Research Article



Oviposition Preference of *Bactrocera zonata* (Saunders) on Different Fruits under Laboratory Conditions

Ghulam Murtaza^{1*}, Muhammad Ramzan², Assad Ullah³, Abid Ali⁵, Ayesha Zafar³, Rukhsar Beanish³, Ahmad Ali⁴, Ghulam Mustafa⁴ and Mudassar Aslam⁴

¹Department of Entomology, College of Plant Protection, China Agricultural University, Beijing, China; ²State Key Laboratory for Biology of Plant Disease and Insect Pests, Institute of Plant Protection, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing 100193, China; ³Department of Zoology Wildlife and Fisheries, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan; ⁴Department of Entomology, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan; ⁵Department of Biology, Govt. Degree College, Akbarpura, Nowshera, Pakistan.

Abstract | Fruit fly, *Bactrocera zonata* (Saunders) is the most important pest in different regions of the world. Due to cosmopolitan in nature, it causes huge losses in various fruits and vegetables production throughout the globe. The current experiment was designed at Insect Rearing Laboratory, MNS. University of Agriculture, Multan to evaluate host and oviposition preference of Bactrocera zonata on different fruits under laboratory conditions. Infested fruits were collected from local fruits and vegetables market and brought to laboratory. Collected fruits and vegetables were placed in cages having sand in bottom for pupation. After the adult emergence fruit fly species were identified based on diagnostic morphological features and placed in separate cages. Different fruits such as guava, apple, fig, banana and citrus were exposed to Bactrocera zonata for oviposition. Fruits were placed in cage to assess the host preference for oviposition fruits having equal weight (500g). Fifty pairs of 11-12 days old adult of B. zonata were released in cage for 24h to determine their oviposition. The study resulted that maximum number of pupae (125.34) were formed in guava fruit exposed to B. zonata followed by banana (104.12), citrus (98.65), fig (84.34) and apple fruit (34.27). The adult emergence percentage was maximum in guava (79.26%) and banana (70.56%) host followed by citrus (65.44%), fig (57.61%) and apple fruit (53.51%) respectively. The study concluded that guava was the most preferred and suitable host for oviposition. Furthermore, the oviposition preference shifted towards the suitable host if a suitable host choice was available at breading site.

Received | November 27, 2020; Accepted | July 01, 2021; Published | August 15, 2021

*Correspondence | Ghulam Murtaza, Department of Entomology, College of Plant Protection, China Agricultural University, Beijing, China; Email: murtazabwn54@gmail.com

Citation | Murtaza, G., M. Ramzan, A. Ullah, A. Ali, A. Zafar, R. Beanish, A. Ali, G. Mustafa and M. Aslam. 2021. Oviposition preference of *Bactrocera zonata* (Saunders) on different fruits under laboratory conditions. *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Research*, 34(4): 689-692. DOI | https://dx.doi.org/10.17582/journal.pjar/2021/34.4.689.692

Keywords | Bactrocera zonata, Emergence, Host preference, Oviposition, Multan

Introduction

Fruit fly belongs to order Diptera and family Tephritidae is most important pest in different regions (tropical, sub-tropical and temperate) of the world (De Meyer *et al.*, 2010; Vargas *et al.*, 2015) and attain international attention due to cosmopolitan in nature (Rasool *et al.*, 2017). Most species are polyphagous in nature and damage a wide range of fruits and vegetables (Joomaye *et al.*, 2000; Rauf *et al.*, 2013). There are 5,000 documented species in Tephritidae family under 6 subfamilies and 500 genera throughout the world (Uchoa and Nicacio, 2010). About 70 species of fruit flies are considered important pest of different agricultural and horticultural crops in tropical and subtropical areas of the globe (Ni *et al.*, 2012).

Genus *Bactrocera* is a major threat to horticultural crops due to invasive potential and wide range of hosts (Clarke *et al.*, 2005). *Bactrocera* species are causing huge losses at national and international level. Approximately 11 species have reported from Punjab Pakistan and directly and indirectly cause severe losses (Zubair *et al.*, 2019). Among reported species, two polyphagous fruit flies such as *Bactrocera zonata* and *Bactrocera cucurbitae* are more threating and prevalent in all districts of Punjab especially south Punjab (Sarwar *et al.*, 2013).

B. zonata feed on more than 50 fruits and vegetables like guava, mango, peach, citrus, pumpkin, bitter gourd and apricot (El-Akhdar and Afia, 2009; Sarwar et al., 2013). The female fruit fly lays eggs in the soft and tender tissues by piercing them with the ovipositor; resulting a watery fluid oozing from the puncture and maggots developing inside the fruits (Jackson et al., 2003). Female adult fruit fly visits the fruit and make decision to oviposit in host fruit after determination that host is suitable for their progeny (Joachim-Bravo et al., 2001; Fontellas-Brandalha and Zucoloto, 2004). The female fruit fly determines host/food distance using sensory organ with the help of sensory receptors. Female uses odor receptors to locate food source from a long distance. After the selection of host on morphological basis fruit fly land and take a taste bite that food is suitable or not for their progeny survival based on food nutrition and host defense toxicity (Wisotsky et al., 2011). Host appearance such as color, size, shape and fragrance of host fruit these factor influence females to host rejection or acceptance (Mahfuza et al., 2011).

Knowledge about its host preference will be helpful to manage this pest by using this host as a trap crop. Therefore, the present study was intended to evaluate host preferences for oviposition under laboratory conditions. This study will also be helpful for host preference surveillance sampling of fruit fly if they are not attracted to different male lure.

Materials and Methods

Collection of culture

Different infested fruits like guava, citrus and banana

December 2021 | Volume 34 | Issue 4 | Page 690

etc. were collected from local fruit and vegetable market in Multan.

Rearing and identification of fruit fly

Collected infested fruits having maggots were brought to the Insect rearing laboratory, MNS. University of Agriculture, Multan during 2020. Infested fruit were placed in mosquito net cage for pupation and adult emergence. When adult emerged, they identify based on diagnostic morphological features and placed in separate cages. Identified *B. zonata* adults were reared on banana fruit. The culture was maintained at 26±2 °C temperature and 65%± 5% relative humidity.

Host preference and female oviposition

Different fruits like as guava, citrus, banana, fig and apple were used in the experiment for host preference test. All fruits were placed in mosquito net cage with 500 g each fruit and 50 pair of 11-12 days old adults of B. zonata were released to observe oviposition for 24 h. After 24 hours of post treatments, female oviposited fruits were placed in separate plastic cages having sand for pupation. After pupation, sand was sieved and fresh pupa was collected. Counted number of pupae form each host were placed in separate small plastic cages for adult emergence. Host preference were evaluated based on total number of pupae, number of adult emergence and adult emergence percentage. Adult emergence percentage was determined from the total number of pupae formed divided by number of adults emerged multiply with hundred in all commodities.

Statistical analysis

The collected data of fruit infesting and adult emergence were arranged in excel sheet and determine the analysis of variance (ANOVA) by using statistical software, Statistix 8.1 The mean value of treatments was evaluated using least significant difference (LSD) test at P= 0.05 probability level.

The adult emergence (%) was calculated by following formula:

Adult Emergenmee (%) =
$$\frac{\text{Number of adults emerged}}{\text{Total number of pupae}} \times 100$$

Results and Discussion

The preference of host suitability for fruit fly oviposition was tested on five fruits under laboratory conditions.



Host suitability is the most important for the survival of their progeny. The female fruit fly visits the hosts and selection was done based on host color, size, shape and smell which influence the female fruit fly's response (Mahfuza *et al.*, 2011). In the present study guava was most preferred host for oviposition. The number of pupae and adult's emergence from guava fruit was recorded maximum (125.34 pupae and 98.35 adult emerged). The minimum number of pupae formation and adult's emergence was recorded from apple fruit used as host. The percentage of adult emergence was recorded maximum in guava (79.26%) and banana (70.56%) followed by citrus (65.44%), fig (57.61%) and apple fruit (53.51%), respectively (Table 1).

Table 1: Host preference of Bactrocera zonata for
oviposition on different fruits.

No.	Host	No. of pupae	Adult emerged	Emergence percentage
1	Guava	125.34a	98.35a	79.26a
2	Citrus	98.65c	64.56c	65.44b
3	Banana	104.12b	73.47b	70.56b
4	Apple	34.27bc	18.34d	53.51c
5	Fig	84.34b	48.59bc	57.61d
S. Error		3.57	3.87	2.09
LSD		7.57	8.69	5.03

The guava was found most preferred host for *B. zonata* which contradicts with earlier scientists who had described the mango as the most suitable host for oviposition in both field as well as laboratory conditions (Sarwar *et al.*, 2013; El-Gendy, 2017). The contradiction may be because we did not use mango as a host in current study due to offseason or unavailability. Adult emergence was found highest on guava as compared to citrus, banana and apple. Many other researchers had reported that adult emergence was recorded maximum in apple fruit (El-Gendy, 2017) which is not in line with our study findings. The current study showed that maximum number of pupae was formed in guava host while minimum in apple fruit.

Conclusions and Recommendations

Host suitability is the most important for their survival of off-spring. The female fruit fly determines host/food distance using sensory organ with the help of sensory receptors. After the selection of host fly land and taste food as a sample that food is suitable or not for their progeny survival based on food nutrition and host defense toxicity. So, the study concluded that the guava was more preferable fruit for oviposition among the tested fruits.

Acknowledgments

Authors are highly thankful to MNS. University of Agriculture, Multan for facilitating during experiment.

Novelty Statement

Fruit fly, *Bactrocera zonata* (Saunders) is the most important fruits and vegetables pest and cosmopolitan in nature. So, host suitability is the most important for their survival of off-spring. This study will be helpful to scientists regarding oviposition preference of *B. zonata*.

Author's Contribution

Ghulam Murtaza: Wrote the manuscript and conducted the experiment.

Muhammad Ramzan: Helped in data analysing.

Assad Ullah and Abid Ali: Collected data.

Ayesha Zafar: Helped in references.

Rukhsar Beanish and Ghulam Mustafa: Wrote the manuscript.

Ahmad Ali and Mudassar Aslam: Reviewed the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors have declared no conflict of interest.

References

- Clarke, A.R., K.F. Armstrong, A.E. Carmichael, J.R. Milne, S. Raghu, G.K. Roderick and D.K. Yeates. 2005. Invasive phytophagous pests arising through a recent tropical evolutionary radiation: The Bactrocera dorsalis complex of fruit flies. Annu. Rev. Entomol., 50: 293-319. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev. ento.50.071803.130428
- De Meyer, M., M.P. Robertson, M.W. Mansell, S. Ekesi, K. Tsuruta, W. Mwaiko, J.F. Vayssieres and A.T. Peterson. 2010. Ecological niche and potential geographic distribution of the Invasive Fruit Fly Bactrocera invadens (Diptera, Tephritidae). B. Entomol. Res., 100: 35-48. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007485309006713

- El-Akhdar, E.A.H. and Y.E. Afia. 2009. Functional ultrastructure of antennae, wings and their associated sensory receptors of peach fruit fly, *Bactrocera zonata* (Saunders) as influenced by the sterilizing dose of gamma irradiation. J. Rad. Res. Appl. Sci., 2: 797–817.
- El-Gendy, I.R., 2017. Host preference of the peach fruit fly, *Bactrocera zonata* (Saunders) (Diptera: Tephritidae), under laboratory conditions.
 J. Entomol., 14(4): 160-167. https://doi. org/10.3923/je.2017.160.167
- Fontellas-Brandalha, T.M.L. and F.S. Zucoloto. 2004. Selection of oviposition sites by wild Anastrepha obliqua (Macquart) (Diptera: Tephritidae) based on the nutritional composition. Neotrop. Entomol., 33: 557-562. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1519-566X2004000500003
- Jakson, C.G., R.I. Vargas and D.Y. Suda. 2003. Populations of Bactrocera cucurbitae (Diptera: Tephritidae) and its parasitoid, Psyttalia fletcheri (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) in Coccinia grandis (Cucurbitaceae) or ivy gourd on the island of Hawaii. Proc. Hawaiian Entomol. Soc., 36: 39–46.
- Joachim-Bravo, I.S., O.A. Fernandes, S.A. De-Bortoli and F.S. Zucoloto. 2001. Oviposition behavior of Ceratitis capitata Wiedemann (Diptera: Tephritidae): Association between oviposition preference and larval performance in individual females. Neotrop. Entomol., 30: 559-564. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1519-566X2001000400008
- Joomaye, A., N.S. Price, J.M. Stonehouse and I. Seewooruthun. 2000. Quarantine pest risk analysis of fruit flies in the Indian Ocean: the case of *Bactrocera zonata*. In: Price, N.S., Seewooruthun, I., editors. Proceedings of the Indian Ocean Commissio, Regional Fruit Fly Symposium, Flic en Flac 2000; 5th-9th June 2000; Reduit, Mauritius. Regional Fruit Fly Programme, Ministry of Agriculture, Food Technol. Nat. Reso., pp. 179-183.
- Mahfuza, K., B.R. Tahira and J. Howlader. 2011. Comparative Host Susceptibility, Oviposition, and Colour Preference of Two Polyphagous Tephritids: Bactrocera cucurbitae (Coq.) and Bactrocera tau (Walker). Res. J. Agri. Biol. Sci., 7(3): 343- 349.
- Ni, W.L., Z.H. Li, H.J. Chen, F.H. Wan, W.W. Qu, Z. Zhang and D.J. Critics. 2012. Including

climate change in pest risk assessment: the peach fruit fly, *Bactrocera zonata* (Diptera: Tephritidae). Bull. Entomol. Res., 102(2): 17383. https://doi. org/10.1017/S0007485311000538

- Rasool, B., M. Rafique, M. Asrar, R. Rasool, M. Adeel, A. Rasul and F. Jabeen. 2017. Host preference of Bactrocera flies species (Diptera: Tephritidae) and parasitism potential of Dirhinus giffardii and Pachycropoideus vindemmiae under laboratory conditionss. Pak. Entomol., 39(1): 17-21.
- Rauf, I., N. Ahmad, S.M.S. Rashdi, M. Ismail and M.H. Khan. 2013. Laboratory studies on ovipositional preference of the peach fruit fly *Bactrocera zonata* (Saunders) (Diptera: Tephiritidae) for different host fruits. Afr. J. Agri. Res., 8(15): 1300-1303. https://doi. org/10.5897/AJAR2013.6744
- Sarwar, M., M. Hamed, B. Rasool, M. Yousaf and M. Hussain. 2013. Host preference and performance of fruit flies *Bactrocera zonata* (Saunders) and Bactrocera cucurbitae (Coquillett) (Diptera: Tephritidae) for various fruits and vegetables. Int. J. Scient. Res. Environ. Sci., 1: 188–194. https://doi.org/10.12983/ ijsres-2013-p188-194
- Uchoa, M.A. and J.N. Nicacio. 2010. New records of Neotropical fruit flies (Tephritidae), lance flies (Lonchaeidae) (Diptera: Tephritoidea), and their host plants in the South Pantanal and adjacent areas, Brazil. Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am., 103(5): 723-733. https://doi.org/10.1603/ AN09179
- Vargas, R.I., J.C. Pinero and L. Leblanc. 2015. An overview of pest species of Bactrocera fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) and the integration of biopesticides with other biological approaches for their management with a focus on the Pacific region. Insects, 6(2): 297-318. https:// doi.org/10.3390/insects6020297
- Wisotsky, Z., A. Medina, E. Freeman and A. Dahanukar. 2011. Evolutionary differences in food preference rely on Gr 64e, a receptor for glycerol. Nat. Neurosci., 14: 1534-1531. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2944
- Zubair, U., A. Shehzad, M.I. Mastoi and K. Mahmood. 2019. New record of fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) from Poonch division of Azad Jammu and Kashmir. Pak. J. Agric. Res., 32(3): 466-473. https://doi.org/10.17582/ journal.pjar/2019/32.3.466.473

December 2021 | Volume 34 | Issue 4 | Page 692