DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.17582/journal.pjz/20200420000447

The Arabian Camel, *Camelus dromedarius* Interferon Alpha: Cloning, Expression in *Escherichia coli, in vitro* Refolding and Cytotoxicity on Triple Negative Breast Cancer Cell Line MDA-MB-231

Hesham Saeed^{1*}, Manal Abdel-Fattah¹, Ahmad Eldoksh¹, Farid S. Ataya² and Manal Shalaby³

¹Department of Biotechnology, Institute of Graduate Studies and Research, Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt.

²Biochemistry Department, College of Science, Riyadh, King Saud University, Saudi Arabia.

³Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology Research Institute (GEBRI), City for Scientific Research and Technology Applications, New Borg Al-Arab City, Alexandria, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

The open reading frame encoding interferon alpha (IFN α) of the camel liver, *Camelus dromedarius* was isolated and cloned using reverse transcription-PCR. Sequence analysis of that gene showed a 564bp encoding a protein of 187 amino acids with a predicted molecular weight of 21 kDa. Basic local alignment search tool (BLAST) sequence analysis revealed that *C. dromedarius* IFN α gene shares high sequence identity with IFN α genes of other species, including *C. ferus, Vicugna pacos*, and *Homo sapiens*. Expression of *C. dromedarius* IFN α cDNA in *Escherichia coli* revealed a fusion protein with a weight of 22.5 kDa after induction of expression with IPTG for 5 h. The recombinant IFN α was expressed in the form of inclusion bodies that were separated and solubilized *in vitro* and the protein was refolded using SDS and KCI. The folded protein is then purified using on Ni-NTA Agarose affinity chromatography and the purity was judged by SDS-PAGE. Moreover, the effect of the recombinant IFN α of the viability of cancer cell line was assessed by MTT assay. Morphological study showed that *C. dromedarius* IFN α protein inhibited cell survival of MDA-MB-231 triple negative breast cancer cells.

INTRODUCTION

The term interferon (IFN) was first coined by Alick Isaacs and Jean Lindemann in 1957 at the National Institute for Medical Research in London to describe an antiviral compound produced by virus infected chick cells that were able to interfere with viral infection (Isaacs and Lindemann, 1957). Since then, research pertaining to the discovery, characterization, and development of novel IFNs has continued for over 60 years (Meager, 2009). IFNs belong to a pleiotropic family of cytokines that play an important role in controlling cellular growth and apoptosis, and in the response to infections (Kaplan *et al.*, 2017). IFNs are glycosylated proteins having molecular weight ranging from 20 to 25 kDa. They are produced in response to a variety stimuli including viral, bacterial,

parasitic infections, inflammation, and tumorigenesis by various body cells like epithelia, endothelia, stroma, and cells of the immune system (Baldo, 2014; Borish and Steinke, 2003; Vacchelli et al., 2013; Peng et al., 2007). IFNs play important role in cell proliferation and differentiation, activation of immune cells, chemotaxis, inflammation, and apoptosis (Tayal and Kalra, 2008; Vacchelli et al., 2012). IFNs are classified-based on the receptors they interact with-into three major classes namely, type I, II, and III. Each type is encoded from different gene and has specific chromosomal localization, protein structures and biological activity (Fischer et al., 2018). Type II and III IFNs consist only of IFNy and IFN while type I IFN consists of IFN α , β , δ , ϵ , ζ , κ ,, and ω (Klotz et al., 2017). The most common cytokine that has the longest record of use in clinical oncology is Type I IFN α as it is used in over 40 countries for the treatment of hematological malignancies and certain solid tumors such as melanoma, renal carcinoma, and Kaposi's sarcoma (Meager, 2009; Ferrantini et al., 2007). Moreover,

Article Information Received 20 April 2020 Revised 30 May 2020 Accepted 02 June 2020 Available online 04 June 2021

Authors' Contribution

HS supervised the experiments and prepared the manuscript. MA conducted the experiments evaluated the results. AE evaluated and validated the results. FA supervied the study and did some experiments. MS helped in manuscript revision and preparation.

Key words Camelus dromedaries, Cloning, Expression, Inclusion bodies, Interferon



^{*} Corresponding author: hsaeed1@ksu.edu.sa 0030-9923/2021/0004-1525 \$ 9.00/0 Copyright 2021 Zoological Society of Pakistan

recombinant IFN- α 2b is used for the treatment of recurrent melanomas (Cooksley, 2004) and IFN- α for the treatment of Hepatitis B and C, and HIV in combination with other antiviral drugs (Shepherd et al., 2000). Although different subtypes of IFNa essentially bind to the same receptors, they affect many biological functions and show distinct antiviral activities (Gibbert et al., 2013). Many interferon genes belonging to different classes have been cloned and expressed in both prokaryotic and eukaryotic hosts. Among these INFs types are from human, camel, pig, cat, horse, turkey, goose, zebra fish, and Atlantic salmon (Srikanth et al., 2019; Abdel-Fattah et al., 2019; Barathiraja et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2020; Steinbach et al., 2002; Suresh et al., 1995; Tian et al., 2014; Altmann et al., 2003; Guo et al., 2019; Robertsen et al., 2003). To the best of our knowledge, the IFNa from the Arabian one-humped camel, Camelus dromedarius, has not been reported yet. This camel is the most important animals in the Arabian Peninsula, for its high cultural and economic value beside the recent increasing research interest (Al-Swailem et al., 2010; Ataya et al., 2014; Malik et al., 2018). The aim of the present study was to clone, express, purify, and characterize IFNa found in the liver of C. dromedarius.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals and reagents

Chemicals and reagents used in this study were chromatographic or molecular biology grade as appropriate. Water was either de-ionized or milli-Q-grade.

Tissue collection and total RNA isolation and purification

Liver tissue samples (1 g) from adult male C. dromedarius were collected immediately after scarification (The Northern Riyadh Slaughtering House, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia) submerged in 5 mL of RNA later solution (Ambion, Courtabeuf, France), and kept at 4 °C, overnight; thereafter samples were kept at -80 °C. Total RNA was isolated and purified from 100 mg of liver tissue using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Cat#80204, Ambion, Courtabeuf, France) with a DNase digestion step following the manufacturer's protocol. Liver tissue was homogenized in 1.0 mL of RLT lysis solution containing 1% 2-mercaptoethanol using a rotor-stator homogenizer (Medic Tools, Switzerland). The total RNA was eluted by 100 µL nuclease free water and its concentration, purity, and integrity were determined using the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer System and Agilent total RNA analysis kit, according to the manufacturer's protocols (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany). Purified RNA samples with an RNA integrity number in the 7-10 range were used for first strand cDNA synthesis.

Synthesis of first strand cDNA and isolation of C. dromedarius IFNa gene

The first strand cDNA was synthesized from 2 micrograms of total RNA following the manufacturer's protocol of the ImProm-II Reverse Transcription System (A3800, Promega, Madison, USA). The full-length C. dromedarius IFNa cDNA was obtained by PCR in a final volume of 50 µL, consisting of 25 µL 2X high-fidelity master mix (GE Healthcare, USA), 3 µL (30 pmol) each of IFNa forward primer containing an EcoRI restriction site (5'-GAATTC ATGTCCCCAGTGGCTCGACC-3') and reverse primer containing a HindIII restriction site (5'-AAGCTTTCTTTCTTGCAAGTGTCTCGC-3'), and 5 µL cDNA. Amplification was performed using the following cycling conditions; 1 cycle at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles at 95°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 1 min. A final extension step was carried out at 72°C for 5 min. The PCR products were resolved on a 1.5% agarose gel in TEA buffer, stained and visualized with 0.5 µg/mL ethidium bromide and UV light. The separated bands of the amplified gene of expected size were cut from the gel and purified using the QiAquick gel extraction kit (Qiagen, Cat # 28706, Ambion, Courtabeuf, France).

Cloning and sequencing of full-length IFNa cDNA

The plasmid cloning pGEM®-T Easy vector (Promega, Cat # A1360, Madison, USA) was used to clone the purified PCR product corresponding to IFNa cDNA to facilitate sequencing and sub-cloning into the pET28a (+) expression vector. The ligation reaction was using 4 µL of PCR product, 1 µL (50 ng) of pGEM[®]-T-Easy vector, 1 µL of 10X ligase buffer, and 1 U of ligase enzyme and 3µL nuclease free water to a final volume of 10 µL. Reaction tubes were incubated at 16 °C for 16 h, and 5 µL from the ligation mixure was used to transform E. coli JM109 competent cells, according to the previously published methods of Sambrook et al. (1989). Screening was carried out on selective LB/ isopropyl- β -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG)/X-gal/ampicillin/ agar plates. Recombinant plasmids were purified from selected mostly white colonies using the PureYield Plasmid Miniprep System (Promega, Cat #A1222, Madison, USA) and the cloned insert was sequenced according to the methods of Sanger et al. (1977) using the T7 (5'-TAATACGACTCACTATAGGG-3') and SP6 (5'-TATTTAGGTGACACTATAG-3') sequencing primers. Sequence analysis was carried out using the DNAStar, BioEdit, and ClustalW programs.

Phylogenetic tree and structural modeling analysis A phylogenetic tree was constructed according to the

methods of Dereeper et al. (2008), using the Phylogeny. fr software (http://www.phylogeny.fr). The nucleotide and protein sequences for C. dromedarius IFNa cDNA were analyzed using the basic local alignment search tool (BLAST) programs BLASTn and BLASTp (http://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov), respectively, and multiple sequence alignments were carried out using the ClustalW, BioEdit, DNAStar, and Jalview programs. The translated amino acid sequence from the cDNA sequence was obtained using the translation tool on the ExPasy server (http://web. expasy.org/translate/). The protein structure prediction was obtained by submitting and amino acid sequence to the Swiss-Model server, and the structural data were analyzed using the PDB viewer program. Finally, the predicted 3D structure model of IFNa was built based on multiple threading alignments using the local threading meta-server (LOMET) and iterative TASSER assembly simulation (Ortiz, et al., 2002; Roy et al., 2010).

Subcloning of IFNa gene into pET-28a (+) expression vector

The IFNa cDNA insert was liberated from the pGEM-T-Easy vector using 2 units each of EcoRI and HindIII restriction enzymes and the appropriate buffer according to the methods of Sambrook et al. (1989) and purified after electrophoresis from the agarose gel using the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen, Cat # 28706, Ambion, Courtabeuf, France). The purified IFNa gene was ligated with pET-28a (+) expression vector cut with the same enzymes as previously described. Subsequently, 5 μ L of the ligation reaction was used to transform *E*. coli BL21(DE3) pLysS (Promega, Cat. # P9801, USA) competent cells, according to the methods of Sambrook et al. (1989). Recombinant E. coli BL21(DE3) pLysS harboring the pET-28a (+) vector were screened for on selective LB/IPTG/X-gal/kanamycin/agar plates and by using the colony PCR strategy utilizing the IFNa genespecific primers.

Expression of C. dromedarius IFNa cDNA in E. coli BL21(DE3) pLysS

E. coli BL21(DE3) pLysS containing the recombinant pET28a (+) plasmid were used to inoculate one liter of LB medium supplemented with 34 μ g/mL kanamycin and incubated at 37°C for 4 h with shaking at 250 rpm. The induction of IFN α expression was initiated from 0.6 optical density culture at 600 nm by the addition of 1 mM IPTG and kept for 5 h incubation at 37°C under continuous shaking. The bacterial cells were harvested by centrifugation at 8000 rpm for 20 min at 4°C and the biomass was re-suspended in 10 mL of 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.5, containing 50% glycerol. The

bacterial cell suspension was then ultrasonicated on an ice-bath using 4 x 30-s pulses, and the clear supernatant containing the expressed protein was collected from the cell debris by centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 10 min at 4° C.

Protein determination

Protein concentration was determined using Coomassie brilliant blue G-250 (1976), using 0.5 mg/mL of bovine serum albumin as a standard.

Sodium dodecyl sulfate gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and western blotting analysis

Expression of recombinant C. dromedarius IFNa in E. coli was evaluated by performing a 12% SDS-PAGE according to the methods of Laemmli (1970). After electrophoresis, the gel was stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250 followed by de-staining in a solution of 10% (v/v) methanol and 10% (v/v) acetic acid. Recombinant C. dromedarius IFNa protein was detected by western blotting using 6x-His-Tag monoclonal antibody (His. H8, Cat# MA1-21315, Thermo Fisher Scientific) at a 1:1000 dilution according to the methods of Towbin et al. (1979). Goat anti-mouse IgG labeled with horse radish peroxidase (Invitrogen Cat# G-21040) secondary antibody was used at a dilution of 1:2000. The membrane was developed using the chromogenic substrate 3, 3', 5, 5'- tetramethylbenzidine liquid substrate system (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat# T0565).

Solubilization and refolding of C. dromedarius recombinant $IFN\alpha$ inclusion bodies

The inclusion bodies present in the pellets after ultrasonication were recovered by centrifugation and washed three times in 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0. Then, they were solubilized by continuous stirring on an ice-bath with denaturation buffer containing 50 mM M Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 0.3 M NaCl, and 2% SDS until the solution became clear and the product was kept at 4°C overnight. The excess precipitated SDS was eliminated by centrifugation for 10 min at 10,000 rpm and 4°C. Subsequently, 400 mM of KCl was added to the supernatant and the solution was kept at 4°C overnight. Thereafter, the precipitate was discarded by centrifugation and the clear supernatant was dialyzed overnight against 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.5) and applied to a nickel affinity column (He and Ohnishi, 2017; Bornhorst and Falke, 2000).

Single step affinity purification of C. dromedarius recombinant IFNa

Recombinant IFN α in the solubilized inclusion bodies was purified using a single-step High-Select High Flow

nickel affinity chromatography column (1.0 cm \times 1.0 cm) (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat. # H0537) previously washed with 5 bed volumes of de-ionized water, and equilibrated with 5-bed volumes of 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.5) containing 20 mM imidazole. A solution of solubilized inclusion bodies was applied to the column and the column was washed with 5-bed volumes of equilibration buffer. The bound recombinant IFNa was eluted with 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.5) containing 500 mM imidazole. The collected fractions were measured at 280 nm against blank buffer solution containing appropriate concentrations of imidazole and the fractions presented in the second peak were pooled together and dialyzed overnight against 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.5). The purity of the dialyzed recombinant IFN α was evaluated by performing 12% SDS-PAGE.

Cytotoxicity of recombinant C. dromedarius IFNa on a breast cancer cell line

Cells from the MDA-MB-231 triple negative breast cancer line, obtained from ATCC, were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Sigma-Aldrich Co., USA), 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 mg/mL streptomycin, and maintained in 5% CO₂ at 37°C. An MTT assay was performed by seeding the cells in 96 well plates at a density of 15,000 cells/well and after an incubation period of 24 h, the cells were treated with varied concentrations of IFNa protein; control cells received culturing medium in phosphate buffer saline (PBS) solution. A subsequent incubation was carried out for 24 h after which, cells were washed twice with PBS followed by the addition of 3-(4,5-dimethyl-2-thiazolyl)-2,5 diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide (MTT) (Serva Co.) reagent to each well at a concentration of 10 µL of 5 mg/mL in 100 µL serum free medium. Incubation was continued for 4 h at 37°C, following which the medium was discarded, 100 µL of DMSO was added to each well, the plates were shaken for 10-15 min, and the absorbance was measured at 490 nm (Abdel-Fattah et al., 2019).

Statistical analysis

GraphPad Prism 6.0 Software was used to perform statistical analyses. One way or two way ANOVAs (followed by Tukey or Sidak's posttest) were used where appropriate. Data are presented as the mean \pm SEM or \pm SD from at least two independent experiments.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Nucleotide sequence analysis of C. dromedarius IFNα To date, most information about type I IFNs has

originated from studies on IFNs from other species such as human, red-crowned crane, equine, porcine, goose, salmon, turkey, and cattle (Srikanth et al., 2019; Tian et al., 2014; Steinbach et al., 2002; Li et al., 2019; Guo et al., 2019; Robertsen et al., 2003; Suresh et al., 1995; Barathiraja et al., 2018), and limited data are available about IFNs from C. dromedarius, the one-humped Arabian camel (Abdel-Fattah et al., 2019). In the present study, the full-length cDNA open reading frame of C. dromedarius IFNa was isolated by reverse transcription-PCR using gene specific primers designed from the available expressed sequence tag camel genome project database (http://camel.Kacst.edu.sa/). The PCR product corresponding to 561 nucleotides represents the entire open reading frame of C. dromedarius IFNa (Fig. 1). The purified PCR product was cloned first into the pGEM-T-Easy vector and the cDNA insert was sequenced using T7 and SP6 primers. The generated nucleotide sequence was deposited in the GenBank database under the accession number MK055340. The nucleotide sequence of the putative C. dromedarius IFNa gene has a statistically significant similarity score to numerous IFNa genes from other species (Table I). To determine the relatedness of C. dromedarius IFNa with known amino acid sequences from other species available in the GenBank database, a multiple sequence alignment was conducted (Fig. 2). The percentage identity of C. dromedarius IFNa with other species was 98% for Camelus ferus (GenBank accession # XP 014408676), 73% for Equus asinus (XP 014686765), 70% for Sus scrofa (NP 001158321), and 66% for Homo sapiens (NP 002166). A phylogenetic tree constructed from



Fig. 1. Agarose gel (1.5%) electrophoresis of PCR product for *C. dromedarius* IFN α gene (Lane 2). Lane 1 represents 100 base pair DNA ladder.

		10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	
C. dromedarius	1 MSPVARPL	SLLMALVAL	SFDSICSLGC	DLPQIHSL	ATRRTLVLL	GOMRRISPSYCL	KDRODFGFPQE	VLGGHRLQK	AQAISVEHELV	QQLFLLFSTEGS	99
C. bacterianus	1 MS <mark>PVA</mark> RPL	SLLMALVAL	SFDSICSLGC	DLPQTHSL	ATRRTLVLL	G <mark>qmrr i s</mark> psscl	. <mark>K D R</mark> Q D F G F P Q E	VL <mark>GG</mark> HRL QK	AQAISVFHELV	/QQLFLLF <mark>STEG</mark> S	99
C. ferus	1 <mark>- MA</mark> R <mark>P</mark> L	SVLMALVAL	SFDAICSLGC	DLPQTHSL	ATRRTLVLL	G <mark>qmrr i s</mark> psscl	. <mark>K D R</mark> Q D F G F P Q E	VL <mark>GG</mark> HRL <mark>QK</mark>	AQAI <mark>S</mark> VFHELV	QQLFLLF <mark>STEG</mark> S	96
Vicugna pacos	1 MS <mark>PMA</mark> R <mark>P</mark> L	SVLMALVAL	SCHSICSLGC	DLPQTHSL	ATRRTLMLL	G <mark>qmrr i sp</mark> sscl	. <mark>K D R</mark> Q D F G F P Q E	VL <mark>GG</mark> HRL <mark>QK</mark>	AQAISVEHEV\	QQLFLLF <mark>STEG</mark> S	99
Sus scrofa	1 MAPTS	AFL <mark>T</mark> ALVLI	SCNAICSLGC	DLPQTHSL	AHT RAL RLL	AQM <mark>rr</mark> ispfscl	DH <mark>R</mark> RDFGFPQE	AL GGNQVQK	A <mark>q</mark> amal vh <mark>e</mark> ml	QQTFQLF <mark>STEG</mark> S	96
Equus asinus	1 MALPF	SLLMAL VVI	SCHSICSLGC	DLPHTHSL	GNTRVLMLL	G <mark>qm<mark>rr</mark> i spfscl</mark>	KDRNDFGFPQE	V F D <mark>G N Q F Q K</mark>	A <mark>q</mark> a i <mark>s</mark> avh <mark>e</mark> mi	QQIFHLFSTEGS	96
Pongo abelii	1 MAL <mark>P</mark> F	SLLMAVVVI	SYKSICSLGC	DLPQTHSL	GNRRALILL	A Q M G R I S P F S C L	KDRHDFGFPQE	E F D G N Q L Q K	AQAISVLHEMI	QQTFNLFNTKDS	96
Pan troglodytes	1 MALSF	SLLMAML VI	SYKSICSLGC	DLPQTHSL	GNRRALILL	A QM <mark>rr I sp</mark> f scl	KDRHDFGFPQE	E F D G N Q F Q K	AQA I <mark>SVFHE</mark> MI	QQTFNLFSTKDS	96
Gorilla gorilla	1 MALSF	SLLMAVLVL	SYKSICSLGC	DLPQTHSL	GNRRALILL	A QM <mark>rr</mark> i sp f scl	KDRHDFGLPQE	E F D G NQ F Q K	AQAISVLHEMI	QQTFNLFSTEDS	96
Homo sapiens	1 MALSF	SLLMAVLVL	SYKSICSLGC	DLPQTHSL	GNRRALILL	AQMGR I SP F SCL	KDRHDFGFPQE	E F D G N Q F Q K	AQAISVLHEMI	QQTFNLFSTKDS	96
	10100	1911-2	22.225	20.012	10000	75.75	100.000	00000	1925/0	10000	
	100	110	120	130	140	150	160	170	180	190	
C. dromedarius	100 SAAWEDSL	LHRLCTGL	QQLTELEACP	KQEAELQG	RLLL NEDP II	LAV <mark>RR</mark> YFH <mark>R</mark> ITL	YLQEKKYSPCA	WEIVRAEVM	RSFSSARHLQE	R	187
C. bacterianus	100 SAAWEDSL	LHRLCTGLE	QQLTELEACP	KQEAELQG	RPLL NEDPII	LAV <mark>RR</mark> YFHRITL	YLQEKKYSPCA	WEIVRAEVM	RSFSSARHLQE	R	187
C. ferus	97 <mark>saaweds</mark> l	LHRLCTGLE	QQLTELEACP	KQEAELQG	R <mark>p</mark> ll <mark>nedp</mark> ii	LAV <mark>RR</mark> YFH <mark>R</mark> ITL	YLQEKKYSPCA	WEIVRAEVM	<mark>rsfss</mark> arhlqe	R	184
Vicugna pacos	100 SAAWEEGL	LHRLCTGLD	OQUITELEACP	MQEAGLQG	SPLL NENP II	LAV <mark>RR</mark> YFH <mark>R</mark> ITL	YLQEKKYSPCA	WEIVRAEVM	RSFSSARHLQE	R	187
Sus scrofa	97 A A AWDESL	LHQFCTGL	OQURDLEACV	MQEAGLEG	TPLLEEDSII	LAV <mark>RKY</mark> FH <mark>r</mark> lTl	YLQEKSYSPCA	WEIVRAEVM	RAFSSSTNLQ	RLRKKE	189
Equus asinus	97 SAAWDESL	LDKLYTGLY	QQLTELEACL	SQEVGVEE	TPLMNEDSLI	L A V <mark>RRY</mark> FQ <mark>R</mark> I AL	YLQEKKYSPCA	WEIVRAEIM	RCFSSSTNLQC	S	184
Pongo abelii	97 SAAWEQSL	LEKFSTELN	N <mark>qqlndleac</mark> m	IQEVGVEE	T PLMNVDSII	LAV <mark>KKY</mark> FQ <mark>RIT</mark> L	YL TE <mark>kkys</mark> pca	WEVVRAEIM	<mark>r s f s</mark> l <mark>s</mark> kt fqe	RLRRKE	189
Pan troglodytes	97 SAAWDETL	LDKFYIELI	E A C V	IQEVGVEE	T P L M NEDS I I	LAV <mark>RKY</mark> FQ <mark>RIT</mark> L	YLME <mark>kkys</mark> pca	WEVVRAEIM	RSFSFSTNLQF	(<mark>R</mark> LRRKD	189
Gorilla gorilla	97 SAAWEQSL	LEKFSTELY	QQLNNLEACV	IQEVGVEE	T PLMNEDS I I	LAV <mark>RKY</mark> FQ <mark>RIT</mark> L	YL TEKKYSPCA	WEVVRAEIM	RSLSFSTNLQ	(<mark>R</mark> LRRKD	189
Homo sapiens	97 SATWEQSL	LEKFSTELN	QQLNDLEACV	IQEVGVEE	TPLMNVDSII	LAV <mark>KKY</mark> FQ <mark>r</mark> itl	YL TEKKYSPCA	WEVVRAEIM	RSFSLSKIFQE	RLRRKE	189

Fig. 2. Alignment of the deduced amino acid sequence of C. dromedrius IFNa with IFNa from other species.

the amino acid sequences of the predicted IFN α proteins deposited in GenBank indicated that *C. dromedarius* IFN α diverged along a separate evolutionary path that is distinct from other ungulates and mammalian species including human (Fig. 3).



Fig. 3. Phylogenetic relationship of *C. dromedarius* IFN α and sequences from other species. Maximum likelihood tree based on complete coding sequences deposited in GenBank. Values at nodes are bootstrap \geq 50%, obtained from 1000 re-samplings of the data.

Structural annotations and predicted 3D structure

The primary structure and protein motif secondary structural annotation for *C. dromedarius* IFN α are shown in Figures 4 and 5. The *C. dromedarius* IFN α nucleotides and deduced amino acid sequence showed an open reading frame consisting of 564 bp and 187 amino acid residues

with a molecular weight of 21.339 kDa. The predicted isoelectric point was determined to be 7.67 using a computer algorithm. Analysis of secondary structural elements of *C*. *dromedarius* IFN α revealed the presence of some conserved

GAATTCATG TCC CCA GTG GCT CGA CC

1	ATG	TCC	CCA	GTG	GCT	CGA	ccc	TTG	TCC	TTA	CTC	ATG	GCC	CTG	GTG	45
1	м	S	P	V	A	R	P	L	s	L	L	Μ	A	L	v	15
46	GCG	CTC	AGC	TTC	GAC	TCC	ATC	TGC	TCT	CTG	GGC	TGT	GAC	CTG	CCT	90
16	A	L	S	F	D	S	I	C	S	L	G	C	D	L	P	30
91	CAG	ACC	CAC	AGC	CTG	GCC	ACC	AGG	AGG	ACC	TTG	GTG	CTC	CTG	GGA	135
31	Q	т	H	S	L	A	т	R	R	т	L	V	L	L	G	45
136	CAA	ATG	AGG	AGA	ATC	TCC	CCC	TCC	TCC	TGC	CTG	AAG	GAC	AGA	CAG	180
46	Q	Μ	R	R	I	S	P	S	S	C	L	K	D	R	Q	60
181	GAC	TTT	GGA	TTC	CCT	CAG	GAG	GTC	CTT	GGT	GGC	CAC	CGG	CTC	CAG	225
61	D	F	G	F	P	Q	E	V	L	G	G	н	R	L	Q	75
226	AAG	GCT	CAA	GCC	ATC	TCT	GTC	TTC	CAT	GAG	CTG	GTC	CAG	CAG	CTC	270
76	K	A	Q	A	I	S	v	F	н	E	L	V	Q	Q	L	90
271	TTC	CTC	CTC	TTC	AGC	ACA	GAG	GGC	TCG	TCT	GCT	GCC	TGG	GAG	GAC	315
91	F	L	L	F	S	т	E	G	S	S	A	A	W	E	D	105
316	AGC	CTC	CTG	CAC	AGA	CTC	TGC	ACT	GGG	CTT	GAT	CAG	CAG	CTG	ACG	360
106	S	L	L	Н	R	L	C	т	G	L	D	Q	Q	L	т	120
361	GAG	CTG	GAA	GCC	TGT	CCG	AAG	CAG	GAG	GCG	GAG	CTG	CAA	GGG	CGT	405
121	E	L	E	A	С	P	K	Q	E	A	E	L	Q	G	R	135
406	CCC	CTG	CTG	AAT	GAG	GAC	CCC	ATC	CTG	GCT	GTG	AGG	AGA	TAC	TTC	450
136	P	L	L	N	E	D	P	I	L	A	V	R	R	Y	F	150
451	CAC	AGA	ATC	ACT	CTC	TAT	CTG	CAA	GAG	AAG	AAA	TAC	AGC	CCT	TGT	495
151	H	R	I	т	L	Y	L	Q	E	K	K	Y	S	P	C	165
496	GCC	TGG	GAG	ATC	GTC	AGA	GCA	GAA	GTC	ATG	AGA	TCC	TTC	TCT	TCA	540
166	A	W	E	I	v	R	A	Е	v	М	R	S	F	S	S	180
541	GCG	AGA	CAC	TTG	CAA	GAA	AGA	TGA	5	64						
181	A	R	Н	L	Q	E	R	*								
	CGC	TCT	GTG	AAC	GTT	CTT	TCT	TTC	GAA							

Fig. 4. Nucleotide and deduced amino acid encoding region of *C. dromedarius* IFN α . Important amino acid residues and regions include: residues that may contact N-Acetyl-2-Deoxy- are indicated by the box; residues that may contact an SO₄ ion are indicated in bold underline; residues that may contact Zn²⁺ are indicated by bold double underline, conserved amino acid residues in the IFN α protein are indicated by bold dashed underline, residues involved in IFNAR-1 binding are indicated with the circle, and residues involved in IFNAR-2 binding are indicated by the bold dashed box. Arrows indicate the location of the forward and reverse primers with restriction enzyme sites presented in bold underline italics.

Table I.	Homology	of the	deduced	amino	acids	of	С.
dromeda	rius interfe	ron a w	vith other	species			

Animal species	Accession no.	% Iden- tity
Camelus bacterianus	XP_010944312	100
Camelus ferus	XP_014408676	98
Vicugna pacos	XP_015098135	94
Equus asinus	XP_014686765	73
Equus przewalskii	XP_008530158	72
Sus scrofa	NP_001158321	70
Ceratotherium simum simum	XP_004436883	70
Balaenoptera acutorostrata scammoni	XP_007176876	74
Orcinus orca	XP_004275088	74
Nomascus leucogenys	XP_003260419	69
Pongo abelii	XP_002819800	68
Microcebus murinus	XP_012625263	68
Gorilla gorilla gorilla	XP_004047912	68
Rousettus aegyptiacus	XP_016015901	67
Homo sapiens	NP_002166	66

features. The first feature is the presence of 18 amino acid residues (Q^{31, 46, 118}, T^{32, 114, 120}, R^{38, 39}, G⁴⁵, W¹⁰³, E^{104, 121}, S¹⁰⁶, L¹⁰⁷, H¹⁰⁹, R¹¹⁰, D¹¹⁷, A¹²⁴) that represent the putative IFNAR-1 binding site, localized in helices A and C (Fig. 5), which is critical for receptor recognition and biological activity. The second conserved feature is the presence of a putative IFNAR-2 binding site as a part of the AB loop helix D and DE loop, which is represented by 27 amino acid residues (L^{56,74,144,155}, K^{57,160}, ¹⁶¹, D^{58, 61}, R^{59,73,147,148,152}, Q^{60,66,158}, F⁶², G⁶³, P⁶⁵, E^{67,159}, A¹⁴⁵, H¹⁵¹, T¹⁵⁴, E¹⁵⁹, Y¹⁶², S¹⁶³). Analysis of glycosylation sites in C. dromedarius IFNa led to the prediction of one potential glycation site not occurring within the common Asn-Xaa-Ser/Thr glycation signal and this site is represented by the conserved E¹⁰⁴ residue (Fig. 5). Glycosylation sites are believed to play an important role in regulating protein solubility, folding, oligomerization, and stability as well as protection against proteolytic degradation (Samudzi et al., 1991). Other conserved amino acids residues involved in the binding



Fig. 5. Sequence annotations for *C. dromedarius* IFN α showing the location of α -helices and residues contacting ligand and ions. Secondary structure by homology ($(\bullet, \bullet, \bullet, \bullet)$), active site residues from PDB site record ($\mathbf{\nabla}$); residues with contact to ligand (*) and to ions (*).



Fig. 6. Predicted 3D structure of *C. dromedarius* IFN α protein shows the overall secondary structure in ribbon form (A) and cartoon form (B). Alpha helices are labeled from $\alpha 1$ to $\alpha 5$. (C) Model-template alignment of amino acid residues of *C. dromedarius* IFN α and *Homo sapiens* IFN $\alpha 2a$. Components of the secondary structure are shown in blue (α helices) and brown (coils). Identical amino acid residues are shown in bold black.

1530

of different ligands and DNA are shown in Table II. The predicted three dimensional structure of *C. dromedarius* IFN α showed that the secondary structure of the protein consisted of five alpha helices labeled from A to E as shown in Figure 6A and B. Composition of the secondary structure revealed 65.78% α -helices and 34.22% coils and turns. Analysis of the 3D structure of *C. dromedarius* IFN α revealed that the overall folding was similar to that of *H. sapiens* IFN α 2a and the percent similarity and conservation in the secondary structure location was 64.6% (Fig. 6C).

Expression, solubilization, and in vitro refolding of IFN α

C. dromedarius IFNa was overexpressed in E. coli cells upon induction with 1 mM IPTG and appeared in insoluble inclusion bodies that were easily separated upon sonication and centrifugation at 12,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C, leaving behind a supernatant devoid of IFNa protein as shown in Figure 7A. Western blotting analysis for recombinant C. dromedarius IFNa inclusion bodies protein with 6x-His-Tag monoclonal antibody revealed an immune-reacted band at 22.5 kDa (Fig. 7B and C). To recover soluble IFN α from the inclusion bodies, the SDS/KCl method was performed (Fig. 8A Lanes 3-7). Recovered, solubilized, and refolded IFNa inclusion bodies were then subjected to nickel-affinity chromatography and bound IFNa was eluted using 500 mM imidazole (Fig. 8B). The purified IFN α showed a unique single protein band at 22.5 kDa (Fig. 8C).

Cytotoxicity of C. dromedarius IFNa on a breast cancer cell line

IFN α has shown potential beneficial effects in various types of tumours such as hepatocellular carcinoma



Fig. 7. SDS-PAGE (12%) for IPTG induced *E. coli* BL21(DE3) pLysS pET28 a (+) harboring *C. dromedarius* IFN α cDNA (Lane 2), IPTG induced culture supernatant (Lanes 3-5), and IPTG induced culture inclusion bodies (Lanes 6-8). (B) SDS-PAGE (12%) for IPTG induced culture (Lanes 1-3) and western blotting analysis with 6x-His-Tag monoclonal antibody (1:1000 dilution) for IPTG induced culture (Lanes 6-8). Lanes 1 (Panel A), 4 (Panel B) and 5 (Panel C) represent pre-stained molecular weight protein markers.

Table II. Conserved amino acid residues of *C. dromedarius* interferon α involved in different ligands and metal ions binding.

Annotation features	Amino acid residues
Contact(s) to ligands	
- N-Acetyl-2-Deoxy-2-Amino-Galactose	Gln ¹³³ , Gly ¹³⁴ Thr ³⁷ , Gly ⁴⁵ , Arg ⁴⁸ , Val ⁸⁷ , Gln ¹¹⁸ , Phe ¹⁷⁸
- 1,2-Ethanediol	
- Acetate ion	His ³³
- 4-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-1-Piperazine ethanesulfonic	Arg ⁴⁸ , Ser ⁵¹
acid	
- Sulfate ion	Gln ³¹ , Arg ³⁸ , Arg ³⁹ , Val ⁴² , Gln ⁴⁶
- Beta-D-Glucose, G6D=6-Deoxy-Alpha-D-Glucose	
Contact(s) to metals	Glu ¹⁰⁴ , Ser ¹⁰⁶ , Leu ¹⁰⁷ , Arg ¹¹⁰
-Nickel (ii) ion	
-Zinc ion	Cys ²⁷ , His ³³
-Chloride ion	His ³³ , Ala ¹⁴⁵
	His ³³ , Leu ³⁵
Nucleic acids binding residues	
	Leu ^{35, 41} , Ala ^{36, 124} , Arg ^{38, 39} , Val ⁴¹ , His ^{109,183} , Thr ^{113,120} , Gly ¹¹⁴ , Gln ¹¹⁷ , Glu ^{121, 186} ,
	Ser ¹⁷⁹



Fig. 8. (A) SDS-PAGE (12%) for IPTG induced *E. coli* BL21(DE3) pLysS pET 28 a (+) harboring IFN α cDNA inclusion bodies protein (Lane 2) and solubilized IFN α inclusion bodies (Lanes 3-7). (B) Elution profile of *C. dromedarius* IFN α after nickel affinity chromatography. Column flow rate was adjusted to be 3 mL/5 min. (C) SDS-PAGE (12%) for nickel affinity purified camel IFN α protein (Lane 2). Lanes 1 in Panel A and B represent pre-stained molecular weight protein markers.



Fig. 9. *C. dromedarius* IFN α alters the morphology of the MDA-MB-231 triple negative breast cancer cell line in a dose dependent manner. Cells were treated with varied concentrations of purified recombinant *C. dromedarius* IFN α (0.0435-0.326 μ M) for 24 h. Cells exhibited morphological changes indicated by shrinkage, detachment from the substratum, and rounding up as the concentration of IFN α protein increased compared with that observed for the control untreated cells (C). Magnification was 40X.

(Zhang *et al.*, 2019), ovarian cancer (Green *et al.*, 2016), and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (Yang *et al.* 2019). However, the effects of recombinant *C. dromedarius* IFN α on human cancer cells have not been fully elucidated. To study the effects of *C. dromedarius* IFN α on the MDA-MB-231 triple negative breast cancer cell line, cells were treated with varied concentrations of the purified recombinant protein and the morphology and viability of the cells were examined. The morphological changes observed after 24 h of treatment are shown in Figure 9.



Fig. 10. MTT assay was performed and the % cell viability was calculated compared to that of the control cells. GraphPad Prism 6 was used to calculate the IC_{50} of *C. dromedarius* IFN α protein.

Cells appeared rounded up, were easily detachable, and exhibited shrinkage and reduction in size as the concentrations of the recombinant protein increased compared with that of untreated control cells (Fig. 9) suggesting inhibition of cell viability. To investigate the effect of C. dromedarius IFNa protein on cell viability, MTT assays were performed. The results demonstrated that IFNa inhibits the viability of cells in a dose dependent manner and the IC50 was calculated as 0.2714 µmole (Fig. 10). Type I IFNs are among the most widely used human recombinant therapeutic proteins for the treatment of several cancers and various viral infections. In addition, within the 13 alpha subtypes, only IFN α 2A (Roferon A) and IFN α 2b (Intron A) have been approved by the FDA and marketed for therapeutic use. Since these proteins are not glycosylated, the biopharmaceutical industry is able to use E. coli as a host cell factory to produce them (Ghasriani et al., 2013).

In conclusion, in this study, we presented cloning, expression, *in vitro* re-folding, and characterization of a novel *C. dromedarius* IFN α protein. Additionally, cytotoxicity of the recombinant protein was addressed using a triple negative breast cancer cell line; however, further research is required to unravel the role of *C. dromedarius* IFN α as a potential anti-cancer agent.

Declarations of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest for this article and there is no financial employment, consultancies, honoraria, stock ownership or options, expert testimony, grants or patents received or pending, royalties related to this manuscript. Moreover, the authors declare that this work has not been published nor simultaneously submitted for publication elsewhere. All authors agree to the submission of this manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Fattah, M., Saeed, H., El-Shennawy, L., Shalaby, M., Embaby, A., Ataya, F., Mahmoud, H. and Hussein, A., 2019. The Arabian camel, *Camelus dromedarius* interferon epsilon: Functional expression, *in vitro* refolding, purification and cytotoxicity on breast cancer cell lines. *PLoS One*, 14: e0213880. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0213880
- Al-Swailem, A.M., Shehara, M.M., Adu-Duhier, F.M., Al-Yamani, E.J., Al-Busadah, K.A., Al-Arawi, M.S., Al-Khider, A.Y., Al-Muhaimeed, A.N., Al-Qahtani, F.H., Manee, M.M., Al-Shomrani, B.M., Al-Qhtani, S.M., Al-Harthi, A.S., Akdemir, K.C., Inan, M.S. and Otu. H.H., 2010. Sequencing, analysis and annotation of expressed sequence tags for *Camelus dromedaries*. *PLoS One*, **5**: e10720. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0010720
- Altmann, S.M., Mellon, M.T., Distel, D.L. and Kin, C.H., 2003. Molecular and functional analysis of an interferon gene from the zebrafish, *Danio rerio*. *J. Virol.*, 77: 1992–2002. https://doi.org/10.1128/ JVI.77.3.1992-2002.2003
- Ataya, F.S., Al-Jafari, A.A., Daoud, M.S., Al-Hazzani, A.A., Shehata, A., Saeed, H.M. and Fouad, D., 2014. Genomics, phylogeny and in Silico analysis of mitochondrial glutathione Stransferase-Kappa from the camel *Camelus dromedarius*. *Res. Vet. Sci.*, **97**: 46–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. rvsc.2014.04.004
- Baldo, B.A., 2014. Side effects of cytokines approved for therapy, *Drug Saf.*, **37**: 921-943. https://doi. org/10.1007/s40264-014-0226-z
- Barathiraja, S., Gangadhara, P.A.V., Umapathi, V., Dechamma, H.J. and Reddy, G.R., 2018. Expression and purification of biologically active bovine interferon λ3 (IL28B) in *Pichia pastoris*. *Protein Expr. Purif.*, **145**: 14–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. pep.2017.12.007
- Borish, L.C. and Steinke, J.W., 2003. Cytokines and chemokines. J. Allergy clin. Immunol., 111: S460-

S475. https://doi.org/10.1067/mai.2003.108

- Bornhorst, J.A. and Falke, J.J., 2000. Purification of proteins using polyhistidine affinity tags. *Methods Enzymol.*, **326**: 245–254. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0076-6879(00)26058-8
- Bradford, M.M., 1976. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal. Biochem.*, **72**: 248–254. https://doi. org/10.1016/0003-2697(76)90527-3
- Cooksley, W.G., 2004. The role of interferon therapy in hepatitis B. *Med. Gen. Med.*, **6**: 16.
- Dereeper, A., Guignon, V., Blanc, G., Audic, S., Buffet, S., Chevenet, F., Dufayard, J-F., Guindon, S., Lefort, V., Lescot, M., Claverie, J-M. and Gascuel, O., 2008. Phylogeny.fr: Robust phylogenetic analysis for the non-specialist. *J. Nucl. Acids Res.*, 36: W465–W469. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/ gkn180
- Ferrantini, M., Capone, I. and Belardelli, F., 2007. Interferon-α and cancer: Mechanism of action and new perspectives of clinical use. *Biochimie*, 89: 884– 893. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biochi.2007.04.006
- Fischer, C.D., Wachoski-Dark, G.L., Grant, D.M., Bramer, S.A. and Klein, C., 2018. Interferon epsilon is constitutively expressed in equine endometrium and up-regulated during the luteal phase. *Anim. Reprod. Sci.*, **195**: 38–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. anireprosci.2018.05.003
- Ghasriani, H., Belcourt, P.J., Sauvé, S., Hodgson, D.J., Brochu, D., Gilbert, M. and Aubin, Y., 2013. A single N-acetylglucosamine residue at threonine 106 modifies the dynamics and structure of Interferon α2a around the glycosylation site. *J. biol. Chem.*, **288**: 247–254. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc. M112.413252
- Gibbert, K., Schlaak, J.F., Yang, D. and Dittmer, U., 2013. IFN-alpha subtypes: Distinct biological activities in anti-viral therapy. *Br. J. Pharmacol.*, 168: 1048–1058. https://doi.org/10.1111/bph.12010
- Green, D.S., Nunes, A.T., Annunziata, C.M. and Zoon, K.C., 2016. Monocyte and interferon based therapy for the treatment of ovarian cancer. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.*, **29**: 109–115. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.cytogfr.2016.02.006
- Guo, Y., Xu, Y., Kang, X., Meng, C., Gu, D., Zhou, Y., Xiong, D., Geng, S., Jiao, X. and Pan, Z., 2019. Molecular cloning and functional analysis of TRAF6 from Yangzhou great white goose Anser anser. *Dev. comp. Immunol.*, **101**: 103435. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2019.103435
- He, C. and Ohnishi, K., 2017. Efficient renaturation

of inclusion body proteins denatured by SDS. *Biochem. biophys. Res. Commun.*, **490**: 1250–1253. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2017.07.003

- Isaacs, A. and Lindemann, J., 1957. Virus interference. I. The interferon. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci., 147: 258–267. https://doi.org/10.1098/ rspb.1957.0048
- Kaplan, A., Lee, M.W., Wolf, A.J., Limon, J.J., Becker, C.A., Ding, M., Murali, R., Lee, E.Y., Wong, G.C.L. and Underhill, D.M., 2017. Direct Antimicrobial Activity of IFN-β. J. Immunol., **198**: 4036–4045. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1601226
- Klotz, D., Baumgartner, W. and Gerhauser, I., 2017. Type I interferons in pathogenesis and treatment of canine diseases, *Vet. Immunopathol.*, **191**: 80–93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetimm.2017.08.006
- Laemmli, U.K., 1970. Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. *Nature*, **227**: 680–685. https://doi. org/10.1038/227680a0
- Li, S., Gong, M., Xie, Y., Shao, J., Zhao, F., Zhang, Y. and Chang, H., 2019. A novel type I interferon, interferon alphaomega shows antiviral activity against foot-and-mouth disease virus *in vitro*. *Microb. Pathog.*, **127**: 79–84. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.micpath.2018.11.040
- Malik, A., Khan, J.M., Alamery, S.F., Fouad, D., Labrou, N.E., Daoud, M.S., Abdelkader, M.O. and Ataya, F.S., 2018. Monomeric Camelus dromedarius GSTM1 at low pH is structurally more thermostable than its native dimeric form. *PLoS One*, **13**: e0205274. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0205274
- Meager, A., 2009. The interferons: Past, present and future, Dig. Liver Dis. 3, 3–8. Noël, N., Béatrice, B.J., Huot, N., Goujard, C., Lambotte, O., Müller-Trutwin, M., 2018. Interferon-associated therapies toward HIV control; The back and forth. *Cytok. Growth Factor Rev.*, 40: 99–112. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.cytogfr.2018.03.004
- Ortiz, A.R., Strauss, C.E. and Olmea, O., 2002. MAMMOTH (Matching molecular models obtained from theory): An automated method for model comparison. *Protein Sci.*, **11**: 2606–2621. https://doi.org/10.1110/ps.0215902
- Peng, F.W., Duan, Z.J., Zheng, L.S., Xie, Z.P., Gao, H.C., Zhang, H., Li, W.P. and Hou, Y.D., 2007. Purification of recombinant human interferonepsilon and oligonucleotide microarray analysis of interferon-epsilon-regulated genes. *Protein Expr. Purif.*, 53: 356–362. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. pep.2006.12.013

- Robertsen, B., Bergan, V., Rokenes, T., Larsen R. and Albuquerque, A., 2003. Atlantic Salmon interferon genes: cloning, sequence analysis, expression and biological activity. *J. Interferon Cytokine Res.*, 23: 601–612. https://doi. org/10.1089/107999003322485107
- Roy, A., Kucukural, A. and Zhang, Y., 2010. I-TASSER: A unified platform for automated protein structure and function prediction. *Nat. Protoc.*, **5**: 725–738. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2010.5
- Sambrook, J., Frisch, E. and Maniatis, T., 1989. *Molecular cloning: A laboratory manual*, second ed., Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, New York.
- Samudzi, C.T., Burton, L.E. and Rubin, J.R., 1991. Crystal structure of recombinant rabbit interferongamma at 21±7 AI resolution. J. biol. Chem., 266: 21791–21797. https://doi.org/10.2210/pdb1rig/pdb
- Sanger, F., Nicklen, S. and Coulson, A.R., 1977. DNA sequencing with chain-terminating inhibitors. *Proc. natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 74: 5463–5467. https://doi. org/10.1073/pnas.74.12.5463
- Shepherd, J., Waugh, N. and Hewitson, P., 2000. Combination therapy (interferon alfa and ribavirin) in the treatment of chronic hepatitis C: A rapid and systematic review. *Hlth. Technol. Assess.*, 4: 1–67. https://doi.org/10.3310/hta4330
- Srikanth, K., Yoganand, K.N.R., Smita, H., Ranjith Kumar, C.T., Anand, B. and Sivaprakasam, S., 2019. Novel glycosylated human interferon alpha 2b expressed in glycoengineered *Pichia pastoris* and its biological activity: N-linked glycoengineering. *Enzy. Mic. Technol.*, **128**: 49–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enzmictec.2019.05.007
- Steinbach, F., Mauel, S. and Beier, I., 2002. Recombinant equine interferons: Expression cloning and biological activity. *Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol.*, 84: 83–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0165-2427(01)00396-8
- Suresh, M., Karaca, K., Foster, D. and Sharma, J.M., 1995. Molecular and functional characterization of Turkey interferon. J. Virol., 69: 8159–8163. https:// doi.org/10.1128/JVI.69.12.8159-8163.1995
- Tayal, V. and Kalra, B.S., 2008. Cytokines and anticytokines as therapeutics an update. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.*, **579**: 1–12.
- Tian, L., Zhao, P., Ma, B., Guo, G., Sun, Y. and Xing, M., 2014. Cloning, expression and antiviral bioactivity of Red-crowned crane interferon-α. *Gene*, **544**: 49–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2014.04.036
- Towbin, H., Staehelin, T. and Gordon, J., 1979. Electrophoretic transfer of proteins from

polyacrylamide gels to nitrocellulose sheets: Procedure and some applications. *Proc. natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **76**: 4350–4354. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.76.9.4350

- Vacchelli, E., Galluzzi, L., Eggermont, A., Galon, J., Tartour, E., Zitvogel, L. and Kroemer, G., 2012.
 Trial Watch: Immunostimulatory cytokines. *Oncoimmunology*, 1: 493–506. https://doi. org/10.4161/onci.20459
- Vacchelli, E., Eggermont, A., Fridman, W.H., Galon, J., Zitvogel, L., Kroemer, G., Galluzzi, L., 2013. Trial watch: Immunostimulatory cytokines. *Oncoimmunology*, **2**: E24850. https://doi. org/10.4161/onci.24850
- van de Loosdrech, A.A., Nennie, E., Ossenkoppele, G.P., Beelen, R.H.J. and Langenhuijsen, M.A.C., 1991.
 Cell mediated cytotoxicity against U937 cells by human monocytes and macrophages in a modified colorimetric MTT assay: A methodological

study. J. Immunol. Met., 141: 15–22. https://doi. org/10.1016/0022-1759(91)90205-T

- Wang, X., Li F., Han, M., Jia, S., Wang, L., Qiao, X., Jiang, Y., Cui, W., Tang, L., Li, Y. and Xu, Y.G., 2020. Cloning, prokaryotic soluble expression, and analysis of antiviral activity of two novel feline IFN-ω proteins. *Viruses*, **12**: pii: E335. https://doi. org/10.3390/v12030335
- Yang, W., Jiang, C., Xia, W., Ju, H., Jin, S., Liu, S., Zhang, L., Ren, G., Ma, H., Ruan, M. and Hu, J., 2019. Blocking autophagy flux promotes interferonalpha-mediated apoptosis in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer Lett.*, **451**: 34– 47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2019.02.052
- Zhang, Y., Li, X., Zhang, Y., Wang, L., Xu, J., Du, J. and Guan, Y., 2019. Pegylated interferon α inhibits the proliferation of hepatocellular carcinoma cells by down regulating miR-155. *Annls Hepatol.*, **18**: 494– 500. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aohep.2018.11.007