New Fossil Remains of Artiodactyla from Dhok Pathan Formation, Middle Siwaliks of Punjab, Pakistan
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INTRODUCTION

Very rich vertebrate fossils fauna is present in Siwalik Hills as compare to all other parts of the world and fossils of artiodactyla are more prominent here (Colbert, 1935; Akhtar, 1992; Khan et al., 2008, Samiullah et al., 2015; Abbas et al., 2018). The Pliocene Siwalik artiodactyla are abundant here but their evolution and systematic are poorly known and outdated (Gentry and Hooker, 1988; Geraads and Gulec, 1999; Barry et al., 2013; Gentry et al., 2014). The sediments of Dhok Pathan Formation are highly fossiliferous and well known for the appearance of Tertiary fauna since 19th century (Matthew, 1929; Colbert, 1935; Pilbeam et al., 1977; Akhtar, 1992; Barry et al., 2002; Khan et al., 2010).

The village Hasnot (Lat. 32° 49′ N: Long. 73° 18′ E) is situated about 70 km away west of the district Jhelum, Punjab, Pakistan. The Hasnot village exposes the complete sequence of Siwalik fauna and yields diversified assemblage of the Dhok Pathan Formation (Farooq et al., 2007 a-b, 2008; Khan et al., 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010; Samiullah et al., 2015). The Hasnot Village consist of vertebrate fossils including the order Artiodactyla, Perissodactyla, Proboscidea and Primates (Ghafrar et al., 2009; Iqbal et al., 2009, 2011; Khan et al., 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012; Abbas et al., 2018). The bovid fossils are more prominent here as compare to all other taxa (Abbas et al., 2018). This paper reveals the taxonomic investigation of the Late Miocene to early Pliocene fauna of artiodactyla discovered from Hasnot Village, District Jhelum, Punjab, Pakistan and expose the richness by updating the taxonomy of fossil locality.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The outcrops of the Hasnot village (Fig. 1) were explored thoroughly and samples were collected in 2015 from October to December. Surface collection was the primary mean of collection. The excavation was also done carefully where there was indication of embedded fossils. The hammers, chisels, fine needles, penknives and brushes were used for excavation. Cotton pieces and tissues were used to wrap the specimens for avoiding damages of transportation. Specimens were sensibly cleaned and washed in laboratory to remove the dust and sand particles. The broken leftovers of collected specimens were riposted by using different resins and gums like Elfy, Fixings and Magic Stone etc. The specimens were analyzed for morphological and taxonomic characteristics in the Palaeontology laboratory, Department of Zoology, GC University, Faisalabad, Pakistan.

To avoid equivocalness all the small morphological characters were carefully observed with the help of hand lens. Vernier caliper was used for measurements of specimens and scale was in millimeters (mm). The catalogue number PC-GCUF was assigned to the collected specimens who represented the serial number (numerator) and collection year (denominator) e.g.
06/2015. For photographs canon DSLR camera was used and hard copies were prepared by using printer. Collected specimens were compared with the specimens of the Geological Survey of India (GSI), Geological Survey of Pakistan (GSP), American Museum of Natural History (AMNH), British Museum of Natural History (BMNH) and the specimens from the Paleontology laboratory of the Zoology department of the Punjab University, Lahore, Pakistan (PUPC). The studied specimens are stocked in the Paleontology laboratory of the Department of Zoology, Government College University Faisalabad, Pakistan.

SYSTEMATIC PALEONTOLOGY
Family Bovidae (Gray, 1821)
Subfamily Bovinae (Gill, 1871)
Tribe Boselaphini (Simpson, 1945)
Genus Salenoportax (Pilgrim, 1937)
Salenoportax vexillarius (Pilgrim, 1937)

Studied material
PC-GCUF 35/15, upper anterior part of left first molar M1; PC-GCUF 37/15, upper posterior part of left first molar M1; PC-GCUF 34/15, left second upper molar M2; PC-GCUF 36/15, right first lower molar m1.

Locality
Hasnot, district Jhelum, Punjab, Pakistan.

Diagnosis
Large sized species, teeth are extremely hypsodont, enamel is very rugose. Upper molars are quadrate in shape. Strong and divergent styles are present near the neck of the crown, ribs extremely large, ectostylid and entostyle strongly developed in molars. Fossette are simple in outline, transverse anterior goat folds are weekly developed at the front of lower molars.

Description
PC-GCUF 35/15 is left upper interior part of first molar. This specimen is at the middle stage of preservation and at the middle stage of wear (Fig. 2, 2a-c). The enamel is moderately thick. The protocone is less elongated and partially broken in the middle towards the lingual side. The paracone is narrow and comparatively more elongated.
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than protocone. PC-GCUF 37/2015 is an upper posterior part of first left molar. Both lingual and buccal sides are smooth. Protoconid is well preserved and less pointed. Paraconid is strongly pointed (Fig. 2, 4a-c). A deep median valley deposited with sandstone is present. Posterior part is completely absent. PC-GCUF 34/15 is an upper left second molar and is in the middle stage of preservation (Fig. 2, 1a-c). The dentine is clearly visible on the upper surface of the tooth. The enamel is very thick and more rugose. Metacone and paracone are extremely developed and elongated. The protocone and hypocone are well preserved. Posterior median rib is remarkably prominent than the anterior one. Parastyl and metastyl are strongly developed. Anterior and posterior median ribs are clearly visible. Cavities are narrow, elongated and filled deeply with sandstone. A well-developed, very sharp and strongly pointed median basal pillar is present in the transverse valley. PC-GCUF 36/15 is lower right first molar. It is excellently preserved and in the middle stage of wear. The buccal side is more rugose as compared to the lingual side. The central cavities are excellently preserved and these are narrow in the center and broad antero-posteriorly. Protoconid is well preserved (Fig. 2, 3a-c) while paraconid is flat in shape and mostly smooth in surface. Metaconid is slightly broken along its posterior side. Hypoconid is well preserved and pointed. Posterior median rib is sharply visible. Anterior median rib is not visible. Anterior median valley is less deep as compared to posterior median valley.

Comparison and discussion

Pilgrim (1937) first time described two large species of bovids which are Pachyportax and Salenoportax after a detailed study of their fossil remains of horn-cores and teeth. He differentiated these genera on the basis of their shape and size. Bibi (2007) considered these characters at which Pilgrim (1937) distinguished these genera are insufficient due to variation even within a single species. There is no any record of complete skull and horn cores from these deposits from previous seven decades. Previous collection clearly indicate that Salenoportax is only found from the Middle Siwaliks (Baker and Akhtar, 1985; Akhtar, 1992, 1995, 1996; Khan, 2007).

The prominent ribs, strong entostyle/ectostylid, divergent stylids/style and the features of crown in studied specimens reveal that they belong to large size Siwalik Boselaphini (Pilgrim 1937; 1939). The studied material is different from Pachyportax due to crown neck. The crown neck is absent in Pachyportax but it is present in Salenoportax (Khan et al., 2007; 2009). There are two species of Salenoportax present in the Siwaliks such as Salenoportax vexillarius and Salenoportax lydekkeri (Pilgrim, 1937; Pilgrim, 1939). The cheek teeth of Salenoportax lydekkeri are larger than Salenoportax vexillarius (Akhtar, 1992; Pilgrim, 1939; Flynn and Qi, 1982). The morphology and dimension of studied material match with Salenoportax vexillarius which is described by moderate to large size with divergent and strong styles, high crowned and strongly developed median ribs. There is difference in size of dentition which are due to sex difference (Supplementary Table I).

Pachyportax (Pilgrim, 1937)

Pachyportax latidens (Pilgrim, 1939)

Studied material

PC-GCUF 39/2015, upper right third premolar P3; PC-GCUF 74/15, left second upper molar M2; PC-GUCF 72/15, fourth left lower premolar p4; PC-GCUF 71/15, lower right third molar m3.

Locality

Hanot, District Jhelum, the Punjab province.

Diagnosis

A moderate to gigantic sized bovid, lower molars have thick and rugose enamel. Lower molars are hypsodont and have distinct ectostylid. Median ribs and stylids are intermediately developed. Crown is narrow at the apex and broad at the base. Upper molars are quadrate and strongly hypsodont. Entostyle relatively strong ribs, styles and more extended transversely.

Description

PC-GCUF 39/2015 is upper right third premolar. The specimen is at the middle stage of preservation and it is in the early stage of wear (Fig. 2, 5a-c). The protocone is V shaped and hollow internally (Fig. 2, 8a-c). The paracoon is partially broken but at broken point a deep narrow valley like structure can be seen. The hypocone is less elongated. The metacone is also partially broken. A deep slightly crescent shape cavity is present between protocone and paracone. Ecto and mesostyle are strongly developed. At the base of paracone a partial pillar like structure is present along its posterior side. PC-GCUF 74/15 is left second upper molar. It is in the middle stage of wear and middle stage of preservation. The enamel is moderately thick and darkish brown in colour. The protocone is V shaped and hollow internally (Fig. 2, 8a-c). The paracoon is partially broken but at broken point a deep narrow valley like structure can be seen. The hypocone is less elongated. The metacone is also partially broken. The base of the metacone along buccal side is broken posteriorly. Median Basel pillar is present between the protocone and hypocone. PC-GUCF 72/15 is fourth left lower premolar. The specimen is well preserved and at the early stage of wear. The enamel is shiny. Lingual and labial sides are
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Pilgrim, 1937. The cheek teeth of Salenoportax lydekkeri are larger than Salenoportax vexillarius (Akhtar, 1992; Pilgrim, 1939; Flynn and Qi, 1982). The morphology and dimension of studied material match with Salenoportax vexillarius which is described by moderate to large size with divergent and strong styles, high crowned and strongly developed median ribs. There is difference in size of dentition which are due to sex difference (Supplementary Table I).

Pachyportax (Pilgrim, 1937)

Pachyportax latidens (Pilgrim, 1939)

Studied material

PC-GCUF 39/2015, upper right third premolar P3; PC-GCUF 74/15, left second upper molar M2; PC-GUCF 72/15, fourth left lower premolar p4; PC-GCUF 71/15, lower right third molar m3.

Locality

Hanot, District Jhelum, the Punjab province.

Diagnosis

A moderate to gigantic sized bovid, lower molars have thick and rugose enamel. Lower molars are hypsodont and have distinct ectostylid. Median ribs and stylids are intermediately developed. Crown is narrow at the apex and broad at the base. Upper molars are quadrate and strongly hypsodont. Entostyle relatively strong ribs, styles and more extended transversely.

Description

PC-GCUF 39/2015 is upper right third premolar. The specimen is at the middle stage of preservation and it is in the early stage of wear (Fig. 2, 5a-c). The protocone is strongly pointed and the paracone is broader. A deep slightly crescent shape cavity is present between protocone and paracone. Ecto and mesostyle are strongly developed. At the base of paracone a partial pillar like structure is present along its posterior side. PC-GCUF 74/15 is left second upper molar. It is in the middle stage of wear and middle stage of preservation. The enamel is moderately thick and darkish brown in colour. The protocone is V shaped and hollow internally (Fig. 2, 8a-c). The paracoon is partially broken but at broken point a deep narrow valley like structure can be seen. The hypocone is less elongated. The metacone is also partially broken. The base of the metacone along buccal side is broken posteriorly. Median Basel pillar is present between the protocone and hypocone. PC-GUCF 72/15 is fourth left lower premolar. The specimen is well preserved and at the early stage of wear. The enamel is shiny. Lingual and labial sides are
smooth. The protoconid is slightly projected (Fig. 2, 7a-c) while paraconid is less projected. Behind the paraconid a rib like structure is present on the labial side. Between hypoconid and entoconid a deep depression is present. The entoconid and hypoconid both are well projected. PC-GCUF 71/15 is lower right third molar. The specimen is well preserved. It is in the early stage of wear. The enamel is shine. Rugosity is more prominent at lingual side as compared to buccal side (Fig. 2, 6a-c). The protoconid is less pointed as compared to hypoconid. The entoconid is slightly flat. A depression is present at the posterior face of the hypoconid. The valleys are narrow. Mesostyle is present on the lingual side. Well preserved telonid is present at the posterior side of the specimen.

Comparison and discussion
The genus *Pachyportax* was first described by Pilgrim in 1937 and identified as *Pachyportax* which were identified by Lydekker in 1876 as *Cervus latidens*. He described two species *Pachyportax nagri* and *Pachyportax latidens*. He also described one subspecies *Pachyportax latidens dhokpathanensis*. Akhtar (1995) synonymized this species *Pachyportax latidens* with the subspecies *Pachyportax latidens dhokpathanensis* and added a new species *Pachyportax giganteus*. This genus mainly consists of large boselaphines of the Siwalik probably which were closer to ancestor of Bovini then *Tragopontax*. PC-GCUF 71/15 show similarities with PU96/41 but in PU96/41 ectostyloid is broken anteriorly and the ectostyloid is poorly developed. PC-GCUF 72/15 is quite similar with PC-GCUF 09/14 in both paraconid is well projected. In both specimens a furrow is present near paraconid. PC-GCUF 72/15 also shows similarities with PC-GUCF 10/74. PC-GCUF 79/15 shows great similarities with PC-GCUF 10/13 both specimens are triangular in shape. The enamel colour and rugosity is same in both specimens. Boselaphines gave way to originate bovine like bovids at the end of late Miocene (Gentry et al., 1999) although a complete skull of this species has not been recovered yet. The dental morphology of premolars of this species has not been well known yet but its dental morphology is well known today. The cheek teeth of *Pachyportax* are different with giraffid teeth because these teeth are so much hypsodont. Their ectostylications/entoeyes is too much large and the Fossettes are isolated from the exterior as compare to giraffid. The studied material decidedly belongs to Boselaphines bovid because they are too large to place in *Tragopontax cyrenicus*. The important character to identify the upper molar is the transverse extension of entoeyes in some cases it may be slightly broader (Pilgrim, 1937). The roughness is also variable, in some specimens it is smooth while in some specimens it is rough. The studied specimens show all the basic features of genus *Pachyportax latidens*. Size of dental measurements is variable but it is too much insignificant as taxonomic point of view which may be due to the sex differentiation (Supplementary Table I).

Infraorder Tragulina (Flower, 1883)
Superfamily Traguloidea (Gill, 1871)
Family Tragulidae (Milne edwards, 1864)
Genus *Dorcatherium* (Pilgrim, 1937)
*Dorcatherium minus* (Lydekker, 1876)

**Studied material**
PC-GCUF 81/15, lower right third premolar p3; PC-GCUF 82/15 lower right second molar m2.

**Locality**
Hasnot, District Jhelum, the Punjab province.

**Diagnosis**
A small species of the genus *Dorcatherium* which molars have sub hypsodont and broad crowned. The Cingulam is well developed. Styles and ribs are moderately developed and vestigial ectostylids is present.

**Description**
PC-GCUF 81/15 is lower right fourth premolar. The premolar consists of three lobes. These lobes have similar in shape but they have variations in width, length and height. The anterior lobe is smaller than the posterior one but pointed (Fig. 2, 9a-c). The last lobe, at posterior end is biggest lobe of this specimen. The enamel is partially rugose and moderately thick. In lingual side the lobes look like W shape. PC-GCUF 82/15 is lower right second molar. The specimen is well preserved. The specimen is in early stage of wear. The enamel is darkly shined. Less rugosity is present at buccal side but lingual side is more rugose (Fig. 2, 10a-c). Hypoconid is well pointed. Protoconid is also pointed. Narrow depression is present between hypoconid and Entoconid. Entoconid is partially broken at the base. The cavity present between protoconid and metaconid is completely filled with sand. The posterior cavity between hypoconid and entoconid is deep but partially filled with sand.

Comparison and discussion
The genus *Dorcatherium* was discovered from the early Miocene sediments of east Africa (Pickford, 2001). This genus occurs in Pakistan in the Miocene sediments of upper part of Nagri Formation near the Dera Bugti. The specimens described here are limited to lower dentition. The studied specimens are not large enough to be including in *Dorcabune*. These two specimens are defiantly belonging
to genus *Dorcatherium* due to their well-developed ectostylids in lower molars. There are two known species of the genus *Dorcatherium* which is *Dorcatherium minus* and *Dorcatherium majus*. In *Dorcatherium majus* large animals are included but in *Dorcatherium minus* small sized animals are present. Morphologically the studied specimen belongs to the *Dorcatherium minus*. PC-GCUF 76/15 is characterized by the isolation of protocone, the anterior median rib and the presence of stylids. This specimen shows morphologically similarities with the PUPC 05/03. In both specimens the tooth is narrow crowned and hypsodont. The enamel is uniformly thick and this is finely rugose in all specimens. In both specimens a deep transverse valley is present between the hypocone and

Dorcatherium majus

**Studied material**

PC-GCUF 73/15, right second upper molar M2; PC-GCUF 76/15, left first lower molar m1; PC-GCUF 77/15, right second lower molar m2.

**Locality**

Hasnot, district Jhelum, Province Punjab, Pakistan.

**Diagnosis**

This species is larger in size as compare to *Dracotherium minus* but size with equal to *dorcabune*. It is identified by well-developed mesostyle and parastyle. A well-developed cingulum present at upper molars. Strongly developed ectostylids is present in lower molars.

**Description**

PC-GCUF 73/15 is right second upper molar. The specimen is in the middle stage of wear and it is well preserved (Fig. 2, 11a-c). Paracone is extremely pointed in middle. Hypcone is clearly visible but its interior part partially filled with sand. Metacone is inclined at apex. Parastyle is well developed. Metastyle is also present but this is poorly developed. Poorly developed mesostyle is also present. Cingulum is also present. PC-GCUF 76/15 is left first lower molar. It is well preserved and narrow crowned. It is in early stage of wear. The enamel is thick and bright (Fig. 2, 12a-c). Rugosity is more present at buccal side as compare to lingual side. The hypoconid is pointed. The protoconid is less pointed. The paraconid is directed lingually and triangular in shape. The entoconid is also lingually directed. Between the hypoconid and protoconid a rudimentary ectostylid is present towards the lingual side. The median cavities are deep and narrow partially filled with sand. PC-GCUF 77/15 is right second lower molar. The specimen is in the early stage of wear and is well preserved. The enamel is thick and rugose at both lingual and labial sides. The teeth are selenedont (Fig. 2, 13a-c). The hypoconid is elongated and forwardly directed. The cavity present between protoconid and entoconid is broader. Protoconid is slightly pointed. Metconid is slightly elongated than entoconid. Hypoconid and metaconid are slightly fused with each other. A deep but slightly broader valley is present along the protoconid and hypoconid at buccal side. The buccal conids are higher than the lingual conids.

**Comparison and discussion**

The important diagnostic feature of genus *Dorcatherium* is that the molar row is shorter than the premolars row. In the larger species of *Dorcatherium* the molar teeth are greatly bunoid and premolars length is greater than its breadth (Whitworth, 1958). A vestige ectostylid is present in lower molars. In upper molars the styles are well developed (Colbert, 1935). The genus *Dorcatherium* looks like the particular genus of tragulidae family which developed parallels with the recent African genus *Hyemoschus*. In *Dorcatherium* the cheek teeth are more hypsodont than those of *Hyemoschus*. The external styles of the upper molars of the *Dorcatherium* are more prominent than *Hyemoschus*. Ectostylid is also present in vestige from in lower molars. In the phylogenetic lineage the genus *Dorcatherium* is separated which eventually led to the African genus *Hyemoschus*.

Pilgrim (1910) described the second extinct genus *Dorcabune* from the Siwalik of Pakistan. The type species for this genus is *Dorcabune anthracotherioides*. This genus is different from *Dorcatherium* due to large size bunodont teeth. The upper molars have isolated mesostyles and parastyles rugose enamel and prominent cingulum. There are four species reported in Siwaliks. Three species are present in Pakistan and one reported from India. The species which reported from Pakistan are *Dorcatherium minimus, Dorcatherium majus* and *Dorcatherium nagrii* (Colbert, 1935; West, 1980). Later the species *Dorcatherium minimus* was synonymized to *Dorcatherium minus*. The Indian species is *Dorcatherium nagrii* (Gaur et al., 1983). However, *Dorcatherium nagrii* is rejected. So now a days there are two valid species of genus *Dorcatherium* which are *Dorcatherium minus* and *Dorcatherium majus*. Both species are mainly different in their size. *Dorcatherium majus* is larger than *Dorcatherium minus*. In *Dorcabune* crowns are broad, median ribs are well developed, molars are large, and the protoconid is pyramidal having posteriorly directed folds (Colbert, 1935). But all these characteristics are absent in described specimens so these specimens cannot be referred to genus *Dorcabune* so these specimens belong to *Dorcatherium majus*.

Family Bovidae (Gray, 1821)
Subfamily Antilopinae (Gray, 1821)
Tribe Antilopini (Gray, 1821)
Genus Gazella (Blainville, 1816)
Gazella lydekkeri (Pilgrim, 1937)

**Studied material**
PC-GCUF 75/15, the lower left third molar m3.

**Locality**
Hasnot, district Jhelum, province Punjab, Pakistan.

**Diagnosis**
Upper molars are strongly hypsodont in which entostyle and basal pillars are absent. Lower molars are also extremely hypsodont. A small basal pillar is present in lower molars. Lower molars well developed goat folds are also present. Enamel is moderately thick and rugose. Styles are strong and narrow. Anterior median rib is stronger as compared to the posterior median rib. The central cavities are deep and narrow which have moderately developed stylids. Premolar series are comparatively long.

**Description**
PC-GCUF 75/15 is lower left third molar. The specimen is excellent preserved. The enamel is bright and moderately thick. The specimen is in early stage of wear. The molars are extremely hypsodont (Fig. 2, 14a-c). The buccal side is more rugose as compare to lingual side. Hypoconid is well preserved and forwardly directed. Paraconid is also pointed. Protoconid is slightly crescent in shape. Moderately developed stylid is also present at the buccal side. The cingulum is absent. Lingual conids are more prominent than buccal conids. Median fossettes are deep and narrow.

**Comparison and discussion**
Morphological characters of the described materials revealed that Gazella lydekkeri is similar in size and appearance with the living G. bennetti. Shape and direction of horn cores, slender longer skull, lengthening of horns cores, acquisition of horns in females, shortening of nasals in hypsodonty, enlargement of bullae and reduction of premolar distinguish the living species G. bennetti from the extinct species G. lydekkeri. It is indicated that the living G. bennetti has been originated from G. lydekkeri (Pilgrim, 1937). In male gazelles the development of horn core is due to the competition for female partners. In the female G. lydekkeri, horn cores are absent which reveals the less competition among them. The teeth are weekly hypsodont in the G. lydekkeri as compare to G. altidens. The morphology of hypsodont teeth in G. lydekkeri is very similar to G. drocaoides. G. lydekkeri is different from the G. drocaoides due to equally strong posterior and anterior median ribs (Kostopoulos, 2005). The length of Post canine teeth in G. lydekkeri is more than G. capricornis. Premolars of G. lydekkeri are narrower than those of G. capricornis. Small basal tubercles, quite flat metacone, fairly strong paracone and rib are the characters of G. capricornis (Bibi and Gulec, 2008). These are very similar to G. lydekkeri but very weak in G. pilgrimi and G. dorcadoides. PC-GCUF 75/15 and PC-GCUF 82/15 showed similarities with PUPC 04/2, PC-GCUF 11/180, PC-GCUF 11/175, PC-GCUF 10/53 and PUPC 96/6. All the basic morphological characters are quite similar as described in all these specimens. So, the studied specimens are assigned to G. lydekkeri. Due to sex difference, variation in size of dentition has been observed (Supplementary Table 1).

Suborder Suiformes (Jaeckal, 1911)
Infraorder Suina (Gray, 1911)
Superfamily Suidae (Gray, 1821)
Family Suidae (Gray, 1821)
Subfamily Suinae (Zittel, 1893)
Genus Hippopotamodon (Lydekker, 1877)
Hippopotamodon sivalense (Lydekker, 1877)

**Studied material**
PC-GCUF 83/15, Right lower fourth premolar p4.

**Locality**
Hasnot, district Jhelum, province Punjab, Pakistan.

**Diagnosis**
A species of genus Hippopotamodon in which the length upper molars row can exceeds 110 mm (Pickford, 1988).

**Description**
PC-GCUF 83/15 is approximately rectangular in shape. Partially cingulum is present at the anterior side of the specimen. Grooves are present in both lingual and buccal side but the groove of lingual side is deeper and broader then groove of buccal side (Fig. 2, 15a-c). The root of the anterior part is partially broken. Enamel is moderately rugose. The ribs are not visible due to sand sedimentation.

**Comparison and discussion**
The genus Hippopotamodon consists of comparatively large Siwalik suids. The morphology of tooth is similar
with *Microstonyx major* from Asia and Europe. Both genera share a lot of similar characters but the main difference is the reduced canines in *M. major* which is comparatively large in *Hippopotamodon sivalense*. Both these species range approximately to the beginning of the Pliocene. *Dicoryphochoerus timnoides* was recovered from the Dhok Pathan Formation near the Tatrot. It looks like *Microstonyx major*, due to this *Dicoryphochoerus timnoides* was synonym with *M. major* (Erdbrink, 1969). *M. antique* differ from *M. major* due to much reduced canines. However, many researchers described both *H. sivalense* and *M. Major* synonym because just on the base of size of canines they cannot be keep as separate species. This reveals that European and Siwalik fauna is similar with each other (Pickford, 1988).

**Genus Propotamochoerus Pilgrim, 1926**

*Propotamochoerus hysudricus* (Stehlin, 1899-1900)

**Studied material**

PC-GCUF 79/15, the right upper third molar M3.

**Diagnosis**

Diastema is absent in lower and upper molar rows. At the midline of M1 zygomatic arches leave is present, lower premolars deeply compressed with complex and long third molar (Colbert, 1935; Pickford, 1988).

**Description**

PC-GCUF 79/15 is right upper third premolar. The tooth is triangular in shape. The specimen is well preserved. It has middle stage of wearing (Fig. 2, 16a-e). The metacone is rounded in shape. The protocone is extremely broader and triangular in shape. The hypocone and paracone is slightly fused with each other. Cingulum is not visible on both labial and lingual side. The cavity present between protocone is widely broad.

**Comparison and discussion**

Many species of Suidae are present in Hasnot during the time of Late Miocene. *Hippopotamodon sivalense, Conohyus indicus, Lophochoerus nagrii, Tetraconodon magnus* and *Propotamochoerus hysudricus* are reported from the lower part of Late Miocene. *H. sivalense* and *P. hysudricus* are reported from the upper part of Late Miocene (Pickford, 1988). These suid species are present in northern part of Pakistan with other group of artiodactyls like *Gazella, Tragopasot, Brumatherium, Dorcatherium, Pachyportax* and *Selenaportax* during the late Miocene to early Pliocene. *H. sivalense*, and *P. hysudricus* found only in late Miocene sediments of Siwalik and provide good evidence of the Late Miocene age (Pickford, 1988). In Hasnot, Dhok Pathan Formation the *Hippohyus lydekkeri, Hippohyus sivalensis* and *Sivahyus punjabiensis* were appeared first than *Hippopotamodon sivalense*, and *Propotamochoerus hysudricus*.

**GENERAL DISCUSSION**

Dhok Pathan Formation in Hasnot area have very rich mammalian fossils record particularly artiodactyls. The age of this type locality is 7 to 5 Million years late Miocene to early Pliocene. This region belongs to the upper part of the Dhok Pathan Formation (Barry et al., 2002; Pilbeam et al., 1977; Khan et al., 2009). The order other than artiodactyls fossils present here are Proboscidea, Perissodactyla, Carnivora and primates (Barry et al., 2002; Khan, 2007; Khan, 2008; Khan et al., 2009; Khan et al., 2012; Pilbeam et al., 1977). The recovered studied material has been compared with the collections of Geological Survey of India (GSI), American Museum of Natural History (AMNH), Punjab University Paleontology Collection (PU-P) and Paleontology Collection of Government College University Faisalabad (PC-GCUF). The Hasnot fauna provides one of the good artiodactyl’s fossils record (Table 1). Its faunal elements are similar with faunal elements of Samos, Maragheh of Iran, Pirkerm of Greece and East Africa (Pickfold, 1988; Thomas, 1977; Akhtar, 1992; Khan, 2007; Kostopoulos, 2009).

The tragulids (*Dorcatherium majus* and *Dorcatherium minus*) are recovered from the Late Miocene of Middle Siwaliks. The family Tragulidae is also reported from Greco-Iranian Province and Eurasia. It provides an evidence to concern the late Miocene Faunal interchange between Eurasia and Africa. *Dorcatherium* is commonly present in Dhok Pathan Formation and also in Nagri Formation. This genus fills up the major part of tragulid biodiversity in whole Siwalik (Farooq et al., 2002; Khan, 2007; Akhtar, 2013). *Dorcatherium* is evident from Miocene of Kenya, Moruorot and Sub-Saharan Mrica (Whitworth, 1958; Nakaya, 1994). The fossils of this genus also present in Southwest and central Europe, Sub-Parathys and East Asia (Savage and Russell, 1983).

Bovids are geographically more diversified and widely distributed in all over the world (Savage and Russell, 1983). Bovids are geographically more diversified and widely distributed in all over the world (Savage and Russell, 1983).
**Table I.** Comparison of Artiodactyl Fauna from Pakistan (Hasnot) and other related faunas from Europe and China.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pakistan (Hasnot)</th>
<th>China</th>
<th>Europe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bovidae:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Giraffidae:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vishnatherium iravaticum, Bramatherium perimense, Giraffa punjabiensis, Hydaspitherium megecaphalum, H. grande, H. magnun</td>
<td>Palaeotragus microdon, Samotherium sinense, Decennatherium macedoniae, Palaeogiraffa macedoniae</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tragulidae:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Suidae:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Family Cervidae</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


The abundant discovery of the genus *Gazella* has been recovered from the Hasnot, Dhok Pathan, Maragheh and Pikermi (Pilgrim, 1939; Akhtar, 1992; Khan, 2007; Kostopoulos, 2009; Samiullah et al., 2015). The recovered species *Gazella lydekkeri* is morphologically similar at evolutionary stage with Eurasian species *Gazella capricornis* (Bibi and Gulec, 2008). The rich deposits of boselaphine are present in the Late Miocene sediments in Eurasia and Africa. In boselaphine genus *Selenoportax*, *Tragoportax* and *Pachyportax* are included. The recovered material of *Selenoportax vexillarius* is reported in the late Miocene to Pliocene of Siwaliks (Akhtar, 1992; Khan et al., 2009; Barry et al., 2002). Solounias (1981) described the horn core of the *Selenoportax* from the Pikermi but he also said that this material is not enough for the accurate determination. The genus *Selenoportax* is also recovered from the Lufeng of Chinese Miocene deposits, which has the age of 11.1-8.0 Ma (Qiu and Qiu, 1995; Steininger, 1999; Flynn and Qì, 1982).

The recovered material of genus *Pachyportax* is only present in Nagri and Dhok Pathan Formation in the Siwaliks. However, the French boselaphine which were reported from the early Pliocene of European Mammal zone MN14 shows similar morphology with described genus *Pachyportax* and due to this it is considered younger than *Pachyportax* (Mein, 1989). The described species *Pachyportax latidens* is also reported from the Baynunah Formation in United Arab Emirates (UAE), which has
age 8-6 Ma (Gentry, 1999). So, 7.0 Ma is approximately measured age of *Pachyportax latidens* (Barry et al., 1991). In African *Mpesida* deposits has almost similar age with Hasnot 7-6 Ma, but genus *Pachyportax* and *Selenoportax* are absent here (Hill et al., 1985; Nakaya, 1994; Kingston et al., 2002). *Pachyportax* and *Selenoportax* are also absent from the same age localities such as Marageh dated 9.5-7 Ma and Afghani Localities Tagar dated 8.7-8 Ma (Sen et al., 1997; Bernor, 1986). Overall the Hasnot has similar fauna with the fauna of Turolian Land Mammal age as described in North Africa, West Asia and Europe.

**Palaeoenvironment**

The major groups of artiodactyla present in the Hasnot are *Tragoportax*, *Pachyportax*, *Selenoportax*, *Dorcatherium* and *Gazella*, as in other Eurasian Late Miocene sites (Vrba and Haile-Selassie, 2006; Bibi et al., 2009; Khan et al., 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012; Gentry et al., 2014). The artiodactyls of the Hasnot are more diversified and were increased in the time of Early Pliocene and Late Miocene to cover the open niches. Forest may be deteriorated and open plains were originated (Pilgrim, 1937, 1939; Thomas, 1977; Akhtar, 1992; Bibi et al., 2009; Khan et al., 2009, 2010). If we observe the relationship between mass and habitat, it reveals that the large artiodactyls *Selenoportax* and *Pachyportax* occupied open land while other small artiodactyls like *Dorcatherium* inhabited closed environment (Kappelman et al., 1997). The studies revealed that arid paleoclimate was present in Hasnot and its surroundings during Late Miocene which supported the pockets of forest lands. The drier and changeable seasonal climate may be the reason of the extinct of these artiodactyls. The artiodactyls of Hasnot preferred relatively more open and drier mosaics of woodland while the presence of medium sized artiodactyls indicates that wooded-grassy savannas and grasslands were present there (Khan et al., 2015).

**CONCLUSION**

Seven species of artiodactyls *Selenoportax vexillarius*, *Pachyportax latidens*, *Dorcatherium minus*, *Dorcatherium majus*, *Gazella lydekkeri*, *Hippopotamodon sivalense*, and *Propotamochoerus hysudricus* are recognized from the Hasnot, Dhok Pathan Formation. *Selenoportax* ranged throughout the middle to late Miocene and are also found in the Central and Northern Asia. *Dorcatherium minus* and *Dorcatherium majus* were present in late Miocene and were different in size. *Dorcatherium majus* were comparatively larger in size as compare to *Dorcatherium minus*. *Hippopotamodon sivalense* and *Propotamochoerus hysudricus* were particularly present in late Miocene sediments of Siwaliks. So, their presence can be considered the good indicator of the late Miocene age.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The material described here was collected from the Dhok Pathan Formation in 2014-2015 under the project Higher Education Commission of Pakistan (HEC) funded project No. 20-1620/R&D/10 3347 entitled “Extinct Bovids from the Dhok Pathan Formation of Chakwal district in the Siwaliks of Northern Pakistan”. We are also indebted to Altaf Shah and Anar Khan for their help in the field.

**Supplementary material**

There is supplementary material associated with this article. Access the material online at: https://dx.doi.org/10.17582/journal.pjz/20181028131031

**Statement of conflict of interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**REFERENCES**


Online First Article

Deng, T., Wang, S., Shi, Q., Yi-Kun Li, Y.Q and Li, Y., Colbert, E.H., 1935. Siwalik mammals in the American


Blainville, H.M., 1816. Sur plusieurs espèces d animaux

Bibi, F., 2007. Origin paleoecology and

Bibi, F. and Gulec, E.S., 2008. Bovidae (Mammalia: Artiodactyla) from the Late Miocene of the Linxia


New Fossil Remains from Dhok Pathan


Supplementary Material

New Fossil Remains of Artiodactyla from Dhok Pathan Formation, Middle Siwaliks of Punjab, Pakistan

Omer Draz¹, Xijun Ni², Khizar Samiullah¹*, Riffat Yasin¹, Rana Mehroz Fazal¹, Sakeela Naz¹, Saleem Akhtar¹, Mahpara Gillani¹ and Muzammil Ejaz¹
¹Department of Zoology, GC University, Faisalabad, Pakistan
²Institute of Vertebrate Palaeontology and Palaeoanthropology, Beijing, China

* Corresponding author: khizar502@yahoo.com
0030-9923/2020/0001-0001 $ 9.00/0
Copyright 2020 Zoological Society of Pakistan

Supplementary Table I.- Comparative measurements of the cheek teeth of Siwalik artiodactyls in mm (millimeters)
* The studied specimens.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Texa</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Nature</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Width</th>
<th>W/L ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Salenoportax vexillarius</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCUF 34/15*</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 00/53</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 96/39</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 01/23</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMNH 19844</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC-GCUF 11/160*</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC-GCUF 12/23</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC-GCUF 12/15</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC-GCUF 35/15*</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC-GCUF 37/15*</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td>15.23</td>
<td>15.45</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 87/19</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC-GCUF 36/15*</td>
<td>m1</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 85/40</td>
<td>m1</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC-GCUF 10/70</td>
<td>m1</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC-GCUF 10/80</td>
<td>m1</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC-GCUF 11/166</td>
<td>m1</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC-GCUF 10/71</td>
<td>m1</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pachyportax latidens</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC-GCUF 71/15*</td>
<td>m3</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 96/43</td>
<td>m3</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 96/41</td>
<td>m3</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 86/7</td>
<td>m3</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC-GCUF 74/15*</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 98/59</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 96/40</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 96/3</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 86/37</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 86/36</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 83/718</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 83/646</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specimen</td>
<td>Tooth</td>
<td>Rows</td>
<td>Molars</td>
<td>O. Draz et al.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 83/744</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td></td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>21.9 0.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 86/210</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>17.1 0.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 00/100</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td></td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>25.0 0.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMNH 29964</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td></td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>25.0 0.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMNH 19730</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td></td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>28.5 1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC-GCUF 79/15*</td>
<td>P3</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>13.7 1.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 09/46</td>
<td>P3</td>
<td></td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>15.0 0.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSI B218</td>
<td>P3</td>
<td></td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>19.0 1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC-GCUF 39/15*</td>
<td>P3</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>17.8 1.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC-GCUF 10/79</td>
<td>P3</td>
<td></td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>17.8 0.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC-GCUF 72/15*</td>
<td>P4</td>
<td></td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>11.4 0.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC-GCUF 9/14</td>
<td>P4</td>
<td></td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>12.0 0.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dorcatheirum minus</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC-GCUF 81/15*</td>
<td>P4</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>6.4 0.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 04/33</td>
<td>P4</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>4.0 0.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 02/158</td>
<td>P4</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>7.1 0.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC-GCUF 82/15*</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>6.4 0.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 68/294</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>6.6 0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 68/311</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>6.2 0.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 68/312</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>6.7 0.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 68/313</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>8.2 0.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 02/158</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>7.5 0.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMNH 19365</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>7.5 0.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMNH 19366</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>7.5 0.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dorcatheirum majus</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC-GCUF 73/15*</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>19.5 1.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 05/12</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td></td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>22.8 1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 67/191</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>14.5 1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 68/33</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>14.5 1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 68/250</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>16.4 1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 85/15</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td></td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>20.0 1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMNH 19302</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>21.5 1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSI B197</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td></td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>19.6 1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC-GCUF 77/15*</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>11.8 0.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 84/115</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td></td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>12.0 0.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 86/02</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>9.80 0.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 86/05</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>11.1 0.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 86/152</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td></td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>12.0 0.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 63/243</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>10.1 0.59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSI B593</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>10.0 0.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMNH 19524</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td></td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>11.0 0.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMNH 19520</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>10.5 0.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC-GCUF 76/15*</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>11.1 0.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 01/21</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>9.0 0.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 86/05</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>9.3 0.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMNH 19524</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>9.0 0.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSI B593</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>9.5 0.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gazella lydekkeri</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC-GCUF 75/15*</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>8.3 0.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 02/22</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td></td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>9.0 0.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 02/16</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td></td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>10.0 0.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 87/161</td>
<td>m3</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 94/21</td>
<td>m3</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 86/39</td>
<td>m3</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 84/133</td>
<td>m3</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMNH 19663</td>
<td>m3</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hippopotamodon sivalense</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC-GCUF 83/15</td>
<td>P4</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC-GCUF 12/27</td>
<td>P4</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Propotamochoerus hysudricus</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC-GCUF 79/15*</td>
<td>P4</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUPC 11/162</td>
<td>P4</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC-GCUF 12/03</td>
<td>P4</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC-GCUF 11/181</td>
<td>P4</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Referred data is taken from Pilgrim (1937, 1939); Akhtar (1992); Khan *et al.*, (2008).