Original Article

Optimization of dilute sulphuric acid pretreatment of peanut shells through Box- Bhenken design for cellulase production by *Bacillus subtilis* K-18

Fatima Arshad¹, Muhammad Irfan^{2*}, Hafiz Abdullah Shakir³, Fouzia Tabbsum³, Javed Iqbal Qazi³

¹Institute of Industrial Biotechnology, Government College University, Lahore, Pakistan. ²Department of Biotechnology, University of Sargodha, Sargodha, Pakistan ³Microbial Biotechnology Laboratory, Department of Zoology, University of the Punjab, New campus, Lahore, Pakistan

(Article history: Received: May 26, 2017; Revised: June 21, 2017)

Abstract

The present study includes the optimization of dilute sulphuric acid pretreatment of peanut shells as substrate for the production of cellulose enzyme using Box-Bhenken design of Response Surface Methodology. The pretreatment of substrate was conducted through Box- Bhenken design of Response Surface Methodology. Three factors with three levels such as H_2SO_4 conc. (0.6, 0.8, 1%), substrate conc. (5, 10, 15%) and residence time (4, 6, 8h) were employed for pretreatment with and without autoclaving at 121°C for 15 min. The enzyme production was carried out in an Erlenmeyer flask of 250mL capacity using pretreated peanut shells as substrate by *Bacillus subtilis* K-18 in submerged fermentation at 50 °C for 24 h. Results revealed that acid pretreatment was found more effective for cellulase production as compared to thermochemical pretreatment. The maximum CMCase activity was 1.757 1U/ml/min under conditions of 0.6% acid concentration, 10% substrate concentration, and resident time of 4h. The maximum FPase activity was 2.015 1U/ml/min under conditions of 0.8% acid concentration, 10% substrate for cellulase production in submerged fermentation.

Key words: Acid pretreatment, peanut shells, cellulase, Bacillus sp. RSM

To cite this article: ARSHAD, F., IRFAN, M., SHAKIR, H.A., TABBSUM, F. AND QAZI, J.I., 2017. Optimization of dilute sulphuric acid pretreatment of peanut shells through Box- Bhenken design for cellulase production by *Bacillus subtilis* K-18. *Punjab Univ. J. Zool.*, **32**(1): 81-90.

INTRODUCTION

ellulases (E.C 3.2.1.4) are a class of enzyme that is involved in hydrolyzing cellulose of industrial and agricultural wastes containing cellulose in them. Cellulose largely contains long chain polymers of glucose units having β 1-4, linkage and thus makes a crystalline structure (Shallom and Shoham, 2003). The complex of cellulase enzyme consists of three main components that are Endo-ß-glucanase- EC 3.2.1.4 or CMCase-Carboxymethyl cellualase, Exo-ß-glucanase- EC 3.2.1.91 and β -glucosidase- EC 3.2.1.21 (Kaur *et al.*, 2007; Thongekkaew *et al.*, 2008).

Cellulases have a varied variety of industrial applications for example in pulp and

paper, laundry, textile, additives of animal feed, fruit juice extraction, and in production of bioethanol (Bhat, 2000). The enzymes have wide potential of saccharification of lignocellulosic biomass into fermentable sugars that can be used for the production of lactic acid, single cell protein, and bioethanol (Maki *et al.*, 2009).

A large study has been made upon the microbial cellulases production for several years. These studies have relatively less emphasis on cellulose production from bacterial sources as compared to fungal sources. These studies have more focus on fungi (Bhat, 2000). The reported bacterial genera for cellulases are *Clostridium*, *Bacillus*, *Ruminococcus*, *Acetivibrio*, *Bacteroides*, *Thermomonospora*, *Erwinia*, *Cellulomonas* and *actinomycetes* particularly Copyright 2017, Dept. Zool., P.U., Lahore, Pakistan *Streptomyces* species (Robson and Chambliss, 1989; Nascimento *et al.*, 2009).

Bacteria may work as highly effective sources of important industrial enzymes. Owing to their faster growth rate and high natural diversity, have the ability to produce alkali stable thermostable and extremely enzyme complement. The species of Bacillus genus act as dominant bacterial mainstays due to the ability of producing and secreting large amounts of extracellular enzymes (Aa et al., 1994; Mawadza et al., 1996; Schallmey et al., 2004; Singh et al., 2004; Ariffin et al., 2006; Rastogi et al., 2010). It has been reported that the expression of high activities of cellulose degradation belongs to the strains of species Bacillus subtilis and Bacillus sphaericus (Mawadza et al., 1996; Singh et al., 2004).

Mostly the enzymes having industrial importance are produced in submerged fermentation due to ease in handling and better monitoring. Mostly commercial cellulases are produced by the filamentous fungi Trichoderma. Reesei and Aspergillus niger in SmF (Cherry and Fidantsef, 2003; Kumar et al., 2004). The production of cellulase in cultures is highly predisposed by several parameters together with the medium pH, cellulosic substrate nature, availability of nutrients and temperature of fermentation. So the formulation of media is of important concern as no ordinary medium composition can meet the optimal growth and prime cellulase production. Mostly the media used are specific for the concerned organism (Tholudur et al., 1999).

Response surface methodology typically known as RSM is a statistical technique enormously used for studying the combined effect of some variables and to find the optimal conditions for the system that is multivariable (Kim *et al.*, 2008). It is also a set of mathematical techniques which are useful in the development, improvement, and optimization of processes wherein a response of interest is simultaneously influenced by some variables and the objective for the best response can be optimized as well (Bas and Boyaci, 2007).

In this study the design of RSM used is Box- Bhenken Design (BBD). BBD is of three variables and used to study the joined effects of acid (H_2SO_4) concentration, substrate concentration and resident time on the production of CMCase and FPase. In case of acid H_2SO_4 followed by steam we apply 121°C temperature and 15 Psi pressure. The main purpose is to optimize the pretreatment conditions of peanut shells for the production of cellulase in submerged fermentation by *Bacillus subtilis* K-18.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Microbial Strain

The bacterium *Bacillus subtilis* K-18 was got from Microbial Biotechnology Laboratory, Department of Zoology, University of the Punjab, New campus, Lahore, Pakistan. The culture was preserved on nutrient agar slants and used for production of cellulase in submerged fermentation.

Pretreatment of peanut shells

Chemical and thermochemical pretreatment were done as described in our earlier reports (Irfan *et al.*, 2010).

Fermentation Methodology

Enzyme production was done in a 250ml Erlenmeyer flask. It contained 25ml of fermentation medium comprising 2% pretreated substrate and 1% yeast extract with initial medium pH of 5. The medium was autoclaved at 121°C, 15 Psi pressure for 15 minutes. After sterilization, the flasks were allowed to cool at room temperature. The medium was inoculated with 2% (v/v) of the vegetative cell culture aseptically and incubated at 50 °C for 24 h of fermentation period with agitation speed of 120 rpm. After accomplishment of the fermentation period, the fermented broth was filtered through muslin cloth followed by centrifugation (Kokusan H- 1500ER) at 10,000xg and 4 °C for 10 minutes for the removal of cell mass and unwanted particles. The clear filtrate obtained after centrifugation was used as a crude source of enzyme. Triplicate readings were taken for each of the experiment.

Cellulase assay

The CMCase and FPase activities were determined as described in our earlier reports by Irfan *et al.* (2011). One unit of CMCase or FPase activity can be defined as the amount of enzyme required to release one micromole of glucose from substrate per milliliter per minute under standard assay conditions.

Experimental design

In order to optimize different pretreatment conditions for cellulase production, Box-Bhenken design (BBD) was used for optimization study. The independent variables used were H_2SO_4 concentration (X₁), substrate concentration, (X₂) and residence time (X₃) and their levels are mentioned in Table I. This design is most suitable for quadratic response surface and generates second order polynomial regression model. The relation between actual and coded values was described by the following equation:

$$x_i = \frac{X_i - X_o}{\Delta X_i}$$

Eq. (1) Where *xi* and *Xi* are the coded and actual values of the independent variable, *Xo* is the actual value of the independent variable at the center point and ΔXi is the change of *Xi*. The response is calculated from the following equation using Minitab software (version 17).

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \beta_{11} X_1^2 + \beta_{22} X_2^2 + \beta_{33} X_3^2 + \beta_{12} X_1 X_2 + \beta_{13} X_1 X_3 + \beta_{23} X_2 X_3$$
 Eq. (2)

Y is the response, X₁, X₂ and X₃ are the independent variables, β_0 is the intercept, β_1 , β_2 and β_3 are linear coefficient, β_1^{1} , β_2^{2} and β_3^{3} are square coefficients, β_{12} , β_{13} and β_{23} are interaction coefficients.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the concerned study dilute acid pretreatment of peanut shells was executed with three factors that were dilute sulphuric acid concentration X_1 , substrate concentration X_2 and residence time X_3 above three levels as shown in Table I.

Table I: Coded and actual levels of the factors for three factor Box-Bhenken desig	n
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---

Independent variables	Symbols	Coded and actual values				
		-1	0	+1		
Acid concentration (%)	X ₁	0.6	0.8	1		
Substrate concentration (%)	X ₂	5	10	15		
Time (Hours)	X ₃	4	6	8		

In case of acid with steam we applied 121°C temperature and 15 psi pressure. After pretreatment of peanut shells substrate, the solid remainder or residue was washed up to neutralize. Then it was oven dried and used in submerged fermentation at 50 °C for 24h for production of cellulases by *Bacillus subtilis*.

The experiments were accompanied in accordance with Box-Bhenken design of RSM. The obtained response was calculated according to second order polynomial regression equations (Eq. 3-6). In case of enzyme production, during fermentation process substrate nature plays an effective role which influences the induction of enzyme production (Kang *et al.*, 2004).

The maximum Carboxymethyl cellulase production was found in acid treated peanut shells. The Table III-IV showed the CMCase and FPase activities of both acid and acid with steam treated substrate using BBD. The maximum CMCase activity (1.757 IU/ml/min) was observed by Run#10 under conditions of 0.6% acid concentration, 10% substrate concentration, and resident time of 4hrs.

The maximum FPase activity (2.015 IU/ml/min) was shown by Run No. 1 under conditions of 0.8% acid concentration, 10%

substrate concentration, and time of 6hrs. The predicted and observed values for enzyme activity were close enough to show the accuracy of model.

Equations for cellulase from sulphuric acid treated peanut shells

FPase activity (IU/ml/min) = $1.712 - 0.74 X_1 + 0.0343 X_2 + 0.171 X_3 - 0.350 X_1^2 - 0.00444 X_2^2 - 0.02638 X_3^2 + 0.0493 X_1^*X_2 + 0.01225 X_1^*X_3 - 0.00272 X_2^*X_3$ Eq. (4)

Equations for cellulase from sulphuric acid followed by steam treated peanut shells

CMCase activity (IU/ml/min) = $1.14 + 0.46 X_1 + 0.0232 X_2 - 0.377 X_3 - 0.793 X_1^2 + 0.00090 X_2^2 + 0.02837 X_3^2 + 0.0052 X_1^*X_2 + 0.1526 X_1^*X_3 - 0.00483 X_2^*X_3$ Eq. (5)

FPase activity (IU/ml/min) = $0.699 + 0.72 X_1 - 0.0837 X_2 + 0.080 X_3 - 1.167 X_1^2 + 0.00401 X_2^2 - 0.00518 X_3^2 + 0.0692 X_1^*X_2 + 0.0648 X_1^*X_3 - 0.00661 X_2^*X_3$ Eq. (6)

Run	X ₁	X ₂	X ₃	CMCase	e activity (IU/	/ml/min)	FPase	activity (IU/n	nl/min)
#				Observed	Predicted	Residual	Observed	Predicted	Residual
1	0.8	10	6	1.685749	1.685749	-0.00000	2.015928	2.015928	-0.00000
2	1	10	8	1.705062	1.750413	-0.04535	1.913265	1.890062	0.023203
3	1	15	6	1.750585	1.713511	0.037074	1.896673	1.931931	-0.03525
4	1	10	4	1.703683	1.718340	-0.01465	1.845860	1.873470	-0.02761
5	1	5	6	1.753344	1.730410	0.022934	1.860378	1.820713	0.039665
6	0.6	15	6	1.681611	1.704545	-0.02293	1.823046	1.862711	-0.03966
7	0.8	5	4	1.703683	1.711960	-0.00827	1.848971	1.861026	-0.01205
8	0.6	10	8	1.656780	1.642122	0.014657	1.848971	1.821361	0.027610
9	0.8	15	8	1.732652	1.724375	0.008277	1.804380	1.792325	0.012055
10	0.6	10	4	1.757483	1.712132	0.045351	1.977559	2.000762	-0.02320
11	0.6	5	6	1.587805	1.624879	-0.03707	1.983781	1.948523	0.035258
12	0.8	5	8	1.488480	1.466064	0.022417	1.662311	1.725179	-0.06286
13	0.8	15	4	1.493998	1.516415	-0.02241	1.882155	1.819287	0.062868

Table II: Cellulase production by H_2SO_4 treated peanut shells using Box-Bhenken design.

Table III: Cellulase production by H₂SO₄ followed by steam treated peanut shells using Box-Bhenken design.

Run	X ₁	X ₂	X ₃	CMCase	e activity (IU/	ml/min)	FPase activity (IU/mI/min)			
#				Observed	Predicted	Residual	Observed	Predicted	Residual	
1	0.8	10	6	0.838736	0.838736	0.000000	0.851377	0.851377	0.000000	
2	1	10	8	1.156021	1.087563	0.068458	0.738344	0.802897	-0.06455	
3	1	15	6	0.927024	0.946854	-0.01983	1.048407	1.022352	0.026055	
4	1	10	4	0.768382	0.814767	-0.04638	0.710345	0.738863	-0.02851	
5	1	5	6	0.771141	0.773382	-0.00224	0.828563	0.761547	0.067016	
6	0.6	15	6	0.877362	0.875120	0.002242	0.843081	0.910097	-0.06701	
7	0.8	5	4	0.818044	0.769416	0.048627	0.759084	0.797583	-0.03849	
8	0.6	10	8	0.950476	0.904090	0.046386	0.805749	0.777232	0.028518	
9	0.8	15	8	1.034625	1.083252	-0.04862	0.970632	0.932133	0.038499	
10	0.6	10	4	0.807008	0.875465	-0.06845	0.881450	0.816897	0.064553	
11	0.6	5	6	0.742171	0.722341	0.019830	0.900116	0.926171	-0.02605	
12	0.8	5	8	0.950476	1.016692	-0.06621	0.939522	0.941985	-0.00246	
13	0.8	15	4	1.095323	1.029107	0.066216	1.054629	1.052166	0.002463	

In literature the reported maximum CMCase and FPase activities by Aspergillus terreus were 1.023 IU/ml/min and 0.089 1U/ml/min respectively in submerged fermentation for groundnut shells pretreated with 0.25 N HCI (Ashish et al., 2005). That activity was lower as compared to our obtained units. In another literature the maximum CMCase activity was observed to be 0.77 IU/ml/min at 50- 55 °C by thermostable Bacillus staerothermophillus-KGKSA40 using untreated ground palm leaf wastes (Bahobil et al., 2014). Recently in literature, the reported maximum values for CMCase activity was 0.641U/ml/min at 0.32 N H_2SO_4 , 15 % substrate (Banana peduncle) concentration, and resident time of 8 h. The maximum FPase activity was 0.95 IU/ml/min at 0.4 N H_2SO_4 , 15 % substrate concentration, and resident time of 6 hrs. (Anam *et al.*, 2017). This activity was lower than the enzyme activity of this study. All data was analyzed statistically by ANOVA- Analysis of variance for checking the significance of model (Table IV-V). The proposed model was found only significant for CMCase production with model Fisher's F- test value of 5.31 and p- value of 0.04 in acid pretreatment (Table IV).

CMCase	Sources	DF	Adj SS	Adj MS	F value	P value
activity	Model	9	0.090733	0.010081	5.31	0.040
(IU/ml/min)	Linear	3	0.009244	0.003081	1.62	0.296
	X ₁	1	0.006555	0.006555	3.46	0.122
	X ₂	1	0.001970	0.001970	1.04	0.355
	X ₃	1	0.000720	0.000720	0.38	0.565
	Square	3	0.025056	0.008352	4.40	0.072
	X_1^2	1	0.010894	0.010894	5.74	0.062
	X_2^2	1	0.008063	0.008063	4.25	0.094
	χ_3^2	1	0.004348	0.004348	2.29	0.190
	2 Way interaction	3	0.056433	0.018811	9.91	0.015
	$X_1 X_2$	1	0.002331	0.002331	1.23	0.318
	X ₁ *X ₂	1	0.002605	0.002605	1.37	0.294
	X ₂ *X ₂	1	0.051496	0.051496	27.14	0.003
	Frror	5	0.009486	0.001897		
	Lack of fit	3	0.009486	0.003162	*	*
	Pure error	2	0.000000	0.000000		
	Total	14	0.100219			
FPase activity	Sources	DF	Adj SS	Adj MS	F value	P value
/11 1/mm 1/m · · · · · · · · ·						
(IU/mi/min)	Model	9	0.117965	0.013107	3.99	0.071
(IU/mi/min)	Model Linear	9 3	0.117965 0.015293	0.013107 0.005098	3.99 1.525	0.071 0.311
(IU/mi/min)	Model Linear X ₁	9 3 1	0.117965 0.015293 0.001716	0.013107 0.005098 0.001716	3.99 1.525 0.10	0.071 0.311 0.502
(IU/mi/min)	Model Linear X ₁ X ₂	9 3 1 1	0.117965 0.015293 0.001716 0.000323	0.013107 0.005098 0.001716 0.000323	3.99 1.525 0.10 4.03	0.071 0.311 0.502 0.767
(IU/mi/min)	Model Linear X ₁ X ₂ X ₃	9 3 1 1	0.117965 0.015293 0.001716 0.000323 0.013253	0.013107 0.005098 0.001716 0.000323 0.013253	3.99 1.525 0.10 4.03 8.16	0.071 0.311 0.502 0.767 0.101
(IU/mi/min)	Model Linear X ₁ X ₂ X ₃ Square	9 3 1 1 3	0.117965 0.015293 0.001716 0.000323 0.013253 0.080400	0.013107 0.005098 0.001716 0.000323 0.013253 0.026800	3.99 1.525 0.10 4.03 8.16 0.22	0.071 0.311 0.502 0.767 0.101 0.023
(iU/mi/min)	Model Linear X_1 X_2 X_3 Square X_1^2	9 3 1 1 3 1	0.117965 0.015293 0.001716 0.000323 0.013253 0.080400 0.000724	0.013107 0.005098 0.001716 0.000323 0.013253 0.026800 0.000724	3.99 1.525 0.10 4.03 8.16 0.22 13.83	0.071 0.311 0.502 0.767 0.101 0.023 0.659
(iU/mi/min)	Model Linear X_1 X_2 X_3 Square X_1^2 X_2^2	9 3 1 1 3 1 1	0.117965 0.015293 0.001716 0.000323 0.013253 0.080400 0.000724 0.045459	0.013107 0.005098 0.001716 0.000323 0.013253 0.026800 0.000724 0.045459	3.99 1.525 0.10 4.03 8.16 0.22 13.83 12.51	0.071 0.311 0.502 0.767 0.101 0.023 0.659 0.014
(iU/mi/min)	Model Linear X_1 X_2 X_3 Square X_1^2 X_2^2 X_2^2 X_3^2	9 3 1 1 3 1 1 1	0.117965 0.015293 0.001716 0.000323 0.013253 0.080400 0.000724 0.045459 0.041108	0.013107 0.005098 0.001716 0.000323 0.013253 0.026800 0.000724 0.045459 0.041108	3.99 1.525 0.10 4.03 8.16 0.22 13.83 12.51 2.26	0.071 0.311 0.502 0.767 0.101 0.023 0.659 0.014 0.017
(IU/mi/min)	Model Linear X_1 X_2 X_3 Square X_1^2 X_2^2 X_2^2 X_3^2 2 way interaction	9 3 1 1 3 1 1 3	0.117965 0.015293 0.001716 0.000323 0.013253 0.080400 0.000724 0.045459 0.041108 0.022273	0.013107 0.005098 0.001716 0.000323 0.013253 0.026800 0.000724 0.045459 0.041108 0.007424	3.99 1.525 0.10 4.03 8.16 0.22 13.83 12.51 2.26 2.92	0.071 0.311 0.502 0.767 0.101 0.023 0.659 0.014 0.017 0.199
(IU/mi/min)	Model Linear X_1 X_2 X_3 Square X_1^2 X_2^2 X_2^2 X_3^2 2 way interaction $X_1^*X_2$	9 3 1 1 3 1 1 3 1	0.117965 0.015293 0.001716 0.000323 0.013253 0.080400 0.000724 0.045459 0.041108 0.022273 0.009705	0.013107 0.005098 0.001716 0.000323 0.013253 0.026800 0.000724 0.045459 0.041108 0.007424 0.009705	3.99 1.525 0.10 4.03 8.16 0.22 13.83 12.51 2.26 2.92 0.90	0.071 0.311 0.502 0.767 0.101 0.023 0.659 0.014 0.017 0.199 0.146
(IU/mi/min)	Model Linear X_1 X_2 X_3 Square X_1^2 X_2^2 X_3^2 2 way interaction $X_1^*X_2$ $X_1^*X_3$	9 3 1 1 3 1 1 3 1	0.117965 0.015293 0.001716 0.000323 0.013253 0.080400 0.000724 0.045459 0.041108 0.022273 0.009705 0.009603	0.013107 0.005098 0.001716 0.000323 0.013253 0.026800 0.000724 0.045459 0.041108 0.007424 0.009705 0.009603	3.99 1.525 0.10 4.03 8.16 0.22 13.83 12.51 2.26 2.92 0.90	0.071 0.311 0.502 0.767 0.101 0.023 0.659 0.014 0.017 0.199 0.146 0.386
(IU/mi/min)	Model Linear X_1 X_2 X_3 Square X_1^2 X_2^2 X_3^2 2 way interaction $X_1^*X_2$ $X_1^*X_3$ $X_2^*X_3$	9 3 1 1 3 1 1 3 1 1	0.117965 0.015293 0.001716 0.000323 0.013253 0.080400 0.000724 0.045459 0.041108 0.022273 0.009705 0.009603 0.002964	0.013107 0.005098 0.001716 0.000323 0.013253 0.026800 0.000724 0.045459 0.041108 0.007424 0.009705 0.009603 0.002964	3.99 1.525 0.10 4.03 8.16 0.22 13.83 12.51 2.26 2.92 0.90	0.071 0.311 0.502 0.767 0.101 0.023 0.659 0.014 0.017 0.199 0.146 0.386
(IU/mi/min)	Model Linear X_1 X_2 X_3 Square X_1^2 X_2^2 X_3^2 2 way interaction $X_1^*X_2$ $X_1^*X_3$ $X_2^*X_3$ Error	9 3 1 1 3 1 1 3 1 1 5	0.117965 0.015293 0.001716 0.000323 0.013253 0.080400 0.000724 0.045459 0.041108 0.022273 0.009705 0.009603 0.002964 0.016430	0.013107 0.005098 0.001716 0.000323 0.013253 0.026800 0.000724 0.045459 0.041108 0.007424 0.009705 0.009603 0.002964 0.003286	3.99 1.525 0.10 4.03 8.16 0.22 13.83 12.51 2.26 2.92 0.90	0.071 0.311 0.502 0.767 0.101 0.023 0.659 0.014 0.017 0.199 0.146 0.386
(IU/mi/min)	Model Linear X_1 X_2 X_3 Square X_1^2 X_2^2 X_3^2 2 way interaction $X_1^*X_2$ $X_1^*X_3$ $X_2^*X_3$ Error Lack of fit	9 3 1 1 3 1 1 3 1 1 5 3	0.117965 0.015293 0.001716 0.000323 0.013253 0.080400 0.000724 0.045459 0.041108 0.022273 0.009705 0.009603 0.002964 0.016430 0.016430	0.013107 0.005098 0.001716 0.000323 0.013253 0.026800 0.000724 0.045459 0.041108 0.007424 0.009705 0.009603 0.002964 0.003286 0.005477	3.99 1.525 0.10 4.03 8.16 0.22 13.83 12.51 2.26 2.92 0.90	0.071 0.311 0.502 0.767 0.101 0.023 0.659 0.014 0.017 0.199 0.146 0.386
(IU/mi/min)	Model Linear X_1 X_2 X_3 Square X_1^2 X_2^2 X_3^2 2 way interaction $X_1^*X_2$ $X_1^*X_3$ $X_2^*X_3$ Error Lack of fit Pure error	9 3 1 1 3 1 1 3 1 1 5 3 2	0.117965 0.015293 0.001716 0.000323 0.013253 0.080400 0.000724 0.045459 0.041108 0.022273 0.009705 0.009603 0.002964 0.016430 0.016430 0.000000	0.013107 0.005098 0.001716 0.000323 0.013253 0.026800 0.000724 0.045459 0.041108 0.007424 0.009705 0.009603 0.002964 0.003286 0.005477 0.000000	3.99 1.525 0.10 4.03 8.16 0.22 13.83 12.51 2.26 2.92 0.90	0.071 0.311 0.502 0.767 0.101 0.023 0.659 0.014 0.017 0.199 0.146 0.386

Table IV: Analysis of Variance of cellulase activity for acid treated peanut shells

The model would be significant if p-value is < 0.05 while it would be insignificant if p-value is >0.10. But in both tables there were some linear terms and interaction terms that were found significant regarding CMCase and FPase activities. The model fitness was further assured by R² value- coefficient of determination. The R² value for CMCase and FPase activities was 90.93% and 87.78% respectively which revealed that only 10-13% variation was not explained by the model. Figure 1 and 2 illustrated contour plots for CMCase and FPase production from acid (H_2SO_4) pretreated peanut shells by Bacillus subtilis K-18 in submerged fermentation. In these plots different color patterns showed different levels of enzyme production by keeping one variable constant and two variables with different levels. These plots indicated that each parameters had significant effect on structure of substrate which ultimately affects cellulase production. Some studies (Brijwani and Vadlani, 2011) suggested that physiochemical properties of substrate had strong correlation with enzyme production. Oke *et al.* (2016) also investigated that pretreated substrate produced better titer of endoglucanase using *Bacillus aerius* S5.2 in submerged fermentation.



Figure 1. Contour plots for CMCase (IU/ml/min) and FPase (IU/ml/min) production from dilute sulphuric acid treated peanut shellsby *Bacillus subtilis* K-18 in submerged fermentation.



Contour Plot of CMCase vs Time (h), Substrate conc. (%)

Contour Plot of CMCase vs Time (h), H2SO4 Conc. (%)





Contour Plot of CMCase vs Substrate conc. (%), H2SO4 Conc. (%) Contour Plot of FPase vs Time (h), Substrate conc. (%)



Contour Plot of FPase vs Time (h), H2SO4 Conc. (%)

Contour Plot of FPase vs Substrate conc. (%), H2SO4 Conc. (%)



Figure 2. Contour plots for CMCase (IU/ml/min) and FPase (IU/ml/min) production from dilute sulphuric acid followed by steam treated peanut shellsby Bacillus subtilis K-18 in submerged fermentation.

CMCase	Sources	DF	Adj SS	Adj MS	F value	P value
activity	Model	9	0.185161	0.020573	3.68	0.083
(IU/ml/min)	Linear	3	0.106184	0.035395	6.33	0.037
	X ₁	1	0.007537	0.007537	1.35	0.298
	X ₂	1	0.053220	0.053220	9.51	0.027
	X ₃	1	0.045427	0.045427	8.12	0.036
	Square	3	0.054640	0.018213	3.26	0.118
	X_1^2	1	0.003717	0.003717	0.66	0.452
	X_2^2	1	0.001855	0.001855	0.33	0.590
	χ_3^2	1	0.047535	0.047535	8.50	0.033
	2 Way interaction	3	0.024337	0.008112	1.45	0.334
	$X_1 X_2$	1	0.000107	0.000107	0.02	0.895
	X ₁ *X ₂	1	0.014905	0.014905	2.66	0.164
	X ₂ *X ₂	1	0.009325	0.009325	1.67	0.253
	Frror	5	0.027971	0.005594		
	Lack of fit	3	0.027971	0.009324	*	*
	Pure error	2	0.000000	0.000000		
	Total	14	0.213132			
FPase activity	Sources	DF	Adj SS	Adj MS	F value	P value
FPase activity (IU/ml/min)	Sources Model	DF 9	Adj SS 0.121357	Adj MS 0.013484	F value 2.90	P value 0.127
FPase activity (IU/ml/min)	Sources Model Linear	DF 9 3	Adj SS 0.121357 0.031615	Adj MS 0.013484 0.010538	F value 2.90 2.26	P value 0.127 0.199
FPase activity (IU/ml/min)	Sources Model Linear X ₁	DF 9 3 1	Adj SS 0.121357 0.031615 0.001371	Adj MS 0.013484 0.010538 0.001371	F value 2.90 2.26 0.29	P value 0.127 0.199 0.611
FPase activity (IU/ml/min)	Sources Model Linear X ₁ X ₂	DF 9 3 1 1	Adj SS 0.121357 0.031615 0.001371 0.029947	Adj MS 0.013484 0.010538 0.001371 0.029947	F value 2.90 2.26 0.29 6.43	P value 0.127 0.199 0.611 0.052
FPase activity (IU/ml/min)	Sources Model Linear X ₁ X ₂ X ₃	DF 9 3 1 1 1	Adj SS 0.121357 0.031615 0.001371 0.029947 0.000297	Adj MS 0.013484 0.010538 0.001371 0.029947 0.000297	F value 2.90 2.26 0.29 6.43 0.06	P value 0.127 0.199 0.611 0.052 0.811
FPase activity (IU/ml/min)	SourcesModelLinear X_1 X_2 X_3 Square	DF 9 3 1 1 1 3	Adj SS 0.121357 0.031615 0.001371 0.029947 0.000297 0.050406	Adj MS 0.013484 0.010538 0.001371 0.029947 0.000297 0.016802	F value 2.90 2.26 0.29 6.43 0.06 3.61	P value 0.127 0.199 0.611 0.052 0.811 0.100
FPase activity (IU/ml/min)	SourcesModelLinear X_1 X_2 X_3 Square X_1^2	DF 9 3 1 1 1 3 1	Adj SS 0.121357 0.031615 0.001371 0.029947 0.000297 0.050406 0.008040	Adj MS 0.013484 0.010538 0.001371 0.029947 0.000297 0.016802 0.008040	F value 2.90 2.26 0.29 6.43 0.06 3.61 1.73	P value 0.127 0.199 0.611 0.052 0.811 0.100 0.246
FPase activity (IU/ml/min)	SourcesModelLinear X_1 X_2 X_3 Square X_1^2 X_2^2	DF 9 3 1 1 1 3 1 1	Adj SS 0.121357 0.031615 0.001371 0.029947 0.000297 0.050406 0.008040 0.037167	Adj MS 0.013484 0.010538 0.001371 0.029947 0.000297 0.016802 0.008040 0.037167	F value 2.90 2.26 0.29 6.43 0.06 3.61 1.73 7.98	P value 0.127 0.199 0.611 0.052 0.811 0.100 0.246 0.037
FPase activity (IU/ml/min)	SourcesModelLinear X_1 X_2 X_3 Square X_1^2 X_2^2 X_2^2 X_3^2	DF 9 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 1	Adj SS 0.121357 0.031615 0.001371 0.029947 0.000297 0.050406 0.008040 0.037167 0.001588	Adj MS 0.013484 0.010538 0.001371 0.029947 0.000297 0.016802 0.008040 0.037167 0.001588	F value 2.90 2.26 0.29 6.43 0.06 3.61 1.73 7.98 0.34	P value 0.127 0.199 0.611 0.052 0.811 0.100 0.246 0.037 0.585
FPase activity (IU/ml/min)	Sources Model Linear X_1 X_2 X_3 Square X_1^2 X_2^2 X_3^2 2 way interaction	DF 9 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 3	Adj SS 0.121357 0.031615 0.001371 0.029947 0.000297 0.050406 0.008040 0.037167 0.001588 0.039335	Adj MS 0.013484 0.010538 0.001371 0.029947 0.000297 0.016802 0.008040 0.037167 0.001588 0.013112	F value 2.90 2.26 0.29 6.43 0.06 3.61 1.73 7.98 0.34 2.82	P value 0.127 0.199 0.611 0.052 0.811 0.100 0.246 0.037 0.585 0.147
FPase activity (IU/ml/min)	Sources Model Linear X_1 X_2 X_3 Square X_1^2 X_2^2 X_3^2 2 way interaction $X_1^*X_2$	DF 9 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 3 1 3 1	Adj SS 0.121357 0.031615 0.001371 0.029947 0.000297 0.050406 0.008040 0.037167 0.001588 0.039335 0.019165	Adj MS 0.013484 0.010538 0.001371 0.029947 0.000297 0.016802 0.008040 0.037167 0.001588 0.013112 0.019165	F value 2.90 2.26 0.29 6.43 0.06 3.61 1.73 7.98 0.34 2.82 4.12	P value 0.127 0.199 0.611 0.052 0.811 0.100 0.246 0.037 0.585 0.147 0.098
FPase activity (IU/ml/min)	Sources Model Linear X_1 X_2 X_3 Square X_1^2 X_2^2 X_3^2 2 way interaction $X_1^*X_2$ $X_1^*X_3$	DF 9 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 3 1 1 3 1 1	Adj SS 0.121357 0.031615 0.001371 0.029947 0.000297 0.050406 0.008040 0.037167 0.001588 0.039335 0.019165 0.002688	Adj MS 0.013484 0.010538 0.001371 0.029947 0.000297 0.016802 0.008040 0.037167 0.001588 0.013112 0.019165 0.002688	F value 2.90 2.26 0.29 6.43 0.06 3.61 1.73 7.98 0.34 2.82 4.12 0.58	P value 0.127 0.199 0.611 0.052 0.811 0.100 0.246 0.037 0.585 0.147 0.098 0.482
FPase activity (IU/ml/min)	Sources Model Linear X_1 X_2 X_3 Square X_1^2 X_2^2 X_2^2 X_3^2 2 way interaction $X_1^*X_2$ $X_1^*X_3$ $X_2^*X_2$	DF 9 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 3 1 1 1 1 1	Adj SS 0.121357 0.031615 0.001371 0.029947 0.000297 0.050406 0.008040 0.037167 0.001588 0.039335 0.019165 0.002688 0.017481	Adj MS 0.013484 0.010538 0.001371 0.029947 0.000297 0.016802 0.008040 0.037167 0.001588 0.013112 0.019165 0.002688 0.017481	F value 2.90 2.26 0.29 6.43 0.06 3.61 1.73 7.98 0.34 2.82 4.12 0.58 3.76	P value 0.127 0.199 0.611 0.052 0.811 0.100 0.246 0.037 0.585 0.147 0.098 0.482 0.110
FPase activity (IU/ml/min)	Sources Model Linear X_1 X_2 X_3 Square X_1^2 X_2^2 X_3^2 2 way interaction $X_1^*X_2$ $X_1^*X_3$ $X_2^*X_3$ Error	DF 9 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 3 1 1 5	Adj SS 0.121357 0.031615 0.001371 0.029947 0.000297 0.050406 0.008040 0.037167 0.001588 0.039335 0.019165 0.002688 0.017481 0.023277	Adj MS 0.013484 0.010538 0.001371 0.029947 0.000297 0.016802 0.008040 0.037167 0.001588 0.013112 0.019165 0.002688 0.017481 0.004655	F value 2.90 2.26 0.29 6.43 0.06 3.61 1.73 7.98 0.34 2.82 4.12 0.58 3.76	P value 0.127 0.199 0.611 0.052 0.811 0.100 0.246 0.037 0.585 0.147 0.098 0.482 0.110
FPase activity (IU/ml/min)	Sources Model Linear X_1 X_2 X_3 Square X_1^2 X_2^2 X_3^2 2 way interaction $X_1^*X_2$ $X_1^*X_3$ $X_2^*X_3$ Error Lack of fit	DF 9 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 3 1 1 5 3	Adj SS 0.121357 0.031615 0.001371 0.029947 0.000297 0.050406 0.008040 0.037167 0.001588 0.039335 0.019165 0.002688 0.017481 0.023277 0.023277	Adj MS 0.013484 0.010538 0.001371 0.029947 0.000297 0.016802 0.008040 0.037167 0.001588 0.013112 0.019165 0.002688 0.017481 0.004655 0.007759	F value 2.90 2.26 0.29 6.43 0.06 3.61 1.73 7.98 0.34 2.82 4.12 0.58 3.76	P value 0.127 0.199 0.611 0.052 0.811 0.100 0.246 0.037 0.585 0.147 0.098 0.482 0.110 *
FPase activity (IU/ml/min)	Sources Model Linear X_1 X_2 X_3 Square X_1^2 X_2^2 X_3^2 2 way interaction $X_1^*X_2$ $X_1^*X_3$ $X_2^*X_3$ Error Lack of fit Pure error	DF 9 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 3 1 1 5 3 2	Adj SS 0.121357 0.031615 0.001371 0.029947 0.000297 0.050406 0.008040 0.037167 0.001588 0.039335 0.019165 0.002688 0.017481 0.023277 0.023277 0.023277	Adj MS 0.013484 0.010538 0.001371 0.029947 0.000297 0.016802 0.008040 0.037167 0.0013112 0.019165 0.002688 0.017481 0.004655 0.007759 0.000000	F value 2.90 2.26 0.29 6.43 0.06 3.61 1.73 7.98 0.34 2.82 4.12 0.58 3.76	P value 0.127 0.199 0.611 0.052 0.811 0.100 0.246 0.037 0.585 0.147 0.098 0.482 0.110 *

Table V: Analysis of Variance for cellulase activity of acid followed by steam treated peanut shells

Conclusion

This study concluded that different pretreatment conditions significantly affect cellulase production by *Bacillus subtilis* K-18 in submerged fermentation. So proper pretreatment conditions are pre-requisite for enhanced enzyme production in submerged fermentation which could be helpful in industrial exploitation

REFERENCES

ARIFFIN, H., ABDULLAH, N., UMI KULSOM, M.S., SHIRAI, Y., AND HASSAN, A.M., 2006.Production and characterization of cellulase by *Bacillus pumilus*EB3.*Int. J Engin. Technol.*, **3**: 47–53.

- AROOJ, A., IRFAN, M., TABASSUM, F., SHAKIR, H.A. AND QAZI, J.I., 2017. Effect of dilute sulphuric acid pretreatment on cellulase production by *Bacillus subtilis* (K-18) through response surface methodology. *Proceed Pak. Acad. Sci.: B. Life Environ. Sci.***54** (1): 11–20.
- ASHISH, V., DEEPAK, V., AND VYAS, K.M., 2005. Production and optimization of cellulases on pretreated groundnut shell by *Aspergillus terreus* AV 49. *J. Sci. Ind. Res.*, **64**: 281-286.

- BAHOBIL, A., R.A.BAYOUMIL, R.A., ATTA, H.M., AND EI-SEHRAWEY, M.M., 2014. Production of thermoalkali stable microbial cellulases from some agricultural wastes under solid state fermentation conditions. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci.*, **3**(12): 99-117.
- BAS, D. AND BOYACI, I.H., 2007. Modeling and optimization I: Usability of response surface methodology. *J. Food Engin.*, **78**: 836-845.
- BHAT, M.K., 2000.Cellulases and related enzymes in biotechnology. *Biotechnol* .*Adva.*, **18**(5): 355–383.
- BRIJWANI, K. AND VADLANI P.V., 2011. Cellulolytic enzymes production via solid state fermentation: Effect of pretreatment methods on physiochemical characteristics of substrate. Enz. Res., doi:10.4061/2011/860134
- CHERRY, J.R., AND FIDANTSEF A.L., 2003. Directed evolution of industrial enzymes: an update.*Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.*, **14**: 438–43.
- FLENGSRUD, R., LINDHAL, V., AND TRONSMO, A., 1994. Characterization of production and enzyme properties of an endo-β-1, 4-glucanase from *Bacillus subtilis* CK-2 isolated from compost soil. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek*, **66**(4): 319– 326.
- IRFAN, M., ABBAS, S., BAIG, S., GULSHER, M., NADEEM, M., SYED, Q.A., 2010. Pretreatment: A potential technique to enhance the enzymatic hydrolysis. *World J. Agri. Sci.*, **6**(4): 440-445
- IRFAN, M., ABBAS, S., BAIG, S., GULSHER, M., NADEEM, M. AND SYED, Q.A., 2011. Effect of various pretreatment conditions on enzymatic saccharification. *Songklanakarin J. Sci. Technol.*, **33**: 397-404.
- KAUR, J., CHADHA, B., BADHAN, A., AND SAINI, S.H., 2007. Purification and characterization of two endoglucanases from *Melanocarpussp.* MTCC 3922.*Bioresource Technol.*, **98**: 74-81.
- KIM, Y., HENDRICKSON, R., MOSIER, N.S, LADISCH, M.R., BALS, B., BALAN, V., AND DALE, B.E., 2008. Enzyme hydrolysis and ethanol fermentation of liquid hot water and AFEX pretreated distillers' grains athigh –solids loadings,

Bioresource Technol., **99**(12): 5206 – 5215.

- KUMAR, B., TRIVEDI, P., MISHRA, A.K., PANDEY, A., AND PALNI, L.M.S., 2004. Microbial diversity of soil from two hot springs in Uttaranchal, Himalaya. *Microbiol. Res.*,**159**(2):141–146.
- MAKI, M., LEUNG, K.T. AND QIN, W., 2009. The prospects of cellulase producing bacteria for the bioconversion of lignocellulosic biomass. *Int. J. Biol. Sci.*, **5**(5): 500–516.
- MAWADZA, C., BOOGERD, F.C., ZVAUYA, R., AND Van Verseveld, H.W., 1996. Influence of environmental factors on endo- β - 1,4-glucanase production by *Bacillus* HR 68, isolated from a Zimbabwean hot spring,. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek.* **69**(4): 363–369.
- NASCIMENTO, R.P., JUNIOR, N.A., PERIERA, N., BON, E.P.S. AND COELHO, R.R.R., 2009. Brewer's spent grain and corn steep liquor as substrates for cellulolytic enzymes production by *Streptomyces malaysiensis*. *Lett. Appl.Microbiol.*, **48**(5): 529–535.
- OKE, M.A., ISHOLA, M.M., TAHERZADEH, M.J., ANNUAR, M.S.M., AND SIMARANI, K., 2016. Effects of pretreatment of single and mixed lignocellulosic substrates on production of endoglucanase by *Bacillus aerius* S5.2. *Bioresources*, **11**(3): 6708-6726.
- RASTOGI, G., BHALLA, A., ADHIKARI, A., BISCHOFF, K.M., HUGHES, S.R, AND CRISTOPHER, L.P., 2010. Characterization of thermostable cellulases produced by *Bacillus* and *Geobacillus* strains. *Bioresour Technol.*,**101**(22): 8798– 8806.
- ROBSON L.M. AND CHAMBLISS, G.H. 1989. Cellulases of bacterial origin. *Enz. Microbial. Technol.*, **11**(10): 626–644.
- SCHALLMEY, M., SINGH, A., AND WARD, O.P., 2004. Developments in the use of *Bacillus* species for industrial production. *Canadian J. Microbiol.*, **50**(1): 1–17.
- SHALLOM, D. AND SHOHAM, Y. 2003. Microbial hemicellulases. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.*, **6**: 219-228.
- SINGH, J., BATRA, N. AND SOBTI, R.C., 2004. Purification and characterization of alkaline cellulase produced by a novel isolate *Bacillus sphaericus* JS1. *J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, **31**(2): 51–56.

- THOLUDUR, A., RAMIREZ, W.F. AND McMILLAN, J.D. 1999.Mathematical modeling and optimization of cellulase protein production using *Trichodermareesei* RL-P37. *Biotechnol. Bioengin.* **66** (1): 1-16.
- THONGEKKAEW, J., IKEDA, H., MASAKI, K. AND LEFUJI, H., 2008. An acidic and

thermostable carboxymethyl cellulase from the yeast *Cryptococcus* sp. S-2: Purification, characterization and improvement of its recombinant enzyme production by high cell-density fermentation of *Pichiapastoris*. *Protein Express*. *Purif.*, **60**: 140-146.