



# Effect of Substituting Soybean Meal with *Moringa oleifera* Meal on the Growth and Body Composition of *Labeo rohita* Fingerlings

Daniel Masood<sup>1</sup>, Noor Khan<sup>1\*</sup>, Khalid Javed Iqbal<sup>2</sup>, Sadaf Dogar<sup>1</sup>, Abdul Hanan<sup>1</sup>, Sadia Nazir<sup>1</sup>, Sheeza Bano<sup>1</sup>, Azra Anwar<sup>2</sup>, Sameul A.M. Martin<sup>3</sup> and Chris J. Secombes<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore

<sup>2</sup>Department of Zoology, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Pakistan

<sup>3</sup>School of Biological Sciences, University of Aberdeen, United Kingdom

## ABSTRACT

The present study was conducted to examine the effect of replacing soybean meal in fish diets with the cheaper alternative plant protein moringa meal (*Moringa oleifera*) on growth and body composition of *Labeo rohita* fingerlings. *L. rohita* (average weight 190.25±0.0g) were stocked randomly in glass aquaria for a 90 day feeding trial. Fish were fed twice daily with four different iso-nitrogenous diets at a feeding level of 3% of total biomass. The diets contained 26% crude protein in which moringa meal substituted soybean meal at 0% (control), 10%, 20% and 30%, with the groups fed these diets designated as T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub>, respectively. The highest weight gain (254.00±4.24 g) was recorded in T<sub>3</sub> and lowest in T<sub>0</sub> (80.97±17.80 g). Significant differences (P < 0.01) were observed in the feed conversion ratio (FCR) between T<sub>3</sub> (1.81±0.06) and T<sub>1</sub> (2.70±0.13) and specific growth rate (SGR) of T<sub>3</sub> (0.42±0.0) and T<sub>1</sub> (0.25±0.01). These findings indicated that *M. oleifera* meal has good potential to substitute soybean meal as a relatively cheap and good quality plant protein source without any harmful effects on fish body composition.

## Article Information

Received 15 August 2019

Revised 12 September 2019

Accepted 25 September 2019

Available online 13 May 2020

## Authors' Contribution

DM and NK planned the research. KJI, NK, AA, SMM and CJS wrote the manuscript. SD, AH, SN and SB performed lab work. NK and KJI analysed the data statistically.

## Key words

*Labeo rohita*, Growth parameters, Body composition, *Moringa oleifera*, Vital organs histology

## INTRODUCTION

Fish is an excellent source of vitamins, essential minerals, high quality protein and unsaturated fatty acid (Petricorena, 2014). The demand for fish production via aquaculture is increasing to fulfill the protein requirement in human diets across the globe. The principal input in fish production is the feed, with high prices and limited supply of fish meal, major constraints in the aqua feed industry (Gabriel *et al.*, 2007). Therefore, fish culturists are currently focusing on replacement of high cost animal ingredients with natural high quality plant proteins for fish feed formulation to reduce the cost (Hashem *et al.*, 2017). Plant based protein source for fish feed, especially of soybean meal (SBM), have been extensively used in aquaculture production (Storebakken *et al.*, 2000; Barros *et al.*, 2002). However, excess reliance on SBM may increase its price. Therefore, exploitation and consumption of other low-cost plant based protein sources for fish feed will help

reduce the feed cost and with the added benefit that there is evidence that diversifying the source of plant proteins may be beneficial to the fish (Hashem *et al.*, 2017).

Plants contain many bioactive compounds that are bio-degradable, ecofriendly and easily available, and can have antioxidant, antiviral and antibacterial properties (Citarasu, 2010). When used in fish nutrition these compounds can activate immune responses, enhance the secretion of digestive enzymes and stimulate the feed intake (Citarasu, 2010). In the aqua feed industry, many plants and plant products are used as functional feeds for boosting immunity, preventing infections, promoting growth, reducing stress and stimulating appetite for producing healthy fishes (Shalaby, 2004).

Many plants have been investigated for their natural potential to replace fish meal in aqua feed preparation. Amongst these *Moringa oleifera*, belonging to the family Moringaceae, is a rapidly growing plant found in tropical and subtropical areas. The leaves of *M. oleifera* are a rich source of proteins, vitamins and minerals. Hence *M. oleifera* is commonly known around the globe as "The Miracle Tree" or "Ben oil tree" due to its high nutritional value and medicinal uses (Luqman *et al.*, 2012). Indeed, it

\* Corresponding author: [nkhanuvas@gmail.com](mailto:nkhanuvas@gmail.com)  
0030-9923/2020/0005-1745 \$ 9.00/0  
Copyright 2020 Zoological Society of Pakistan

is used for the treatment of many human health conditions including malnutrition and cardiovascular disease, and possessed diuretic properties, is hepatoprotective, has antiulcer effects and lowers cholesterol levels (Luqman *et al.*, 2012).

The *M. oleifera* leaves contain a high proportion of crude protein, varying from 25% to 32% (Makkar and Becker, 1996; Soliva *et al.*, 2005). This protein is easily digestible due to the presence of a low content of acid detergent insoluble protein (1 to 2%) and high content of pepsin soluble protein (82 to 91%) (Makkar and Becker, 1996). The leaves of *M. oleifera* have been reported previously to be an excellent fish meal substitute in the diet of north African catfish *Clarias gariepinus* and roho labeo *Labeo rohita* fingerlings up to a 10% inclusion level (Ozovehe *et al.*, 2013; Ezekiel *et al.*, 2016; Arsalan *et al.*, 2016; Mehdi *et al.*, 2016). Furthermore, seed meal of *M. oleifera* has also been explored as a good alternative plant based protein feed in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fingerlings (Hashem *et al.*, 2017). With this in mind the present study was designed to evaluate the potential of *M. oleifera* meal to replace soybean meal in diets for *L. rohita* and also to analyze its effects on growth, body composition, liver and gut health of *L. rohita* fingerlings.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Study site, experimental fish and procedure

The experiment was conducted at Fish Seed Hatchery of the Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences (UVAS), Ravi Campus, Pattoki. The fish *L. rohita* (average weight 190.25±00g) were collected from fish ponds at UVAS Ravi Campus Pattoki, Punjab, Pakistan and stocked randomly in 8 aquaria (60.96 × 55.88 × 40.64 cm) at 15 fish/aquarium. Before stocking fish net body weight and length were measured and recorded. The feeding trial lasted for 90 days.

### Collection of Moringa oleifera and processing

*M. oleifera* leaves were collected from Bahawalpur and washed with freshwater to remove all contaminants, properly drained and dried under shade for 1 week. Thereafter, dried leaves were crushed into a fine powder with an electric grinder and stored in opaque, air tight plastic zipper packets.

### Fish feed formulation

Four types of experimental feeds containing 26% crude protein were formulated (Table I), with differing inclusion levels of *M. oleifera* meal, with other nutrients from soybean meal, fish meal, rice polish, wheat bran,

sunflower meal and nutrimix. The *M. oleifera* meal was incorporated into each diet to give levels of 0% (control), 10%, 20% and 30%, with the fish fed these diets designated as T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub>, respectively. Experimental diets were analyzed using proximate analyses. All analyses followed the procedure described by AOAC (2006). Feed ingredients were mixed thoroughly and a sufficient amount of water was added for smooth pelleting. Pellets (2mm) were then formed using a local pelleting machine and stored in the air tight packaging until use.

### Feeding protocol

The *L. rohita* fingerlings were fed twice a day at 7:30 - 8:30 AM and 2:30 - 3:30 PM at a rate of 3% of total body weight per day. Feed quantity was recalculated every fortnight.

### Determination of growth parameters of fish

Growth parameters of fish, such as initial weight and length, were measured before stocking. At the end of trial other parameters such as final weight, feed conversion ratio (FCR), percentage weight gain, net gain in weight and specific growth rate (SGR) were also recorded.

### Physico-chemical parameters of water

All physico-chemical parameters of aquarium water such as dissolved oxygen (DO), temperature, salinity, electrical conductivity, pH and total dissolved solids (TDS) were recorded on a daily basis using a dissolved oxygen meter and multi meter, except nitrates which were recorded on a fortnightly basis using HANNA Nitrate Test Kit HI3874.

### Statistical analysis

The results of body composition, growth and physico-chemical parameters were analyzed using one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using statistical software SAS version 9.1.

## RESULTS

Statistically significant ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) differences were recorded in growth parameters of all treatment groups (Table II). The maximum weight gain (254.00±4.24g) was observed in T<sub>3</sub>, followed by T<sub>1</sub> (127.50±9.19g), T<sub>2</sub> (198.50±20.50g) and control T<sub>0</sub> (80.97±17.80g). Highest length increase (85.10±0.98cm) was found in T<sub>2</sub>, followed by T<sub>0</sub> (78.10±1.83cm), T<sub>1</sub> (75.95±4.59cm), and T<sub>3</sub> (74.20±3.95cm). Significant differences ( $P < 0.01$ ) were observed in the feed conversion ratio (FCR) between T<sub>3</sub> (1.81±0.06) and T<sub>1</sub> (2.70±0.13) and specific growth rate (SGR %) of T<sub>3</sub> (0.42±0.00) and T<sub>1</sub> (0.25±0.01). The lowest (0.25±0.01) specific growth rate was recorded in fish fed

**Table I. Fish feed formulation replacing soybean with *Moringa oleifera* meal.**

Ingredients	Control			10 % Moringa meal			20% Moringa meal		30% Moringa meal	
	Crude protein (CP) % of ingredients	Inclusion level	Contribution of CP %	Crude protein	Inclusion level	Contribution of CP %	Inclusion level	Contribution of CP %	Inclusion level	Contribution of CP %
Fish meal	52.72	4	2.1	52.72	7	3.7	8	4.2	11	5.8
Rice polish	15.1	29.5	4.5	15.1	22	3.3	15	2.3	9	1.4
Wheat bran	16.4	23	3.8	16.4	21	3.4	13	2.1	10	1.6
Sunflower meal	30.23	4	1.2	30.23	5.5	1.6	19.5	5.9	25.5	7.7
Soybean meal	43.09	35	15.1	43.09	30	13	20	8.6	10	4.3
Moringa meal	--	--	--	18	10	1.8	20	3.6	30	5.4
Vitamins		4	0		4	0	4	0	4	0
Molasses		0.5	0		0.5	0	0.5	0	0.5	0
Total		100	26.63		100	26.8	100	26.7	100	26.2

**Table II. Effect of varying levels of *Moringa oleifera* meal in fish diets on the growth parameters of *Labeo rohita*.**

Parameters	Control	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>
Weight gain(g)	80.97±17.80 <sup>d</sup>	127.50±9.19 <sup>c</sup>	198.50±20.50 <sup>b</sup>	254.00±4.24 <sup>a</sup>
Increase in length(cm)	78.10±1.83 <sup>a</sup>	75.95±4.59 <sup>a</sup>	85.10±0.98 <sup>b</sup>	74.20±3.95 <sup>a</sup>
% weight gain	23.89±5.35 <sup>d</sup>	67.09±4.82 <sup>c</sup>	102.00±14.14 <sup>b</sup>	140.00±1.41 <sup>a</sup>
FCR	2.38±0.43 <sup>a</sup>	2.70±0.13 <sup>a</sup>	1.96±0.18 <sup>b</sup>	1.81±0.06 <sup>b</sup>
SGR	0.28±0.06 <sup>d</sup>	0.25±0.01 <sup>c</sup>	0.33±0.03 <sup>b</sup>	0.42±0.00 <sup>a</sup>

T<sub>1</sub>, 10 % Moringa meal; T<sub>2</sub>, 20 % Moringa meal; T<sub>3</sub>, 30 % Moringa meal.

**Table III. Proximate analysis of *Labeo rohita* fed on different *Moringa oleifera* meal diets.**

Parameters	Control	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>
Crude protein %	59.41±0.79 <sup>b</sup>	61.82±0.10 <sup>a</sup>	60.26±0.28 <sup>b</sup>	62.45±0.15 <sup>a</sup>
Crude fat%	5.70±0.05 <sup>d</sup>	6.53±0.04 <sup>c</sup>	8.67±0.03 <sup>a</sup>	7.43±0.04 <sup>b</sup>
Ash%	6.80±0.08 <sup>a</sup>	4.60±0.28 <sup>b</sup>	4.73±0.12 <sup>b</sup>	4.66±0.16 <sup>b</sup>
Moisture%	5.90±0.84 <sup>a</sup>	5.60±0.56 <sup>a</sup>	4.50±0.70 <sup>a</sup>	4.45±0.07 <sup>a</sup>
Dry matter%	94.10±0.84 <sup>ab</sup>	93.40±0.56 <sup>b</sup>	95.50±0.70 <sup>a</sup>	95.45±0.07 <sup>a</sup>

For abbreviations, see Table II.

**Table IV. Physico-chemical analysis of aquarium water cultured *Labeo rohita* under various treatments.**

Parameters	Control	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>
Temperature (°C)	22.83±2.70 <sup>a</sup>	22.38±2.54 <sup>a</sup>	21.97±2.91 <sup>a</sup>	22.28±3.13 <sup>a</sup>
DO (mg/L)	5.81±0.29 <sup>a</sup>	5.72±0.91 <sup>a</sup>	5.60±0.80 <sup>a</sup>	5.52±0.87 <sup>a</sup>
pH	8.02±0.30 <sup>a</sup>	8.09±0.33 <sup>a</sup>	8.11±0.34 <sup>a</sup>	8.01±0.35 <sup>a</sup>
EC (µS/cm)	2468.22±912.80 <sup>a</sup>	2410.90±679.63 <sup>a</sup>	2640.86±965.67 <sup>a</sup>	2402.11±1043.90 <sup>a</sup>
TDS (mg/L)	1215.42±488.30 <sup>a</sup>	1194.58±292.47 <sup>a</sup>	1390.66±639.81 <sup>a</sup>	1188.05±509.47 <sup>a</sup>
Salinity (mg/L)	0.90±0.02 <sup>a</sup>	0.89±0.02 <sup>a</sup>	0.90±0.02 <sup>a</sup>	0.89±0.02 <sup>a</sup>

For abbreviations, see Table II.

10% of *M. oleifera* meal and was significantly different to the control group ( $T_0$ ) while highest one was recorded in fish feed carrying 30% of *M. oleifera* meal.

Proximate analysis of the fish fed on the four diets formulated for the experimental trial is presented in Table III. A significantly higher proportion of crude protein ( $62.45 \pm 0.15\%$ ) was observed in  $T_3$  that was notably different from the control group ( $T_0$ ). The maximum fat content ( $8.67 \pm 0.03\%$ ) was found in  $T_2$  exhibiting significantly greater content than  $T_1$  ( $6.53 \pm 0.04\%$ ) and control  $T_0$  ( $5.70 \pm 0.05\%$ ) fish. Ash content in  $T_0$  ( $6.80 \pm 0.08\%$ ) was significantly higher than all other diets. No difference in moisture content was found in fish fed the different diets. The dry matter of the fish sampled in  $T_2$  and  $T_3$  showed significantly higher values ( $P < 0.05$ ) than  $T_1$ .

Physico-chemical parameters of the water exhibited non-significant results in all treatments (Table IV). A favorable temperature ( $21-22^\circ\text{C}$ ) was recorded in all the treatment groups. The DO remained in the favorable range throughout the experiment ( $5.72 \pm 0.91\text{mg/L}$ ,  $5.60 \pm 0.80\text{mg/L}$ ,  $5.52 \pm 0.87\text{mg/L}$  and  $5.81 \pm 0.29\text{mg/L}$  for  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$  and  $T_0$  groups respectively). During the whole experimental period the pH was stable at 8 in all the treatments. The maximum electrical conductivity ( $2640.86 \pm 965.67\mu\text{S/cm}$ ) was observed in  $T_2$ . No significant differences were found in salinity, TDS and nitrates among all the treatments (Table IV).

## DISCUSSION

Fish demand is continuously rising globally due to its nutritious and healthy characteristics. The aquaculture industry is rising globally as the fastest growing fish producing sector (Ottinger *et al.*, 2016). However, this industry is facing a lot of challenges to provide nutritionally balanced feed to fish. Increasing demands, high prices and limited supply of fish meal turn the attention of fish culturists to replace costly fish feed ingredients with alternatives which are cheaper and that are easily, locally and widely available. The chief protein source ingredient in fish feed is fishmeal. Plant derived protein is therefore a good potential substitute and the leaves of *M. oleifera* are a rich source of plant based protein (30%) (Arsalan *et al.*, 2016). Hence we have investigated the use of moringa leaf meal, at different inclusion levels, in fish diets.

In the present study the maximum weight gain was observed in  $T_3$ , followed by  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  and  $T_0$ . Highest length increase was seen in  $T_2$ , followed by  $T_1$ ,  $T_3$  and control groups. Yuangsoi and Matsumoto (2012) showed that when soybean meal was replaced with *M. oleifera* leaf meal (MOLM) it affected the growth performance and fish

(fancy carp) digestibility. Hence, MOLM could be replaced not up to 20% of plant protein in soybean. Continuous rise in the replacement of fish meal (animal protein) with *M. oleifera* leaf meal (MOLM) could slow down the growth of aquaculture (Richter *et al.*, 2003). Richter *et al.* (2003) observation was further supported by El-Nadi (2015) when it was shown that growth performance of *O. niloticus* decreased with the increase of *M. oleifera* plant meals in the diet. Further, substitution of 55% MOL meal for soybean meal awarded the best growth performance (less than 7.34%) and feed nutrient utilization in *O. niloticus* was explored by Tiamiyu *et al.* (2016). While the present study shows contradictory results to those of Ozovehe *et al.* (2013). These authors reported that growth and feed consumption of fish, *Clarias gariepinus* decreased with increasing proportion of MOLM in fish feed. Afuang *et al.* (2003) described that solvent extracted MOLM could replace 30% fishmeal in the feed of *O. niloticus*. Mehdi *et al.* (2016) studied the effect of *M. oleifera* on the growth of *Labeo rohita* and found that 10% inclusion of this plant is better for the growth of this species, beyond this it has negative effect which may be due to presence of anti-nutritional factors or amino acid imbalance. However, the results of the present study showed that increase in *M. oleifera* meal replacing soybean meal increases the *L. rohita* growth.

The products derived from *M. oleifera* have been explored as important ingredients for practical feed of *L. rohita*. The findings of the present study revealed that MOLM could be used as a protein substitute up to inclusion levels of 20-30% in diets for growing *L. rohita*. The findings of our study are broadly in agreement with that of Neha *et al.* (2015) who demonstrated that fish fed 0% MOLM diet exhibited a peak value of 6.59 and lowest value of 5.86 in fish given a 60% MOLM diet. Karina *et al.* (2015) replaced soybean meal with MOLM to give levels of 0%, 8%, 16%, 24% and 32 %, and found highest SGR in fish fed a diet with 32 % MOLM ( $1.45 \pm 0.09\%$ ). However, the lowest FCR was seen in the control group ( $7.50 \pm 1.10$ ), while the highest value was found in fish given the 32% inclusion ( $9.96 \pm 0.13$ ). Similarly, increment in the FCR values were also recorded in Nile tilapia with the inclusion increment of moringa leaves in diet which is an indication of presence some anti-nutrients (Richter *et al.*, 2003).

The crude fat content was found to increase with increasing moringa meal up to 20%. This data agrees with results from Mehdi *et al.* (2016) where increase in fat was observed in fish being fed up to 20% moringa meal in *L. rohita*. Further, the present research supports the results of Ganzon-Naret (2014) where an increased proportion of crude fat was found on addition of MOLM in Asian sea bass (*Lates calcarifer*) diets. Our results differ from other

experiments, reported the highest value of crude fat in different fish species using 10% MOLM in diets (Olaniyi *et al.*, 2013; Thiam *et al.*, 2015; Arsalan *et al.*, 2016).

In the present study, all physico-chemical parameters of water exhibited no significant differences between the treatment groups.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the current research shows that *M. oleifera* meal could be used safely for promoting the growth of fish without any harmful effects on body composition of *L. rohita*. Our results show that *M. oleifera* meal inclusion up to 20-30% is suitable to replace soybean meal in fish diets.

### Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

### Ethical approval

All applicable international, national, and/or institutional guidelines for the care and use of animals were followed by the authors.

## REFERENCES

- AOAC. 2006. *Association of official analytical chemists*, 17<sup>th</sup> Edition. Method number 1125.
- Afuang, W., Siddhuraju, P. and Becker K., 2003. Comparative nutritional evaluation of raw, methanol extracted residues and methanol extracts of moringa (*Moringa oleifera* L.) leaves on growth performance and feed utilization in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* L.). *Aquacul. Res.*, **34**: 1147–1159
- Arsalan, M.Z.H., Hussain, S.M., Asrar, M., Anwar, H., Rehan, M.M.H., Shahzad, M.M., Riaz, D., Ahmad, N. and Wahab, N., 2016. Effects of *Moringa oleifera* leaf meal (MOLM) based diets on carcass composition and hematology of *Labeo rohita* fingerlings. *J. Biodivers. environ. Sci.*, **9**: 214-223.
- Barros, M.M., Lim, C. and Klesius, P.H., 2002. Effect of soybean meal replacement by cottonseed meal and iron supplementation on growth, immune response and resistance of channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*) to *Edwardsiella ictalurid* challenge. *Aquaculture*, **207**: 263-279. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486\(01\)00740-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(01)00740-2)
- Citarasu, T., 2010. Herbal biomedicines: a new opportunity for aquaculture industry. *Aquacul. Int.*, **18**: 403-414. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10499-009-9253-7>
- El-Nadi, A.S.M. and Khames, M.K., 2015. Effect of Dietary supplementation with (*Moringa aoleifara*) leaves on growth performance parameters on Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Res. J. Fish. Hydrobiol.*, **10**:10-14.
- Ezekiel, O.A., Fidelis, B.A. and Grace, N.U., 2016. Effect of partial replacement of fishmeal with *Moringa oleifera* Leaf meal on the haematology, carcass composition and growth performance of *Clarias gariepinus* (Burchell 1822) fingerlings. *Int. J. Fish. aquat. Stud.*, **4**: 307-311.
- Gabriel, U.U., Akinrotimi, O.A., Bekibele, D.O., Onunkwo, D.N. and Anyanwu, P.E., 2007. Locally produced fish feed, potentials for aquaculture development in sub-saharan. *Afr. J. agric. Res.*, **297**: 287-295.
- Ganzon-Naret, E.S., 2014. Utilization of *Moringa oleifera* leaf meals as plant protein sources at different inclusion levels in fish meal based diets fed to *Lates calcarifer*. *ABAH Bioflux.*, **6**: 158-166.
- Hashem, H., El-Rahman, A., Hanan, A., Ali, A.S.M., Abozaid, E.H., Mamdouh, I., El-Fetoh, M.A. and Abdall, M., 2017. Growth performance, feed utilization and body composition of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fingerlings fed moringa (*Moringa oleifera* Lam.) seed meal. *J. Fish. aquat. Sci.*, **12**: 36-41. <https://doi.org/10.3923/jfas.2017.36.41>
- Karina, S., Akbar, M., Supriatna, A. and Muchlisin, Z.A., 2015. Replacement of soybean meal with *Moringa oleifera* leaf meal in the formulated diets of tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fingerlings. *Int. J. Bioflex Soc.*, **8**: 790-795.
- Luqman, S., Srivastava, S., Kumar, R., Maurya, A.K. and Chanda, D., 2012. Experimental of moringa oleifera leaf and fruit for its antistress, antioxidant and scavenging potential using in vitro and in vivo assay. *Evidence-Based Complem. Altern. Med.*, 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/519084>
- Makkar, H.P.S. and Becker, K., 1996. Nutritional value and antinutritional components of whole and ethanol extracted *Moringa oleifera* leaves. *Anim. Feed Sci. Tech.*, **63**: 211-228. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-8401\(96\)01023-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-8401(96)01023-1)
- Mehdi, H., Khan, N., Iqbal, K.J., Rasool, F., Chaudhry, M.H. and Khan, K.M., 2016. Effect of *Moringa oleifera* meal on the growth, body composition and nutrient digestibility of *Labeo rohita*. *Int. J. Biosci.*, **8**: 11-17. <https://doi.org/10.12692/ijb/8.4.11-17>
- Ncha, O.S., Michael, P.B., Nnabuchi, U.O. and Alex, E., 2015. Effect of diets with moringa leaf meal on

- growth, carcass composition and haematology of *Clarias gariepinus*. *Int. Fish. aquat. Stud.*, **3**: 397-401.
- Olaniyi, C.O., Ajani, N.O. and Adetomi, M.N., 2013. Growth performance and nutrient utilization of *Clarias gariepinus* fed moringa oleifera leaf meal. *Int. J. Nat. Sci. Res.*, **3**: 99-104.
- Ottinger, M., Clauss, K. and Kuenzer, C., 2016. Aquaculture: Relevance, distribution, impacts and spatial assessments - A review. *Ocean Coastal Manage.*, **119**: 244-266. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2015.10.015>
- Ozovehe, B.N. and Nzeh, G.C., 2013. Effects of varying levels of *Moringa oleifera* leaf meal diet on growth performance, hematological indices and biochemical enzymes of African catfish *Clarias gariepinus* (Burchell 1822). *Elixir Aquacul.*, **57**: 14459-14466. <https://doi.org/10.4172/2155-9546.1000166>
- Petricorena, Z.C., 2014. Chemical Composition of Fish and Fishery Products. In: *Handbook of food chemistry* (ed. P. Cheung). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-41609-5\\_12-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-41609-5_12-1)
- Richter, N., Siddhuraju, P. and Becker, K., 2003. Evaluation of nutritional quality of Moringa (*Moringa oleifera* Lam.) leaves as alternative protein source for tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* L.). *Aquaculture*, **217**: 599-611. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486\(02\)00497-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(02)00497-0)
- Shalaby, S.M.M., 2004. Response of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*, fingerlings to diets supplemented with different levels of fenugreek seeds (Hulba). *J. Agricult. Mansoura Univ.*, **29**: 2231-2242.
- Soliva, C.R., Kreuzer, M., Foid, N., Foid, G., Machmuller, A. and Hess, H.D., 2005. Feeding value of whole and extracted *Moringa oleifera* leaves for ruminants and their effects on ruminal fermentation *in vitro*. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*, **118**: 47-62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2004.10.005>
- Storebakken T., Refstie S. and Ruyter, B., 2000. *Soy products as fat and protein sources in fish feeds for intensive aquaculture* (ed. J.K. Drackly). Soy in Animal Nutrition, Federation of Animal Science Societies, Savoy, IL. pp. 127-170.
- Thiam, S., Fall, J., Loum, A., Sagne, M. and Diouf, M., 2015. Use of effective microorganisms (em) in tilapia diets: Effects of growth performance and carcass composition. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. appli. Sci.*, **4**: 536-49.
- Tiamiyu, L.O., Okomoda, V.T. and Aende, A., 2016. Growth performance of *Oreochromis niloticus* fingerlings fed *Moringa oleifera* leaf as replacement for soybean meal. *J. Aquacult. Eng. Fish. Res.*, **2**: 61-66. <https://doi.org/10.3153/JAEFR16008>
- Yuangsoi, B. and Masumoto, T., 2012. Replacing moringa leaf (*Moringa oleifera*) Partially by protein replacement in soybean meal of fancy carp (*Cyprinus carpio*). *Songklanakarin J. Sci. Technol.*, **34**: 479-485.