Hakim Shah, Assistant Forest Economist and Malik Illahi Bakhsh, Research Officer, Pakistan Forest Institute, Peshawar

ABSTRACT

The farmlands of the Punjab have about 200 million trees of which 95% are in irrigated areas. The number of trees per ha is 16.9 for all areas; 18.7 in irrigated and 6.2 in un-irrigated areas. Shisham (42%), phulai (20%), kiker (11%), bakain (7%) and mango (6%) are the main species in irrigated areas. Ber (31%), phulai (20%), kiker (19%) and shisham (7%) are the predominant species in un-irrigated areas. Tree stock mostly consists of young trees. About 75% of all trees have diameter smaller than 24cm. The total estimated volume of growing stock is 46.6 million m3 of which 44.1 million m3 (95%) is in irrigated areas. The per ha volume of growing stock is 3.9 m3 for all areas, 4.4 m3 for irrigated areas and 1.4 m3 for un-irrigated areas. The farmers felled about 14.8 million trees (7.4% of the total tree stock) and removed 9.4 million m3 of wood (20% of the total growing stock) from their farmlands during 1990-91 for meeting their own requirements and for the purpose of sale. The stumpage value of annual wood removals from farmlands is estimated at 5.7 billion rupees. The tree stock is equivalent to 0.73 million ha of plantation forests.

INTRODUCTION

Tree growth on farmlands is an

important source of timber and fire wood supplies in the country. It has been estimated that farmlands provide about 90% of firewood and 50% of timber supplies (Amjad et al 1992). Most of the wood based industries procure their raw material from trees grown on farmlands. In addition to meeting their own requirements, farmers have also started planting of trees for commercial purposes to supplement their incomes. However this resource is scattered over vast area and is exclusively in private sector. As a result, information on its magnitude, species composition and location is lacking. This has hampered effective planning for the promotion of farm forestry in the country .It has also prevented optimum utilization of the resource and many promising investment opportunities the wood based industries went unexploited. The contribution of forestry sector to G.D.P also could not be realistically assessed. In view of paramount importance of this data, the Pakistan Forest Institute launched a project (National Wood Resources Inventory) in 1989-90 to carry out a scientifically designed sample survey of tree growth on farmlands in all four provinces of the country. The main objective was to estimate the existing growing stock on farmlands by species and diameter and to develop estimates of annual wood removals from farmlands .A survey report of NWFP (Amjad, 1991) was published in 1991 and the data on tree growth

on farmlands of Punjab were collected in 1990-91 under the Project (Amjad, et al 1992). This article presents the main findings of the survey conducted in the Punjab under National Wood Resources and Forestry Planning Development Projects.

METHODOLOGY

A stratified random sampling scheme was used for the survey. The province was divided into two main blocks viz un-irrigated and irrigated cultivated areas. Then on the basis of climatic factors, each block was subdivided into 3 strata. Thus 6 strata were formed .Over all sampling intensity was set at 0.001 or 1 per 1000 farms. Thus total sample size was 2596 farms. As variation in data was greater in un-irrigated areas, the un-irrigated farms were sampled more intensively than the irrigated farms. Thus out of 2596 farms, 897 farms were in un-irrigated areas and 1699 farms in irrigated areas respectively. In case of

un-irrigated areas, the number of sampled farms were allocated to various strata in proportion to their cultivated areas. Against this, in irrigated areas, a separate sample was drawn for each stratum. The sample was selected through 3 stage sampling procedure. Depending upon the total number of tehsils and the required number of sampled farms, a number of tehsils were selected in each stratum and then from each selected tehsil, a number of villages were selected. In all 42 tehsils and 239 villages were selected. In each village 10 farms were selected at random using voters list. The data were collected using a questionnaire through enumerators who visited each sampled farm and counted all trees of 5cm and above and recorded their diameter. Volume of standing trees and trees felled was computed using the available volume tables. The delimitation of strata, number of sampled farms and cultivated area is given in Table 1 below (Anon, 1988; Anon, 1980).

Table 1. Delimitation of strata and number of sampled farms

Block/stratum	Administrative units	Cultivated area (million ha)	Total number of farms	Number of sampled farms	
un-irrigated block		1.718	437909	897	
Stratum I Rawalpindi civil Division, Gujrat and Sialkot Districts of Gujranwala Civil		0.933 330397		468	
Stratum II	Division Sargodha and D.G.Khan Civil	0.727	95693	368	
	Division				

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Tree Stock: The total tree stock on the farmlands of the punjab is estimated at 200.33 million of which 189.69 million (95%) is in irrigated areas and the rest 10.64 million (5%) in un-irrigated areas. The per ha number of

trees is 16.93 for all areas; 6.19 for unirrigated and 18.75 for irrigated areas respectively. The distribution of tree stock by strata is given is Table 2.

Table 2. Distribution of tree stock by strata

Block/Stratum	Total tree stock (million)	Percent	No of trees per ha
Unirrigated Block	10.642	5.3	6.195
Stratum I	7.897	3.9	8.464
Stratum II	2.571	1.3	3.536
Stratum III	0.174	0.1	3.005

Irrigated Block	189.691	94.7	18.751	
Stratum IV	26.368	13.2	39.415	
Stratum V	84.111	42.0	17.418	
Stratum VI	79.212	39.5	17.153	ayınfıyaı
Grand Total	200.333	100.00	16.928	

The above table shows that about 95% of the tree stock occurs in irrigated areas. The availability of irrigation facilities is one of the major determinants of tree growth on farmlands .On the basis of per ha number of trees, irrigated farms have 3 time greater tree stock density as compared to the un-irrigated farms. The difference in number of trees per ha in un-irrigated strata is perhaps attributable to the difference in the rainfall (Anon, 1979) received in various strata. Against this, the difference in various strata of irrigated areas is probably due to the difference in the attitude of the farmers towards growing of trees and the extension programmes that are underway.

Species Composition: The species composition is significantly different between un-irrigated and irrigated areas. The main species in un-irrigated areas are ber, phulai, kikar, shisham, bakain and tamarix. These species account for 86% of the tree stock. In irrigated areas, the predominant species are shisham, kikar, bakain, mango, mulberry and ber. These species together account for 75% of the tree stock. The species composition is also different in various strata of un-irrigated and irrigated areas. Table 3 and 4 show the comparative species composition in different strata in un-irrigated and irrigated respectively.

Table 3. Species composition in different strata/zones of un-irrigated block

Species	Botanical Name	Stratum I (%)	Stratum II (%)	Stratum III (%)	Un- irrigated Block (%)
Ber	Zizyphus jujuba	29.4	38.5	15.2	31.4
Phulai	Acacia modesta	26.7	0.3	0.0	19.9
Kikar	Acacia nilotica	20.7	15.9	12.3	19.4
Shisham	Dalbergia Sissoo	7.8	4.2	45.9	7.5
Bakain	Melia azadarach	5.6	0.4	0.4	4.3
Mulberry	Morus alba	3.2	0.0	0.0	2.4
Eucalyptus	Eucalyptus cammaldulensis	1.9	0.0	0.0	1.4
Tamarix	Tamarix articulata	1.1	13.8	0.0	4.1
ig	Ficus palmata	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.7
Poplar	Populus spp	0.9	0.1	0.0	0.7
Mango	Mangifera indica	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.6

Tree stock (million)	titly species composition	7.897	2.571	0.174	10.642
Total	erent in various serata of	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Chinar	Platanus orientalis	0.0	0.02	0.02	0.01
Ailanthus	Ailanthus glandulosa	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.07
Siris	Albizzia lebbek	0.01	1.7	0.0	0.4
Amaltas	Cassia fistula	0.04	0.0	0.0	0.03
Kao	Olea cuspidata	0.04	0.0	0.0	0.03
Neem	Azadirachta indica	0.0	0.15	0.2	0.04
Khajoor	Phoenix sylvestris	0.0	0.95	0.2	0.23
Salvadora	Salvadora Oleoides	0.0	3.87	0.0	0.9
Karir	Capparis decidua	00.000.1	4.4	0.0	1.1
Mesquite	Prosopis juliflora	0.2	0.0	24.1	0.5
Jand	Prosopis cineraria	0.2	15.3	1.8	3.9
Lasoora	Cordia myxa	0.6	0.1	0.0	0.5

Table 4. Comparative species composition in different strata/zones of irrigated block

Species	Botanical Name	Stratum IV (%)	Stratum V (%)	Stratum VI (%)	Irrigated Block (%)
Shisham	Dalbergia sissoo	11.8	45.1	49.1	42.1
Kikar	Acacia nilotica	5.5	18.8	18.9	11.0
Bakain	Melia azadarach	38.5	4.1	0.2	7.3
Mulberry	Morus alba	17.6	4.2	2.4	5.3
Ber	Zizyphus jujuba	9.1	4.6	1.9	4.1
Poplar	Populus spp	4.3	4.3	0.2	2.6
Eucalyptus	Eucalyptus cammaldulensis	8.1	0.8	1.8	2.2
Siris	Albizzia lebbek	0.1.1	1.3	4.4	2.5
Tamarix	Tamarix articulata	1.2	7.3	0.4	3.6
Willow	Salix tetrasperma	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.2
Fig	Ficus palmata	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.03
Mango	Mangifera indica	0.2	1.9	12.4	6.03
Phulai	Acacia modesta	0.7	0.01	0.01	0.1

Tree stock million)		26.368	84.111	79.212	189.691
	020 0.04	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
Neem	Azadirachta indica	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.08
Salvadora	Selvadora oleoides	0.0	0.06	0.0	0.03
Tecoma	Tecoma undulata	0.0	0.04	0.2	0.10
Amaltas	Cassia fistula	0.0	0.01	0.0	Negligible
Karir	Capparis decidua	0.0	0.01	0.02	0.03
Mesquite	Prosopis juliflora	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.07
Kao	Olea cuspidata	0.02	0.0	Negligible	Negligible
Khajoor	Phoneix sylvestris	0.03	1.0	3.8	2.06
Jaman	Eugenia jambolana	0.04	0.8	0.4	0.51
Jand	Prosopis cineraria	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.18
Pipal	Ficus religiosa	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.20
Semal	Bombax malabaricum	0.2	4.4	3.3	3.33
Lasoora	Cordia myxa	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.21
Ailanthus	Ailanthus qlandulosa	0.3	0.01	0.01	0.05

Diameter distribution: The tree stock is mostly concentrated in lower diameter classes. The first two diameter classes (5-24 cm) account for about 75% of tree stock in both the areas. The diameter classes of 5-14 and 15-25 cm account for

48% and 27% in un-irrigated areas and 41% and 36% in irrigated areas of the total tree stock respectively. The diameter distribution of tree stock is given in Table 5.

Table 5. Diameter distribution of tree stock

Diamet er class (cm)	Stratu m I (%)	Stratu m II (%)	Stratu m III (%)	Un- irrigated (%)	Stratu m IV (%)	Stratu m V (%)	Stratu m VI (%)	Irrigated (%)
5-14	49.4	44.2	60.2	48.3	57.3	41.1	34.8	40.7
15-24	24.9	32.9	27.2	26.9	24.2	39.1	35.8	35.6
25-34	15.1	9.8	8.9	13.8	12.2	12.3	16.0	13.8
35-44	6.8	9.8	2.8	7.4	4.1	5.8	10.5	7.5
45 +	3.8	3.3	0.9	3.6	2.2	1.8	2.9	2.3

Growing Stock: The estimated volume of growing stock is 46.583 million m³ of which 44.142 million m³ (94.8%) is in irrigated areas and 2.441 million (5.2%) in un-irrigated areas. The per ha volume of growing stock is 3.936 m³ for all area, 4.364 m³ for irrigated areas and

1.421 m³ for un-irrigated areas. It is highest (6.703 m³) in stratum IV and lowest (0.345 m³) in stratum III. The estimated volume of growing stock in various strata/zones is given in Table 6.

Table 6. Distribution of growing stock by strata/zones

Block/Stratum	Area (mi ha)	llion	Per ha volume(m³	0.0	Total vol		Percent	efiupa:
Un-irrigated	1718	0.0	1.421	0.0	2.441	stula	5.24	estiss
block								
Stratum I	0.933		1.929		1.800		3.86	
Stratum II	0.727		0.854		0.621		1.33	
Stratum III	0.058		0.345		0.020		0.04	
Irrigated block	10.116		4.364		44.142		94.76	
Stratum IV	0.669		6.703		4.484		9.62	
Stratum V	4.829		3.658		17.663		37.92	
Stratum VI	4.618	nagrai di	4.763	292	21.995	sib rewol	47.22	stly cone
All Areas	11.834	lylsyria:	3.936	(ma	46.583	of tran	100.00	HESE TO

The growing stock mainly consists of shisham (35%), kikar (16%), mango (12%) khajoor 9%, mulberry and ber (5%) each. These species together account for 82% of the total growing stock. In un-irrigated areas, ber is the main species which accounts for 35% of the growing stock volume followed by kikar (28%),

phulai (11%) and shisham (8%). These species account for 82% of the growing stock. In irrigated areas, shisham (37%), kikar (15%), mango (12%), khajoor (10%) and mulberry (5%) are the main species accounting for 79% of the growing stock. The distribution of growing stock by species is given in Table 7.

Table 7. Distribution of growing stock by species

SI. No.	Species Species	ai bevom beisam	Un-irrigated areas (%)	Irrigated areas (%)	All areas (%)
1.	Shisham		7.45	36.77	35.23
2.	Kikar		28.45	15.00	15.71
3.	Mango		1.36	12.33	11.75
4.	Khajoor		1.03	9.76	9.30
5.	Mulberry		2.61	5.20	5.06
6.	Ber		34.68	3.71	5.34
7.	Siris		0.25	3.27	3.11
8.	Tamarix		1.70	3.83	3.72
9.	Bakain		1.30	2.74	2.66
10.	Semal		il trees	1.96	1.86
11.	Eucalyptus		0.34	1.28	1.23
12.	Poplar		0.58	1.23	1.20
13.	Jaman		o Bi	1.15	1.09
14.	Tecoma		(† 86	0.48	0.46
15.	Lasoora		0.73	0.46	0.48
16.	Phulai		10.94	0.05	0.62
17.	Pipal			0.30	0.34
18.	Salvadora		4.23	0.06	0.27
19.	Jand		2.84	0.14	0.28
· 20.	Fig		0.80	0.03	0.07
21. 0	Neem 089 A		0.12	0.06	0.06
22.	Willow 8888		92 -	0.05	0.05
23.	Karir		0.40	0.01	0.04
24.	Ailanthus		0.04	0.04	001
25.	Mesquite		0.05	0.01	0.01
26.	Kao		0.1	0.08	0.01
27.	Chinar	w its to	ed in 2-3	million treas fell	0.51 arb 3
ge Vd.	Total amulov bus	bellet as	100.00	100.00	100.00

Fellings: The farmers fell trees to meet their requirements of fuel wood and small timber as well as for the purpose of sale to have extra income. The farmers in Punjab during 1990-91 felled about 14.792 million trees which work out to be 7.4% of total estimated tree stock of 200.333 million. The trees felled were 13.874 million in irrigated areas as compared to the 0.918 million in un-irrigated areas. Farmers removed 9.386 million m³ of wood through felling of trees, of which 0.448 million m³ was in un-irrigated areas and 8.938 million m³ in

irrigated areas. The per ha number of trees felled is 1.250, 1.372 in irrigated areas and 0.535 in un-irrigated areas. The per ha volume removed is one third in un-irrigated areas as compared to the irrigated areas. This is attributable to the fewer trees felled per ha in un-irrigated areas. Per ha volume removed is highest in stratum VI (1.07 m³) which is due to predominance of large size trees felled in this stratum. The trees felled and volume removed in different strata is given in Table 8.

Table 8. Distribution of trees felled and volume removed by strata

	77			EUE)	
Block/stratum	Area (million ha	44 (noillim) 28	Trees felled per ha (Number)	Total Removed volume (million m³)	Volume removed per ha (m³)
Un-irrigated bloc	ck 1.718	0.918	0.535	0.448	0.26
Stratum I	84 0.933	0.598	0.641	0.257	0.28
Stratum II	84-0 0.727	0.265	0.365	0.174	0.24
Stratum III	0.058	0.055	0.948	0.017	0.30
Irrigated block	10.116	13.874	1.372	8.938	0.88
Stratum IV	0.669	1.070	1.599	0.521	0.78
Stratum V	4.828	7.089	1.468	3.467	0.72
Stratum VI	4.618	5.715	1.238	4.950	1.07
All areas	30 011.834	14.792	1.250	9.386	0.79

The farmers felled about 14.8 million trees during 1990-91. The species composition indicates that shisham (53%) and kikar (20%) are the main trees which are felled in large number and both account for 73% of the total trees felled. Of the 13.9 million trees felled in irrigated areas, shisham accounted for 56%,

kikar 20%, poplar and tamrix 6% each. In unirrigated areas, phulai accounted for 19%, tamrix, eucalyptus and ber 15% each, shisham and kikar 13% respectively. Shisham is the major species which accounts for 63.5% or 2/3 of all wood removal. The distribution of trees felled and volume removed by species is given in Table 9.

Table 9. Distribution of trees felled and volume removed by species

Species 01		dr bns belist a Trees felled leader of navig at seroega vol.			Volume removed all areas		the of other
		Un-irrig areas ((%)	d All areas (%)	(million r	m ³) (%)	Species
Shisham		12.49	(m no 56.19	53.48	5.958	63.5	Laivado
Kikar	133.78	13.48	888 20.35	19.93	1.415	15.1	
Tamrix	79,20	15.49	08.6 415	6.40	0.275	2.9	
Poplar		0.49	008.6.06	5.70 8.0	0.285	3.0	Siris
Bakain		1.89	8.8.275	3.25 0.0	0.119	1.3	Tamarix
Ber	57.98	14.46	02.1.285	2.03	0.194	2.1	Poplar
Siris		0.07	\$8\$ 2.40	3.25	0.390	4.1	Mango
Khajoor		-	491.1.56	1.4008.0	0.189	2.0	
Mango	25.14	-	011.33	1.2584.0	0.282	3.0	
Eucalyptu	23.712	15.30	0.06	1.0015.0	0.063	0.7	Khajoor
Phulai	16.81	19.08	0.063	1.1890.0	0.035	0.4	Mulberry
Mulberry		-	8400.72	0.67 0.0	0.063	0.7	Jand
Semal		-	0.55	0.52	0.020	0.2	
Jand		7.25	. aco.o.	0.45	0.048	0.5	Phulai
Jaman			800.35	0.33	0.029	0.3	
Pipal	ae:a	2 1 1 2 2	.0.03	0.02	0.005	0.1	
Lasoora	0.99	-	0.03	0.02	0.003	0.03	
Willow	0.84		0.03	0.02	0.003	0.02	
Total		100.00	100.00	100.00	9.375	100.00	Lassora
No. of tree felled (mill		0.918	13.874	14.792			

Stumpage Value: The stumpage value of standing trees on farmlands varies with species, tree size, proximity of market and number of other factors. The total value of wood removed through

felling works out on the basis of conservative estimates to Rs. 5681 million of which shisham wood accounts for Rs. 4533 million (79%). The number of trees felled and their stumpage value by species is given in Table 10.

Table 10. Estimated stumpage value of wood removals

Species	1.50	Number of trees felled (million)	Volume remove (million m³)	d Value (million Rs)
Shisham	15.1	7.910	5.958	4533.78
Kikar		2.948	3 1.415	679.20
Siris		0.333	0.390	126.80
Tamarix		0.946	0.275	67.42
Poplar		0.843	0.285	57.98
Mango		0.185	0.282	53.17
Ber		0.301	0.194	46.18
Bakain		0.480	0.119	25.14
Khajoor		0.218	0.189	08'31 23.71 29VIS
Mulberry		0.099	0.063	80.81 16.81
Jand		0.067	0.048	16.25
Semal		0.076	0.020	9.93
Phulai		0.175	0.035	88.8
Eucalyptus		0.149	0.063	7.31
Jaman		0.049	0.029	6.95
Pipal		0.004	0.005	0.99
Willow		0.004	0.002	0.54
Lasoora	100.00	0.003	0.003	00.001 0.53
Total		14.79	9.375	5681.57

CONCLUSION

The farmlands of the Punjab carry a tree stock of about 200 million trees with the estimated volume of 46.6 million m3. Annual wood removal from farmlands is about 9.4 million m³ which is roughly valued at 5.7 billion rupees. The tree growth on farmlands is equivalent to 0.73 million ha (Amjad, M. et al 1992) of plantation forests. There is a great potential for increasing tree growth on farmlands both in irrigated and un-irrigated areas. Given proper technical assistance and financial incentives, tree growth on farmlands can be increased manifold. Comprehensive and well conceived extension programmes can go a long way in ensuring participation of the farmers in tree growing.

REFERENCES

Amjad, M. 1991. Report on tree growth on farmlands of N.W.F.P., Forest Economics Branch, Pakistan Forest Institute, Peshawar.

Amjad, M., S. Hakim and M.I. Bakhsh. 1992. Report on tree growth on the farmlands of the Punjab, Forest Economics Branch. Pakistan Forest Institute, Peshawar.

Anon, 1979. Annual Reports of River and Climatological Data of Pakistan, 1979. Surface Water Hydrology Project H & SA Org. WAPDA, lahore.

Anon, 1988. Punjab Development Statistics 1988. Bureau of Statistics, Government of Punjab, Lahore.

Anon, 1980. Pakistan Census of Agriculture 1980. Province Report Punjab, Agricultural Census Organization, Statistics Division, Government of Pakistan, Lahore.

Nasir, E. and S. Ali. 1972. Flora of West Pakistan.