GROWTH RESPONSE OF BLUE PINE (Pinus wallichiana A. B. Jackson) TO FERTILIZATION IN NORTHERN PUNJAB (MURREE)

M. I. Sheikh and S. H. Bangash*

Abstract private printing areas are a property of the property

Fertilizer studies on 20-year-old crop of blue pine (*Pinus Wallichiana*) were conducted in Bhurban, Murree Forest Division. The results indicated that N.P. and K fertilizers applied alone and in different combinations did not significantly influence the height and diameter growth of the trees. Likewise, fertilization did not significantly affect the foliar contents of P or K except N which changed with the rates of fertilizer applied.

Introduction

The constant search for increasing the yield from forest area to the requirements of an ever-increasing population necessitates the use of fertilizers. Among other growth factors, fertilizers increse plant height, promote diameter growth and improve the quality and quantity of the sustain crop. Moreover, they make forest crops resistant to frost, injuries, insects-pests attack and other diseases. Forest crops cause tremendous drain on site productivity and therefore regular fertilization and manuring practices are important in maintaining soil fertility. In view of this, the effects of various levels of N.P. and K fertilizers alone and in different combinations were studied on 20—year—old crop of *P. wallichiana* at Bhurban (Murree) during 1979.

Materials and Methods

20—year—old uniform crop of *P. wallichiana* at Bhurban near Murree (Punjab) was selected for this study. Randomised complete block design was followed with 4 replications (each treatment consisting of 5—10 trees) and N. P. and K fertilizers alone and in cimbinations were broadcast in circular experimental plot in 3 metre radius on 9-8-1979.

FERTILIZER DOSES:

- 1. C = No Fertilizer
- 2. N = 3 kg urea (46% N)
- 3. P = 3 kg single superphosphate $(20\% P_2 O_5)$
- 4. NP = 3 kg urea + 3 kg single superphosphate
- 5. NPK = $3 \text{ kg urea} + 3 \text{ kg S.S.P.} + 3 \text{ kg. P.S.} (50\% \text{ K}_2 \text{ O})$

^{*} The authors are Director General and Asstt. Soil Chemist; Pakistan Forest Institure, Peshawar.

Collection of Soil Samples Annual Rule Blues To Transcription of Soil Samples

Soil samples at the time of fertilizer application, (i.e. single pit/treatment) from various depths (Table-1) were collected and thoroughly mixed. In all four samples were collected, marked with reference number, Labelled in double polythene bage and brought to siol laboratory at Pakistan Forest Institute, Peshawar. These were air-dried, ground in mortar, passed through 2 mm sieve and analysed for physico-chemical parameter using the standard methods of Chapman and Pratt (1961); Lambert (1976); and Khan and Rafiq (1980).

Collection of Foliage Samples The vitasoftings for bits anotheridance from the bas and is

After fertilization and just before the fall season foliage samples in August 1980 were collected from the upper, middle and basal branches from randonly selected single tree in each treatment and mimixed to get a composite sample for a single treatment. These were packed in double paper bags, indentification number allotted to them according to the treamtment and were brought to the soil laboratory. Samples were dried in oven at 75 °C for 24 hours, ground in electric crushing mortar and passed through 2 mm sieve. They were then analysed for N, P and K contents after drying in oven at 105 °C, using the standard method of Chapman and Pratt (1961); and Lambert (1976).

Table 1

Physico-Chemical Analysis of the Soil Samples of Blue Pine Stand at Bhurban, Murree.

A.	Phys	ical Parameters:		Depth in Cm and home alerged all						
						sitte (0 – 30	30 - 60	60 – 90	90 - 120
(i)		Sand percent		nzizah			58.4	52.4	54.4	16.4
(ii)		Silt percent		ol W 6			24.0	26.0	22.0	44,0
(iii)		Clay percent					17.6	21.6	23.6	39.6
Text.	Class	(Isss system)				Sar	ndy Loam	1	R DOSES	
B.	C	hemical Parameters	3:						C = No Fer	
	(i)	PH-Sat. Paste					7.7	7.7	7.8	7.9
	(ii)	CaCo ₃ Eq. percer	nt				8.3	6.5	9.5	11.0
	(iii)	$E_{\rm c} C \times 10^3 \dots$.0.9	190K	0.24	0.15	0.16	0.22
	(iv)	TSS percent					0.077	0.048	0.051	0.071
	(v)	O.M percent			starine to	orions	1.55	1.41	1.17	1.10
	(vi)	Total N percent					0.138	0.115	0.092	0.090
	(vii)	P ₂ O ₂ ppm	(0.	N 4008	2.9	3.1.8	+ 112.2	ures 7- 3 kg	NP 11-3 kg	32
(viii)	K ₂ O ppm		,.			112	107	105	180

Table 2

Growth Rate and Foliar Mineral Contents of P. Wallichiana as affected by Fretilization

S. No. Fertilizer treatments	Dia. (cm)	Height (m)	N %	P %	K %
Tech games 25 Wood Tech For	rio; Covi. of	ny of Fu. Ag	avg. values	O LM D	sambe
1. C = Control	12.5	8.8	0.46	0.14	0.50
2. N = 3 kg Urea	12.7	9.1	1.44	0.17	0.53
3. P = 3 kg single		H andda h	ash, S.H; an	M.I. Bang	
superphosphate	12.4	8.8	1.73	0.15	0.52
4. NP = 3 kg urea + 3 kg ssp	12.3	8.9	2.00	0.20	0.84
5. NPK = 3 kg urea +	12.7	9.1	2.00	0.16	0.52
3 kg ssp +			808 - 808 :1	nd soils, La	
+ 3 kg P.S.					
peron deficiency in New Zealand	lo inamina	rence and to	The occur	M. (1971)	

Results and Discussions

Information about the mineral requirements of tree species is needed for use in basic studies of forest soils and for use as a guide in fertilization practices in nursery or in forest stand. The soil of the experimental area was sandy loam and poor in nutrient contents. Growth and foliar nutrient analysis data (Table -2) indicated that the application of N,P and K fertilizers alone and in combinations neither affected the diameter and height growth of trees nor the foliar nutrient contents significantly. However, the foliar N content significantly increased by the application of these fertilizers. The non-significant response of fertilizers in respect of plant growth and in foliar mineral contents could be attributed to the poor physical properties of the planting site which probably did not have the capacity to retain and supply the available plant nutrients regularly throughout the growing season.

Studying the mineral composition of different pine species, Vail et al (1961) and Will (1871) have reported 0.52 - 2.47% N; 0.13 - 0.3% P; and 0.38 - 2.18% K content respectively in healthy needles of Lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta) Monetary – pine (P. radiata) and Mexican weeping pine (P. patula); and 1.00 - 2.2% N; 0.05 - 1.17% P; and 0.32 - 1.04% K content in diabeck needles . . . Sheikh and Bangash (1984) have reported similar results for deodar (Cedrus deodara) planted at different sites in Azad Kashmir valley.

Acknowledgement

This experiment was carried out as part of the PL-480 Research Programme of USA for which the authors are highly obliged.

REFERENCES

- Chapman, H. D; and Pratt, P. F. (1961). Method of analysis for soils, plants and waters.
 Univ. Calif. Div. Agric. Sci. Berkely.
- 2. Khan, G.S: and Rafiq, M. (1980). Chemical analysis of soils and waters. Soil Survey of Pakistan. Bull. 14, Ministry of Fd. Agric; Govt. of Pakistan.
- 3. Lambert, M.J. (1976). Methods of chemical analysis. Tech. paper 25 Wood Tech. For. Resh. Div; For. Comm. NSW-Australia.
- 4. Sheikh, M.I; Bangash, S.H; and Abbas, H.S. (1984). Response of *Cedrus deodara* to NPK Fertilizers at Azad Kashmir Valley. Pak. J. For. 34;: 253-58.
- 5. Vail, J.W; Parry, M.S; and Calton, W.E. (1961). Boron deficiency-dieback in pines. Plants and soils, 14: 393-398.
- 6. Will, G.M. (1971). The occurrence and treatment of boron deficiency in New Zealand Pine Forest. Forest. N.Z. For. Serv. Res. Leaflet 32.