



Assessment of Binary Mixtures of Entomopathogenic Fungi and Chemical Insecticides on Biological Parameters of *Culex pipiens* (Diptera: Culicidae) under Laboratory and Field Conditions

Rana Fartab Shoukat¹, Shoaib Freed^{1,*}, Kanwar Waqas Ahmad¹ and Ateeq-ur-Rehman²

¹Department of Entomology, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences and Technology, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan

²Department of Plant Pathology, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences and Technology, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan

ABSTRACT

Southern house mosquito, *Culex pipiens* (Diptera: Culicidae), is most common agent for transferring a mass of pathogens i.e., West Nile Virus (WNV), avian pox virus (APV) etc. in rural and urban areas. To mitigate these problems study was conducted by using binary mixtures of entomopathogenic fungi, *Beauveria bassiana* (isolates Bb-01, Bb-10), *Metarhizium anisopliae* var. *anisopliae* (isolate Ma-11.1, Ma-2.4) and *Isaria fumosorosea* (isolates If-2.3, If-02) and chemical insecticides i.e., bifenthrin, lambda cyhalothrin, imidacloprid, triazophos, spinosad, pyriproxyfen and nitrinpyrum, as larvicides against *C. pipiens*. Highest larval percent mortality (73.3 ± 4.7) was observed after application of Ma-11.1(LC_{40}) + nitenpyram (LC_{40}) mixtures under laboratory and field conditions (71.5 ± 7.4). The results showed a significant effect of binary treatments of fungi and chemical insecticides on biological parameters of *C. pipiens* and its progeny ($P < 0.05$). The insect pathogenic fungi showed compatibility with insecticides and the combined application can improve the management program of *C. pipiens*.

INTRODUCTION

Culex pipiens (Diptera: Culicidae), is the most common mosquito in rural and urban areas which is capable of transferring a number of pathogens i.e., West Nile Virus (WNV) (CDC, 2002; Turel et al., 2001; Alouani et al., 2009), avian pox virus, bird malaria pathogen, dog heartworm, *Dirofilaria immitis*, filarial nematode, *Wuchereria bancrofti* (Vinogradova, 2000) and St. Louis encephalitis (Eldridge et al., 2000). One million people around the world are suffered annually from mosquito transmitted diseases (Kager, 2002). Mostly, synthetic pyrethroids, organophosphates and insect growth regulators are used for the control of adults and larvae of mosquito (Zaim et al., 2000; Hougard et al., 2002; Cui et al., 2006; WHO, 2006).

Over the last five decades relatively large number of problems have been raised due to the misuse of chemical insecticides namely, insecticide resistance, environmental

and water pollution, toxic hazards to humans and other non-target organisms (Jirakanjanakit et al., 2007; Seccacini et al., 2008; Al-Sarar, 2010; Khandagle et al., 2011). These problems require the development of effective, easy to apply and cheap substitutes by using eco-friendly products to control the mosquitoes (Liu et al., 2004, 2013; Nielsen and Lewis, 2012). In order to mitigate these problems, a major emphasis has recently been given by using insect pathogenic fungi (IPF) as larvicides (Howard et al., 2010; Mahesh et al., 2012). In general, mosquitoes show susceptibility towards pathogenic fungi and its derived products. The pathogenic fungi being low toxic to non-target organisms and its activity as larvicides proves to be a promising approach for the biological control of insect pests (Soni and Soam, 2010; Bukhari et al., 2011; Freed et al., 2012). In addition to this, entomopathogenic microorganisms have the advantage to be generally specific without affecting other natural enemies as compared to most of chemical insecticides (Perinotto et al., 2012).

Beauveria bassiana and *Metarhizium anisopliae* var. *anisopliae* are two of the most common cosmopolitan fungi which are pathogenic to a number of insect pests

* Corresponding author: sfareed@bzu.edu.pk
0030-9923/2018/0001-0299 \$ 9.00/0
Copyright 2018 Zoological Society of Pakistan

(Nussenbaum and Lecuona, 2012). The objective of current study was to investigate the efficiency of individual and combined application of fungi and insecticides for developing an eco-friendly approach and to investigate the effect of sub-lethal doses on the biological parameters of *C. pipiens*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mosquito collection and rearing

Larval collections (all instars) were carried out from Multan, Punjab, Pakistan from different sites and were pooled per locality. Larvae were brought to the Laboratory of Insect Microbiology at B.Z. University (BZU) Multan, where these were reared under standard controlled conditions ($26\pm2^{\circ}\text{C}$, $80\pm10\%$ relative humidity (RH) and 12:12 L:D photoperiod). Larvae were fed daily with baby fish food. The adult emergence was morphologically identified using identification keys and shifted in disinfected plastic cages (1.5×2 ft). Adult males were feed on 10% sugar solution, while females were given blood meal by feeding on laboratory white albumen mice. Female mosquitoes laid eggs in the form of patches in glass petri dishes containing 10ml water placed in plastic cages. Larvae on hatching were shifted in glass jars (10×15 cm) having 500 ml tap water and were feed on fish food till pupation. Water and diet of each jar was changed

after every 48 h.

Fungi culture

Different isolates of *B. bassiana* (Bb-01, Bb-10), *M. anisopliae* var. *anisopliae* (Ma-11.1, Ma-2.4) and *I. fumosorosea* (If-2.3, If-02) (Table I) already present in the Laboratory of Insect Microbiology, BZU, Multan were used. Monoconidial cultures of the isolates grown on PDA were used. For further propagation rice media was used. Stock solutions were made and stored at 4°C for further use.

Insecticides

Commercial formulations of different chemical insecticides were purchased for bioassay from the pesticide market of Multan, Pakistan (Table I).

Preparation of concentrations of fungi and insecticides

The spore concentrations of entomopathogenic fungi were measured using the haemocytometer and the desired concentrations (4×10^8 , 3×10^8 , 2×10^8 , 1×10^8 and 1×10^7 spores/ml) and (0.005, 0.0025, 0.00125, 0.000625, 0.00031 ppm) of fungi and insecticides were prepared for bioassay. On the other hand, the binary mixtures were made by using the following scheme *e.g.*, fungi (LC_{40}) + insecticide (LC_{40}), while similar scheme was adopted for other concentrations.

Table I.- List of the isolates of entomopathogenic fungi and insecticides used in the experiments.

Fungi	Isolate	Source	Location
<i>Beauveria bassiana</i>	Bb-01	Cotton Field	Makhdoom Rasheed, Multan, Pakistan
<i>Beauveria bassiana</i>	Bb-10	River side soil	Naran, Mansehra, Pakistan
<i>Metarrhizium anisopliae</i> var. <i>anisopliae</i>	Ma- 2.4	Barseen field	Tawakal Town, Multan, Pakistan
<i>Metarrhizium anisopliae</i> var. <i>anisopliae</i>	Ma-11.1	Cotton field	Makhdoom Rasheed, Multan, Pakistan
<i>Isaria fumosorosea</i>	If -02	Rove beetle	Multan, Pakistan
<i>Isaria fumosorosea</i>	If -2.3	Vegetable Field	Makhdoom Rasheed, Multan, Pakistan
Insecticides	Trade name	Manufacturer	Formulation
Bifenthrin	Maya®	Alfa agro chemicals	10EC
Imidaclorpid	Confidor®	Bayer	20SL
Lambda cyhalothrin	Karate®	Syngenta	2.5EC
Nitenpyram	Sparks®	FMC	10SL
Pyriproxyfen	Admiral®	FMC	10EC
Spinosad	Tarcer®	Arysta life sciences	24SC
Triazophos	Triazophos®	United distributers	40EC

Work layout and data analyses

The experiment was conducted under the Completely Randomized Design (CRD) with four replications in each treatment. All fungi and insecticides were screened to calculate LC₅₀, LC₄₀, LC₃₀, LC₂₀ and LC₁₀ values for both laboratory and field experiments. Three fungal isolates from the above mentioned fungi were selected with lowest LC₅₀ values. Mixtures of different concentrations of fungi + insecticides was applied on both laboratory and field populations. Small plastic containers with a capacity of 450 ml water were used for experimentation. In each container, 250 ml serially diluted suspension was poured and 15 larvae of same age belonging to late 3rd instar were released and provided with sufficient fish food. The containers were labelled and placed under laboratory condition (temperature, 25±1°C, RH. 75±2% and Photo period 10L-14D h) in Laboratory of Insect Microbiology, BZU, Multan, while for field experiments; these were placed under shady places (Temperature, ≈ 29±2°C, RH. 55±2%) in fields of BZU, Multan. Data of mortality was taken for seven days in case of fungi (Khan et al., 2014), three days in case of insecticides and seven days in case of binary mixtures. The data of precent pupation, pupal duration, precent emergence and sex ratio was recorded from beginning to end of experiment (Sivagnanam and Kalyanasundaram, 2004). LC₅₀, LC₄₀, LC₃₀, LC₂₀ and LC₁₀ values of all fungi and insecticides were calculated by using POLO-PC software (LeOra Software, 2003). The

means were analyzed by analytical software (Statistix version 8.1) and compared by LSD test at 0.05 probability levels.

RESULTS

Pre-experimentation was conducted on laboratory and field populations of *C. pipiens* for determining LC₅₀, LC₄₀, LC₃₀, LC₂₀ and LC₁₀ values of six isolates of fungi and seven chemical insecticides. Later three fungi were selected on the basis of least LC₅₀ values and sub-lethal doses were used in binary mixtures to check the mortality and after effects on the progeny (Table II).

Larval mortality after fungi + insecticide treatment

The mortality of *C. pipiens* in laboratory population after the application of fungi + insecticide is shown in Table III. Highest percent mortality (73.3 ± 4.7) was observed when mixture containing Ma-11.1 (LC₄₀) + spinosad (LC₄₀) was applied followed by (71.7 ± 4.1) with the application of Ma-11.1 (LC₄₀) + nitenpyram (LC₄₀). The results showed a concentration dependent response (F=61.65, P=0.0087, df=6), which increased with the increase in concentration. On the other hand, the highest percent mortality (71.5 ± 7.4) was recorded after the application of mixture containing Ma-11.1(LC₄₀) + spinosad (LC₄₀) (F=176, P=0.0023, df=6) under field conditions (Table III).

Table II.- Calculated doses of fungi (spores/ml) and insecticides (ppm) for binary treatment on *C. pipiens* (laboratory and field trials).

Isolates (spores/ml)	Laboratory trials					Field trials				
	LC ₅₀	LC ₄₀	LC ₃₀	LC ₂₀	LC ₁₀	LC ₅₀	LC ₄₀	LC ₃₀	LC ₂₀	LC ₁₀
Bb-01	4.67×10 ⁷	4.39×10 ⁷	4.21×10 ⁷	4.16×10 ⁷	4.01×10 ⁷	5.52×10 ⁷	5.43×10 ⁷	5.37×10 ⁷	5.20×10 ⁷	5.01×10 ⁷
Bb-10	6.57×10 ⁷	6.10×10 ⁷	6.03×10 ⁷	5.97×10 ⁷	5.74×10 ⁷	7.84×10 ⁷	7.65×10 ⁷	7.53×10 ⁷	7.31×10 ⁷	6.99×10 ⁷
Ma-2.4	6.33×10 ⁸	6.13×10 ⁸	6.01×10 ⁸	5.99×10 ⁸	5.81×10 ⁸	8.81×10 ⁸	8.54×10 ⁸	8.32×10 ⁸	8.12×10 ⁸	8.00×10 ⁸
Ma-11.1	1.62×10 ⁷	1.52×10 ⁷	1.50×10 ⁷	1.49×10 ⁷	1.40×10 ⁷	2.01×10 ⁸	1.91×10 ⁸	1.8×10 ⁸	1.6×10 ⁸	1.53×10 ⁸
If-02	7.61×10 ⁷	7.12×10 ⁷	7.01×10 ⁷	6.82×10 ⁷	6.19×10 ⁷	9.52×10 ⁷	9.37×10 ⁷	9.15×10 ⁷	9.01×10 ⁷	8.91×10 ⁷
If-2.3	5.48×10 ⁸	5.46×10 ⁸	5.11×10 ⁸	4.92×10 ⁸	4.71×10 ⁸	7.82×10 ⁸	7.53×10 ⁸	7.41×10 ⁸	7.32×10 ⁸	7.11×10 ⁸
Insecticides (ppm) × 10⁻⁵										
Triazophos	7.9	56	3	1	2.1	9	8.2	6.1	5.2	4
Spinosad	5.2	4	2.1	0.97	0.42	7	6.6	6.1	5	4.9
Imidacloprid	6	3.8	1.3	0.2	0.12	8.1	7.8	7.1	6	5.9
Pyriproxyfen	3.7	2.1	1.1	0.6	0.1	5.8	5.1	4	3.9	3.1
Nitenpyram	4	3	2.8	1.7	0.1	4	3.9	3.1	2.8	2
Lambda cyhalothrin	5	3.7	3.1	1.1	0.21	5.7	5.2	4.8	4.1	3.7
Bifenthrin	9	7.1	5.4	1.2	0.87	9.8	9	8.7	7.6	7.1

Table III.- Larval mortality (%) of *C. pipiens* in laboratory and field trials as a result of binary treatment of fungi and insecticides.

	LC ₁₀ +LC ₂₀				LC ₂₀ +LC ₃₀				LC ₃₀ +LC ₄₀									
	Bb-01		Ma-11.1		If-02		Bb-01		Ma-11.1		If-02		Bb-01		Ma-11.1		If-02	
Laboratory trials																		
Triazophos	36.7±5.7c	31.7±3.1cd	20.0±2.7ef	48.3±7.8ab	43.3±6.3bc	25.0±3.1e	56.7±1.9b	51.7±3.1bc	55.0±6.8b	65.0±3.1ab	60.0±2.7b	45.0±5.0d						
Spinosad	38.3±7.8bc	41.7±6.1b	16.6±1.9g	46.7±4.7b	51.7±3.1ab	23.3±1.9ef	51.7±3.1bc	61.7±4.1a	31.7±5.6e	58.3±7.8bc	73.3±4.7a	41.7±5.6d						
Imidacloprid	31.7±1.6cd	26.7±2.7de	26.7±2.7de	40.0±4.1c	30.0±1.9de	30.0±5.7de	50.0±6.9bc	38.3±4.1d	38.3±8.3d	61.7±1.6b	48.3±1.6cd	51.7±3.1cd						
Pyriproxyfen	33.3±5.4cd	25.0±3.1e	15.0±3.1g	40.0±7.2c	30.0±3.3de	20.0±2.7f	50.0±4.3bc	40.0±2.7d	23.3±1.9f	61.7±4.1b	50.0±5.7cd	33.3±2.7ef						
Nitenpyram	43.3±1.9ab	48.3±1.6a	28.3±3.1de	50.0±1.9ab	55.0±1.6a	33.3±2.7d	63.3±5.7a	65.0±4.1a	50.0±5.7bc	70.0±3.3a	71.7±4.1a	60.0±3.8bc						
Lambda	23.3±1.9ef	18.3±3.1f	18.3±1.6f	30.0±3.3de	23.3±3.3ef	23.3±5.7ef	40.0±2.7d	33.3±4.1de	28.3±1.6e	50.0±1.9cd	43.3±1.9d	33.3±2.7ef						
cyhalothrin																		
Bifenthrin	35.0±4.1c	23.3±3.3ef	20.0±2.7f	46.7±4.7b	31.7±3.1de	26.7±2.7e	56.7±4.3b	40.0±2.7d	31.7±5.6e	63.3±1.9b	55.0±1.6c	38.3±1.6e						
Control	1.3±0.2h	2.1±0.0h	1.8±0.8h	1.7±1.6g	1.0±1.4g	3.7±1.6g	1.4±1.6g	1.7±0.6g	1.4±0.1g	1.9±1.6g	1.4±0.3g	1.4±0.2g						
F-values																		
P-values																		
LSD-values	0.0002	43.22	0.0019	43.67	0.0013	53.76	0.0013	53.76	0.0007	61.65	0.0087	8.75						
	5.64		6.87		8.11													
Field trials																		
Triazophos	30.9±5.8bc	27.5±3.1bc	16.5±2.6f	44.9±6.1a	38.1±6.4b	20.5±2.8f	49.5±1.2bc	47.3±2.8cd	30.0±4.5fg	61.1±3.9bc	53.9±3.0c	38.6±4.1ef						
Spinosad	37.0±7.0a	33.2±8.1b	13.0±2.1g	41.0±5.9ab	45.5±3.2a	20.8±1.6f	46.5±3.2cd	54.8±4.6bc	28.1±5.7fg	52.6±7.2d	71.5±7.4a	38.3±4.7ef						
Imidacloprid	26.3±2.2cd	21.2±1.3e	21.9±2.6de	35.4±4.6bc	25.5±1.7e	25.4±5.4e	46.2±6.8cd	34.6±4.4ef	33.1±7.6ef	54.0±2.5cd	42.6±1.8ef	42.2±1.3ef						
Pyriproxyfen	31.2±4.9bc	20.8±2.7e	12.7±2.8g	35.4±6.8bc	25.7±4.0e	17.7±2.3fg	47.4±4.0c	36.6±2.6ef	19.3±1.2h	57.4±4.0cd	46.3±5.7e	30.3±3.1gh						
Nitenpyram	37.4±1.7a	33.7±0.4ab	21.7±1.9de	45.6±1.9a	43.5±2.6a	27.5±2.3de	58.8±5.9ab	54.5±4.1bc	44.6±5.9d	62.4±2.1c	61.8±4.4bc	53.5±5.3cd						
Lambda	18.3±2.1ef	14.5±2.6fg	15.1±2.0fg	23.1±2.3ef	20.7±3.0f	17.8±5.0fg	34.8±2.4ef	29.6±3.6fg	23.3±1.7gh	45.1±2.2e	37.8±2.7fg	28.3±2.2gh						
cyhalothrin																		
Bifenthrin	29.6±4.1bc	18.0±3.0ef	16.5±2.5f	38.0±3.1b	25.4±2.6e	22.0±2.7ef	49.7±3.9bc	35.0±2.8ef	27.3±5.4fg	58.9±1.0c	50.3±1.1d	32.1±1.9g						
Control	1.8±0.1i	2.4±0.9i	1.9±0.4i	1.5±0.6i	2.0±0.3i	1.6±0.1i	2.0±0.3i	1.8±0.2i	1.7±0.4i	1.8±0.5i	2.0±0.1i	1.2±0.6i						
F-values																		
P-values																		
LSD-values	0.0049	1.31	1.65	1.54	0.0001	0.0039	4.76	5.11	6.98	0.0023								

Means followed by same letters in row and columns are non-significantly different (LSD=0.05). ± indicates upper and lower limit of standard error.

Table IV.- Pupation (%) of *C. pipiens* under laboratory and field trials as a result of binary treatment of fungi and insecticides.

	LC₁₀+LC₁₀		LC₂₀+LC₂₀		LC₃₀+LC₃₀		LC₄₀+LC₄₀	
	Bb-01	Ma-11.1	If-02	Bb-01	Ma-11.1	If-02	Bb-01	Ma-11.1
Laboratory trials								
Triazophos	63.0±0.3ef	68.3±0.5de	81.7±0.9c	51.0±0.3hi	56.7±0.5gh	77.2±0.3d	43.0±0.5h	48.3±0.8j
Spinosad	61.7±0.5ef	58.3±0.2f	83.3±0.4bc	51.3±0.6hi	48.3±0.2i	76.7±0.7de	48.3±0.3j	38.3±0.4f
Imidacloprid	68.3±0.4de	73.3±0.5d	73.3±0.3d	60.0±0.9g	70.0±0.3ef	70.0±0.7ef	50.0±0.6j	61.7±0.7gh
Pyriproxyfen	66.7±0.9de	75.0±0.1cd	85.0±0.5bc	60.0±0.8g	70.0±0.3ef	80.0±0.3d	50.0±0.3j	60.2±0.6h
Nitenpyram	56.7±0.4f	51.7±0.3fg	71.3±0.6b	50.0±0.6i	45.7±0.8ij	65.0±0.4fg	36.7±0.4f	35.0±0.8k
Lambda	76.7±0.1cd	81.7±0.4c	83.2±0.1bc	70.0±0.5ef	76.7±0.8de	74.2±0.4e	60.0±0.3h	66.7±0.3g
cylathothrin							71.3±0.6f	71.3±0.6f
Bifenthrin	65.0±0.4e	76.7±0.3cd	81.7±0.7c	53.3±0.4hi	68.3±0.3f	71.7±0.8ef	43.3±0.1h	60.0±0.6h
Control	96.3±0.9a	98.0±0.5a	97.0±0.2a	98.1±0.06a	98.7±0.8a	98.0±0.3a	98.7±0.09a	99.0±0.4a
F-values		45		49			52	
P-values		0.0003		0.0021			0.0001	
LSD-values		7.91		6.03			5.29	
Field trials								
Triazophos	67.3±0.6e	72.4±0.6de	86.6±0.3c	56.7±0.3fg	67.8±0.9fg	81.2±0.7c	50.0±0.1fg	62.3±0.9e
Spinosad	64.9±0.1ef	62.3±0.7f	86.5±0.8c	54.3±0.4g	56.4±0.9fg	80.3±0.3c	51.7±0.4f	47.9±0.6g
Imidacloprid	71.7±0.6de	79.8±0.4cd	81.2±0.5c	68.4±0.3e	72.1±0.6de	77.9±0.9d	59.4±0.7ef	65.4±0.8de
Pyriproxyfen	68.4±0.7e	76.9±0.4d	87.7±0.8bc	64.9±0.6ef	73.3±0.8de	84.3±0.8c	59.1±0.7ef	61.2±0.7e
Nitenpyram	57.6±0.5fg	58.6±0.8fg	73.5±0.6de	54.3±0.6g	52.3±0.6g	66.6±0.3ef	41.2±0.8gh	41.7±0.8gh
Lambda	81.5±0.7c	81.7±0.6c	87.7±0.9e	78.3±0.7cd	78.6±0.3cd	81.32±0.9c	67.5±0.3de	72.0±0.8de
cylathothrin							78.6±0.5c	78.6±0.5c
Bifenthrin	67.4±0.3e	81.3±0.4c	86.3±0.4e	56.6±0.8fg	72.3±0.6de	80.0±0.5c	49.9±0.6fg	65.4±0.6de
Control	97.9±0.7a	98.8±0.5a	98.8±0.7a	99.8±0.3a	97.9±0.7a	99.0±0.8a	98.7±0.1a	99.0±0.3a
F-values		89		96			101	
P-values		0.0042		0.0076			0.0031	
LSD-values		7.13		7.43			8.75	

Means followed by same letters in row and columns are non-significantly different (LSD=0.05). ± indicates upper and lower limit of standard error.

Table V.- Pupal duration of *C. pipiens* under laboratory and field trials as a result of combined application of fungi and insecticides.

	$LC_{10}+LC_{10}$		$LC_{20}+LC_{20}$				$LC_{30}+LC_{30}$				$LC_{40}+LC_{40}$			
	Bb-01	Ma-11.1	If-02	Bb-01	Ma-11.1	If-02	Bb-01	Ma-11.1	If-02	Bb-01	Ma-11.1	If-02		
	Laboratory trials													
Triazophos	4.5±0.2a	4.3±0.3ab	3.9±0.7b	6.0±0.9ab	6.3±0.7ab	6.0±0.1ab	8.5±0.4a	8.1±0.4a	8.6±0.3a	8.8±0.2b	9.5±0.6ab	9.7±0.4a		
Spinosad	4.6±0.3ab	4.6±0.9a	3.7±0.5bc	5.9±0.3b	6.6±0.4a	6.1±0.7ab	7.8±0.0ab	8.2±0.2a	7.3±0.4ab	9.9±0.2ab	9.9±0.4a	9.6±0.7ab		
Imidacloprid	4.3±0.1ab	4.0±0.6b	4.2±0.2ab	5.9±0.2b	5.3±0.3b	5.3±0.6bc	7.6±0.5ab	7.8±0.6ab	7.8±0.5ab	9.5±0.6ab	9.7±0.3ab	9.9±0.5ab		
Pyriproxyfen	3.8±0.6b	3.9±0.3b	3.8±0.2b	5.8±0.3b	6.0±0.4ab	5.0±0.0bc	7.5±0.3ab	7.6±0.5ab	7.6±0.8ab	9.0±0.1b	9.5±0.6ab	9.3±0.3ab		
Nitenpyram	4.8±0.5a	5.0±0.4a	4.7±0.7a	6.5±0.2ab	6.9±0.3a	6.5±0.4ab	8.8±0.8a	8.9±0.4a	8.0±0.2a	11.0±0.3a	10.1±0.7a	9.9±0.5ab		
Lambda	4.1±0.2ab	3.7±0.7b	3.5±0.2bc	5.3±0.2bc	5.3±0.6bc	5.4±0.2b	7.9±0.3ab	7.3±0.2ab	8.0±0.6a	9.8±0.3ab	9.2±0.5ab	9.0±0.3b		
cyhalothrin														
Bifenthrin	4.6±0.3a	3.3±0.4bc	3.1±0.5bc	5.7±0.8b	5.8±0.5b	5.9±0.3b	8.6±0.9a	7.2±0.3ab	7.9±0.2ab	9.6±0.4ab	9.8±0.4ab	8.9±0.6b		
Control	3.1±0.6bc	2.9±0.2c	3.5±0.6bc	3.0±0.4de	3.0±0.9de	3.6±0.3de	2.9±0.6d	3.1±0.3de	2.8±0.5de	3.2±0.4e	3.1±0.7e	3.7±0.8de		
F-values	39			41			49			56				
P-values	0.003			0.0065			0.0091			0.002				
LSD-values	0.98			1.02			1.76			1.81				
Field trials														
Triazophos	4.2±0.5ab	4.1±0.4ab	3.6±0.5b	5.9±0.5ab	6.1±0.5ab	5.9±0.33ab	7.9±0.4a	7.6±0.6ab	8.1±0.5a	8.7±0.3ab	8.5±0.3a	8.5±0.6a		
Spinosad	4.6±0.6a	4.5±0.3a	3.6±0.5b	5.2±0.2b	6.4±0.3a	5.8±0.52b	7.1±0.2b	8.0±0.6a	7.0±0.3b	8.8±0.2a	8.5±0.1a	8.1±0.1ab		
Imidacloprid	4.2±0.5ab	4.1±0.2ab	4.2±0.3a	5.6±0.4b	5.2±0.3b	5.2±0.56bc	7.5±0.4b	7.9±0.5ab	7.8±0.5ab	8.8±0.5ab	8.3±0.6ab	8.1±0.9ab		
Pyriproxyfen	3.7±0.6b	3.6±0.2b	3.7±0.6b	5.6±0.2b	6.0±0.3a	5.1±0.05bc	7.2±0.2b	7.3±0.4bc	7.5±0.3b	8.8±0.1a	7.2±0.1ab	7.1±0.1b		
Nitenpyram	4.8±0.6a	4.2±0.3a	4.6±0.8a	6.1±0.5a	6.9±0.3ab	6.5±0.35a	8.6±0.7a	8.9±0.2a	7.9±0.2ab	10.0±0.3a	8.9±0.3a	8.7±0.2ab		
Lambda	4.2±0.2ab	3.5±0.3bc	3.5±0.3b	5.3±0.2bc	5.1±0.5b	5.3±0.23b	7.5±0.3b	7.1±0.1b	7.9±0.3ab	9.6±0.3a	8.9±0.2a	8.7±0.1ab		
cyhalothrin														
Bifenthrin	4.6±0.3a	3.5±0.4b	3.0±0.5bc	5.4±0.6bc	5.8±0.3b	5.8±0.44b	8.6±0.8a	7.0±0.8bc	7.6±0.1ab	9.6±0.3a	8.2±0.3a	8.3±0.9ab		
Control	2.9±0.5bc	2.8±0.5bc	3.6±0.1b	2.9±0.4dc	2.6±0.9c	3.5±0.35d	2.7±0.3ef	3.2±0.7de	3.0±0.3de	2.7±0.4de	3.2±0.9d	2.8±0.2de		
F-values	111				129			138		151				
P-values	0.0003				0.001			0.006		0.008				
LSD-values	0.91				1.04			1.26		2.11				

Means followed by same letters in row and columns are non-significantly different ($LSD=0.05$). ± indicates upper and lower limit of standard error.

Table VI.- Emergence (%) of *C. pipiens* under laboratory and field trials as a result of binary treatment of fungi and insecticides.

	LC ₁₀ +LC ₁₀			LC ₂₀ +LC ₂₀			LC ₃₀ +LC ₃₀			LC ₄₀ +LC ₄₀		
	Bb-01	Ma-11.1	If-02									
Laboratory trials												
Triazophos	47.3±0.3ef	51.2±0.9d	54.3±0.6c	41.2±0.7de	47.7±0.8cd	49.9±0.3c	37.7±0.1ef	41.2±0.9cd	41.9±0.4cd	30.0±0.8e	34.5±0.9d	37.8±0.2c
Spinossad	30.9±0.3j	29.9±0.7j	44.2±0.2g	26.3±0.6gh	20.8±0.6ij	41.2±0.8de	19.9±1.0kl	17.8±0.5kl	34.6±0.7g	16.0±0.7hi	13.2±0.9j	29.9±0.2ef
Imidacloprid	49.8±0.2de	54.3±0.9c	61.2±0.3b	41.5±0.4de	47.7±0.9cd	56.7±0.3b	37.6±0.1ef	39.9±0.4e	49.8±0.9b	29.9±0.2ef	29.9±0.6ef	41.8±0.8b
Pyriproxyfen	32.2±0.3i	37.7±0.5hi	45.4±0.2fg	28.2±0.8g	31.6±0.9fg	38.7±0.4e	26.3±0.6ij	27.7±0.7ij	32.3±0.7gh	19.9±0.7h	23.6±0.8g	29.8±0.3ef
Nitenpyram	26.5±0.3k	26.5±0.8k	30.9±0.4j	21.4±0.7i	19.9±0.6k	26.7±0.7k	18.5±0.8kl	14.3±0.6m	21.6±0.8k	14.5±0.1j	10.8±0.6k	17.7±0.7hi
Lambda cyhalothrin	47.5±0.7ef	49.9±0.4de	58.8±0.9b	42.3±0.9de	43.2±0.7d	52.3±0.9bc	36.6±0.1ef	36.6±0.4ef	43.9±0.9c	29.9±0.2ef	29.9±0.5ef	37.7±0.7c
Bifenthrin	38.7±0.9hi	39.8±0.5h	51.1±0.7d	31.3±0.7fg	33.8±0.8f	43.7±0.6d	27.2±0.2ij	29.9±0.5i	38.7±0.5ef	22.4±0.4g	22.8±0.9g	29.8±0.9ef
Control	99.0±0.9a	98.9±0.5a	98.3±0.5a	96.9±0.5a	99.0±0.8a	99.0±0.9a	98.7±0.3a	97.7±0.4a	97.5±0.6a	97.7±0.3a	98.7±0.5a	96.9±0.3a
F-values	195			188			179			179		191
P-values	0.000			0.000			0.000			0.000		0.000
LSD-values	0.66			0.71			0.70			0.70		0.65
Field trials												
Triazophos	55.7±0.1de	59.8±0.1d	61.2±0.9bc	51.8±0.4d	55.9±0.6bc	57.9±0.6b	47.7±0.3d	51.9±0.7bc	55.9±0.3b	41.3±0.8de	49.7±0.6bc	51.9±0.9b
Spinossad	34.6±0.8h	39.2±0.1fg	46.7±0.9f	31.2±0.7h	31.2±0.7h	40.1±0.9fg	26.8±0.3h	29.9±0.2h	34.8±0.2fg	21.3±0.3i	26.5±0.1gh	27.6±0.1gh
Imidacloprid	58.8±0.1d	62.4±0.7bc	67.9±0.2b	51.3±0.6d	57.9±0.6b	59.9±0.2b	48.9±0.3d	52.3±0.1bc	52.8±0.4bc	41.6±0.7de	46.6±0.7d	49.8±0.3bc
Pyriproxyfen	38.7±0.1fg	45.3±0.5f	51.2±0.7de	32.1±0.7h	40.9±0.8fg	48.7±0.4de	27.9±0.3h	37.7±0.3fg	44.8±0.9de	25.5±0.6gh	29.8±0.1gh	38.8±0.9f
Nitenpyram	32.1±0.9hi	34.9±0.5h	37.7±0.9fg	27.7±0.5hi	29.8±0.1hi	30.9±0.8h	22.3±0.4hi	25.5±0.8hi	27.7±0.4h	19.1±0.4ij	21.9±0.1i	23.7±0.8gh
Lambda cyhalothrin	52.3±0.1de	56.7±0.7d	64.8±0.1bc	47.9±0.9de	51.4±0.4d	57.5±0.9b	41.2±0.7de	46.8±0.1d	51.7±0.3bc	39.8±0.3i	41.8±0.5de	45.6±0.9d
Bifenthrin	42.3±0.7fg	48.7±0.2f	57.9±0.3d	38.8±0.6fg	43.9±0.2f	51.9±0.7d	32.7±.9fg	39.9±0.1f	43.9±0.2de	29.9±0.3gh	31.8±0.2g	37.6±0.2f
Control	98.8±0.2a	98.8±0.3a	98.7±0.3a	97.9±0.5a	97.9±0.3a	99.0±0.7a	99.1±0.4a	99.0±0.3a	97.9±0.2a	97.9±0.3a	97.9±0.2a	97.7±0.9a
F-values	174			197			201			201		182
P-values	0.000			0.000			0.000			0.000		0.000
LSD-values	0.86			0.89			0.97			0.97		0.81

Means followed by same letters in row and columns are non-significantly different (LSD=0.05). ± indicates upper and lower limit of standard error.

Pupation after fungi + insecticides treatment

Least pupation (16.3 ± 0.8) was recorded after the application of Ma-11.1+ spinosad (LC_{40}) followed by Ma-11.1 + nitenpyram (LC_{40}) which caused (18.3 ± 0.4) percent pupation ($F=65$, $P=0.008$, $df = 6$) (Table IV). On the other hand, least percent pupation (34.2 ± 0.9) after treatment of mixture (fungi + insecticides) was recorded after treatment with Ma-11.1+ nitenpyram (LC_{40}) mixture, followed by Bb-01 + triazophos (LC_{40}) causing (38.0 ± 0.3) percent mortality ($F=109$, $P=0.0001$, $df=6$) (Table IV).

The results depicted longest pupal duration after the application of higher concentrations of fungi + insecticide on laboratory population (Table V). Longest pupal duration (11.0 ± 0.3) days was recorded when mixture of Bb-01 + nitenpyram (LC_{40}) followed Ma-11.1+ nitenpyram (LC_{40}) (10.1 ± 0.7) days ($F=56$, $P=0.002$, $df = 6$). In contrast to this the binary mixtures application of fungi and insecticides under field condition showed longest pupal duration (10.0 ± 0.3) (days) after treatment with Bb-01 + nitenpyram (LC_{40}) ($F=151$, $P=0.008$, $df = 6$) as compared to the control.

Emergence after fungi + insecticides treatment

Lowest adult emergence (10.8 ± 0.6) was recorded after treatment with Ma-11.1+ nitenpyram (LC_{40}) followed by (13.2 ± 0.9) treated with Ma-11.1+ spinosad (LC_{40}) ($F=158$, $P=0.001$, $df=6$) (Table VI). Conversely lowest percent emergence (19.1 ± 0.4) was observed after application of Bb-01 + nitenpyram (LC_{40}) ($F=136$, $P=0.0076$, $df=6$) under field conditions, while maximum percent emergence (97.9 ± 0.3) was recorded in the control treatment. The data regarding sex ratio after application of fungi and insecticides mixtures showed non-significant difference on all levels of treatments.

DISCUSSION

The current study was conducted to test the effectiveness of different insect pathogenic fungi and insecticides individually and in combinations against larval stages of *C. pipiens*. Our previous research has depicted the compatibility of these fungi with the insecticides (Akbar *et al.*, 2012). The previous research has shown the effectiveness of entomopathogenic fungi against dengue mosquitoes (Scholte *et al.*, 2007; Paula *et al.*, 2008), while *M. anisopliae* and *I. fumosorosea* have been used as virulent fungi for the control of different insect pests of various crops (Kaufman *et al.*, 2005; Sharififard *et al.*, 2011; Mishra *et al.*, 2011). In addition to this various entomopathogenic fungi in combination with insecticides have been used for the management of a number of insect pests in past (Sharififard *et al.*, 2011; Archana and

Ramaswamy, 2012; Kassab *et al.*, 2014).

Present study shows the combined effect of entomopathogenic fungi and synthetic insecticides on mortality and different biological parameters of *C. pipiens*. Highest larval mortality *i.e.*, 73.3 and 71.5% in laboratory and field trials was recorded after the combined treatment of Ma-11.1 with spinosad (LC_{40}), respectively which lies in favour of Anderson *et al.* (1989) who reported rapid mortality of Colorado potato beetle in laboratory and field trials due to combined application of *B. bassiana* with different insecticides. Similar results have been reported by Flores *et al.* (2004) and Pelizza *et al.* (2013) which depict decreased survival rate of *Aedes aegypti* after application of binary mixtures of entomopathogenic fungi and insecticides.

Percent pupation of *C. pipiens* was concentration dependent, higher concentrations of binary mixtures of entomopathogenic fungi and insecticides resulted in low percent pupation which lies in favor of Prasad and Veerwal (2012) who reported same results after application of *B. bassiana* on *Anopheles stephensi*. Pupal duration seemed to be prolonged after application of entomopathogenic fungi and insecticides in laboratory and field trails after application of binary mixtures (Bb-01 + nitenpyram LC_{40}). The results are in accordance to findings of Malarvannan *et al.* (2010) who stated increased pupal duration of *Spodoptera litura* Fabricius (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) after treatment of entomopathogenic fungi.

Percent emergence of *C. pipiens* varied with the concentration of mixtures in field and laboratory trails, which relates with the findings of El-Razik *et al.* (2013) who observed the decrease in the adult development after treatment of binary mixtures (fungi + insecticides) on *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F). On the other hand, the data regarding sex ratio of *C. pipiens* showed non-significant differences after treatment of mixture of fungi and insecticides both in laboratory and field trails. Similar results were observed by Shaalan *et al.* (2005) who reported abnormal sex ratio in *A. aegypti* after application of mixtures of fungi and insecticides. Combination of entomopathogenic fungi and insecticides showed potential to be used in mixtures to counter insecticide resistance (Farenhorsta *et al.*, 2009). Binary application of insecticide and fungi cause stress and disease for better management of *C. pipiens*. In conclusion mixture of entomopathogenic fungi and insecticides could be used as an effective tool for integrated management of *C. pipiens*.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank editor and anonymous referees for their invaluable comments and suggestions.

Statement of conflict of interest

Authors have declared no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Akbar, S., Fareed, S., Hameed, A., Gul, T.H., Akmal, M., Malik, N.M., Naeem, M. and Khan, B.M., 2012. Compatibility of *Metarhizium anisopliae* with different insecticides and fungicides. *Afri. J. Microbiol. Res.*, **6**: 3956-3962.
- Ali, S., Huang, Z. and Ren, S.X., 2010. Production of cuticle degrading enzymes by *Isaria fumosorosea* and their evaluation as a biocontrol agent against diamondback moth. *J. Pest Sci.*, **83**: 361-370. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-010-0305-6>
- Alouani, A., Rehimi, N. and Soltani, N., 2009. Larvicidal activity of a neem tree extract (*Azadirachtin*) against mosquito larvae in the Republic of Algeria. *Jord. J. biol. Sci.*, **2**: 15-22.
- Al-Sarar, A.S., 2010. Insecticide resistance in *Culex pipiens* (L.) populations (Diptera: Culicidae) from Riyadh city, Saudi Arabia: Status and overcome. *Saudi J. biol. Sci.*, **17**: 95-100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2010.02.001>
- Anderson, T.E., Hajek, A.E., Roberts, D.W., Preisler, H.K. and Roberts, J.L., 1989. Colorado potato beetle (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae): Effects of combinations of *Beauveria bassiana* with insecticides. *J. econ. Ent.*, **82**: 83-89. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/82.1.83>
- Archana, M.R. and Ramaswamy, K., 2012. Interactive effect of entomopathogenic fungi *Paecilomyces fumosorosea* with few organophosphate and pyrethroid pesticides: An *in vitro* study. *Ind. J. Fund. appl. Life Sci.*, **2**: 10-17.
- Bukhari, T., Willem, T. and Constantianus, J.M.K., 2011. Development of *Metarhizium anisopliae* and *Beauveria bassiana* formulations for control of malaria mosquito larvae. *Parasit. Vect.*, **4**: 23. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-4-23>
- CDC, 2002. Provisional surveillance summary of the West Nile Virus epidemic United States, January-November 2002, *Morb. Mort. Wkly. Rep.*, **51**: 1129-1133.
- Cui, F., Lin, L.F., Qiao, C.L., Xu, Y., Marquine, M., Weill, M. and Raymond, M., 2006. Insecticide resistance in Chinese populations of the *Culex pipiens* complex through esterase overproduction. *Ent. exp. Appl.*, **120**: 211-220.
- Eldridge, B.F., Scott, T.W. and Day, W.J., 2000. Tabachnick, arbovirus diseases. In: *Medical entomology, a textbook on public health and veterinary problems caused by arthropods* (eds. B.F. Eldridge and J.D. Edman). Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, pp. 415-460.
- El-Razik, M.A.A.A., Rashwan, M.H. and Zidan, L.T.M., 2013. Insecticidal activity of pyridalyl, spinosad alone and combined with vegetable oils on growth development and reproductive performance of *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.). *Nat. Sci.*, **11**: 118-127.
- Farenhorsta, M., Mouatchob, J.C., Christophe, K.K., Basil, D.B., Richard, H.H., Thomase, M.B., Koekemoerb, L.L., Knols, B.G.J. and Coetzeeb, M., 2009. Fungal infection counters insecticide resistance in African malaria mosquitoes. *Proc. natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **106**: 41. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0908530106>
- Flores, A.E., Garcia, G.P., Badil, M.H., Tovar, L.R. and Salas, I.F., 2004. Effects of sublethal concentrations of vectovac® on biological parameters of *Aedes aegypti*. *J. Am. Mosq. Contr. Assoc.*, **20**: 412-417.
- Freed, S., Mushtaq, A.S., Khan, M.B. and Naeem, M., 2012. Prevalence and effectiveness of *Metarhizium anisopliae* against *Spodoptera exigua* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Southern Punjab, Pakistan. *Pakistan J. Zool.*, **44**: 753-758.
- Hougard, J.M., Duchon, S., Zaim, M. and Guillet, P., 2002. Bifenthrin: A useful pyrethroid insecticide for treatment of mosquito nets. *J. med. Ent.*, **39**: 526-533. <https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-2585-39.3.526>
- Howard, A.F.V., Raphael, N.G., Constantianus, J.M.K., Alex, A., Marit, F. and Martin, A., 2010. The entomopathogenic fungi *Beauveria bassiana* reduces instantaneous blood feeding in wild multi-insecticide-resistant *Culex quinquefasciatus* mosquitoes in Benin, West Africa. *Parasit. Vect.*, **3**: 87. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-3-87>
- Jirakanjanakit, N., Saengtharatip, S., Rongnoparut, P., Duchon, S., Bellec, C. and Yoksan, S., 2007. Trend of temephos resistance in *Aedes* (Stegomyia) mosquitoes in Thailand during 2003-2005. *Environ. Ent.*, **36**: 506-511. [https://doi.org/10.1603/0046-225X\(2007\)36\[506:TOTRIA\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1603/0046-225X(2007)36[506:TOTRIA]2.0.CO;2)
- Kager, P.A., 2002. Malaria control: Constrain and opportunities. *Trop. Med. Int. Hlth.*, **7**: 1042-1046. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3156.2002.00981.x>
- Kassab, S.O., Loureiro, E.D.S., Rossoni, C., Pereira, F.F., Barbosa, R.H., Costa, D.P. and Zanuncio, J.C., 2014. Combinations of *Metarhizium anisopliae* with chemical insecticides and their effectiveness in *Mahanarva fimbriolata* (Hemiptera: Cercopidae) control on sugarcane. *F. Entomol.*, **97**: 146-154. <https://doi.org/10.1653/024.097.0120>

- Kaufman, P.E., Reasor, C., Rutz, D.A., Ketzis, J.K. and Arends, J.J., 2005. Evaluation of *Beauveria bassiana* applications against adult house fly, *Musca domestica*, in commercial caged-layer poultry facilities in New York State. *Biol. Contr.*, **33**: 360-367. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2005.03.011>
- Khan, B.A., Freed, S., Zafar, J. and Farooq, M., 2014. Evaluation of three different insect pathogenic fungi for the control of *Dysdercus koenigii* and *Oxycarenus hyalinipennis*. *Pakistan J. Zool.*, **46**: 1759-1766.
- Khandagle, A.J., Vrushali, S.T., Kishor, D.R. and Rashmi, A.M., 2011. Bioactivity of essential oils of *Zingiber officinalis* and *Achyranthes aspera* against mosquitoes. *Parasitol. Res.*, **109**: 339-343. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-011-2261-3>
- LeOra Software, 2003. *Polo-PC: A user's guide to probit and logit analysis*. LeOra Software, Berkeley, CA, USA.
- Liu, H., Cupp, E.W., Guo, A. and Liu, N., 2004. Insecticide resistance in Alabama and Florida mosquito strains of *Aedes albopictus*. *J. med. Ent.*, **41**: 946-952.
- Liu, H., Lu, Y.J., Liu, Q.Y., Huo, X.B., Peng, B., Ren, D.S., Wu, D.D., Wang, J., Wang, X.J., Tang, Z.Q., Liu, W. and Meng, F.X., 2013. Comparison of pyrethroid resistance in adults and larvae of *Culex pipiens pallens* (Diptera: Culicidae) from four field populations in China. *J. econ. Ent.*, **106**: 360-365. <https://doi.org/10.1603/EC11439>
- Mahesh, K.P., Murugan, K., Kovendan, K., Panneerselvam, C., Prasanna, K.K., Amerasan, D., Subramaniam, J., Kalimuthu, K. and Nataraj, T., 2012. Mosquitocidal activity of *Solanum xanthocarpum* fruit extract and copepod Mesocyclops thermo cyclopoides for the control of dengue vector *Aedes aegypti*. *Parasitol. Res.*, **111**: 609-618. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-012-2876-z>
- Malarvannan, S., Murali, P.D., Shanthakumar, S.P., Prabavathy, V.R. and Nair, S., 2010. Laboratory evaluation of the entomopathogenic fungi, *Beauveria bassiana* against the tobacco caterpillar, *Spodoptera litura* Fabricius (Noctuidae: Lepidoptera). *J. Biopestic.*, **3**: 126-131.
- Mishra, S., Kumar, P., Malik, A. and Satya, S., 2011. Adulticidal and larvicidal activity of *Beauveria bassiana* and *Metarhizium anisopliae* against housefly, *Musca domestica* (Diptera: Muscidae) in laboratory and simulated field bioassays. *Parasitol. Res.*, **108**: 1483-1492. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-010-2203-5>
- Nielsen, A.L. and Lewis, E.E., 2012. Designing the ideal habitat for entomopathogen use in nursery production. *Pest Manage. Sci.*, **68**: 1053-1061. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.3267>
- Nussenbaum, A.L. and Lecuona, R.E., 2012. Selection of *Beauveria bassiana* sensu lato and *Metarhizium anisopliae* sensu lato isolates as microbial control agents against the boll weevil (*Anthonomus grandis*) in Argentina. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.*, **110**: 1-7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2012.01.010>
- Paula, A.R., Brito, E.S., Pereira, C.R., Carrera, M.P. and Samuels, R.I., 2008. Susceptibility of adult *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) to infection by *Metarhizium anisopliae* and *Beauveria bassiana*: prospects for dengue vector control. *Biocont. Sci. Technol.*, **18**: 1017-1025. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09583150802509199>
- Pelizza, S.A., Scorsetti, A.C. And Tranchida, M.C., 2013. The sublethal effects of the entomopathogenic fungus *Leptolegnia chapmani* on some biological parameters of the dengue vector *Aedes aegypti*. *J. Insect Sci.*, **13**: 1-8. <https://doi.org/10.1673/031.013.2201>
- Perinotto, W.M., Angelo, I.C., Golo, P. S., Quinelato, S., Camargo, M.G., Sa, F.A. and Bittencourt, V.R., 2012. Susceptibility of different populations of ticks to entomopathogenic fungi. *Exp. Parasitol.*, **130**: 257-260. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exppara.2011.12.003>
- Prasad, A. and Veerwal, B., 2012. Toxicological effect of entomopathogenic fungus *Beauveria bassiana* (Balsamo) Vuillemin. against malaria vector *Anopheles stephensi* (L.). *Int. J. Pharma biol. Sci.*, **3**: 625-637.
- Scholte, E.J.J., Takken, W. and Knols, B.G.J., 2007. Infection of adults *Aedes aegypti* and *Ae. Albopictus* mosquitoes with the entomopathogenic fungus *Metarhizium anisopliae*. *Acta Trop.*, **102**: 151-158. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2007.04.011>
- Seccacini, E., Lucia, A., Harburguer, L., Zerba, E., Licastro, S. and Masuh, H., 2008. Effectiveness of pyriproxyfen and diflubenzuron formulations as larvicides against *Aedes aegypti*. *J. Am. Mosq. Contr. Assoc.*, **24**: 398-403. <https://doi.org/10.2987/5697.1>
- Shaanian, E.A.S., Canyon, D.V., Younes, M.W.F., Abdel, W.H. and Mansour, A.H., 2005. Effects of sub-lethal concentrations of synthetic insecticides and *Callitris glaucophylla* extracts on the development of *Aedes aegypti*. *J. Vect. Ecol.*, **30**: 295-298.
- Sharififard, M., Mossadegh, M.S., Vazirianzadeh, B.

- and Zarei, M.A., 2011. Laboratory evaluation of pathogenicity of entomopathogenic fungi, *Beauveria bassiana* (Bals.) Vuill. and *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Metch.) Sorok. to larvae and adults of the house fly, *Musca domestica* L. (Diptera: Muscidae). *Asian J. biol. Sci.*, **4**: 128-137.
- Sivagnanam, N. and Kalyanasundaram, M., 2004. Laboratory evaluation of methanolic extract of *Atlantia monophylla* (Family: Rutaceae) against immature stages of mosquitoes and non-target organisms. *Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz, Rio de Janeiro*, **99**: 115-118.
- Soni, N. and Soam, P., 2010. Effect of *Chrysosporium keratinophilum* Maabolites against *Culex quinquefasciatus* after chromatographic purification. *Parasitol. Res.*, **107**: 1329-1336. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-010-2003-y>
- Turell, M.J., O'Guinn, M.L., Dohm, D.J. and Jones, J.W., 2001. Vector competence of North American mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) for West Nile Virus. *J. med. Ent.*, **38**: 130-134. <https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-2585-38.2.130>
- Vinogradova, E.B., 2000. *Culex pipiens mosquitoes: Taxonomy, distribution, ecology, physiology, genetics, applied importance and control*. Pen Soft Publishers, Sofia, Bulgaria.
- WHO, 2006. Pesticides and their application for the control of vectors and pests of public health importance, WHO, Dept. of control of neglected tropical diseases. *WHO Pestic. Eval. Scheme*, pp. 125.
- Zaim, M., Aitio, A. and Nakashima, N., 2000. Safety of pyrethroid-treated mosquito nets. *Med. Vet. Ent.*, **14**: 1-5. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2915.2000.00211.x>