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#### Abstract

The $S / A_{1}$ is a new formula for the measurement of the karyotype symmetry/asymmetry index. Especially in higher animals and humans, it is important to know the values of the karyotype symmetry/asymmetry, so that species, genera, families and orders can be compared. Also the evolutionary relationships of higher organisms can be determined. The symmetry/asymmetry index is applied to the Felidae species. After a comprehensive literature search, karyotype formulae, $S / A_{I}$ values and karyotype types of 23 species were determined. According to the $S / A_{I}$ values, a phylogenetic tree was drawn showing relationships among the species.
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## INTRODUCTION

Felidae is placed in the suborder Feliformia in the order Carnivora. There are both domestic and wild species in Felidae. The family consists of at least 36 wild species. They are distributed naturally in almost every area of the world except Antarctica and Australia (Lamberski, 2015). The growth of human population has badly impacted the animal species in many ways such as; deforestation habitat loss, invasive species, urbanization, industrialization, human-avian negative interactions and climate change (Ali et al., 2016). The number of Felidae taxa decreases with especially human impacts. According to the International Union for the Conservation of Nature Red List, the five species are categorized as Endangered (EN). These species are Catopuma badia, Leopardus jacobita, Lynx pardinus, Panthera tigris and Prionailurus planiceps (IUCN, 2017). The Felidae is one of the most important members of the world's wildlife. Therefore many taxonomic and
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cytotaxonomic studies have been reported related felids till now. The chromosome numbers of the taxa are $2 n=36$ and $2 n=38$ (Hsu et al., 1963; Wurster-Hill and Gray, 1973; Wurster-Hill and Centerwall, 1982; Suedmeyer et al., 2003; Keawmad et al., 2007; Tanomtong et al., 2008a, 2008b, 2008c, 2009). The chromosomes have been arranged as six groups based on size and centromeric position at the San Juan Conference (Jones, 1965). These groups are given in Table I.

Genetics play a major role in the determination of differences within a population (Tahir et al., 2016). Chromosome number and the chromosome morphology are increasingly used in the taxonomy. Especially the karyotype symmetry/ asymmetry and chromosomal measurements are the most important taxonomic characters together with morphological characters (Eroğlu et al., 2013) for the chromosome numbers of organisms are highly variable. The $S / A_{1}$ is a new formula for the measurement of the karyotype symmetry/ asymmetry index in higher animals and humans (Eroğlu, 2015). The objective of this study is to Copyright 2017, Dept. Zool., P.U., Lahore, Pakistan
determine the $S / A_{I}$ of the Felidaespecies according to the chromosome types and centromeric position.

Table I: The chromosomal groups and chromosome types of the Felidae karyotypes

| Chromosomal <br> groups | Chromosome type |
| :---: | :--- |
| A | Submetacentric |
| B | Acrocentric ${ }^{*}$ |
| C | Metacentric |
| D | Submetacentric |
| E | Metacentric |
| F | Telocentric |

Used as subtelocentric in some studies

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

## The karyotype symmetry/asymmetry index

 formula ( $S / A_{1}$ )The formula was reported by Eroğlu (2015) and given below.
$S / A_{I}=(1 \times M)+(2 \times S M)+(3 \times A)+(4 \times T) / 2 n(1)$
or
S/A $=(1 \times \mathrm{M})+(2 \times \mathrm{SM})+(3 \times \mathrm{ST})+(4 \times \mathrm{T}) / 2 n(2)$
In these equations, $M=$ metacentric chromosome number; SM = submetacentric chromosome number; $\mathrm{A}=$ acrocentric chromosome number; $\mathrm{T}=$ telocentric chromosome number; $2 n=$ diploid chromosome number.

Eroğlu (2015) reported the new classification model for karyotype symmetry/ asymmetry. There are 5 types of karyotype symmetry/asymmetry in the classification model. They are full symmetric, symmetric, between symmetric and asymmetric, asymmetric and, full asymmetric. A full symmetric karyotype is characterized by completely median chromosomes and the $S / A_{\text {I }}$ value is 1.0000 . In contrast, an asymmetric karyotype consists of a complete set of telocentric chromosomes and the $S / A_{\text {, }}$ value is 4.0000 (Eroğlu, 2015).

## Sample application of symmetry/asymmetry on species

The karyotypes of Felidae species were used for the example application. The Felidae includes the carnivorous mammals commonly known as cat, panthera and puma. After a
comprehensive literature search, karyotype formulae, index values and karyotype types of 23 species have been identified (Table II). Also, Table II contains the scientific name, common name and author of the species. The scientific names were checked from IUCN Red List (IUCN, 2017), because the scientific names of some species can be reported differently in the literature. Snow leopardis an important example. This species is named as both Panthera uncia (Johnson et al., 2006) and Uncia uncia (Bagchi and Mishra, 2006; Herrin et al., 2012). Jaguarundi is another example. This species is named as both Herpailurus yagouaroundi (Agnarsson et al., 2010; Segura et al., 2013) and Puma yagouaroundi (Johnson et al., 2006; Eizirik et al., 2008).

According to the index values in Table II, a phylogenetic tree was drawn showing relationships among the species of Felidae (Fig. 1). In Fig. 1 the female karyotype index values of 23 species are located. The male index data are insufficient for two reasons. (i) There is no male in the karyotype studies of Felis silvestris, Felis catus, Caracal caracal, Catopuma temminckii, Panthera leo, Acinonyx jubatus, Prionailurus rubiginosus and Prionailurus viverrinus; only the female karyotype has been reported (WursterHill and Gray, 1973; Wurster-Hill and Centerwall, 1982; Tanomtonget al., 2009). (ii) There are males and Y chromosomes in the karyotype studies of Lynx lynx, Panthera tigris, Leopardus geoffroyi, Leopardus pajeros and Herpailurus yagouaroundi, but the Y chromosome is very small. The type of chromosome was not reported (Wurster-Hill and Gray, 1973; Suedmeyer et al., 2003; Nieet al., 2012).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The ancestral carnivore karyotype is $2 n$ $=38$ (Nash et al., 2008). The predominant diploid number of chromosomes in Felidae is $2 n$ $=38$. Another common chromosome number is $2 n=36$. Although there are 38 chromosomes in many species, there are 36 chromosomes in only four (Leopardus geoffroyi, Leopardus pajeros, Leopardus tigrinus and Leopardus wiedii) of the 23 species in the Table II. As an interesting note, these species have the different chromosome numbers and the smallest indexvalues (1.8889) together with Herpailurus yagouaroundi (1.8421). The karyotypes of genus Leopardus are symmetric types together with

Neofelis, Acinonyx, Prionailurus and Herpailurus. The karyotype type is between
symmetric and asymmetric in the other 6 genera and 13 species.

Table II: Karyotype formulae, index values and karyotype type of species

| No | Species Scientific name/common name | $2 n$ | Autosomes and sex chromosomes | $\mathbf{S} / \mathbf{A}_{1}$ | Karyotype type | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Felis silvestris (Schreber, 1777) (Wildcat) | 38 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \mathrm{M}+14 \mathrm{SM}+8 \mathrm{~A}+ \\ & 4 \mathrm{~T} \\ & \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{SM}, \mathrm{Y} \text { ? } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} 2.1579 \\ \text { (F) } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Between symmetric and asymmetric | Wurster-Hill and Gray, 1973 |
| 2 | Felis catus (Linnaeus, 1758) (Domestic cat) | 38 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \mathrm{M}+14 \mathrm{SM}+8 \mathrm{~A}+ \\ & 4 \mathrm{~T} \\ & \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{SM}, \mathrm{Y} \text { ? } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1579 \\ & \text { (F) } \end{aligned}$ | Between symmetric and asymmetric | Wurster-Hill and Gray, 1973 |
| 3 | Felis chaus (Guldensteadt, 1776) <br> (Jungle cat) | 38 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \mathrm{M}+14 \mathrm{SM}+8 \mathrm{~A}+ \\ & 4 \mathrm{~T} \\ & \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{SM}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{SM} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1579 \\ & \text { (F) } \\ & 2.1579 \\ & \text { (M) } \end{aligned}$ | Between symmetric and asymmetric | Wurster-Hill and Gray, 1973; Tanomtonget al., 2008c |
| 4 | Lynx lynx <br> (Linnaeus, 1758) <br> (Eurasian lynx) | 38 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \mathrm{M}+14 \mathrm{SM}+8 \mathrm{~A}+ \\ & 4 \mathrm{~T} \\ & \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{SM}, \mathrm{Y} \text { minute }{ }^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1579 \\ & \text { (F) } \end{aligned}$ | Between symmetric and asymmetric | Nieet al., 2012 |
| 5 | Lynx rufus (Schreber, 1777) (Bobcat) | 38 | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \mathrm{M}+16 \mathrm{SM}+8 \mathrm{ST}+ \\ & 4 \mathrm{~T} \\ & \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{ST} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1579 \\ & \text { (F) } \\ & 2.2105 \\ & \text { (M) } \end{aligned}$ | Between symmetric and asymmetric | Hsu et al., 1963 |
| 6 | Caracal caracal (Schreber, 1776) (Caracal) | 38 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \mathrm{M}+14 \mathrm{SM}+8 \mathrm{~A}+ \\ & 4 \mathrm{~T} \\ & \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{SM}, \mathrm{Y} \text { ? } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1579 \\ & \text { (F) } \end{aligned}$ | Between symmetric and asymmetric | Wurster-Hill and Gray, 1973 |
| 7 | Catopuma temminckii (Vigors and Horsfield, 1827) (Asian golden cat) | 38 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \mathrm{M}+14 \mathrm{SM}+8 \mathrm{~A}+ \\ & 4 \mathrm{~T} \\ & \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{SM}, \mathrm{Y} \text { ? } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1579 \\ & (F) \end{aligned}$ | Between symmetric and asymmetric | Wurster-Hill and Gray, 1973; Tanomtonget al., 2009 |
| 8 | Puma concolor (Linnaeus, 1771) (Cougar) | 38 | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \mathrm{M}+14 \mathrm{SM}+12 \mathrm{ST}+ \\ & 2 \mathrm{~T} \\ & \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{ST} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1579 \\ & \text { (F) } \\ & 2.2105 \\ & \text { (M) } \end{aligned}$ | Between symmetric and asymmetric | Hsu et al., 1963 |
| 9 | Panthera leo <br> (Linnaeus, 1758) (Lion) | 38 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \mathrm{M}+14 \mathrm{SM}+8 \mathrm{~A}+ \\ & 4 \mathrm{~T} \\ & \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{SM}, \mathrm{Y} \text { ? } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1579 \\ & \text { (F) } \end{aligned}$ | Between symmetric and asymmetric | Wurster-Hill and Gray, 1973 |
| 10 | Panthera pardus (Linnaeus, 1758) (Leopard) | 38 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \mathrm{M}+14 \mathrm{SM}+8 \mathrm{~A}+ \\ & 4 \mathrm{~T} \\ & \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{SM}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{SM} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1579 \\ & \text { (F) } \\ & 2.1579 \\ & \text { (M) } \end{aligned}$ | Between symmetric and asymmetric | Tanomtonget al., 2008 b |
| 11 | Panthera tigris (Linnaeus, 1758) (Tiger) | 38 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \mathrm{M}+14 \mathrm{SM}+8 \mathrm{~A}+ \\ & 4 \mathrm{~T} \\ & \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{SM}, \mathrm{Y} \text { minute }{ }^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1579 \\ & (F) \end{aligned}$ | Between symmetric and asymmetric | Suedmeyeret al., 2003 |
| 12 | Panthera uncia (Schreber, 1775) (Snow leopard) | 38 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 M+14 S M+8 A+ \\ & 4 T \\ & X=S M, Y=S M \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1579 \\ & \text { (F) } \\ & 2.1579 \\ & \text { (M) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Between symmetric and asymmetric | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Soderlundet al., } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ |


| No | Species Scientific name/common name | 2n | Autosomes and sex chromosomes | S/AI | Karyotype type | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | Panthera onca (Linnaeus, 1758) (Jaguar) | 38 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 M+16 S M+6 A+ \\ & 4 T \\ & X=M, Y=S M \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.0526 \\ & \text { (F) } \\ & 2.0789 \\ & \text { (M) } \end{aligned}$ | Between symmetric and asymmetric | Ledesmaet al., 2004 |
| 14 | Neofelis nebulosa (Griffith, 1821) (Clouded leopard) | 38 | ```12M + 14SM + 8A + 2T X = SM, Y = SM``` | $\begin{aligned} & 2.0000 \\ & \text { (F) } \\ & 2.2000 \\ & \text { (M) } \end{aligned}$ | Symmetric | Tanomtonget al., 2008a |
| 15 | Acinonyx jubatus (Schreber, 1775) (Cheetah) | 38 | ```12M + 14SM + 8A + 2T X=SM,Y ?``` | $\begin{aligned} & 2.0000 \\ & \text { (F) } \end{aligned}$ | Symmetric | Wurster-Hill and Centerwall, 1982 |
| 16 | Prionailurus bengalensis (Kerr, 1792) (Asian leopard cat) | 38 | $\begin{aligned} & 12 M+14 S M+8 A+ \\ & 2 T \\ & X=S M, Y=M \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.0000 \\ & \text { (F) } \\ & 1.9737 \\ & \text { (M) } \end{aligned}$ | Symmetric | Wurster-Hill and Gray, 1973; Keawmadet al., 2007 |
| 17 | Prionailurus rubiginosus (I. Geoffroy SaintHilaire, 1831) (Rusty-spotted cat) | 38 | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \mathrm{M}+14 \mathrm{SM}+8 \mathrm{~A}+ \\ & 2 \mathrm{~T} \\ & \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{SM}, \mathrm{Y} ?^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.0000 \\ & \text { (F) } \end{aligned}$ | Symmetric | Wurster-Hill and Centerwall, 1982 |
| 18 | Prionailurus viverrinus (Bennett, 1833) (Fishing cat) | 38 | ```12M + 14SM + 8A + 2T X = SM, Y ?*``` | $\begin{aligned} & 2.0000 \\ & \text { (F) } \end{aligned}$ | Symmetric | Wurster-Hill and Gray, 1973; <br> Tanomtonget al., 2009 |
| 19 | Leopardus geoffroyi (d'Orbigny and Gervais, 1844) (Geoffroy’s cat) | 36 | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \mathrm{M}+14 \mathrm{SM}+8 \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{SM}, \mathrm{Y} \text { minute } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.8889 \\ & (F) \end{aligned}$ | Symmetric | Wurster-Hill and Gray, 1973 |
| 20 | Leoparduspajeros (Desmarest, 1816) (Pampas cat) | 36 | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \mathrm{M}+14 \mathrm{SM}+8 \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{SM}, \mathrm{Y} \text { minute } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.8889 \\ & (F) \end{aligned}$ | Symmetric | Wurster-Hill and Gray, 1973 |
| 21 | Leopardustigrinus (Schreber, 1775) (Oncilla) | 36 | $\begin{aligned} & 12 M+14 S M+8 A \\ & X=S M, Y=S M \end{aligned}$ | 1.8889 <br> (F) <br> 1.8889 <br> (M) | Symmetric | Seibt, 2009 |
| 22 | Leoparduswiedii( Schinz, 1821) (Margay) | 36 | $\begin{aligned} & 12 M+14 S M+8 A \\ & X=S M, Y=S M \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.8889 \\ & \text { (F) } \\ & 1.8889 \\ & \text { (M) } \end{aligned}$ | Symmetric | Seibt, 2009 |
| 23 | Herpailurus yagouaroundi (É. Geoffroy SaintHilaire, 1803) (Jaguarundi) | 38 | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \mathrm{M}+14 \mathrm{SM}+8 \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{SM}, \mathrm{Y} \text { minute } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.8421 \\ & (\mathrm{~F}) \end{aligned}$ | Symmetric | Wurster-Hill and Gray, 1973 |

The karyotype symmetry/ asymmetry values of 13 species are 2.0526-2.1579. The species of the same genus are located close in Figure 1. The karyotypes of Felis, Lynx, Caracal, Catopuma, Puma and Panthera are the type between symmetric and asymmetric. Although between symmetric and asymmetric, the index value of Pantheraonca is different from other Panthera species. The karyotype types and index values are determined the close genus and species. The karyotypes between symmetric and asymmetric of the Figure are evaluated with reported the morphologic and genetic analysis (Kitchener and Rees, 2009). There is no definitive classification and no clear consensus with regard to Felis species showing a worldwide distribution. However the genetic
relationship of wildcats and domestic cats is similar (IUCN, 2017). Sunquist and Sunquist (2002) reported that there is a relationship in terms of morphological characters between Felis chaus and genus Lynx. The caracal is close lynx, domestic cats, golden cat and serval (Johnson et al., 2006). Johnson et al. (2006) reported that the snow leopard is a species in the genus Panthera according to the genetic analysis. It is most closely related to the tiger, having diverged over 2 million years ago (O'Brien and Johnson, 2007). However genetic analysis studies of the Snow Leopard have not yet been done. According to the karyotype symmetry/asymmetry value, the snow leopard is located in the same group with genus Panthera.


Figure 1: The phylogenetic tree showing relationships of the index values among the species of felids.

The karyotypes of Neofelis, Acinonyx, Prionailurus, Leopardus and Herpailurus are symmetric types. While the highest index value is 2.000 (Neofelis, Acinonyx and Prionailurus), the lowest index value is 1.8421 (Herpailurus) at symmetric type. Genus Leopardus has different chromosome number $(2 n=36)$ and different index value (1.8889) from all genus. The symmetric type karyotypes in Figure 1 are evaluated with the morphologic and genetic analysis. It is reported that the cheetah, puma and jaguarundi are close species in the tribe Acinonychini (Johnson and O'Brien, 1997; Bininda-Emonds et al., 1999; Mattern and

MacLennan, 2000). There is no relationship among these three species in Figure. The heterogeneous distribution of these species can be explained with some reasons. Different authors may report different results, due to chromosomal polymorphism or changes in chromosome structure. For example, the karyotype formula of Herpailurus yagouaroundi (Wurster-Hill and Gray, 1973) used in the present study is different from that described by Novillo-González (2010). The index values from Novillo-González (2010) are 1.7895 (female) and 1.8158 (male). When using these values, the position of Herpailurus yagouaroundi will not
change in the Figure 1. Both in the present study and in other studies (Eiziriket al., 1998) reported that there is no relationship between genus Leopardus and other genus. The yagouaroundi is a species in the genus Puma (Johnson et al., 2006; Eizirik et al., 2008), but Agnarsson et al. (2010) reported that the Jaguarundi is not a similar species to the Puma. Segura et al. (2013) noted that the Cheetah and Puma are similar species, unlike Jaguarundi is quite different from Puma. The IUCN SSC Cat Specialist Group classifies the yagouaroundi in the genus Herpailurus according to the phylogenetic uncertainties and morphological and behavioral differences (IUCN, 2017). In Figure 1 the yagouaroundi is located quite far away from genus Puma. As a result, the karyotypes of Felidae species were used for the comparison with karyotype symmetry/ asymmetry index. As shown in Fig. 1, $\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{I}}$ together with the other parameters will contribute to phylogenetic trees of mammals.
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