A STUDY OF DIVERSITY AND BEHAVIOUR OF SPECIES IN SERAL COMMUNITIES OF KOHAT

bi

S.M. Chaghtai* and Mohammad Yusaf**

Abstract. Species diversity in the seral communities of Kohat was determined and the relationship between species diversity and its components was studied. Species diversity and its components were correlated with the structural characteristics of the communities. The general behaviour of some important species has also been discussed.

Introduction. Species diversity is a measurable characteristic of the ecological community and manifests the organizational features important in the functioning of the community. It deposes an important aspect of species structure in a community. The stability of an ecological community largely depends on the diversity in the composition of species (MacArthur, 1955). The species diversity varies from community to community and is affected by several physiological factors. The communities having many niches exhibit high species diversity than those possessing a few niches. Many attempts have been made to use particular functions to describe how species and individuals are related in a community (Hairston, 1959). The relationships are often called diversity indices and are of great value in comparing the species composition of different communities or in making comparison of the same community with respect to productivity and structure at different times of the year. Most of the measures of diversity, proposed from time to time, have been meant for large collections (Fisher, Corber and Williams, 1943; Preston, 1948; Simpson, 1949 and MacArthur, (1957). The use of some other indices, which depend upon the assumption that the distribution of individuals among the species follows a particular mathematical form, has also been avoided. Menhinick (1964) compared the efficiency of different indices of species diversity and concluded that only the index of species/square root of individuals is not sensitive to sample size and it differentiates between habitats having different number of species for a given number of individuals. In ecology, Shannon-Weaver's function (Shannon and Weaver, 1963) derived from information theory (Margalef, 1957) has widely been used to describe species diversity in natural communities. The drawback of this index is that it requires the reduction of absolute numbers to a proportional scale that leads to a considerable concealment of information which is of importance in judging diversity for species habitats (Johnson et al., 1975). However this index is still believed to be most reliable by many workers (Fager, 1972; and Heip and Engels, 1974). This measure has two separate components, species richness and the relative abundance or equitability (Lloyd and Ghelardi, 1964). The species richness is the expression of simple ratios between the number of species and the total number of individuals

**Government College, Kohat.

^{*}Islamaia College, University of Peshawar.

of all species. Equitability is the measure as how equally the species are represented in a community. Since the components of this index can vary independently of one another, it is obvious that the two samples having identical species diversity may in fact be very different (Tramer, 1969). It is, therefore, a common practice to resolve the formula into its components when studying the diversity pattern.

The authors sampled and analyzed the vegetation in the suburbs of Kohat town (Chaghtai and Yusaf, 1976). The data thus collected was used to measure species diversity and its components. An attempt has been made to study the relationship between the general species diversity and its components. The general diversity, species richness and equitability have been correlated with the structural characteristics of the community. The general behaviour of some of the important species in the communities has also been discussed in detail.

Materials and Methods. The general diversity was measured by Shannon-Weaver's index. The modified form of this index is:

$$g = 3.3219 \quad (\log_{10} N - \frac{1}{N} \quad \Sigma_{ni} \quad \log_{10} ni)$$

g is general diversity; N is total number of individuals of all species; ni equals number of individuals of the ith species and 3.3219 is the conversion factor from \log_{10} to \log_2 .

Species diversity combines two components, the species richness and the equitability. The richness component is the expression of simple ratios between the number of species and the square root of the total number of individuals of all species and is calculated by the formula

$$d = \frac{S}{\sqrt{N}}$$

d is species richness; S is total number of species and N equals total number of individuals of all species. The equitability component is related to the evenness of allotment of individuals among the species (Peet, 1974). Of the several expressions employed to measure the equitability (relative abundance) component, the index used here is

$$e = \frac{g}{g_{max}}$$

in which e is equitability; g is observed general diversity and g $_{\rm max}$ is maximum species diversity which is calculated by the formula

$$g_{max} = 3.3219 (log_{10} S)$$

The equitability index represents the ratio of the observed diversity to the maximum diversity possible for the same number of species. It has a maximum value of unity when

all species are equally abundant, while minimum value is observed under the conditions when all species except the most abundant are represented by one individual.

Results and Discussion. Diversity relations. General species diversity (g), species richness (d) and equitability (e) of the communities are given below:

Community	General species diversity (g)	Species richness (d)	Equitability (e)	
Acacia-Adhatoda	3.169	0.898	0.916	
Acacia-Malcolmia	3.198	0.936	0.924	
Acacia-Rhazya-Adhatoda	2.474	0.443	0.915	
Salvadora-Malcolmia	3.049	0.923	0.881	

No definite and consistent pattern of relationship emerges between species diversity and its components.

In Acacia-Adhatoda and Acacia-Malcolmia communities, the diversity of species is equally governed by its two components. The degree of similarity in relationship between species diversity and its components in both communities is almost of the same magnitude probably because of similar habitats these communities occupy. In Acacia-Rhazya-Adhatoda community, the number of species encountered is lower than other communities which is also manifested by comparatively low value of species richness. This community exhibits minimum species diversity which is governed by the evenness of allotment of individuals among the species. A comparatively lower value of equitability in Salvadora-Malcolmia community is explainable because of sporadic vegetation. Equitability shows negative linear relationship with general species diversity. A very low and insignificant value of correlation coefficient (—0.166) indicates that the latter has no major effect on the former. The relationship between general diversity and species richness is strongly positive. The high correlation coefficient of 0.979 suggests that species diversity is solely governed by species richness. The regression equations are:

The relationship between general species diversity and species richness and equitability; and density and coverage is given below:

,	Density	Coverage	
	(D)	(C)	
	(Correlation coefficients)		
General species diversity (g)	0.678	0.502	
Species richness (d)	0.529	0.351	
Equitability (e)	0.692	0.507	

Regression equations:

```
D
          238905.070 + 160570.620 d
                                                0.162+0.522 D
D
       -1876725.300 + 2468885.800 e
                                          e =
                                                0.847 + 0.051 D
D
           67829.832+146464.410 g
                                                1.799+0.959 D
                                          g =
C
           3.722 + 10.282 d
                                                0.657+0.012 C
                                          d =
C
       -149.837 + 177.981 e
                                               0.894+0.001 C
                                          e =
       -18.935+10.391 g
                                          g = 2.686 + 0.024 C
```

The relationship between diversity measures and density and coverage was positive and linear but insignificant. The value of correlation coefficient between coverage and species richness was minimum indicating that the species richness had no pronounced influence on coverage. A comparatively higher value of correlation coefficient between density and equitability suggests that the former is largely controlled by the latter, whereas it is least influenced by species richness.

Behaviour of some important species. The importance values of some prominent species are given below:

Community	Stand 1 Acacia- Adhatoda	Stand 2 Acacia- Malcolmia	Stand 3 & 4 Acacia- Rhazya-	Stand 5 Salvadora- Malcolmia
Species			Adhatoda	
Acacia modesta	195	192	175	25
Salvadora oleoides	36	25	67	176
Capparis decidua	54	46	58	71
Zizyphus nummularia	14	36	0	28
Adhatoda vasica	64	33	97	26
Rhazya stricta	0	54	100	73

Acacia modesta, which constitutes a climatic climax with Olea ferruginea in the district of Kohat, has dominated three out of a total of four communities. It is a typical species of the plains, and of rocky and sandy soils which are not subjected to waterlogging (Champion et al. 1965). In the area under study, the maximum importance values were observed in the stands where soil was largely clay-loam in texture and poor in organic matter (Table 4). In Acacia-Rhazya-Adhatoda community, which is confined to dry stream beds, this species grows on the raised banks of the dry streams which are clay-loam in texture. According to the findings of Champion et al. (1965), its importance value should have been maximum in stand 5, where in addition to extremely xeric conditions the soil is sandy and rocky. But the importance value of A. modesta is comparatively very low in this stand. Besides being outclassed by Salvadora oleoides under these conditions, other reason of its low importance value may be the disturbed state and exposed nature of this stand. It probably needs considerable shade during its early stages of growth which was

not available in stand 5. This is further confirmed by the complete absence of saplings of A. modesta from stand 5 (Chaghtai and Yusaf, 1976). The importance values of A. modesta are higher only in those communities in which the importance values of S. oleoides are low. A. modesta and S. oleoides occupy two opposite poles of an ecological gradient.

Salvadora oleoides, a slow growing plant, is a leading dominant of Salvadora-Malcolmia community which is confined to stand 5. S. oleoides is an indicator of soil moisture conditions and inhabits the dry tracts (Jafri, 1966). In the mesic stands, it shows low importance value; whereas in stand 5, where the conditions are extremely xeric, it has completely outclassed A. modesta, the other leading favourite. It is better represented in Acacia-Rhazya-Adhatoda community but is inconspicuous in Acacia-Adhatoda and Acacia-Malcolmia communities. The controlling factor again seems to be the soil moisture. In the drier parts of the plains of Punjab, S. oleoides grows in association with Capparis decidus (Kashyap and Joshi, 1936). In the area under study, the highest importance value of C. decidua was noticed in Salvadora-Malcolmia community showing its close association with the dominant woody species of this community. S. oleoides frequently reproduces under the shade of C. decidua (Parker, 1956), but no young specimens of S. oleoides were noticed in Salvadora-Malcolmia community where sufficient shade was available.

The presence of Capparis decidua, a widely distributed plant of arid and semiarid areas of Pakistan, in all the four communities hints at the vast ecological amplitude this species has. The highest importance value of C. decidua in Salvadora-Malcolmia community suggests that the ecological niches of C. decidua and S. oleoides overlap each other but the range of the ecological amplitude of the former is wider than the latter with regards to soil moisture and structure and shade. Sandy soil may be held responsible for the high importance value of C. decidua in Salvadora-Malcolmia community (Qaiser and Qadri, 1971). It is represented in all the four communities but the absence of young plants in most of communities may be attributed to fast declining viability of the seeds (Qaiser and Qadri, 1971). Most of the seeds produced under unfavourable conditions of growth fail to germinate few months later at the advent of favourable conditions.

Adhatoda vasica, a perennial shrub of dry stream beds (Salim and Shahid, 1973), is represented in all stands but is co-dominant in two communities only. It shows highest importance value in Acacia-Rhazya-Adhatoda community which is confined to dry stream beds. It owes its presence in all the four communities to its immunity from being damaged by grazing animals.

Rhazya stricta, a plant of open, rocky and stony ground (Nasir and Ali, 1972), is dominant in stands 3 and 4. The habitat of stand 5 is most ideal for its growth, but because of being easily accessible, R. stricta is the main target of the fuel-hunters in this stand. Its significant absence from Acacia-Adhatoda community is largely because of the closed canopy of this community.

References

CHAGHTAI, S.M. and M. YUSAF. (1976). The ecology of the native vegetation of Kohat, NWFP, Pakistan. Pak. J. Bot. 8: 27-36.

- CHAMPION, H.G., S.K. SETH and G.M. KHATTAK. (1965). Forest types of Pakistan Pakistan Forest Institute, Peshawar.
- FAGER, E.W. (1972). Diversity: a sampling study. Amer. Natur. 106: 293-310.
- FISHER, R.A., A.S. CORBET and C.B. WILLIAMS. (1943). The relation between the number of species and the number of individuals in a random sample of an animal population. *J. Animal Ecol.* 12: 42-58.
- HAIRSTON, N.G. (1959). Species abundance and community organization. *Ecology*. 40: 404-416.
- Heip, C. and P. Engels. (1974). Comparing species diversity and evenness indices. J. Mar. Biol. Ass. U.K. 54: 559-563.
- JAFRI, S.M.H. (1966). The flora of Karachi. The Book Corporation, Karachi. 372 p.
- JOHNSON, H.B., F.C. VASEK and T. YONKERS. (1975). Productivity, diversity and stability relationships in Mojave Desert roadside vegetation. *Bull. Torrey Bot. Club.* 102: 106-115.
- Kashyap, S.R. and A.C. Joshi. (1936). Lahore district flora. The University of the Punjab, Lahore. 185 p.
- LLOYD, M. and R.J. GHELARDI. (1964). A table for calculating the equitability component of species diversity. J. Animal Ecol. 33: 217-225.
- MACARTHUR, R.H. (1955). Fluctuations of animal populations, and a measure of community stability. *Ecology* 36: 533-536.
- MACARTHUR, R.H. (1957). On the relative abundance of bird species. *Proc. National Acad. Sci.* U.S. 43: 293-295.
- MARGALEF, R. (1957). La teoria de la informacion en ecologia. Mem. Real Acad. Cienciasy Artes de Barcelona 32: 373-449. (Reprinted 1968 as: Information theory in ecology. General Systems 3: 36-71.
- MENHINICK, E.F. (1964). A comparison of some species-individuals diversity indices applied to samples of field insects. *Ecology* 45: 859-861.
- NASIR, E. and S.I. Ali. (1972). Flora of West Pakistan. An annotated catalogue of the vascular plants of West Pakistan and Kashmir. 1028 p.
- Parker, R.N. (1956). A forest flora for the Punjab with Hazara and Delhi. Government Printing Press, Lahore. 584 p.

- PEET, R.K. (1974). The measurement of species diversity. A. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 5: 285-307.
- Preston, F.W. (1948). The commonness and rarity of species. Ecology 29: 254-383.
- QAISER, M. and S.A. QADRI. (1971). A contribution to the autecology of *Capparis decidua* (Forsk.) Edgew. I. Seed germination and the effect of topographic conditions on the growth, abundance and sociability. *Pak. J. Bot.* 3: 37-60.
- SALIM, K.M. and R.G. SHAHID. (1973). A winter flora of Cherat Hills (Part II). Pakistan J. For. 23: 267-282.
- SHANNON. C.E. and W. WEAVER. (1963). The mathematical theory of communication. University of Illinois Press, Urbana.
- SIMPSON, E.H. (1949). Measurement of diversity. Nature 163: 688.
- TRAMER, E.J. (1969). Bird species diversity; components of Shannon's formula. Ecology 50: 927-929.