

Weeds of Oil Palm (*Blaeis qelineenses* Jacq.) Plantations in Nigeria

L.S.GILL and H.I. Onyibe*

ABSTRACT

An ecological survey of the weeds of oilpalm plantations in Nigeria was carried out. 174 species of weeds were encountered in Nigerian oil palm plantations. Of these 117 are dicots belonging to 39 families, 52 are monocots belonging to 4 families while 5 are ferns belonging to 4 families. On the basis of their Summed Dominance Ratio (SDR), the five most dominant weeds of the oilpalm plantations are *Pueraria phaseoloides* (Roxb). Benth., *Eupatorium odoratum* L. (Syn. *Chromolaena odorata*), *Panicum lasum* Sw., *Brachiaria humidicola* (Rendle schweick and *Mariscus alternifolius* Vahl.)

INTRODUCTION

Weeds steal food from hungry mouths (Anonymous 1986). According to Ross and Lembi (1985), the above is as a result of the competitive, persistent, pernicious and undesirable nature of the weeds as well as thier interference with human (agricultural) activities. Weeds have been on the agricultural scene as far back as when man started cultivating plants for food and other beneficial purpose. Although it is generally believed that the age long battle between crop plants and weeds

anti-dates the modern man's arrival on the scene, much attention and energy is being put into it so as to give crop plants the upperhand. Consequently, many developed countries have been reducing the marginal yield losses due to weeds considerably while in many less-developed countries, losses incurred due to weed competition is still very high.

As a result of the enormous energy input and man-hours spent on weeding tree crops in Nigeria; weed biology of tree crops has attracted the attention of many scientists. From Nigeria, Adenikinju (1969), Komolafe (1976 & 1978), Oladokun (1978) and Olunuga & Abokundu (1987) have studied the weed problems of Nigerian tree crop plantations, but no such study has been carried out on the oilpalm plantations. Gill and Onyibe (1986) have carried out the phytosociological survey of the epiphytic flora of Nigerian oil palm plantations. The present is the first on the ecology of weeds of the oil palm plantation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The oil palm plantations chosen for the study were the Okomu oilpalm plantations located within the Okomu Forest Reserve and covering an area of 3,525 hectares of land situated between lat. $6^{\circ} 14'N$ - $6^{\circ} 24'N$ and long. $5^{\circ} 7'E$ - $5^{\circ} 20'E$ in Nigeria. The elevation of the area is just above sea level.

Sampling was done during the dry and rainy season using a $1.441m \times 0.74m$

*Department of Botany, Biosystematic Lab, University of Benin, P.M.B. 1154, Benin City, Nigeria.

rectangular quadrat. All weed species encountered were collected, identified and quantitative coefficients for their density and frequency were determined following Dansereau (1957). The Relative Density, Relative Frequency and Summed Dominance Ratio (SDR) were calculated following the formula outlined by Pancho (1986).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A total of 174 weed species were recorded in the oil palm plantations. The weeds have been listed in table 1 along with values for their relative density, relative frequency and percentage SDR. The 174 weeds are distributed in 51 families. Of these, 5 species are ferns belonging to 4 families, 52 species are monocots distributed in 8 families while the rest 117 are dicots belonging to 39 families. From the above, it is apparent that more broadleaved weeds (142 species) infest the oil palm plantation than either of the grasses (22 species) or the sedges (10 species). Most of the marginal or boundary weeds are grasses and in areas like drainage trenches and irrigation water troughs, the grasses are frequently replaced by the sedges.

Based on the percentage SDR of each weed species, a list of the first 25 weeds is given in table 2. From this table, it is apparent that problematic weeds among the dicots are *Pueraria phaseoloides* (a cover crop) and *Eupatorium odoratum* (Syn. *Chromolaena odorata*): the grasses are *Panicum laxum* and *Brachiaria humidicola* and the sedges is *Mariscus alternifolius*. Altogether, the three most dominant species of weeds of the oilpalm plantations in Nigeria are *Pueraria phaseoloides*, *Eupatorium odoratum* and *Panicum laxum* while the

two most important weed families are Poaceae (28 species) and Asteraceae (11 species).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to the General Manager, Okomu Oil Palm Company, Okomu-Udo, for permission to work in their oil palm plantations.

REFERENCES

- Anonymous. 1986. Why an information centre on Southeast Asia weeds? In "Weedwatcher", Southeast Asian weed information centre, Bogor, Indonesia, 8 p.
- Adeikinju, S.A. 1969. Survey of weeds of cocoa, kola and coffee. Annual Report Cocoa Research Institute Ibdan, Nigeria, 1968 – 1969, 169 p.
- Dansereau, P. 1957. Biogeography : An Ecological perspective. Ronald Press Co., New York, 364 p.
- Gill, L.S. Onylibe, H.A. 1986 Phytosociological study of the epiphytic Flora of Oil Palm (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq) in Benin city, Nigeria. Feddes Repertorium 97: 691-695.
- Komolafe, D.A. 1976. Weed problems in tree crops in Nigeria, PANS,22: 250–256.
- Komolafe, D.A. 1978. Weeds and their control in perennial plantation crops in Nigeria. In "Weeds and their control in the humid and subhumid tropics", Akobnundu, I.O. (ed.) I.I.T.A., Ibadan, Nigeria, 421 p.
- Oladokun, M.A.O. 1978. An assessment

Table 1. Relative density, Relative frequency and summed dominance ratio (SDR) of weeds of oil-palm plantations in Nigeria.

*Taxon	(Rd) Relative density	(Rf) Relative frequency "	(SDR) Summed dominance Ratio
ACANTHACEAE			
<i>Elytraria marginata</i> Vahl.	0.59	3.13	1.86
<i>Ruellia praetermissa</i> Schweinf. ex Lindau	1.93	2.34	2.135
ADIANTHACEAE			
<i>Pteris mildbraedii</i> Jiron.	2.70	2.70	2.70
AMARANTHACEAE			
<i>Amaranthus dubius</i> Mart. ex Thell.	0.62	0.90	0.76
<i>Amaranthus hybridus</i> L.	0.07	0.78	9.425
<i>Amaranthus spinosus</i> L.	0.20	0.83	0.515
<i>Amaranthus viridis</i> L.	1.16	0.23	0.695
<i>Alternanthera sessilis</i> (L.) R. Br. ex Roth	4.20	2.80	3.50
<i>Celosia argentea</i> L.	0.2	1.04	0.62
<i>Celosia laxa</i> Schum. & Thonn.	1.82	0.96	1.39
<i>Celosia trigyna</i> L.	1.06	1.69	1.375
<i>Cyathula prostrata</i> (L.) Blume	2.3	2.82	2.56
<i>Gomphrena celosioides</i> Mart.	0.15	0.78	0.465
APOCYNACEAE			
<i>Landolphia owariensis</i> P. Beauv.	1.57	1.65	1.61
<i>Pleiochera barteri</i> Bail	0.39	1.65	1.02
ARACEAE			
<i>Anchomanes difformis</i> (Bl.) Engl.	9.10	2.36	5.73
<i>Calcasia scandens</i> P. Beauv.	0.30	1.13	0.72
<i>Raphidophora africana</i> N.E. Br.	1.01	1.13	1.07
<i>Xanthosoma mutaffa</i> Schott	0.14	0.78	0.46

ARECACEAE

<i>Ancistrophyllum secundiflorum</i> (P. Beauv) Wendl.	0.59	0.36	0.71
<i>Elaeis guineensis</i> (Seedlings)	0.21	0.90	0.56

ASCLEPIADACEAE

<i>Gorgonema latifolium</i> Benth.	0.08	1.4	0.74
------------------------------------	------	-----	------

ASPLENIACEAE

<i>Asplenium adiantum-nigrum</i> L.	0.40	2.00	1.20
-------------------------------------	------	------	------

ASTERACEAE

<i>Ageratum conyzoides</i> L.	7.64	3.94	5.79
<i>Conyza sumatrensis</i> (Retz.) Walker	4.50	1.40	2.95
<i>Crassocephalum rubens</i> (Juss. ex. Jacq) S.Moore	0.27	1.40	0.84
<i>Eclipta prostrata</i> (L.) L.	0.41	0.9	0.66
<i>Emilia sonchifolia</i> (L.) L. D.C	2.39	4.17	3.28
<i>Eupatorium odoratum</i> L.	15.66	7.61	11.64
<i>Mikania cordata</i> Barm.f	0.49	0.78	0.64
<i>Struchium sparganphora</i> (L.) O. ktze	0.45	1.13	0.79
<i>Synedrella nodiflora</i> Gaertn.	0.83	0.90	0.87
<i>Vernonia cinerea</i> (L.) Less	2.03	1.57	1.80
<i>Vernonia perrottetii</i> Sch. Bip	2.97	2.34	2.66

CAPPARIDACEAE

<i>Cleome ciliata</i> Schum. & Thonn.	1.27	0.83	1.05
<i>Cleome gynandra</i> L.	1.66	1.90	1.78
<i>Cleome spinosa</i> Jacq.	1.56	1.13	1.35

CARYOPHYLLACEAE

<i>Polycarpon prostratum</i> (Forsk.) Asch. & Schweinf.	0.89	0.78	0.84
---	------	------	------

Table 1 continued

*Taxon	(Rd) Relative density %	(Rf) Relative frequency %	(SDR) Summed dominance Ratio %
CELASTRACEAE			
<i>Hippocratea africana</i> L.	0.11	0.82	0.47
<i>Salacia pallescens</i> Olive.	0.59	0.83	0.71
COMBRETACEAE			
<i>Combretum dolichopetalum</i> Engl. & Diels	0.45	0.96	0.71
<i>Combretum grandiflorum</i> G. Don	0.33	0.82	0.56
<i>Terminalia superba</i> Engl. & Diels	0.10	1.04	0.57
COMMELINACEAE			
<i>Aneileme beniniense</i> (P. Beauv.) Kunth	4.60	3.13	3.87
<i>Commelina benghalensis</i> L.	2.08	1.56	1.82
<i>Palisota ambigua</i> (P. Beauv.) C.B. Cl. DC.	2.70	2.00	2.350
<i>Palisota hirsuta</i> (Thunb.) K. Schum.	0.50	0.23	0.37
CONVOLVULACEAE			
<i>Ipomoea involucrata</i> P. Beauv.	1.63	0.78	1.21
CUCURBITACEAE			
<i>Coccinia barteri</i> (Hook.f.) Keay	0.59	0.83	0.71
<i>Cucumis melo</i> L.	0.20	1.04	0.62
<i>Luffa cylindrica</i> (L.) M.J. roem	0.10	1.04	0.57
<i>Momordica charantia</i> L.	2.30	3.38	2.84
<i>Momordica multiflora</i> Hook.f.	0.23	0.96	0.60
CYPERACEAE			
<i>Cyperus compactus</i> Retz.	0.40	1.04	0.72
<i>Cyperus distans</i> L.F.	0.30	1.04	0.67
<i>Cyperus esculentus</i> L.	1.82	0.96	1.39
<i>Cyperus rotundus</i> L.			
<i>Fimbristylis dichotoma</i> (L.) Vahl	0.30	1.04	0.67
<i>Fimbristylis littoralis</i> Gand	0.60	3.13	1.87
<i>Mariscus alternitolius</i> Vahl	0.91	0.96	0.94
<i>Mariscus dubius</i> Rottb. (C.E.C. Fisher)	10.65	4.70	7.68
	1.26	0.78	1.02
	1.04	0.99	0.97

CYPERACEAE

<i>Cyperus compactus</i> Retz.	0.40	1.04	0.72
<i>Cyperus distans</i> L.F.	0.30	1.04	0.67
<i>Cyperus esculentus</i> L.	1.87	0.96	1.39

<i>Cyperus iria</i> L.	0.30	1.04	0.67
<i>Fimbristylis dichotoma</i> (L.) Vahl	0.60	3.13	1.87
<i>Fimbristylis littoralis</i> Gaud.	0.91	0.96	0.94
<i>Mariscus alternifolius</i> Vahl	10.65	4.70	7.68
<i>Mariscus dubius</i> (Rottb.) C.E.C. Fisher	1.26	0.78	1.02
<i>Mariscus gracilis</i> (Pursh) Kunze	1.04	0.90	0.97
<i>Scleria boliviensis</i> Steud.	1.20	0.70	0.95

DAVALLACEAE

<i>Davallia cætophyloides</i> (Poir) Steud.	0.89	0.78	0.84
<i>Nephrolepis biserrata</i> (Sw.) Schott	2.23	2.34	2.29

DIOSCOREACEAE

<i>Dioscorea cayenensis</i> Lam.	0.05	0.23	0.14
----------------------------------	------	------	------

EUPHORBIACEAE

<i>Cleistanthus polystachys</i> HOOK.f. ex Planch.	0.15	0.78	0.47
<i>Euphorbia heterophylla</i> L.	0.55	0.82	0.69
<i>Euphorbia hirta</i> L.	0.91	1.69	1.30
<i>Euphorbia prostrata</i> Ait.	0.27	0.70	0.49
<i>Hevea brasiliensis</i> (Kunth) Mull. Arg.	0.29	2.48	1.39
<i>Marcaranga hirsutifolia</i> Baillie	0.30	3.13	1.72
<i>Manihot glaziovii</i> Mull. Arg.	7.83	3.13	5.57
<i>Neoboutonia velutina</i> Prain	1.96	0.83	1.40
<i>Phyllanthus amarus</i> Schum. & Thonn.	1.31	1.13	1.22
<i>Phyllanthus muellerianus</i> (O. Ktze) Exell	0.91	6.73	3.92

FABACEAE

<i>Baphiastrum confusum</i> (Hutch. & Dalz.) Pellegr.	0.30	2.08	1.17
<i>Centrosema pubescens</i> Benth.	1.00	2.30	1.65
<i>Dalbergia saxatilis</i> Hook.f.	0.15	0.78	0.47
<i>Mimosa pudica</i> L.	0.78	1.04	0.91
<i>Pentaclethra macrophylla</i> Benth.	0.20	1.65	0.93
<i>Pueraria phaseoloides</i> (Roxb.) Benth.	26.84	13.12	19.89
<i>Sphenostylis stenocarpa</i> (Hochst. ex A. Rich.) Harms	3.19	1.92	2.56

* Taxon	(Rd) Relative density %	(Rf) Relative frequency %	(SDR) Summed dominance Ratio %
HYPERICACEAE	0.21	0.90	0.56
<i>Harungema madagascariensis</i> Lam. ex poir.			
ICACINACEAE	1.21	5.74	3.48
<i>Icacina tricantha</i> Oliv.			
LAMIACEAE	0.69	0.83	0.76
<i>Solenostemon rotundifolius</i> (Poir.) J.K. Morton			
LOGANIACEAE	4.15	1.90	3.03
<i>Spigelia anthelinia</i> L.			
MALVACEAE	1.66 0.41	4.76 1.90	3.21 1.16
<i>Sida acuta</i> L.			
<i>Urena lobata</i> L.			
MELASTOMATACEAE	0.75 3.12	0.23 0.78	0.49 1.95
<i>Dissotis erecta</i> (Guill. & Perl.) Dandy			
<i>Dissotis rotundifolia</i> (Sm.) Triana			
MENISPERMACEAE	0.29 0.27 0.30 0.10 0.89 0.39	0.83 0.70 0.78 0.83 1.56 1.65	0.56 0.49 0.54 0.47 1.23 1.02
<i>Cissampelos owariensis</i> P. Beauv. ex DC.			
<i>Epinetrum undulatum</i> Hiern.			
<i>Jateorhiza macrantha</i> (Hook. f.) Exell & Mendonca			
<i>Sphenocentrum jollyanum</i> Pierre			
<i>Synclisia scabrida</i> Miers			
<i>Triclisia dictyophylla</i> Diels			
MORACEAE	0.15 2.09 0.23	0.78 0.82 0.96	0.47 1.46 0.60
<i>Chlorophora excelsa</i> (Welw.) Benth.			
<i>Ficus mucoso</i> Welw. ex Ficalho			
<i>Morinda citrifolia</i> L. Burm.			

MENISPERMACEAE			
<i>Cissampelos owariensis</i> P. Beauv. ex DC.	0.29	0.83	0.56
<i>Epinetrum undulatum</i> Hiern.	0.27	0.70	0.49
<i>Jateorhiza macrantha</i> (Hook.f.) Exell & Mendonca	0.30	0.78	0.54
<i>Sphenocentrum jollyanum</i> Pierre	0.10	0.83	0.47
<i>Synclisia scabrida</i> Miers	0.89	1.56	1.23
<i>Triclisia dictyophylla</i> Diels	0.39	1.65	1.02
MORACEAE			
<i>Chlorophora excelsa</i> (Welw.) Benth.	0.15	0.78	0.47
<i>Ficus mucoso</i> Welw. ex Ficalho	2.09	0.82	1.46
<i>Musanga cecropioides</i> R. Br.	0.23	0.96	0.60
NYCTAGINACEAE			
<i>Boerhavia coccinea</i> Mill.	1.96	1.65	1.81
<i>Boerhavia diffusa</i> L.	3.33	8.26	5.80
ONAGRACEAE			
<i>Jussiaea erecta</i> L.	0.50	1.04	0.77
<i>Jussiaea suffruticosa</i> L.	0.21	0.90	0.56
PANDACEAE			
<i>Microdesmis puberula</i> Hook.f. ex Planch.	0.30	0.78	0.54
PASSIFLORACEAE			
<i>Barteria fistulosa</i> Mast.	0.14	0.70	0.42
<i>Passiflora foetida</i> L.	0.40	1.13	0.77
PHYTOLACCACEAE			
<i>Phytolacca dodecandra</i> L'Herit.	0.62	0.90	0.76
PIPERACEAE			
<i>Peperomia pellucida</i> (L.) H.B. & K.	1.92	1.13	1.53
<i>Piper umbellatum</i> L.	3.20	3.50	3.35
POACEAE			
<i>Acroceras zizanioides</i> Dandy	1.10	0.70	0.90
<i>Axonopus compressus</i> P. Beauv.	0.80	1.40	1.10
<i>Brachiaria deflexa</i> Robyns	1.66	0.83	1.25
<i>Brachiaria humidicola</i> (Rendle) Schweick	12.32	3.13	7.73

*Taxon	(Rd) Relative density %	(Rf) Relative frequency %	(SDR) Summed dominance Ratio ^a
<i>Vhiloris barbata</i> Sw.	1.20	0.82	1.01
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i> Pers.	0.89	0.78	0.84
<i>Dactyloctenium aegyptium</i> P. Beauv	2.73	1.92	2.33
<i>Digitaria ciliaris</i> (Retz.) Koel.	3.91	1.65	2.78
<i>Digitaria horizontis</i> Willd.	1.00	2.08	1.54
<i>Echinocloa coloam</i> (L.) Link	1.24	0.90	1.07
<i>Ecoinocloa crus-galli</i> (L.) Beauv.	0.60	1.04	0.82
<i>Eleusine indica</i> Geatn.	0.83	0.90	0.87
<i>Eragrostis domingensis</i> (Pers.) Stend.	1.10	2.08	1.59
<i>Eragrostis tenella</i> Roem. & Scholt.	2.05	0.96	1.31
<i>Leptochloa coerulea</i> cens Steud	7.08	4.17	5.63
<i>Panicum brevidlorum</i> L.	5.28	0.82	3.05
<i>Panicum griffonii</i> franch	0.68	0.96	0.82
<i>Panicum laxum</i> Sw.	16.31	5.51	10.91
<i>Panicum maximum</i> Jacq.	0.90	2.36	1.63
<i>Paspalum conjugatum</i> Berg.	3.70	1.40	2.55
<i>Paspalum scrobiculatum</i> L.	4.40	2.26	3.33
<i>Pennisetum polystachyon</i> Schult.	0.21	1.99	1.10
<i>Pennisetum purpureum</i> Schum.	2.37	0.23	1.30
<i>Perotis patens</i> Gand.	6.66	2.36	4.51
<i>Poa annua</i> L.	0.40	1.04	0.72
<i>Setaria barbata</i> Kunth	0.91	1.92	1.42
<i>Setaria megaphylla</i> dur. & Schinz	0.15	0.23	0.19
<i>Sporobolus pyramidalis</i> P. Beauv.	3.19	1.92	2.56

POLYGALACEAE

<i>Carpolebia lutea</i> G. Don.	0.14	0.7	0.42
---------------------------------	------	-----	------

POLYMATODES

POLYPODIACEAE

<i>Phymatodes scolopendria</i> (Burm.f.) Ching	0.15	0.78	0.47
--	------	------	------

PURTULACACEAE

<i>Portulaca foliosa</i> Ker. Gawl.	0.10	1.04	0.57
<i>Portulaca oleracea</i> L.	0.80	2.08	1.44
<i>Talinum triangular</i> (Jacq.) Willd.	1.04	2.34	1.69

RUBIACEAE

<i>Carpobrotia lutea</i> G. Don.	0.14	0.7	
POLYMATODES			
POLYPODIACEAE			0.47
<i>Phymatodes scolopendria</i> (Burm f.) Ching	0.15	0.78	
—	—	—	—
PORTULACACEAE			
<i>Portulaca foliosa</i> Ker. Gawl.	0.10	1.04	0.57
<i>Portulaca oleracea</i> L.	0.80	2.08	1.44
<i>Talinum triangular</i> (Jacq.) Willd.	1.04	2.34	1.69
RUBIACEAE			
<i>Geophilus obvallata</i> (Schumach.) F. Didr.	1.19	0.78	0.99
<i>Mitracarpus villosus</i> (Sw.) DC.	3.03	1.65	2.34
<i>Mitragyna ciliata</i> Aubrev. & Pellegr.	0.21	1.90	1.06
<i>Mussaenda elegans</i> Schum. & Thonn.	0.41	0.90	0.66
<i>Oldenlandia corymbosa</i> L.	0.91	0.96	0.94
<i>Psychotria kitsonii</i> Hutch. & Dalz.	0.81	0.70	0.76
<i>Sabicea calycina</i> Benth.	0.30	0.78	0.54
SAPINDACEAE			
<i>Paullinia pinnata</i> L.	0.15	0.78	0.47
SCROPHULARIACEAE			
<i>Sco-aroa discos</i> L.	0.45	1.92	1.19
<i>Veronica abyssinica</i> Fres.	1.04	1.56	1.3
<i>Veronica anagallis-aquatica</i> L.	0.27	0.70	0.49
SMILACACEAE			
<i>Smilax kraussiana</i> Meisn.	0.27	0.70	0.49
SOLANACEAE			
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i> Mill	0.21	0.78	0.50
<i>Physalis angulata</i> L.	0.59	0.83	0.71
<i>Physalis micrantha</i> L.	0.78	1.65	1.22
<i>Solanum nigrum</i> L.	0.77	0.78	0.78
<i>Solanum torvum</i> Sw.	0.28	0.78	0.53
<i>Solanum verbascifolium</i> L.	3.64	7.69	5.67
STERCLIACEAE			
<i>Pterygota bequaerti</i> De Wild.	0.33	0.82	0.58

Table 1 continued

	Plant name	Relative density	Rt. Relative frequency	(SBR) Submitted abundance R.
TILIACEAE				
<i>Cochlosperma tridentatum</i> L.	0.23	0.96	0.60	
<i>Triumfetta rhomboidea</i> Jacq.	0.15	0.23	0.19	
ULMACEAE				
<i>Trema guineensis</i> (Schum. & Thonn.) Ficalho	0.30	1.04	0.67	
URTICACEAE				
<i>Fleurya aestuans</i> (L.) Gaud. Mig.	0.49	1.57	1.03	
<i>Urtica cordifolia</i> Engl.	0.30	0.78	0.54	
VERBENACEAE				
<i>Clerodendrum splendens</i> Gr. Dom.	0.33	0.82	0.59	
<i>Clerodendrum volubile</i> P. Beauvois	0.70	0.78	0.43	
<i>Stachytarpheta cayennensis</i> (L.) C. Rich. Schau.	1.57	2.48	2.03	
<i>Vitex ferruginea</i> Schum. & Thonn.	0.27	0.70	0.49	
VIOLACEAE				
<i>Hybanthus enneaspermus</i> (L.) F. V. Muell.	0.10	1.04	0.57	
ZINGIBERACEAE				
<i>Aframomum sceptrum</i> (Oliv. & Hnab.) K. Schum	0.30	0.78	0.54	
<i>Costus dubius</i> Aitell. K. Schum	2.70	1.31	2.00	

*Plant families are arranged in alphabetical order

Table 2. The first weeds in oilpalm plantations

S.No.	*Weed species	SDR(%)
1.	<i>Pueraria phaseoloides</i> (Roxb.) Benth	19.98
2.	<i>Eupatorium odoratum</i> L.	11.64
3.	<i>Panicum laxum</i> Sw.	10.91
4.	<i>Brachiaria humidicola</i> (Rendle) Schweick	7.73
5.	<i>Mariscus alternifolius</i> Vahl.	7.68
6.	<i>Boerhavia diffusa</i> L.	5.80
7.	<i>Ageratum conyzoides</i> L.	5.79
8.	<i>Auchomanes difformis</i> (Bl.) Engl.	5.73
9.	<i>Solanum verbascifolium</i> L.	5.67
10.	<i>Leptochloa caerulescens</i> Steud	5.63
11.	<i>Manihot glaziovii</i> Mull. Arg.	5.57
12.	<i>Perotis patens</i> Gand.	4.51
13.	<i>Phyllanthus muellarianus</i> (O. Ktze) Exell	3.92
14.	<i>Anelictima beninense</i> (P. Beauvois) Kunth	3.87
15.	<i>Alternanthera sessilis</i> (L.) R. Br. ex Roth	3.50
16.	<i>Icacina tricantha</i> Oliv.	3.48
17.	<i>Piper umbellatum</i> L.	3.35
18.	<i>Paspalum scrobiculatum</i> L.	3.33
19.	<i>Emilia sonchifolia</i> (L.) L.	3.28
20.	<i>Sida acuta</i> L.	3.21
21.	<i>Panicum breviflorum</i> L.	3.05
22.	<i>Spigelia anthelmia</i> L.	3.03
23.	<i>Conyza sumatrensis</i> (Rentz.) Walker	2.95
24.	<i>Momordica charantia</i> L.	2.84
25.	<i>Digitaria ciliaris</i> (Retz.) Koel.	2.78

*The arrangement of weed species is in descending order of SDR.

of cultural weed control methods in *Coffea canephora* (var. Quilou). In "Weeds and their control in the humid and subhumid and subhumid tropics", Akobundu, I.O. (ed.), I.I.T.A., Ibadan, Nigeria, 421 p.

Olunga, B.A. and Akobundu I.O. 1978. weed problems and control practices in field and vegetable crops in Nigeria. In "Weeds and their control in the humid and subhumid tropics", Akobundu, I.O. (ed.), I.I.T.A., Ibadan,

Nigeria, 421 p.

Pancho, J.V. 1986. Weeds of vegetable farms in La Trinidad, Benguet, Philippines. In "Weeds control in tropical crops", Vol. II, Moody, k. (ed.), W.S.S.P. & SEARCA, Philippines, 293 p.

Ross, M.A. and Lembi C.A. 1985 Applied weed science. Burgess Publishing Co., Minnesota, 340 p.