http://dx.doi.org/10.18681/pjn.v38.i01.p67-80

Control of root-knot nematodes using wild plants colonized Sinai, Egypt

A. S. M. El-Nuby^{1†}, S. A. Montasser² and I. A. El-Khadrawy¹

¹Plant Protection Department, Desert Research Center ²Zoology and Nematology Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Al Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt

[†]Corresponding author: ahmedelnuby.drc@gmail.com

Abstract

The antinematodal activity of some wild plant extracts located in North and South Sinai, Egypt was examined against root-knot nematode (RKN). The selected seven plants viz., *Artemisia judaica, A. monosperma, Bassia muricata, Cornulaca monacantha, Salsola kali* and *Zygophyllum album* with different dilutions (25%, 50%, 75% &100%). The potent nematicidal efficacy observed in the extract of *A. judaica* followed by *A. monosperma. In vivo* trial post inoculation treatments were effective than pre one, the maximum reduction in nematode population was recorded by *A. judaica* (87.0%) followed by *A. monosperma* (83.0%) then *Z. album*1 (79.0%), while the lowest suppressor was *C. monacantha* (60.4%) at stock concentration. The addition of extracts as soil drench was better than spraying them. The stock solution showed the highest reduction in nematode reproduction but the differences between 100% and 75% concentrations were non-significant. Our finding offers a non-poisonous tool that can insert in combating nematode programs especially for small-holder growers colonized Sinai Peninsula.

Key words: Plant extracts, root-knot nematodes, wild plants, Artemisia monosperma, Artemisia judaica, Bassia muricata, Cornulaca monacantha, Salsola kali, Zygophyllum album.

Plant-parasitic nematodes (PPN) represent the most highly distributed pathogens decreasing global agricultural production i.e., they occur in virtually all soil types. Among the PFN, the most economically damaging soil-borne genus is *Meloidogyne* spp. (Tylenchida: Meloidogynidae).

Root-knot nematode (RKN) is a devastating pathogen that causes tremendous losses on both field and horticultural crops worldwide, RKN being responsible for at least 90% of all damage caused by nematodes. Losses due to RKN reach up to 80% in highly infested fields, also increases the severity of soil-borne diseases (Sikora & Fernandez, 2005; Aissani *et al.*, 2015; Hussain *et al.*, 2016; Khalil & Darwesh, 2018).

Published by Pakistan Society of Nematologists Received:01 Jan, 2020 Accepted:15 May, 2020

In Egypt, numerous eco-friendly-alternative approaches including plant natural extracts, natural products of microbial origin and organic amendments were applied as nematicide alternatives by many researchers (Nasser. 2018): PGPR. salicylic acid derivatives and ascorbic acid used as biocontrol agents for suppression M. incognita (El-Nuby, 2014); Seaweeds alone or combined with nematicides used for the management RKN (Afia & El-Nuby, 2016).

Reduction in egg hatching of RKN by leaf and root extracts of *Azadirachta indica*, *Moringa oleifera*, *Lantana camara* and *Glycyrrhiza glabra* observed by Haroon *et al.*, (2018). Dried plant materials at minimum rate show promising antinematodal activity for combating *M. incognita* (Ibrahim *et al.*, 2018); Radwan *et al.*, (2019) found that macrolides-structurally related natural products of microbial origin can regulate RKN population densities and be used against nematicides.

The objectives of the present research were to: evaluate the antinematodal activity of aqueous extracts of some wild plants form Sinai Peninsula, Egypt against root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne incognita*; *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

It is also to determine the best mode (drench or spray) and time of application (pre of postinoculation) of plant extracts that achieve higher nematode suppressionalso determine the best and economic concentration that achieves maximum quelling of nematode combined with low cost.

Materials and Methods

Collection of plant material: Samples of wild plants (including entire herb, with roots, shoots and rhizosphere soil) were collected from North and South Sinai during 2016/2017. The samples were kept in paper bags to prevent from direct sunlight and heating. The plant specimens were identified and authenticated (by the Head of Plant Taxonomy Unit, Plant Ecology and Ranges Department, Desert Research Center (DRC). A voucher herbarium specimen was deposited in the herbarium of DRC (CAIH). Plants were identified to species levels according to Boulos & El-Hadidi (1994) and Boulos (2002) as presented in Table 1. Samples were processed in the laboratory as the entire herb was air-dried in shade at room temperature (30±2°C) for two weeks and ground to a fine powder in an electronic grinder.

Scientific name	Family	Arabic name	Part used
Antomisia indaina I (S)	Asteraceae	Shaha	Shoots
Artemisia judaica L. (S)	(Compositae)	Sheha	(aerial parts)
Automicia monogramma Dolila (NI)	Asteraceae	Adar	Shoots
Artemisia monosperma Dettie (N)	(Compositae)	Auer	(aerial parts)
Zugonhullum album I f (NI 8-8)	Zugophyllogogo	Dotroot	Shoots
Zygopnyuum aloum L. I. (N&S)	Zygophynaceae	Koueet	(aerial parts)
Salaala kali L (N)	Amaranthaceae	Echnone	Shoots
Saisoia kau L.(N)	(Chenopodiacea)	Esiliana	(aerial parts)
Computers monacouth a Dalila(N)	Amaranthaceae	Shool: El doob	Shoots
Cornulaca monacanina Delle(11)	(Chenopodiacea)	SHOOK EI-deed	(aerial parts)
Passia municata (\mathbf{I}) Asah inchrysinf (\mathbf{N})	Amaranthaceae	Chohooro	Shoots
Dassia muricaia (L.) Asch. inchweinj. (N)	(Chenopodiacea)	Gliobeera	(aerial parts)

Table 1. Wild plants collected from different locations in Sinai Peninsula.

N= North Sinai, S= South Sinai

Preparation of water extract: Plant extracts were prepared according to the procedure described by Al-Manhel & Niamah (2015). The powdered material (5 g) was soaked in 100 ml of distilled water in 250 ml Erlenmeyer flask. The flasks placed on a horizontal shaker and shaken (200 rpm/min for 24hrs) at room temperature ($29\pm1^{\circ}$ C). The extract (suspension) was filtered through cheese cloth, the process 68 was repeated till the aqueous extract of the plant become clear and kept at 4°C till use in the same day in bioassay, the resulted filtrate (5% w/v or 50 mg/ml.) consider stock solution concentration (SS).

Primary screening *in vitro*: Nematotoxicity of extracts concerning 21 wild plants against *M. incognita* was investigated. The nematodes

(obtained from the maintained culture of tomato cv. Castel Rock) were harvested according to the method as described by Barker (1985) in terms of second-stage juveniles (J_2) using 4 dilutions (25%, 50%, 75%, and 100%). 50 J_2 were transferred to Petri plates (5cm diam.), 2 ml of water extract of each plant was added then all plates were incubated at 27°C arranged in a completely randomized design. Each treatment was replicated four times, distilled water served as a negative control. After 24hrs the dead and life larva were stereoscopic-zoom counted under the microscope. Live and dead nematodes were recorded as well as mortality percentages were calculated [(No. dead J₂/ No. of sum live + dead J_2) x100]. The juveniles were considered dead if they remain static or immobile (paralyzed) after probing them with a fine needle (Cayrol et al., 1989; Abbasi et al., 2008). The recovery test was done by transferred the juveniles to distilled water and check them after 24 hrs.

In vivo study (Pre vs post inoculation application): Tomato seedlings (cv. Super strain-B) 4 weeks was transplanted in 15 cm diam. an earthen pot filled with steam-sterilized soil (3:1 sand- clay V: V), after ten days plants were divided to two sets: the first was inoculated with 1500 freshly hatched J_2 by pouring the nematode suspension above the roots after temporary removing the soil layer; the second set of pots received 100 ml from each plant extract. After one week the first group was drenched with different plant extracts and the other one was inoculated by *M. incognita* similar to the first group. Each treatment consisted of 4 replicates arranged in randomized blocks on clean benches of Plant Protection Department greenhouse, DRC. Plants were horticulturally treated the same and watered when needed. One month and a half later, the plants were uprooted and the growth parameters viz., root and shoot weights and lengths, and dry shoot weights were recorded. After staining the roots with acid fuchsin lactophenol stain nematode criteria including gall numbers, egg-masses numbers, developmental stages, fecundity (number of egg/egg-mass), the total number of eggs, equal mean number of eggs/egg-mass x total egg-masses/roots (after picked 10 egg masses similar in size and count them separately under stereo zoom and dissecting microscope).

The final population (FP) was calculated by gathering the galls + egg-masses + developmental stages + total number of eggs.

different In vivo study (Effect of concentrations): Four dilutions (1/4SS, 1/2SS, 3/4SS, and SS that expressed as 25, 50, 57 & 100%, respectively) were applied as soil drench after one week from inoculation. Four earthen pots were used in such treatment and contain the same soil composition as mentioned before. Also, the plant inoculated with 1500 freshly hatched J_2 of *M. incognita* from the source which established for all experiments. The same numbers of replicates were served as the negative control (nematode only in distilled water instead of plant extracts) and positive or comparable control (Vydate or Oxamyl 24% liquid) was used at the rate of 0.6% (i.e. 6 ml/liter of water).

Pots were kept on greenhouse benches in DRC, cared and irrigated as the same. After six weeks each pot was soaked in the water pan to easily remove the adhering soil particles with minimum root damage.

The impact on growth was assayed by recoding shoot and root heights and weights. Nematode parameters as gall numbers, egg-masses, developmental stages and fecundity were registered in stained roots. Total eggs/ root was counted, also the final population/ root was calculated by summation of the egg-masses + developmental stages + mature females + total eggs.

Statistical analysis: The differences between means were tested using Duncan's multiple ranged test at the 5% significance level (Duncan's, 1955).

			% Juvenile	s mortality	
No.	Plant species		Concent	trations	
		100% (S)	75%	50%	25%
1.	Artemisia judaica	100.0 a	100.0 a	96.7 a	85.7 a
2.	Artemisia monosperma 1	100.0 a	99.3 ab	96.0 ab	85.3 a
3.	Artemisia monosperma 2	100.0 a	98.0 a-d	95.3 b	85.0 a
4.	Artemisia monosperma 3	100.0 a	93.0 fg	84.0 c	68.7 e
5.	Artemisia monosperma 4	100.0 a	93.0 e-g	85.3 c	73.0 с-е
6.	Bassia muricata1	100.0 a	97.3 а-е	84.3 c	72.0 с-е
7.	Bassia muricata 2	100.0 a	92.0 fg	85.0 c	72.7 с-е
8.	Cornulaca monacantha 1	100.0 a	97.7 а-е	87.0 c	71.0 de
9.	Cornulaca monacantha 2	100.0 a	98.3 а-с	86.0 c	70.7 de
10.	Salsola kali	100.0 a	95.0 b-g	83.3 c	69.7 e
11.	Zygophyllum album 1N	100.0 a	98.0 a-d	84.0 c	78.0 b
12.	Zygophyllum album 2N	100.0 a	97.4 а-е	83.2 c	64.3 f
13.	Zygophyllum album 3N	100.0 a	86.0 h	74.0 d	71.7 с-е
14.	Zygophyllum album 4N	100.0 a	84.3 h	76.7 d	72.3 с-е
15.	Zygophyllum album 5N	100.0 a	94.0 c-g	86.3 c	76.7 bc
16.	Zygophyllum album 6N	100.0 a	91.0 g	85.3 c	69.0 e
17.	Zygophyllum album 1S	100.0 a	96.3 a-f	88.0 c	75.3 b-d
18.	Zygophyllum album 2S	100.0 a	93.7 c-g	83.3 c	73.0 с-е
19.	Zygophyllum album 3S	100.0 a	93.0 d-g	74.0 d	72.0 с-е
20.	Zygophyllum album 4S	100.0 a	94.3 c-g	86.0 c	75.3 b-d
21.	Zygophyllum album 5S	100.0 a	93.0 e-g	84.0 c	72.0 с-е
	Control (Distilled water)	0 b	0 i	0 e	0 g

Table 2.	Effect of aqueous	extracts of 21	wild plant	species on	mortality	percentages	of second
	stage juveniles of A	<i>M. incognita</i> at	different con	centration	s after 24 l	nrs exposure	time.

S= Stock solution or standard (5mg/ml); Numbers (1,2,3,...) = mean that the same plant species collected from different locations at North (N) & South (S) Sinai

In the same column numbers followed by same letter (s) are not significant different ($P \ge 0.5$) according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

Results

Based on results shown in Table (2) there were no immobile juveniles in controls with distilled water after 24 hrs. It was observed that all tested plant extracts were toxic to M. incognita juveniles in Petri dish experiments by efficacy range from 64.3-100%. The lethal effects of different extracts on *M. incognita* juveniles were observed. Mortality was concentrationdependent, *i.e.* the mortality of the nematode increased by increasing of extract was concentration. Stock solution (SS or 100%) in all tested plants achieved complete death of juveniles (J_2s) as their percentages of mortality (M %) were 100%. At 3/4SS (75%) concentration A. judaica showed the same nematicidal activity as in-stock solution (100%), followed by A. monosperma 1 (99.3%). The lowest M% (84.0 and 86.3%, consequently) was observed in extract of Z. album 3, 4- collected from different sites located in North Sinaiwithout statistical differences. At 1/2 SS (50%) concentration, A. judaica showed the highest M% (96.7%) followed by A. monosperma 1 & 2 (96.0 and 95.3%, respectively). The least effective extracts were Z. album 3N, 3S (74.0% M for both). The last concentration 1/4SS (25%) had a minor effect on the trend of lethality, so Artemisia genus was considered the best toxicant for nematode juveniles in this preliminary experiment with death percent was circled about 85%, followed by 78.0% in Z. album. Other tested genera that showed highly nematotoxic were Bassia muricata 1, Cornulaca monacantha and Salsola kali (72.0, 71.0, 69.7 M %, respectively) although they were less effective than the twogenera, Artemisia and Zygophyllum. They were selected to complete further bioassay in the lab and then in vivo to investigate their behavior in nematode-infected plants. The mortality % of J₂ affected by the lowest concentration, 1/4SS or (25%), was the criterion of selecting plants for further evaluations.

Some techniques for enhancing the extraction efficacy were tested (Heating aqueous extract

previously prepared in water bath, Microwave oven and Sonicator) for improving nematicidal activity *in vitro*. After 24 or 48hrs of exposure, cold water extraction without any assisting protocol was exhibited the highest efficacy than others, so the cold water extract was used *in vivo* experiments (data not shown). In this study, the drench application was proper and effective than foliar spray (data not shown).

Application time, pre or post-inoculation showed to be effective in the efficacy of botanical extracts and post-application was better than pre one (Table 3). Reduction in the total population of *M. incognita* was highest in post addition of *A. judaica* (87.5%) followed by *A. monosperma* (83.0%) then *Z. album* (81.3 and 77%, consequently), while the minimum reduction was observed in *S. kali* (71.4%). Pre inoculation treatment achieved the greatest reduction in total population by *A. judaica* (71.1%) and the lowest reduction (47.9%) was observed in *S. kali* pre addition.

Data from Table (4) collectively demonstrated that all tested botanical extracts have a positive impact on growth criteria of shoots and roots. Root length of A. judaica was increased in pre application similar to A. monosperma (66.67 and 60.61%, respectively) but without significant difference. Shoot lengths of all treatments were higher than controls. Generally, Artemisia plant, C. monacantha, S. kali in pre addition were not significantly varied in shoot length parameter but statistically differed as compared to control plants. Major treatments showed not significant differences between root weight values and the check treatment. Shoot fresh weights in half treatment are statistically similar to control plants, the maximum increase was registered as S. kali, Z. album and A. monosperma but these values were non significance. Dry shoot weight of all botanical extracts in both application times was similar to control from statistical view (Table 4), while the highest increase (31.15%) was observed in pre inoculation addition with A. judaica followed by A. monosperma (28.42%) at the same time of application.

Table 3. Effect of aqueous extracts of selected wild plants applied pre and post-inoculation on *Meloidogyne incognita* development and reproduction infected tomato plants.

Plants species	Time of application	No. galls /roots	R%	No. develop- mental stages/roots	R%	No. adult females /roots	R%	No. egg- masses/ roots	R%	No. eggs/ egg-mass	R%	Final population	R%
	Pre	46.3 de	45.5	43.3 def	45.8	41.7 def	44.4	41.7 cde	40.5	105.0 ef	51.9	4460.0 ef	71.1
Artemisia Juaawa	Post	30.0 g	64.7	27.7 g	65.4	28.7 hi	61.8	26.7 g	61.9	70.0 h	67.9	1923.0 h	87.5
	Pre	58.3 bc	31.4	53.3 bc	33.3	49.7 bc	33.8	50.0 bc	28.6	115.0 e	47.3	5853.0 cd	62.1
Artemista monosperma	Post	40.0 ef	52.9	36.7 efg	54.2	32.7 ghi	56.4	30.0 g	57.1	85.0 g	61.1	2619.3 gh	83.0
	Pre	65.0 b	23.5	60.0 b	25.0	55.0 b	26.7	43.3 cd	38.1	130.0 cd	40.4	5748.3 cd	62.8
bassia muricata	Post	41.0 ef	51.8	36.7 efg	54.2	32.7 c	56.4	33.3 efg	52.4	105.0 ef	51.9	3569.3 fg	76.9
	Pre	65.0 b	23.5	60.0 b	25.0	55.0 b	26.7	50.0 bc	28.6	135.0 bc	38.2	6865.0 c	55.5
Соглинаса топасанны	Post	50.7 cd	40.4	45.3 cde	43.3	40.0 defg	46.7	42.7 cd	39.0	100.0 f	54.2	4352.0 ef	71.8
Sahola bak	Pre	65.0 b	23.5	60.0 b	25.0	55.0 b	26.7	56.7 b	19.0	140.0 b	35.9	8048.3 b	47.9
Saisola kali	Post	48.3 de	43.1	43.0 def	46.3	38.3 efg	48.9	40.0 def	42.9	108.3 ef	50.4	4414.7 ef	71.4
M. multi multingation	Pre	52.0 cd	38.8	46.7 cd	41.7	43.0 cde	42.7	40.0 def	42.9	125.0 d	42.7	5089.7 de	67.0
vit muum muukudoko	Post	35.0 fg	58.8	30.0 g	62.5	26.0 i	65.3	27.0 g	61.4	105.0 ef	51.9	2891.0 gh	81.3
Zucconfuture album 5N	Pre	55.0 cd	35.3	50.0 cd	37.5	46.3 cd	38.2	39.3 def	43.8	130.0 cd	40.4	5209.7 de	66.3
vic muum muuhudogez	Post	40.0 ef	52.9	35.0 fg	56.3	35.0 i	53.3	31.7 fg	54.8	110.0 ef	49.6	3553.3 fg	77.0
Control (Water only)		85.0 a	0.0	80.0 a	0.0	75.0 a	0.0	70.0 a	0.0	218.3 a	0.0	15438.3 a	0.0
In the same column numbe Pre= 7 days Pre inoculation	rs followed by 1, Post= 7 days	common l s post inocu	etter (s) ilation. 9	are not significa %R= Reduction	nt differe	tnt (P≥ 0.5) a te	ccording	to Duncan's	Multipl	e Range Tes	tt		

72

Plant species	Time of application	Root length (cm)	I%	Shoot length (cm)	I%	Root weight (g)	1%	Shoot fresh weight (g)	1%	Shoot dry weight (g)	I%
	Pre	36.67 a	66.67	37.67 а-с	46.73	7.43 a	36.89	32.50 b	36.17	4.80 a	31.15
Artemisia Juaaica	Post	31.33 bc	42.42	36.33 а-е	41.54	5.90 a-d	8.66	29.73 c	24.58	4.07 a	11.11
	Pre	35.33 ab	60.61	40.00 a	55.82	6.97 a-d	28.30	25.53 d	6.98	4.70 a	28.42
Artemisia monosperma	Post	28.67 cd	30.30	32.00 f	24.66	6.30 a-d	16.02	25.53 d	6.98	3.77 a	2.91
	Pre	27.67 cd	25.76	34.67 b-e	35.05	7.40 a	36.28	35.90 a	50.42	4.33 a	18.40
bassia muricata	Post	22.67 e	3.03	32.33 d-f	25.96	5.60 cd	3.13	24.80 d	3.91	3.83 a	4.74
	Pre	32.33 а-с	46.97	40.33 a	57.12	7.33 ab	35.05	30.73 bc	28.77	4.03 a	10.20
Согницаса топасанина	Post	22.33 e	1.52	37.00 a-d	44.14	6.30 a-d	16.02	25.67 d	7.54	4.07 a	11.11
<i>4-1-1-</i> 0	Pre	34.00 ab	54.55	38.67 ab	50.63	7.27 а-с	33.82	38.13 a	59.77	4.07 a	11.11
Salsola Kau	Post	29.00 cd	31.82	33.33 c-e	29.85	6.20 a-d	14.18	25.27 d	5.86	3.67 a	0.18
	Pre	27.67 cd	25.76	34.00 с-е	32.45	6.13 a-d	12.95	33.27 d	39.38	3.77 a	2.91
zygopnynum anoum Liv	Post	25.33 de	15.15	32.33 d-f	25.96	5.67 cd	4.36	37.30 a	56.28	3.67 a	0.18
	Pre	25.67 de	16.67	31.67 ef	23.36	7.27 а-с	33.82	25.37 d	6.28	3.93 a	7.47
Nic mnom minikudo8kz	Post	22.33 e	1.52	27.67 fg	7.78	5.93 a-d	9.27	24.27 d	1.67	3.73 a	2.00
Control (Water o	uly)	22.00 e	0.0	25.67 g	25.67	5.43 d	0.0	23.87 d	0.0	3.67 a	0.0

Data in Table (5) indicated that all tested plant extracts, significantly affected root populations of M. incognita infecting tomato, cv. Super strain-B. In general, the highest concentration (stock or 100%) of each material achieved the highest R% in total population compared to nematode only or untreated or water control (R%) of nematode in roots. The greatest nematode reduction (90.7%) in final population (FP) was achieved by Oxamyl, comparable treatment, but within the botanical extracts 100% of A. judaica recorded the maximum reduction in FP (87.0%) that were not statistically differs from Oxamyl followed by A. monosperma (83.4%) at the same concentration. The lowest reduction in FP in the stock (60.4%)occurred concentration in С. monacantha. At the lowest concentration (25%) it was found the plants of Artemisia showed their suppression ability with highest reduction (61.2 & 57.8%) in FP. C. monacantha at this concentration possessed the lowest ability to reproduction suppress nematode (42.3%)followed by S. kali and B. muricata (48.0 & 49.2%, respectively). Nematode fecundity (eggs/egg-mass) was suppressed in all tested concentrations of wild plants, the lowest numbers of eggs (60) were formed in tomatoes roots treated by nematicide superseded A. judaica (62) and A. monosperma (65) but they didn't statically different. The development of M. incognita juveniles to mature females was highly suppressed by both species of Artemisia at all concentrations and Z. album 1N at the highest concentration (55.6%) whereas B. muricata was the lowest inhibitor (33.9%) at highest concentration.

Results presented in Table (6) showed that aqueous extracts of different wild plants had some positive effects on the fresh and dry shoot weight of tomato. Statistical analysis confirmed that fresh shoot weight was non-significantly affected by different concentrations in most cases, compared to the control (P=0.05), except *A. judaica* at 100 & 75% concentration, *A. monosperma* and *Z. album* 100%, respectively

compared with water or Oxamyl controls. The highest increased in fresh shoot weight was in A. judaica (23.86%). Fresh shoot weight was statically differed in most treatments except all concentrations of S. kali and the lower concentration of B. muricata and Z. album 5N. The impact on root weight was maximized by the stock concentration of A. judaica (27.63%) followed by A. monosperma (26.84%) but there are no significance differences in most cases compared with controls, while the minimum increment (3.3%) was recorded by the lowest concatenation of S. kali. Shoot length significantly increased after addition of plant extracts, A. judaica and A. monosperma possessed the highest increment (25.74% & significant 23.47. consequently) without differences between both. The length of root was increased by all extract treatments, maximum increase was registered by A. judaica succeeded by A. monosperma and Z. album 1 & 5 (32.6, 27.92, 26.9 and 25.86%, respectively).

Discussion

Plants are a source of naturally occurring pesticides. Several nematicidal compounds have been isolated from plants, mainly from members of the family Asteraceae (Gommers, 1981). Other nonvolatile compounds from plants, such as polyacetylenes and benzofuran derivatives, also have antinematodal activity (Gommers & Bakker, 1988), however, none has been developed as a commercial nematicide. Allelochemicals are plant-produced compounds, ecofriendly, that affect the activity of other organisms and are thought to be toxins and secondary metabolites that act as attractants or deterrents (Dodds, 1996; Brown & Morra, 1997). Plant extracts of antagonistic plants or their byproducts for managing plant-parasitic nematodes have been used globally against conventional chemical nematicides and have been reported by many investigators (Pandey & Dwivedi, 2000; Pandey et al., 2001; Abdel-Rahman et al., 2017; Haroon et al., 2018).

Table 5. Effect of sel- tomato plant	ected wild p ts in pot tria	olant extra I.	cts at c	lifferent conce	entratio	ns applied pos	t inocu	lation on <i>M. in</i>	cognita	developmen	t and r	eproduction ir	ufecting
Plant species	Concen- tration	No. galls/ roots	%R	No. devlop. stage/roots	%R	No. adult females/root s	%R	No. egg- masses/roots	%R	No. eggs/ egg-mass	%R	Final population	%R
	100	22.3 l-n	68.1	19.0 o	68.0	20.0 h	66.7	15.71	57.2	62.0 p	60.0	1026.0 qr	87.0
A standard in day of	75	30.0 d-g	57.1	21.0 n-o	64.6	22.0 h	63.3	20.7 jk	48.9	72.0 no	53.5	1551.7 pq	80.3
Artemista juaatca	50	31.7 c-f	54.8	25.0 l-n	57.9	24.0 h	60.0	24.7 g-j	42.2	74.7 mn	51.8	1915.4 m-o	75.7
	25	34.0 cd	51.4	26.7 k-m	55.1	25.7 h	57.2	33.0 cd	28.3	90.0 g-k	41.9	3055.3 f-i	61.2
	100	17.7 n	74.8	27.0 kl	54.5	23.0 h	61.7	19.0 kl	62.0	65.0 op	58.1	1304.0 pq	83.4
Artemisia	75	19.7 mn	71.9	21.7 m-o	63.5	$25.0 \mathrm{h}$	58.3	21.3 i-k	57.3	71.7 no	53.8	1596.9 n-p	79.7
monosperma	50	21.7 l-n	69.0	22.3 1-0	62.4	27.0 h	55.0	27.7 e-g	44.7	94.7 h-j	38.9	2696.1 g-j	65.7
	25	24.3 h-m	65.2	23.3 1-0	60.7	28.3 h	52.8	31.7 c-e	36.7	102.3 d-h	34.0	3323.9 d-f	57.8
	100	21.7 l-n	69.0	38.7 f-h	39.9	39.7 d-g	33.9	21.7 i-k	36.7	92.7 i-k	40.2	2107.8 l-n	73.2
	75	23.3 l-n	68.1	43.7 d-f	31.5	46.7 b-f	22.2	25.0 f-i	32.0	98.7 g-j	36.3	2582.0 h-l	67.2
bassa murcaa	50	23.0 k-n	67.1	45.7 c-e	27.0	47.3 b-e	21.1	27.7 e-g	28.7	111.0 cd	28.4	3191.7 e-g	59.4
	25	24.0 i-m	65.7	49.0 bc	19.1	50.3 bc	16.1	32.3 cd	19.3	119.7 b	22.8	4000.9 c	49.2
	100	25.0 h-m	64.3	35.7 h-i	34.8	38.7 e-g	35.6	31.7 c-e	56.7	95.0 h-j	38.7	3114.3 f-h	60.4
Cornulaca	75	28.7 d-j	59.0	40.7 e-g	26.4	42.7 c-g	28.9	34.0 c	50.0	108.3 c-e	30.1	3800.7 cd	51.7
monacantha	50	29.7 d-h	57.6	43.3 d-f	23.0	46.7 b-f	22.2	35.7 c	44.7	114.3 bc	26.2	4203.6 bc	46.6
	25	32.7c-e	53.3	48.0 b-d	17.4	52.7 ab	12.2	40.3 b	35.3	109.0 cd	29.7	4537.3 b	42.3
	100	28.3 e-k	59.5	41.3 e-g	30.3	37.0 g	38.3	22.7 h-k	54.7	98.0 g-j	36.8	2322.3 j-m	70.5
Calcola Lak	75	34.0 c	51.4	47.7 b-d	19.7	40.3 d-g	32.8	24.3 g-j	51.3	100.0 e-i	35.5	2545.7 i-l	67.6
nux nucanc	50	36.0 b	48.6	51.7 b	12.9	48.0 b-d	20.0	26.3 f-h	47.3	111.3 c	28.2	3057.8 f-i	61.1
	25	39.7b	43.3	56.3 a	5.1	50.3 bc	16.1	32.3 cd	35.3	122.3 b	21.1	4094.4 bc	48.0
	100	22.3 l-n	68.1	24.3 l-n	59.0	26.7 h	55.6	21.0 i-k	58.0	75.3 mn	51.4	1654.0 n-p	79.0
Zygophyllum album	75	23.3 j-m	66.7	32.0 i-j	46.1	37.0 g	38.3	25.0 f-i	50.0	85.3 kl	44.9	2227.3 k-m	71.7
1N	50	24.7 h-m	64.8	41.3 e-g	30.3	43.3 c-g	27.8	29.0 d-f	42.0	93.3 i-k	39.8	2820.3 f-j	64.2
	25	31.7c-f	54.8	45.7 c-e	23.0	42.7 c-g	28.9	33.3 c	33.3	107.0 c-f	31.0	3688.3 c-e	53.1
	100	21.3 m-n	69.5	30.0 jk	49.4	38.3 fg	36.1	20.7 jk	58.7	81.7 lm	47.3	1776.8 m-p	77.4
Zygophyllum album	75	23.3 j-m	66.7	36.3 j-i	38.8	41.7 c-g	30.6	23.7 g-j	52.7	91.0 g-k	41.3	2255.3 j-m	71.3
SN	50	29.3 d-i	58.1	41.0 e-g	30.9	40.3 d-g	32.8	29.0 d-f	42.0	102.3 d-h	34.0	3078.0 f-i	60.9
	25	27.1 h-l	61.2	45.0 c-e	24.2	48.0 b-d	20.0	35.0 c	30.0	106.0 c-g	31.6	3838.0 cd	51.2
Control	Water	70.0 a	0.0	59.3a	0.0	60.0 a	0.0	50.0 a	0.0	155.0 a	0.0	7919.3 a	0.0
	Oxamyl	11.0 o	84.3	10.7 b	82.0	9.3 i	84.4	11.7 m	63.9	60.0 p	61.3	731.7 r	90.7
Concentrations100 % =	 stock solut 	ion, R% = l	Reducti	on percentage									

Control of root-knot nematodes using wild plants colonized Sinai

Table 6. Effect of selected	l plant extra	cts at different o	concentra	tions on growth	criteria o	f tomato plants	infected w	ith M. incogn	<i>uita</i> in pot	trial.	
Plant species	Concen- tration	Root length (cm)	1%	Shoot length (cm)	I%	Fresh shoot weight (g)	1%	Root weight (g)	I%	Dry shoot weight (g)	1%
	100	25.7 a	32.60	33.7 a	25.74	33.9 a	23.86	5.7 a	27.63	3.5 a	29.56
	75	21.9 a-e	23.68	31.7 a-c	21.88	32.1 a-c	16.62	5.3 а-е	23.90	3.1 ab	23.53
Artemisia Juaaica	50	21.7 b-g	20.15	31.9 a-e	19.35	32.4 a-e	15.37	5.4 a-e	22.83	3.1 a-f	18.75
	25	20.0 e-g	13.50	27.7 b-h	9.64	32.8 а-е	15.26	5.5 а-е	22.04	3.3 b-f	14.43
	100	24.0 ab	27.92	32.7 ab	23.47	33.4 ab	21.42	5.5 ab	26.48	3.2 а-с	22.49
Automotion second and	75	21.7 c-g	20.15	31.0 a-e	19.35	32.4 a-c	19.81	5.4 a-c	24.21	3.0 a-f	18.66
Artemista monosperma	50	20.7 c-i	16.29	31.0 a-e	19.35	31.2 a-e	18.30	5.3 а-с	21.29	3.0 a-f	17.11
	25	19.3 g-j	10.52	30.3 a-f	17.58	31.3 a-e	13.97	5.3 а-е	21.63	2.8 b-f	12.62
	100	18.3 g-j	5.64	28.0 b-g	14.77	30.1 a-f	8.52	5.0 с-е	18.69	2.8 b-f	14.63
C	75	21.0 b-h	17.62	28.3 c-h	11.76	29.2 a-g	7.87	4.7 d-e	15.99	2.7 b-f	7.61
bassia muricata	50	20.3 g-ij	14.92	27.7 d-g	9.64	28.1 c-g	5.53	4.6 c-e	12.81	2.6 c-f	4.88
	25	20.0 e-g	13.50	29.0 b-h	13.79	26.9 e-g	4.49	4.5 e	8.81	2.5 d-f	2.76
	100	21.0 b-h	17.62	29.3 b-g	14.77	29.5 a-f	13.57	5.0 а-е	16.94	2.9 b-f	13.64
	75	19.0 g-j	8.95	29.7 a-g	15.73	30.8 a-e	10.29	4.8 b-e	20.44	2.8 b-f	11.15
Соглинаса топасалина	50	18.7 g-j	7.32	27.0 e-h	7.41	30.7 a-e	8.07	4.7 c-e	20.10	2.7 b-f	8.52
	25	18.3 g-j	5.64	25.7 d-h	2.60	28.0 c-g	17.78	5.3 a-d	12.50	2.6 d-f	4.14
	100	20.0 e-g	13.50	31.0 а-е	19.35	28.6 b-g	15.10	5.1 a-e	14.13	2.7 b-f	8.41
Calcola bali	75	19.0 g-j	8.95	27.9 b-g	14.77	28.1 c-g	12.23	5.0 b-e	12.60	2.7 d-f	4.26
nun musunc	50	18.0 h-j	3.89	27.0 e-h	7.41	27.3 d-j	15.10	5.1 a-e	10.15	2.5 c-f	2.88
	25	17.7 ij	2.08	25.7 b-h	2.60	25.4 fg	12.82	5.0 b-e	3.30	2.5 e-f	1.72
	100	23.7 а-с	26.90	30.0 a-f	16.67	32.2 a-d	13.34	5.0 а-е	23.74	3.1 a-d	21.09
Turon album album 1N	75	23.1 d-f	22.54	28.3 c-h	11.76	32.5 a-f	14.76	5.1 a-e	18.77	3.2 a-f	16.27
vit muon munhudoktz	50	21.0 b-h	17.62	31.3 a-f	18.48	32.5 a-f	13.28	5.2 a-e	17.68	3.2 a-f	18.21
	25	20.0 e-g	13.50	30.9 a-c	21.05	32.4 a-f	12.64	5.2 b-e	17.13	3.2 a-f	17.76
	100	23.3 a-d	25.86	30.0 a-f	16.67	32.5 а-с	18.51	5.3 а-с	24.45	3.1 a-e	19.89
Tweenhullum album EN	75	21.7 b-g	20.15	29.7 a-g	15.73	32.3 а-с	15.76	5.1 a-e	23.98	3.0 a-f	18.48
Ale muon munuludoska	50	21.3 b-g	18.91	27.7 d-h	9.64	28.2 c-g	15.10	5.1 a-e	13.01	2.9 b-f	14.14
	25	20.7 c-i	16.29	26.7 f-h	6.25	26.9 e-g	10.97	4.9 b-e	8.92	2.5 e-f	2.50
Control	Water	11.3 j	0.00	25.0 h	0.00	4.8 g	0.00	24.5 b-e	0.00	2.5 f	0.00
COLUMN	Oxamyl	23.7a-c	26.90	29.7a-g	15.73	4.8 c-g	9.16	28.0 c-e	12.39	2.8 b-f	12.31
I%= increment percentage:	$=\overline{1(readinon)}$	f a treatment – re	ading of c	heck)/reading of	checkl x1	00					

Nematicidal activity of aqueous extract at different dilutions (100, 75, 50, 25%) of certain twenty-one wild plants collected from different locations in North and south Sinai were tested *in vitro* against *M. incognita* juveniles. All botanical extracts were lethal to the nematode larva. Seven medicinal plants selected from preliminary experiments at 25% concentration namely: *Artemisia judica, A. monosperma, Bassia muricata, Cornulaca monacantha, Salola kali, Zygophyllum album* and *Z. album*, were evaluated in this study.

In the current study, the examined wild plant extracts of A. judica, A. monosperma, B. muricata, C. monacantha, S. kali, Z. album proved to be effective against RKN. Meloidogyne spp. These results are in agreement with previous work with Asteraceae (Al-Obaedi et al., 1987; Oka et al., 2000; Al-Banna et al., 2003; Costa et al., 2003; Tsay et al., 2004; Abdel-Rahman & Saleh, 2006; Zouhar et al., 2009; D'Addabbo et al., 2013; Nour El-Deen et al., 2014; Kepenekci et al., 2016; Samaliev et al., 2017). It was also observed that mortality increased with increasing concentration of the extract, the highest mortality was recorded in a concentration of 100% (Stock). A similar finding was reported by Ameer-Zareen et al., 2003; Haroon et al., 2018. Furthermore, the toxicity of Artemisia plants is due to some nematode-lethal compounds viz., caffeic acid, chlorogenic acid, and artemisinin (D'Addabbo et al., 2013). The superiority of Artemisia spp., over the rest examined plants also support the previous finding of Al-Obaedi et al., (1987) as they recorded mortality up to 100% on M. javania juveniles and also in a recent study of Abdel-Rahman et al., 2017 that A. judaica water extract killed 100% of M. incognita juveniles. Abdel-Rahman & Saleh (2006) recorded a high mortality rate (78%) of water extract from A. monosperma against M. incognita; they also reported nematicidal activities of phytochemicals from some arid land plants including Z. album against M. javanica juveniles and eggs. Salsola sp. has nematicidal activities reported by Abbasi et al., (2010); they found

that halophytes significantly reduced hatching and enhanced mortality of $J_{2}s$ *in vitro*.

The pre-inoculation application was found to be less effective than post inoculation addition. These findings are not matched with the results of Al-Obaedi *et al.*, (1987) as they found preapplication was better than post-application without significant differences at the specific concentrations.

Nematicidal activity of genus Zygophyllum was proved by many authors: Abdel-Rahman & Saleh (2006) found that second-stage larvae of *M. incognita* incubated in *Z. album* extract for 48 hours, then inoculated to healthy tomato seedlings reduced pathogenicity of *M. incognita*. El-Sherbiny & Al-Yahya (2010) observed that powders aerial parts of *Zygophyllum migahidii* achieved relative nematicidal efficacy against *M. javanica*. Based on our results, we concluded that pesticide treatment decreased the nematodes infection, followed by 100% aqueous extract from all seven medicinal plants.

Among the seven tested plants in the current study, aqueous extract of A. judaica was the most effective treatment to decrease the nematodes infection in tomato crops. The nematicidal effect of the tested extracts may be attributed to their high contents of certain oxygenated compounds which are characterized by the lipophilic properties that enable them to dissolve the cytoplasmic membrane of nematode cells and their functional groups interfering with the enzyme protein structure (Knoblock et al., 1989). From the economic point of view, these results indicated that A. judica was the most effective plant against *M. incognita* development and reproduction on highly susceptible tomato cultivar that was used in this study. The normal aqueous extract at concentration 100% was not significantly different from 75%, besides, the 50% gave a satisfactory effect in reducing nematode population (75.7%), so it can recommend by using them in the lower concentration in South Sinai by Bedouins or farmers colonized these areas in managing PPN

and enhancing plant growth. Also, *Z. album* plants, native in South Sinai, can be used in this respect. *A. monosperma* at concentration 100 or 75% are statically equal, furthermore, it is considered abundant species in some habitats of North Sinai, so the application of these plants as an extract or soil amendment could be beneficial in managing nematode. *Artemisia* species are abundant in many habitats and highly distributed in Egyptian deserts (El Maggar, 2012), which facilitate their application by farmers and can be

Conclusion

employed in controlling root-knot nematodes

according to their abundance in such location.

In conclusion, this study has shown that extracts of some wild plants located in Sinai (in particular Artemisia Peninsula and Zygophyllum) are very beneficial in the management of root-knot nematodes (RKN). These materials are common and are found in abundance easily. This finding could have the promise for managing RKN without the use of chemical pesticides and seem to have the potential to be developed into new nematicidal formulate or biopesticides (BP), though their activity should be validated under actual field conditions. Sustained ecofriendly strategies like the use of plant powders as a soil amendment or plant extracts in the cheapest solvent, water, can help growers especially smallholder farmers in combating root-knot diseases in plants as well as improving the nutritive states of soil and its health. So we recommend and encourage these approaches as they are almost cheap with no negative effects on the environment for sustainable agriculture. The control of *M. incognita* by the botanical extracts used in this study might be probably based on a complex mode of action involving multiple mechanisms. Therefore. further studies are needed to characterize the active compounds in the tested plants that have antinematodal activity and possessing complex modes of action. Also, research should be frequent in many areas such as production, formulation, delivery, and commercialization of biopesticides.

Acknowledgment

The authors would to thanks Dr. Omran Ghaly, the Head of Plant Taxonomy Unit, DRC, for identification the wild plants. The authors are grateful to Dr. Saad M.A. Nassar, Plant breeding unit (DRC), for help in statistical analysis.

References

- Abbasi, W. M., Ahmed, N., Zaki, J. M. & Shaukat, S. S. (2010). Soil amendment with halophytes induces physiological changes & reduces rootknot nematode infection in eggplant & okra. *Phytopathologia Mediterranea*, 49, 352-360.doi: 10.14601/Phytopathol_Mediterr-8528
- Abbasi, W. M., Ahmed, N., Zaki, J. M. & Shaukat, S. S. (2008). Effect of *Barleriaacanthoides* Vahl. on root-knot nematode infection & growth of infected okra & brinjal plants. *Pakistan Journal of Botany*, 40, 2193-2198.
- Abdel-Rahman, A. G., Hashem, H. A., Kassem, H. A. & Abdel Aziz, N. F. (2017).
 Allelopathic activity of some desert plants against plant pathogenic bacteria & nematodes. *Journal of Environmental Science*, 37, 15-35.
- Abdel-Rahman, F. & Saleh, M. A. (2006). Nematicidal activity of phytochemicals from some arid 1 & plants. *Journal of Nematology*, 38, 258-303.
- Afia, I. A. & El-Nuby, A. S. M. (2016).Seaweeds as a managing approach for root-knot nematode disease. *Egypt Journal of Agrinematology*, 15, 95-108.
- Aissani, N., Urgeghe, P. P., Oplos, C., Saba, M.
 & Tocco, G. (2015). Nematicidal activity of the volatilome of *Eruca sativa* on *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Journal of Agricultural Food Chemistry*, 63, 6120-6125.
- Al-Banna, L., Darwish, R. M. & Aburjai, T. (2003). Effect of plant extracts & essential

oils on root-knot nematode. *Phytopathologia Mediterranea*, 42, 123-128.

- Al-Manhel, A. J. & Niamah, A. K. (2015). Effect of aqueous & alcoholic plant extracts on inhibition of some types of microbes & causing spoilage of food. *Journal of Nutritional Food Science*, S5: 006. doi:10.4172/2155-9600.S5-006
- Al-Obaedi, J. F. W., Askari, A. R. & Stephan, Z. A. (1987). Some plant extracts for the control of the root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne javanica*. *Nematologia Mediterranea*, 15, 149-153.
- Ameer-Zareen, Zaki, M. J. & Javed, N. (2003).Nematicidal activity of ginger & its effect on the efficacy *Pasteuriapenetrans* for the control of root-knot nematodes. *Asian Journal of Plant Science*, 2, 858-860.
- Aydinli, G. & Mennan, S. (2014). Effect of some plant extracts on *Meloidogyne arenaria* Neal, 1889 (Tylenchida: Meloidogynidae) & tomato. *Türk. Entomol. Derg.*, 38, 323-332.
- Barker, K. R. (1985).Nematode extraction & bioassays. In: Barker, K. R., Carter, C. C. & Sasser, J. N. (Eds.). An Advanced Treatise on Meloidogyne, N.C. State Graphics: Raleigh, NC, Vol. 2, pp. 19-35.
- Boulos, L. & El-Hadidi, N. M. (1994). *The Weed Flora of Egypt*. American University in Cairo Press, Cairo, pp. 361.
- Boulos, L. (2002). *Flora of Egypt: Volume Three* (Verbinaceae-Compositae). Al-Hadara Publishing, Cairo, Egypt, pp. 373.
- Brown, P. D. & Morra M. J. (1997).Control of soil-borne plant pests using glucosinolate containing plants. In: D. L. Sparks, (Ed.) Advances in Agronomy. Vol. 61, Academic Press, San Diego, CA, USA, pp. 167-215.
- Cayrol, J. C., Djian, C. & Pijarowski, L. (1989). Studies on the nematicidal effects of culture filtrate of the nematophagus fungus *Paecilomyceslilacinus. Revue de Nematologia*, 12, 331-336.
- Costa, S. R., Santos, M. N. & Ryan, M. F. (2003). Effect of *Artemisia vulgaris* rhizome extracts on hatching, mortality, & plant

infectivity of *Meloidogyne megadora*. *Journal of Nematology*, 35, 437-342.

- D'Addabbo, T., Carbonara, T., Argentieri, M. P., Radicci, V., Leonetti, P., Villanova, L. & Avato, P. (2013).Nematicidal potential of *Artemisia annua*& its main metabolites. *European Journal of Plant Pathology*, 137, 295-304.
- Dodds, C. J. (1996). The control of slug damage using plant derived repellents & antifeedants. In: Henderson, I.F. (Ed.) Slug & Snail Pests in Agriculture. British Crop Council, Farnham, Protection UK. Monograph No. 66, 335-340.doi: 10.3923/ajps.2003.858.860.
- Duncan's, D.B. (1955). *Multiple ranged multiple F-test-Biometrics*, 11, 1-47.
- El Maggar, E. M. B. (2012). Artemisia herbaalba & Artemisia monosperma: The discovery of the first potential Egyptian plant sources for the pharmaceutical commercial production of Artemisinin and some of its related analogues. Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science, 2, 77-91.
- El-Nuby, A. S. M. (2014). Evaluation of some resistance inducers against the root-knot nematode. Ph. D. Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, pp. 297.
- El-Sherbiny, A. A. & Al-Yahya, F. A. (2010). Efficacy of thirteen species of wild flora as soil amendments in the control of the rootknot nematode, *Meloidogyne javanica* on common bean in Saudi Arabia. *Alexandria Science Exchange Journal*, 31, 371-380.
- Gommers, F. J. & Bakker, J. (1988). Physiological diseases induced by plant response or products. In: Poinar, G. O. & Jansson, H. B. (Eds.) *Diseases of Nematodes.Vol.1*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL pp. 3-22.
- Gommers, F. J. (1981). Biochemical interactions between nematodes and plants & the irrelevance to control: A review. *Helminthological Abstract*, 50, 9-24.
- Grewal, P. S. (1989). Nematicidal effects of some plant-extracts to Aphelenchoidescomposticola (Nematoda) infesting mushroom, Agaricusbisporus. Revue de Nématologia, 12, 317-322.

- Haroon, S. A., Hassan, B. A. A., Hamad, F. M. I. & Rady, M. M. (2018). The efficiency of some natural alternatives in root-knot nematode control. *Advances in* Plants & Agriculture Research, 8, 355-362.
- Hussain, M. A., Mukhtar, T. & Kayani, M. Z. (2016). Reproduction of *Meloidogyne incognita* on resistant & susceptible okra cultivars. *Pakistan Journal of Agricutural Sciences*, 53, 371-375.
- Ibrahim, H. S., Radwan, M. A., Saad, A. S. A., Mesbah, H. A. & Khalil, M. S. (2018). Assessing the potential of some Egyptian plants as soil amendments in *Meloidogyne incognita* management on tomato. *Journal of Biopesticides*, 11, 154-160.
- Kepenekci, I., Erdogus, D. & Erdogan, P. (2016).Effects of some plant extracts on rootknot nematodes *in vitro &in vivo* conditions. *Turkiye Entomoloji Dergisi*, 40, 3-14.
- Khalil, M. S. & Darwesh, D. M. (2018). Some integrated practices to manage root-knot nematodes on tomatoes: A mini review. *Innovative Techniques in Agriculture*, 3, 618-625.
- Knoblock, K., Weis, K. & Wergent, R. (1989).Mechanism of antimicrobial activity of essential oils. In: *Proceedings of 37th Annual Congress Medicine Plant Research* (ACMPR'89), *Braunisweig*, 5-9 pp.
- Nour El-Deen, A. H., Cseh, E. & Darwesh, H. Y. (2014). Evaluation of certain Hungarian plant extracts for their nematicidal properties against root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognitain-vitro. Internation Journal of Advanced Research and Publications*, 2, 443-448.
- Oka, Y., Nacar, S., Putievsky, E., Ravid, U., Yaniv, Z. & Spiegel, Y. (2000). Nematicidal

activity of essential oils & their components against the root-knot nematode. *Phytopathology*, 90, 710-715.

- Pandey, R. C. & Dwivedi, B. K. (2000). Comparative study of different plant extracts for their nematicidal potential. *Current Nematology*, 11(1-2), 39-43.
- Pandey, R. C., Dwivedi, B. K. Rakesh, P., Alok, K. & Neetu, K. (2001). Nematicidal activity in flower of some medicinal & aromatic plants. *Indian Journal of Nematology*, 31, 96-98.
- Radwan M. A., Saad, A. S. A., Mesbah, H. A., Ibrahim, H. S. & Khalil, M. S. (2019). Investigating the *in vitro &in vivo* nematicidal performance of structurally related macrolides against the root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Hellenic Plant Protection Journal*, 12, 24-37.
- Samaliev, H., Markova, D., Nikolova, M. & Baicheva, O. (2017). Management of rootknot nematode *Meloidogyne hapla* on strawberry plant with some plant extracts. *Russian Journal of Parasitology*, 42, 395-400.
- Sikora, R. A. & Fernandez, E. (2005).Nematode parasites of vegetables. In: Luc, M., Sikora, R. A. & Bridge, J. (Eds.) *Plant parasitic nematodes in subtropical & tropical agriculture.* 2nd Ed. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK. pp. 319-392.
- Tsay, T. T., Wu, S. T. & Lin, Y. Y. (2004). Evaluation of Asteraceae plants for control of *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Journal of Nematology*, 36, 36-41.
- Zouhar, M., Douda, O., Lhotsky D. & Pavela, R. (2009). Effect of plant essential oils on mortality of the stem nematode (*Ditylenchusdipsaci*). *Plant Protection Science*, 45, 66-73.