Short Communication

Sexual Dimorphism of Internal Organ Mass in *Bufo gargarizans gargarizans* from Summer Population

Jianping Gou, Jianli Xiong*, Baoxia Dong, Zhennan Liu and Manman Hou

College of Animal Science and Technology, Henan University of Science and Technology, Luoyang 471023, Henan Province, PR China

ABSTRACT

In this study, we investigated potential differences in internal organ mass between male and female Asiatic toads (*Bufo gargarizans gargarizans*), collected in central China during the summer 2015. Females differed significantly in the stomach, small intestine, large intestine, lung, and gonads compared to males; however, liver and fat bodies did not differ between the sexes. The sex difference of these organs may contribute to different energy requirements for reproduction between males and females. Our findings suggest that female toads invest considerable resources into gamete production compared to males, and greater internal organs in females are a consequences of high energy requirements for reproduction, which favors females to produce more high-energy eggs.

S exual dimorphism is a widespread phenomenon throughout the animal kingdom (Andersson and Iwasa, 1996; Fairbairn et al., 1997; Wells, 2007). An extensive examination of sexual dimorphism in amphibians has been carried out, and a variety of dimorhism characteristics have been detected, such as body size, body shape, vocal apparatus, head dimensions, coloration, reproductive behavior, and muscle mass (e.g., Duellman and Trueb, 1994; Wojtaszek et al., 1997; Kupfer, 2007; Wells, 2007; Mi, 2013). Selective forces favor the evolution of these sexual characteristics to increase reproductive success (Finkler et al., 2014) and decrease resource competition (Serra-Cobo et al., 2000). Internal organs are tightly related to the energy metabolism. Studies on the sex difference of internal organ mass can not only help to understand the dimorphism of physiological function, but also explain the evolution of internal organs. Until now, most studies have focused on seasonal variation of storage and expenditure organs (e.g., Lu et al., 2008; Naya et al., 2010; Jönsson et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2013, 2015), while few studies foucused on the sexual dimorphism of internal organ mass (Jönsson et al., 2009; Finkler, 2013; Finkler et al., 2014; Jin et al., 2014).

The Asiatic toad *Bufo gararizans gararizans* is widely distributed throughout China, Russia, Japan, and Korea,



Article Information Received 27 October 2016 Revised 12 February 2017 Accepted 01 April 2017 Available online 26 July 2017

Authors' Contributions JG and JX designed the study. Samples were collected and experiments were performed by JG, BD, ZL and MH. JG and BD analyzed the data. All others participated in writing manuscript.

Key words Asiatic toad, *Bufo gargarizans* gargarizans, Internal organ, Sexual difference, Digestive system.

inhabiting a variety of habitats at elevations from sea level up to 1830 m (Fei et al., 2006). The clutch in the form of two strings of eggs contains 1200-7400 eggs, thus this toad has been classified as an explosively breeding species (AmphibiaWeb, 2016). The breeding season is dependents on latitude and altitude, and reaches from January to May, predominantly during April (Fei et al., 2006). Until now, the sexual difference of the internal organs mass in B. gararizans gararizans has not been reported. In this paper, we explored potential dimorphisms in internal organ mass of both male and female B. gararizans gararizans, collected from central China during the summer 2015. Since females invest more energy into gamete production than males during the whole process of reproduction (Bonnet et al., 1998; Finkler, 2013), and since summer is the main season for supplying energy (Zhou and Sun, 1997), we hypothesized that females would have larger acquisition, distribution, storage, and expenditure organs than males.

Materials and methods

A total of 48 specimens (28 females and 20 males) were collected from the outskirts of Yiyang County (34°30'50.90" N, 112°10'15.77" E, 360 m above sea level), Henan Province, China in July, 2015. All individuals were killed via pithing and body weights were measured via an electronic balance to the nearest 0.01 g. The abdominal and thoracic cavities were opened, internal organs including heart, liver, lung, kidney, stomach, large intestine, small intestine, fat body, and gonad (tests and

^{*} Corresponding author: xjlpanda@126.com 0030-9923/2017/0004-1543 \$ 9.00/0

Copyright 2017 Zoological Society of Pakistan

ovaries) of each individual were removed, then each internal organs were rolled on a blotting paper to remove excess fluid and subsequently weighed to the nearest 0.001 g using an electronic balance (wet weight).

Body mass was examined via t-tests between males and females. We regressed the organ mass on body mass per organ, and compared regression coefficients between sexes by regression analysis for homogeneity. To test for differences of internal organ mass between males and females, one-way analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was utilized with internal organ mass as the dependent variable, sexes as the fixed factor, and body size as covariate. All variables were log-transformed to meet criteria of normality as well as homogeneity assumptions prior to analysis. All statistical tests were performed with SPSS software (Statistical Product and Service Solutions Company, Chicago, Version 22.0). Data are presented as means \pm SD, the statistical test was set to two-tailed, and the significance level was set at p = 0.05. All field and laboratory work was done under the license of the Wildlife Protection Law of China.

Results

The body mass ranged from 38.37 g to 99.25 g for females (n = 28, mean = 66.99 ± 16.23 mm), and from 35.01 g to 71.05 g for males (n = 20, mean = 56.04 ± 8.95 mm). On average, females were significantly larger than males in body mass (t = 2.993, df = 43.640, p = 0.005).

As shown in Table I, the mean mass of each internal organ in females was larger compared to that of males. The results of linear regression analysis revealed that the mass of each internal organ correlated significantly with body mass (even when males and females were analyzed separately, p < 0.05 for all case). Larger individuals of both sexes had significantly higher internal organ mass than small ones (Supplementary Fig. 1, Supplementary Table S1). Slopes were homogeneous (p > 0.05) for all comparisons between males and females.

The results of ANCOVA indicated that the lung, gonad, stomach, small intestine, and large intestine differed significantly between the sexes when the influence of body mass was controlled, and other internal organs showed no sexual differences (Table I). Females had larger values for lung, gonad, stomach, small intestine, and large intestine.

Discussion

As predicted, the sex difference is present in acquisition (stomach, small intestine, and large intestine), distribution (lung), and expenditure (gonad) organs; however, storage organs (liver and fat bodies) did not show sex differences. The different reproductive roles of males and females, which impose selection on different organ systems, can cause this sex difference of organs (Bonnet et al., 1998). For example, females invested more energy into gamete formation and reproductive tract proliferation than males (Finkler, 2013) resulting in enlarged alimentary tracts, fat bodies, and livers (Bonnet et al., 1998). Thus, the sex difference of internal organs may be ascribed to the energy requirements for reproduction.

Organs	Females (n=28) Mean (Range)	Males (n=20) Mean (Range)	ANCOVA
(0.22-0.56)	(0.19-0.45)	p=0.878	
Liver	3.73±0.26	2.74±0.18	F _{1.45} =1.132,
	(1.57-6.65)	(1.21-4.07)	p=0.293
Lung	0.99±0.05	0.79 ± 0.03	F _{1.45} =4.649,
	(0.66-1.79)	(0.52-1.25)	p=0.036
Kidney	0.56±0.03	0.46 ± 0.02	F _{1.45} =1.798,
	(0.34-0.81)	(0.28-0.66)	p=0.187
Fat	0.93±0.12	0.67 ± 0.09	F _{1.45} =0.249,
bodies	(0.18-2.85)	(0.13-1.87)	p=0.620
Gonad	3.27±0.43	0.25 ± 0.02	$F_{1,45} = 246.687$
	(0.47-9.65)	(0.13-0.51)	p<0.001
Stomach	2.01±0.07	1.52 ± 0.05	F _{1.45} =28.611,
	(1.26-2.86)	(1.18-1.94)	p<0.001
Small	1.45±0.07	1.10±0.05	F _{1.45} =5.727,
intestine	(0.80-2.14)	(0.70-1.53)	p=0.021
Large	0.64±0.03	0.52 ± 0.02	F _{1.45} =6.059,
intestine	(0.42 - 0.96)	(0.37 - 0.70)	p=0.018

Table I.- The statistics of internal organ size (g) and the results of one-way ANCOVA between sexes in *Bufo* gargarizans gargarizans.

Females were found to have larger values for stomach, small intestine, and large intestine than males in this study. Stomach, small intestine, and large intestine are important components of the digestive system, which represents a functional link between the intake of food and the energy and nutrients required to meet all vital functions, including survival, growth, and reproduction (Secor, 2001; Naya et al., 2014). The digestive system of amphibians features obvious flexibility, which can be varied due to the changes of artificial or natural environmental conditions (Scheiner, 1993, 2002); thus it was regarded as one of the most responsive and sensitive systems react to environmental cues (Naya and Bozinovic, 2004). The sexual dimorphism of the digestive system has also been found in other species (Lou et al., 2013). Sex differences in the digestive tract may result from different energy requirements of females and males (Pullianinen, 1976). Growth, maintenance, and reproduction are the main functions requiring energy in organisms (Duffitt and Finkler, 2011). Investments for reproduction are obviously different between females and males. Females have a higher investment in gamete production, both in energetic contribution to ovarian follicle development as well as in the proliferation of the reproductive tract (Wells, 2007; Finkler, 2013). However, males have a higher investment in the reproductive action (such as calling, active seeking of females, and amplexus interference) (Gatz, 1981; Howard, 1988; Sullivan, 1992). Generally, females have greater overall energetic cost of reproduction compared to males (Finkler et al., 2014). Thus, the sexual dimorphism of the digestive tract discovered in this study may contribute to the energy requirement of reproduction, which requires females to intake and absorb more energy. Furthermore, Jin et al. (2010) reported that female B. gargarizans gargarizans have higher values for the index of the food diversity compared to males. This may demonstrate that different food quality, causing the response of the sexual dimorphism of digestive tract (Moss, 1983; Lou et al., 2013), which is present between sexes, also attributed to the sexual dimorphism of internal organs.

Among the distribution organs, only the mass of lungs showed sex difference, where females have large values. However, Jin et al. (2014) found that male Pelophylax nigromaculata (collected during summer, autumn, and spring) have large values of dry mass of lung than females; however, both sexes featured similar wet mass of lung. Lungs provide oxygen from inhaled air to the bloodstream and enable the exhalation of carbon dioxide. The sex difference of lungs found in this study may also be attributed as a consequence of energy requirements. As mentioned above, the reproductive investment of females is large than that of males; consequently, females need to acquire more food to provide more energy and nutrients for more eggs. As a result, hunting action, consumption, and transition of more energy and nutrients all require more oxygen. Furthermore, more carbon dioxide was produced due to the increase of hunting action, consumption, and transition; thus, had to be exhaled in time. Therefore, these reasons result in an enhancement of the female lung function.

Liver and fat bodies, the storage organs (Naya *et al.*, 2010), did not feature sex differences in this study. Both liver and fat bodies provide energy for reproduction or for survival during periods when feeding is constrained for one reason or another (Jönsson *et al.*, 2009). In the process of reproduction, fat bodies are major energy stores for the gonads (Fitzpatrick, 1976), and the liver processes fats and proteins required for egg production in females (Dahle *et al.*, 2003). However, the pattern of energy storage differs among population in different environments or individuals faced with different energetic expenditures (Lu *et al.*, 2008; Jönsson *et al.*, 2009). Males typically store more energy in the liver, fat bodies, and carcass tissues, while females store in the ovaries and oviducts. Significant

differences of storage organs between sexes are common in pre-breeding amphibians (*e.g.*, Lu *et al.*, 2008; Jönsson *et al.*, 2009; Duffitt and Finkler, 2011; Finkler *et al.*, 2014; Chen *et al.*, 2015). The lack of sex difference found in liver and fat body mass is likely a result of the annual cycle of storage organs in this study. The season, at the time of our sampling, was post-breeding of *B. gargarizans gargarizans*. During this season, the stored energy has been consumed, and new energy has not been stored yet.

Not surprisingly, gonad mass was substantially larger in females compared to males. Significant difference in gonad mass is very common in amphibians (*e.g.*, Finkler, 2013; Finkler *et al.*, 2014; Chen *et al.*, 2015). Sex difference in gonad contributes to the energy investment in gametogenesis and females invest more during gametogenesis than males (Finkler, 2013). Furthermore, females have already invested their energy into reproduction in the given year at the time of our sampling, while the main reproductive activities of the male occurred later in the season (Jönsson *et al.*, 2009). This was demonstrated by unmatured eggs, which were found in the ovaries during dissection of females.

Conclusion

Significant differences in acquisition, distribution, and expenditure organs have been discovered between male and female *B. gargarizans gargarizans*; however, storage organs did not reveal sex differences. This sexual difference in internal organs indicated that females invest more energy during gametogenesis compared to males, which increase the energy requirements for reproduction. The findings of this study highlight physiological dimorphisms between male and female *B. gargarizans gargarizans*, related to fundamental differences in their energy acquisition, distribution, and expenditure.

Acknowledgements

We would like to extend our thanks to Yuanye Sun, Yanan Zhang, Yao Min, Junru Li of Henan University of Science and Technology for their help in laboratory work. This work was supported by the grants of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) (31471971, 30900138), and Student Research Training Program of Henan University of Science and Technology (SRTP 2014262).

Supplementary material

There is supplementary material associated with this article. Access the material online at: http://dx.doi. org/10.17582/journal.pjz/2017.49.4.sc12

J. Gou et al.

Statement of conflict of interest Authors have declared no conflict of interest.

References

- AmphibiaWeb, 2016. Available at: http://amphibiaweb. org (Accessed 26 Oct 2016). University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA..
- Andersson, M. and Iwasa, Y., 1996. *Trends Ecol. Evol.*, **11**: 53-58. https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-5347(96)81042-1
- Bonnet, X., Bradshaw, D. and Shine, R., 1998. *Oikos*, **83**: 333-343. https://doi.org/10.2307/3546846
- Chen, W., Guan, T.P., Ren, L.N., He, D.J., Wang, Y. and Lu, X., 2015. *Asian Herpetol. Res.*, **6**: 45-50.
- Chen, W., Wang, X.Y. and Fan, X.G., 2013. *Herpetol. J.*, **23**: 45-49.
- Dahle, R., Taranger, G.L., Karlsen, Ø., Kjesbu, O.S. and Norber, B., 2003. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A, 136: 641-653. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1095-6433(03)00215-0
- Duellman, W.E. and Trueb, L., 1994. *Biology of amphibians*. McGraw-Hill, USA.
- Duffitt, A.D. and Finkler, M.S., 2011. J. Herpetol., 45: 224-229. https://doi.org/10.1670/09-263.1
- Fairbairn, D.J., Blackenhorn, W.U. and Szeke'ly, T. (eds.), 2007. Sex, size and gender roles: Evolutionary studies of sexual size dimorphism. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Fei L., Hu, S.Q., Ye, C.Y. and Huang, Y.Z., 2006. Fauna sinica, amphibia, Vol. 1. Science Press, China. (In Chinese)
- Finkler, M.S., 2013. *Copeia*, **2013**: 338-345. https://doi. org/10.1643/CP-11-076
- Finkler, M.S., Hayer, C.J. and Rifai, L., 2014. *Copeia*, **2014**: 447-453. https://doi.org/10.1643/CP-13-167
- Fitzpatrick, L.C., 1976. Am. Zool., 16: 725-732. https:// doi.org/10.1093/icb/16.4.725
- Gatz, A.J., 1981. Anim. Behav., 29: 1004-1012. https:// doi.org/10.1016/S0003-3472(81)80054-1
- Howard, R.D., 1988. Anim. Behav., 36: 1796-1808. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-3472(88)80119-2
- Jin, C.C., Qu, K.S. and Zhang, Z.Q., 2014. Sichuan J. Zool., 33: 106-112.
- Jin, Z.M., Yang, C.W., Liu, Z., Qiao, Z.L. and Li, D.W., 2010. J. Anhui agric. Sci., **38**: 3510-3511.
- Jönsson, K.I., Herczeg, G., O'Hara, R.B., Söderman, F.,

Ter Schure, A.F.H., Larsson, P. and Merila, J., 2009. *Ecography*, **32**: 831-839. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0587.2009.05352.x

- Kupfer, A., 2007. In: Sex, size and gender roles: Evolutionary studies of sexual size dimorphism (eds. D.J. Fairrbairn, W.U. Blanckenhorn and T. Székely). Oxford University Press, USA, pp. 50-60. https://doi.org/10.1093/ acprof:0so/9780199208784.003.0006
- Lou, S.L., Li, Y.H., Jin, L., Mi, Z.P., Liu, W.C. and Liao, W.B., 2013. *Asian Herpetol. Res.*, **4**: 263-267.
- Lu, X., Li, B., Li, Y., Ma, X.Y. and Fellers, G.M., 2008. *Herpetol. J.*, **18**: 97-102.
- Mi, Z.P., 2013. Asian Herpetol. Res., 4: 56-61. https:// doi.org/10.3724/SP.J.1245.2013.00056
- Moss, R., 1983. Condor, 85: 185-193. https://doi. org/10.2307/1367253
- Naya, D.E. and Bozinovic, F., 2004. *Biol. Res.*, **37**: 365-370. https://doi.org/10.4067/S0716-97602004000300002
- Naya, D.E., Feijoo, M., Lessa, E.P., Pardiňas, U.F.J., Teta, P., Tomasco, I.H., Valdez, L. and D'elía, G., 2014. J. Mammal., 95: 1222-1229. https://doi. org/10.1644/13-MAMM-A-261
- Naya, D.E., Veloso, C., Sabat, P. and Bozinovic, F., 2010. J. Morphol., 71: 1440-1445. https://doi. org/10.1002/jmor.10885
- Pulliainen, E., 1976. Annls. Zool. Fenn., 13: 195-199.
- Scheiner, S.M., 1993. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst., 24: 35-68. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.24.1.35
- Scheiner, S.M., 2002. J. Evolut. Biol., 15: 889-898. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1420-9101.2002.00468.x
- Secor, S.M., 2001. Comp. Bioch. Physiol., **128**: 565-577. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1096-4959(00)00349-3
- Serra-Cobo, J., Uiblein, F. and Martínez-Rica, J.P., 2000. *Belg. J. Zool.*, **130**: 39-45.
- Sullivan, B.K., 1992. Copeia, 1992: 1-7. https://doi. org/10.2307/1446530
- Wells, K.D., 2007. The ecology and behavior of amphibians. University of Chicago Press, Chicago. https://doi.org/10.7208/ chicago/9780226893334.001.0001
- Wojtaszek, J., Baranowska, M., Glubiak, M. and Dzugaj, A., 1997. *Zool. Pol.*, 1-4: 117-126.
- Zhou, H.M. and Sun, J.M., 1997. Sichuan J. Zool., 16: 95-96.

1546