.T covered all the research, wrote and revised the manuscript. NGUYEN P.K.N and PHAN, T.H. contributed equally to the work on DNA extraction, design primer and amplification of target gene. LE, T.L. and NGUYEN, T.K.L. contributed the work equally on sequence analysis. HOANG, T.T., PHAM, C.T. and NGUYEN C.D. shared the work equally for sample collection. DUONG, N.K. contributed to evaluating the manuscript and checking the plagiarism.

REFERENCES

- Babar ME, Hussain T, Imran M, Nagarajan M, and Kumar S (2011). Mitochondrial DNA diversity patterns in Pakistani buffalo. Anim. Genet., 43:315–317.
- Barker JSF, Moore SS, Hetzel DJS, Evans D, Tan SG and Byrne K (1997). Genetic diversity of Asian water buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*): Microsatellite variation and a comparison with protein coding loci. Anim Genet; 28(2):103-115. https:// doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1365-2052.1997.00085.x.
- Borghese A, Mazzi M (2005). Buffalo population and strategies in the world. In: Buffalo production and research. Rome: FAO; http://www.fao.org/docrep/010/ah847e/ah847e00. htm
- Chen SY, Su YH, Wu SF, Sha T, and Zhang YP (2005). Mitochondrial diversity and phylogeographic structure of Chinese domestic goats. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol., 37(3): 804-814.
- Cockrill WR (1981). The water buffalo: a review. Br Vet J; 137(1):8-16. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0007-1935(17)31782-7
- Colli L, Milanesi M, Vajana E, Iamartino D, Bomba L, Puglisi F, Del Corvo M, Nicolazzi EL, Ahmed SSE, Herrera JRV, et al (2018). New Insights on Water Buffalo Genomic Diversity and Post-Domestication Migration Routes From Medium Density SNP Chip Data. Front. Genet. 9:53. https://doi. org/10.3389/fgene.2018.00053
- Ganbold O, Lee SH, Paek WK, Munkhbayar M, Seo DW, Manjula P, Khujuu T, Purevee E, and Lee JH (2020). Mitochondrial DNA variation and phylogeography of native Mongolian goats. Asian-Austral. J. Anim. Sci., 33(6): 902-912
- Guo JL, Du X, Ma YH, Guan WJ, Li HB, Zhao QJ, Li X, and Rao SQ (2005). A novel maternal lineage revealed in sheep (*Ovis aries*). Anim. Genet., 36(4): 331-336.

- Hassan AAM, Balabel EA, Oraby HAS, and Darwish SA (2018). Buffalo species identification and delineation using genetic barcoding markers. J. Genet. Eng. Biotechnol. 16(2): 499-505. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgeb.2018.07.006
- Kierstein G, Vallinoto M, Silva A, Schneider MP, Iannuzzi L, and Brenig B (2004). Analysis of mitochondrial D-loop region casts new light on domestic Water buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) phylogeny. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 30(2): 308-324. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1055-7903(03)00221-5.
- Kumar S, Nagarajan M, Sandhu JS, Kumar N, Behl V, and Nishanth G (2007). Mitochondrial DNA analyses of Indian water buffalo support a distinct genetic origin of river and swamp buffalo. Anim. Genet. 38(3):227-232. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2052.2007.01602.x.
- Lai SJ, Liu YP, Liu YX, Li XW, and Yao YG (2006). Genetic diversity and origin of Chinese cattle revealed by mtDNA D-loop sequences variation. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol., 38(1): 146-154.
- Lau CH, Drinkwater RD, Yusoff K, Tan SG, Hetzel DJS, and Barker JS (1998). Genetic diversity of Asian water buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*): Mitochondrial DNA D-loop and cytochrome b sequence variation. Anim. Genet., 29(4):253-264. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2052.1998.00309.x
- Lei CZ, Zhang CM, Weining S, Campana MG, Bower MA, Zhang XM, Liu L, Lan XY, and Chen H (2011). Genetic diversity of mitochondrial cytochrome b gene in Chinese native buffalo. Anim Genet. 42(4): 432-436. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2052.2011.02174.x
- Lei CZ, Zhang W, Chen H, Lu F, Ge QL, Liu RY, Dang RH, Yao YY, Yao LB, Lu ZF, and Zhao ZL (2007a). Two Maternal Lineages Revealed by Mitochondrial DNA D-loop Sequences in Chinese Native Water Buffaloes (*Bubalus bubalis*). Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci. 20(4): 471-476. https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.2007.471
- Lei CZ, Zhang W, Chen H, Lu F, Liu RY, Yang XY, Zhang HC, Liu ZG, Yao LB, Lu ZF, and Zhao ZL (2007b). Independent maternal origin of Chinese swamp buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*). Anim. Genet. 38(2): 97-102. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2052.2007.01567.x.
- Liu ZG, Lei CZ, Luo J, Ding C, Chen GH, Chan H, Wang KH, Liu XX, Zhang XY, Xiao XJ, and Wu SL (2004). Genetic variability of mtDNA sequences in Chinese native chicken breeds. Asian-Austral. J. Anim., 17(7): 903-909.
- Martin-Burriel I, Garcia-Muro E, and Zaragoza P (1999). Genetic diversity analysis of six Spanish native cattle breeds using micro-satellites. Anim Genet. 30(3): 177-182. https:// doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2052.1999.00437.x.
- Moore SS, Evans D, Byrne K, , Barker JSF, Tan SG, Vankan D, and Hetzel DJS (1995). A set of polymorphic DNA microsatellites useful in swamp and river buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*). Anim. Genet. 26(5):355-359
- Nagarajan M, Nimisha K, and Kumar S (2015). Mitochondrial DNA variability of domestic river buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) populations: genetic evidence for domestication of river buffalo in Indian subcontinent. Genome Biol. Evol. 7(5):1252-1259. https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evv067
- Navani N, Jain PK, Gupta S, Sisodia B, and Kumar S (2001). A set of cattle micro-satellite DNA markers for genome analysis of riverine buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*). Anim. Genet. 33:149-154. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2052.2002.00823.x
- Nguyen NT, Tram MT, Pham TT, Le TL, Nguyen TKL, Hoang TT, Pham CT, Duong NK (2022). Genetic divergence of local goats in Ninh thuan Province Vietnam. Adv. Anim.

Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences

OPEN OACCESS

Vet. Sci. 10(8): 1761-1768

- Parma P, Marta EP, Feligini M, Greppi G, and Enne G (2004). Water Buffalo (Bubalus bubalis): Complete Nucleotide Mitochondrial Genome Sequence. DNA Sequence, 15 (5/6): 369–373.
- Raungprim T, Nachai Sarataphan N, Majarune S, Rattanatabtimtong S, Yungrahang S, and Maitreejet W (2021). Comparison of morphological characteristics and maternal genetic lineages in Thai dwarf and swamp buffaloes (*Bubalus b. Carabanensis*). Buffalo bulletin, 40(1): 57-70.
- Ruihua Z, Ping J, Chuanbo S, Deyong S, Feng Z, and Chao H (2018). The analysis of genetic variation in the mitochondrial genome and its application for the identification of Papilio species. Mitochondrial DNA Part B. 3(2): 687-690. https:// doi.org/10.1080/23802359.2018.1481776
- Sari EM, Abdullah MAN, and Yunus M (2014). Phylogenetic analysis of simeulue buffalo breed of Indonesian through mitochondrial D-Loop region *Proc. The 16th AAAP Congress* (Yogyakarta: UGM).
- Sayres MAW (2018). Genetic diversity on the sex chromosomes. Genome Biol. Evol. 10(4): 1064-1078. https://doi. org/10.1093/gbe/evy039
- Shaari N, Jaoi-Edward M, Loo SS, Salisi MS, Yusoff R, Ghani AB, Saad MZ, and Ahmad H (2019). Karyotypic and mtDNA based characterization of Malaysian water buffalo. BMC Genet. 20(1): 37. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12863-019-0741-0
- Sharma H, Singh A, Sharma C, Jain SK, and Singh N (2005). Mutations in the mitochondrial DNA D-loop region are frequent in cervical cancer. Cancer Cell Int., 5:34. https:// doi.org/10.1186/1475-2867-5-34
- Suhardi S, Summpunn P, and Wuthisuthimethavee S (2021). mtDNA D-loop sequence analysis of Kalang, Krayan, and Thale Noi buffaloes (*Bubalus bubalis*) in Indonesia and Thailand reveal genetic diversity. J. Indo Trop. Anim. Agric. 46(2): 93-105. https://doi.org/10.14710/jitaa.46.2.93-105
- Tulloh NM, Holmes JHG (1992). Buffalo Production. Elsevier Publication, London, UK.
- Villamor LP, Takahashi Y, Nomura K, and Amano T (2021). Genetic Diversity of Philippine Carabao (*Bubalus bubalis*) using Mitochondrial DNA D-loop Variation: Implications to Conservation and Management. Philipp J. Sci. 150 (3): 837-846.
- Wang S, Chen N, Capodiferro MR, Zhang T, Lancioni H, Zhang H, Miao Y, Chanthakhoun V, Wanapat M, Yindee M, Zhang Y, Lu H, Caporali L, Dang R, Huang Y, Lan X, Plath M, Chen H, Lenstra JA, Achilli A, and Lei C (2017).

Whole mitogenomes reveal the history of swamp buffalo: initially shaped by glacial periods and eventually modelled by domestication. Scient. Rep. 7(4708):1-8. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41598-017-04830-2

- Winaya A, Sukri A, Gofur A, and Amin M (2019). The genetic divergence and phylogenetic relationship of Indonesia swamp buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) based on partial sequences of cytochrome b gene of mitochondrial DNA. Int. J. Eng. Technol. 8(1.9): 96-100.
- Yacoub HA, and Fathi MM (2013). Phylogenetic analysis using D-loop marker of mtDNA of Saudi native chicken strains. Mitochondrial DNA. 24(5): 538-551. https://doi.org/10.31 09/19401736.2013.770494
- Youssef NA, Curaudeau M, El Nahas SM, Hassan AAM, and Hassanin A (2021). Haplotype diversity in the mitochondrial genome of the Egyptian river buffalo (Bubalus bubalis), Mitochondrial DNA Part B. 6(1): 145-147. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/23802359.2020.1852622
- Yue XP, Li R, Xie WM, Xu P, Chang TC, Liu L, Cheng F, Zhang RF, Lan XY, Chen H, Lei CZ (2013). Phylogeography and domestication of Chinese swamp buffalo. PLoS One, 8(2):e56552. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0056552
- Yusnizar Y, Wilbe M, Herlino AO, Sumantri C, Noor RR, Boediono A, Andersson L, and Andersson G (2015). Microphthalmia-associated transcription factor mutations are associated with white-spotted coat color in swamp buffalo. Anim. Genet. 46(6): 676-682. https://doi: 10.1111/ age.12334
- Zhang Y, Lu Y, Yindee M, Li KY, Kuo HY, Ju YT, Ye SH, Omar Faruque M, Li Q, Wang YC, Vu CC, Doan PL, et al. (2016). Strong and stable geographic differentiation of swamp buffalo maternal and paternal lineages indicates domestication in the China/Indochina border region. Mol. Ecol. 25:1530-1550. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13518. Epub 2016 Mar 17.
- Zhang Y, Sun D, Yu Y, and Zhang Y (2007). Genetic diversity and differentiation of Chinese domestic buffalo based on 30 microsatellite markers: genetic diversity and differentiation of buffalo. Anim. Genet. 38: 569-575. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2052.2007.01648.x
- Zhang Y, Vankan D, Zhang Y, and Barker JSF (2011). Genetic differentiation of water buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) populations in China, Nepal and south-east Asia: inferences on the region of domestication of the swamp buffalo. Anim. Genet. 42: 366-377. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2052.2010.02166.x'