



Impact of Integrative Management Strategies on the Reproduction of Root Knot Nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita*

Huma Abbas^{1*}, Nazir Javed¹, Muhammad Kamran², Sajid Aleem Khan¹, Abdul Jabbar¹, Akhtar Hameed¹, Hira Abbas³, Azhar Iqbal² and Ehetisham-ul-Haq²

¹Department of Plant Pathology, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan

²Plant Pathology Research Institute, Ayub Agricultural Research Institute, Faisalabad, Pakistan

³Department of Biotechnology, Govt. College University, Faisalabad, Pakistan

ABSTRACT

Present investigation was planned to check the impact of bio (Cure, Azadirachtin) and synthetic (Cartap, Virtako) chemicals individually and concomitantly with fertilizers on the development of root knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita* and the growth of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) plants. Tomato seedlings were transplanted in sterilized soil amended with Cartap, Virtako, Cure and Azadirachtin at their recommended doses and inoculated with 500 freshly hatched juveniles (J_{2s}) of *M. incognita*. Data were recorded after 7, 14, 21 and 28 days to check the invasion and development stages. All the treatments significantly reduced invasion and subsequent development of *M. incognita* in roots. Different application methods regarding protective and curative were used to test the efficacy of Cartap, Virtako, Cure and Azadirachtin. Results revealed that Protective application of bio and synthetic chemicals was more effective at nematode suppression rather than being curative. Then the impact of bio and synthetic chemicals were tested in combination with NPK on nematode reproduction. Following treatments i.e. Virtako + NPK, Cartap + NPK, Cure + NPK, Azadirachtin + NPK, control (N) and control (H) with ten replications were applied in pots containing tomato seedlings. Inoculation of 2000 freshly hatched J_{2s} of *M. incognita* was done. Results revealed that combined effect was more pronounced in reducing nematode reproduction and improving plant growth. Maximum number of J_{2s} was recorded in nematode control (1241.0) while a dynamic decline was observed in Cartap+NPK, Virtako+NPK, Cure+NPK and Azadirachtin+NPK (144.4, 162.2, 198.1, 216.6) respectively. Combined application of selected chemicals with fertilizers not only reduced nematode reproduction but also improved plant growth. So, the integrated use of chemicals with fertilizers could be a successful tool in management strategies of root knot nematode.

Article Information

Received 25 August 2021
Revised 05 October 2021
Accepted 15 October 2021
Available online 01 June 2022
(early access)
Published 21 December 2022

Authors' Contribution

HA, NJ and MK planned, methodology and executed experimental work. SAK, AJ and HA writing-draft preparation. AH, AI and EH review, editing and data analyses.

Key words

Root knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita*

INTRODUCTION

Root-knot nematode was reported first time on Cucurbit ([Berkeley, 1855](#)). The type species of the genus *Meloidogyne exigua* was described by Goeldi in 1887 from where Chitwood found the name *Meloidogyne* which is currently used for root-knot nematodes. In Four new species were described within the genus *Meloidogyne* by Chitwood in 1949. Most of the species of *Meloidogyne* belong to tropical to sub-tropical climates however *M. hapla* and *M. chitwoodi* are well adjusted to temperate

climates ([Brodie et al. 1993](#)). Management of root-knot nematodes is difficult due to their wide host range including more than 3000 plant species ([Abad et al., 2003](#); [Forghani and Hajihassani, 2020](#)). Root-knot nematodes cause severe losses in vegetables throughout the world. Estimated crop losses were 14% worldwide, equal to approximately \$ 100 billion dollars ([Agrios, 2005](#)). The young ones of nematodes are defined as juveniles ([Abd-Elgawad, 2020](#)). Second Juvenile (J2) is the infective stage of RKN. J2 hatch from eggs and invade into roots through root tips ([Chen and Peng, 2019](#)). These juveniles obtain their food by developing special cells called giant cells ([Chen and Peng, 2019](#)). A range of strategies are employed for the management of root-knot nematodes ([Gamalero and Glick, 2020](#)) including cultural practices, biological control, sanitation and host plant resistance ([Tocco et al., 2020](#)). But unfortunately, all these practices are unable to protect the crops under field conditions because these are not cost-effective and require extra labour ([Kerry, 1990](#)). However, chemical control is still considered as the main

* Corresponding author: huma.abbas@uaf.edu.pk
0030-9923/2023/0002-755 \$ 9.00/0



Copyright 2023 by the authors. Licensee Zoological Society of Pakistan.

This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

approach for the management of nematodes (Huang *et al.*, 2020). The chemicals preferably used should possess the properties like high rate of nematode suppression in a short time, no phytotoxic effects and long persistence in the soil that would be advantageous for subsequent crops. During recent years, the importance in the development of pesticides with natural origins has increased because of the adverse effects of synthetic chemical pesticides (Desaeger *et al.*, 2020), like environmental risk, toxicity to non target organisms including humans/animals and resistance development. Carbamates and organophosphates possess the ability to inactivate acetylcholinesterase (a crucial enzyme in nervous system of nematodes and insects), however, the inhibitory effect of carbamates on acetylcholinesterase is short living. Nematode locomotion depends on an array of approximately 90 motor neurons and interneurons that employ neurotransmitters acetylcholine and gamma aminobutyric acid (GABA) (Johnson and Stretton, 1980). Nematode species exhibit diversity in sensitivity of their acetylcholinesterase to organophosphates and carbamates (Lee and Hodsdon, 1963; Pree *et al.*, 1987). Different ways of application were used to assess the efficacy of nematicides against *M. incognita* (Bhosle *et al.*, 2012; Abbas *et al.*, 2015). Present investigation was conducted to check the effect of bio and synthetic chemicals on the developmental stages of *M. incognita*, efficiency of protective and curative application method and their compatibility with fertilizers to reduce the damage of nematode and to increase tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) growth.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Effect of bio and synthetic chemicals on the invasion and development of M. incognita

Sterilized soil amended with Cartap, Virtako, Cure and Azadirachtin at their recommended doses was filled in 150 ml plastic pots except in control pots. Three weeks old seedlings of tomato cv. Moneymaker were transplanted in earthen pots. After two weeks when the plants established their root system, 500 freshly hatched J_{2s} of *M. incognita* (obtained from single egg mass culture through mass culturing of nematode in earthen pots) were inoculated in each pot. Experiment was accomplished in four sets of treatments with 10 replications under completely randomized design. Data were recorded after 7, 14, 21 and 28 days to check the invasion and development stages (J_2 , developing (dJ_2), J_3 /swollen, J_4 /sausage, adult female and egg masses) of root-knot nematode. After seven days of inoculation, plants with their balls were soaked for 3-4 h in container. Then their roots were gently removed and washed free of soil under running water. The roots were carefully handled without damaging the root tips. Egg

masses were counted by staining the roots with Phloxine B (Holbrook *et al.*, 1983) while the females attached to roots were recorded after staining with acid fuchsin under the stereomicroscope (Olympus SZ 61) at 2.5X magnification.

Protective and curative effect of bio and synthetic chemicals on the development of M. incognita

Selected bio and synthetic chemicals were used to check their protective effect against *M. incognita*. Sterilized soil amended with Cartap, Virtako, Cure and Azadirachtin at their S concentration was filled in earthen pots of (10 cm diam.). Three weeks old seedlings of tomato cv. Moneymaker were transplanted in earthen pots containing amended soil after 3 days. Sterilized soil was filled in earthen pots of (15cm diam.). Fifteen hundred freshly hatched J_{2s} of *M. incognita* were inoculated in each pot. Those seedling which were exposed to amended soil for 5 days were uprooted carefully and transplanted into these infested pots. Harvesting was done after 35 days of inoculation and data were recorded on nematode reproductive parameters. To check curative effect tomato seedlings were grown and maintained in small pots (10 cm diam.) containing sterilized soil, after one month these seedlings were inoculated with 1500 freshly hatched J_{2s} of *M. incognita*. Sterilized soil amended with Cartap, Virtako, Cure and Azadirachtin was filled in earthen pots of (15cm diam.). These pots were kept moistened. After 4 days the inoculated plants were uprooted very carefully and washed in plenty of water just by shaking to avoid damaging the roots. Then these plants were transplanted into amended soil in such a way that half of the amended soil was put in the pot then other half was put after planting the seedlings, this was done to avoid damaging to roots. Harvesting was done after 35 days of transplantation into amended pots and data were recorded on nematode reproductive parameters.

Impact of different fertilizers alone on nematode reproduction

This experiment was carried out in two steps. Effect of different fertilizers was evaluated against *M. incognita* reproduction and plant growth. Impact of different fertilizers; Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium was checked individually and concomitantly. Three weeks old seedlings of tomato cv. Moneymaker were transplanted in earthen pots (15 cm diam.) containing sterilized soil. Different treatments of fertilizer (N, P, K, N+P, N+K, P+K, NPK) and two control treatments healthy (H) and nematode (N) were applied in pots. After five days plants were inoculated with 2000 freshly hatched J_{2s} of *M. incognita* in each pot. After 35 days plants were carefully uprooted, washed and data were recorded on plant growth and nematode reproduction parameters.

Concomitant effect of bio and synthetic chemicals with NPK on the development of M. incognita

Bio and synthetic chemicals were tested against *M. incognita* in combination with NPK (which proved to be more effective from the above study in reducing nematode population and improving plant growth). To test the combined effect of NPK with chemicals, three weeks old seedlings of tomato cv. Moneymaker were transplanted in earthen pots (15 cm diam.) containing sterilized soil amended with chemicals and NPK. Pots were arranged in completely randomized design with following treatments i.e., Cartap + NPK, Virtako + NPK, Cure + NPK, Azadirachtin + NPK, Control (N) and Control (H) with ten replications for each treatment. After five days plants were inoculated with 2000 freshly hatched J_{2s} of *M. incognita* in each pot. Harvesting was done after 35 days and data were recorded on plant growth and nematode reproduction parameters. Experiments were repeated to confirm the results.

The recommended doses of tested chemicals were as follows: Cartap (Thiocarbamate) 9 g /100 mL, Virtako (Thiamethoxam + chlorantraniliprole) 4 g /100 mL, Azadirachtin (Azadirachtin) 0.5 mL /100 mL, Cure (Abamectin) 0.4 mL /100 mL

RESULTS

Effect of bio and synthetic chemicals on the invasion and development of M. incognita

Effectiveness of bio and synthetic chemicals was evaluated against invasion and developmental stages of *M. incognita* at different harvesting intervals; 7, 14, 21 and 28 days. Results revealed that significantly varied ($P=0.05$) number of developmental stage of *M. incognita* were observed at different harvesting times (Table I). Cartap among all the chemicals caused minimum invasion of J_{2s} after 7 days, maximum was observed in control treatment. After 7 days two stages were observed including J_{2s} and dJ_{2s} . Number of J_{2s} and dJ_{2s} was varied significantly in all the chemicals (Table I). At harvest after 14 days more developmental stages of *M. incognita* were observed as compared to 7 days. Number of dJ_{2s} was increased in all the treatments as compared first harvest. A short lived J_3 stage was noted after 14 days harvest interval. Maximum number of J_3 (swollen) was recorded in control (48.4) while minimum was observed in Cartap (7.5). Due to the increased harvest interval, J_{2s} were not observed though lesser number of dJ_{2s} was recorded in comparison to other harvest intervals. Minimum development of J_3 stage was observed in Cartap and Virtako (5.5, 11.4) as compared to Cure and Azadirachtin (22.6, 30.5) respectively. Initial stages of development were not recorded after 28 days,

only negligible number of J_{2s} was observed. Among synthetic chemicals Cartap caused maximum reduction in J_4 stage of *M. incognita* while among bio chemicals Cure caused maximum reduction (Table I).

Protective and curative effect of bio and synthetic chemicals on the development of M. incognita

Protective efficiency of bio and synthetic chemicals was observed on the basis of *M. incognita* reproductive parameters (Table II). Development of galls was significantly reduced in the protective treatment of all the chemicals. Maximum number of galls was observed in untreated control (377.6) while minimum was recorded in Cartap (87.4). Other treatments including Virtako, Cure and Azadirachtin caused significant reduction in number of galls (98.5, 117.7, 129.3) respectively. Due to the infectivity of *M. incognita* maximum number of numbers of egg masses were observed in control treatment. Significant reduction in the number of egg masses was observed in all the chemicals. Minimum number of egg masses were observed in Cartap (82.4) followed by Virtako and Cure (95.5, 107.7), respectively. In curative application of all the chemicals, number of galls was increased as compared to protective treatment. All the chemicals tested caused a significant ($P=0.05$) reduction in the number of females but less as compared to protective application. Statistically significant reduction in the number of females was observed in Cartap (126.5). In all the chemicals number of eggs per root system of tomato decreased significantly. Maximum eggs were recorded in control (57210) while minimum were observed in Cartap and Virtako (17510, 20380) respectively. An increase in reproduction rate was observed in all the chemicals in comparison to protective application (Table III).

Impact of different fertilizers on nematode reproduction

Effect of different fertilizers was evaluated against *M. incognita* reproduction and plant growth individually and concomitantly. All the treatments varied significantly ($P=0.05$) in their response toward plant growth and nematode reproduction. Impact of different fertilizers; N, P, K, N+P, N+K, P+K, NPK varied significantly on the reproduction of *M. incognita* (Table IV). Minimum number of galls was observed in concomitant application of NPK (160.6) while maximum galls were observed in control treatment having only nematodes (386.5). Fresh and dry weight of root was higher in healthy control followed by the plants that received fertilizer treatments (Table V). A progressive increase in shoot length was observed in fertilizer treatments. Maximum increase in shoot length was observed in NPK in comparison to nematode control.

Table I. Effect of bio- and synthetic-chemicals on the invasion and development of *M. incognita*

Days	Treatment	J _{2s}	dJ _{2s} /sausage	J ₃	J ₄	Adult female	Egg mass
7 Days	Cartap	65.6 ¹ e	13.1 e	-	-	-	-
	Virtako	87.1 d	20.4 d	-	-	-	-
	Abamectin	102.3 c	33.3 c	-	-	-	-
	Azadirachtin	122.2 b	39.2 b	-	-	-	-
	Control	176.5 a	69.5 a	-	-	-	-
	LSD	0.65	0.59				
14 Days	Cartap	12.3 e	25.4 e	7.5 e	28.5 e	-	-
	Virtako	19.1d	34.1 d	15.1 d	35.3 d	-	-
	Abamectin	30.4 c	52.5 c	29.2 c	49.1 c	-	-
	Azadirachtin	38.5 b	60.4 b	38.6 b	57.6 b	-	-
	Control	57.7 a	75.6 a	48.4 a	85.4 a	-	-
	LSD	0.56	0.51	0.56	0.47		
21 Days	Cartap	-	8.40 e	5.5 e	41.1 e	12.3 e	-
	Virtako	-	11.6 d	11.4 d	62.6 d	15.2 d	-
	Abamectin	-	17.5 c	22.6 c	90.6 c	21.5 c	-
	Azadirachtin	-	21.4 b	30.5 b	104.4 b	26.4 b	-
	Control	-	27.6 a	45.5 a	138.3 a	48.5 a	-
	LSD		0.46	0.47	0.54	0.44	
28 Days	Cartap	-	-	-	10.4 e	71.5 e	58.6 e
	Virtako	-	-	-	13.6 d	93.5 d	72.4 d
	Abamectin	-	-	-	18.5 c	104.3 c	85.5 c
	Azadirachtin	-	-	-	21.7 b	121.6 b	98.7 b
	Control	-	-	-	26.5 a	220.8 a	214.3 a
	LSD				0.46	0.44	0.45

¹Means within a column sharing the same letter are not significantly different from each other at $P = 0.05$ according to Bartlett's test

Table II. Protective effect of bio- and synthetic-chemicals on the development of *M. incognita*

Treatments	No. of galls	No. of females	No. of egg masses	J _{2s} /100cm ³ of soil	eggs/ root system	RF ²
Cartap	87.4 ¹ e	102.4 e	82.4 e	195.5 e	15310 e	0.59 e
Virtako	98.5 d	118.6 d	95.5 d	223.7 d	18090 d	0.67 d
Abamectin	117.7 c	130.7 c	107.7 c	247.4 c	23340 c	0.74 c
Azadirachtin	129.3 b	144.5 b	122.5 b	262.5 b	25320 b	0.79 b
Control	377.6 a	393.6 a	370.6 a	1230 a	54100 a	3.69 a
LSD	0.45	0.46	0.46	0.46	154.6	0.005

¹Means within a column sharing the same letter are not significantly different from each other at $P = 0.05$ according to Bartlett's test; ²Reproduction factor (RF) = final population/initial population.

Combined effect of bio and synthetic chemicals with NPK on the development of M. incognita

All the chemical treatments in combination with NPK were varied significantly ($P=0.05$) on reproduction of *M. incognita*. Number of galls was minimum in the combined treatment of Cartap+NPK (72.1) followed by

Virtako+NPK (86.3). Cure+NPK treatment was proved to be more effective in reducing number of females (119.2) from Azadirachtin+NPK (127.4). Maximum number of egg masses was observed in nematode control plants (365.4). Cure+NPK caused more reduction in number of egg masses as compared to Azadirachtin+NPK (Table VI).

Recovery of J_{2s} from soil was significantly suppressed in all the combined treatments. Maximum number of J_{2s} was recorded in nematode control (1241.0) while a dynamic decline was observed in Cartap+NPK, Virtako+NPK, Cure+NPK and Azadirachtin+NPK (144.4, 162.2, 198.1, 216.6) respectively. Plant growth responses were increased

significantly in combined treatment of chemicals with NPK (Table VII). Tomato growth was most affected in control treatment having only nematodes. A variable effect of combined treatments was significant on all the growth parameters recorded.

Table III. Curative effect of bio- and synthetic-chemicals on the development of *M. incognita*

Treatments	No. of galls	No. of females	No. of egg masses	$J_{2s}/100\text{ cm}^3$ of soil	Eggs/ root system	RF ²
Cartap	105.5 ¹ e	126.5 e	97.5 e	230.3 e	17510 e	0.69 e
Virtako	116.4 d	140.4 d	112.7 d	248.5 d	20380 d	0.74 d
Abamectin	136.5 c	161.7 c	133.4 c	272.7 c	27330 c	0.82 c
Azadirachtin	145.2 b	172.8 b	146.5 b	287.4 b	29520 b	0.86 b
Control	380.6 a	395.5 a	368.4 a	1237 a	57210 a	3.71 a
LSD	0.49	0.44	0.46	0.45	204.5	0.006

¹Means within a column sharing the same letter are not significantly different from each other at $P = 0.05$ according to Bartlett's test; ²Reproduction factor (RF) = final population/initial population

Table IV. Impact of different fertilizers on nematode reproduction.

Treatments	No. of galls	No. of females	No. of egg masses	$J_{2s}/100\text{ cm}^3$ of soil	RF ²
N	190.5 e	202.1 e	186.2 e	328.5 e	0.98 e
P	222.3 c	232.6 b	217.5 b	376.4 b	1.13 b
K	225.3 b	230.0 c	215.0 c	372.0 c	1.11 c
N+P	176.1 f	188.4 f	172.6 f	308.3 g	0.92 g
N+K	174.5 g	185.0 g	169.0 g	312.6 f	0.94 f
P+K	204.2 d	216.4 d	198.4 d	344.5 d	1.03 d
N+P+K	160.6 h	172.4 h	152.7 h	282.6 h	0.85 h
Control (N)	386.5 a	392.9 a	374.7 a	1253.0 a	3.75 a
Control (H)	0.00 i	0.00 i	0.00 i	0.00 i	0.00 i
LSD	0.564	0.517	0.533	0.471	0.003

¹Means with in a column sharing the same letter are not significantly different from each other at $P = 0.05$ according to Bartlett's test; ²Reproduction factor (RF) = final population/initial population

Table V. Impact of different fertilizers on tomato growth.

Treatments	Leaf count/ plant	Fresh root weight (g)	Fresh shoot weight (g)	Dry root weight (g)	Dry shoot weight (g)	Root length (cm)	Shoot length (cm)
N	31.0 e	5.48 e	38.86 e	1.88 e	8.83 e	9.78 e	25.53f
P	25.0 f	3.75 g	35.80 g	0.96 g	6.72 g	7.60 g	22.80g
K	24.0 g	3.69 h	35.40 h	0.93 h	6.68 h	7.42 h	22.21h
N+P	39.0 c	6.37 c	40.62 c	2.78 b	9.57 c	10.81c	26.67c
N+K	39.0 c	6.34 d	40.58 d	2.75 c	9.52 d	10.52d	26.30d
P+K	36.0 d	4.86 f	37.92 f	1.34 f	7.94 f	8.34 f	25.76e
N+P+K	41.0 b	7.48 b	42.44 b	2.47 d	10.34 b	11.56b	27.62b
Control (N)	20.0 h	2.92 i	26.71 i	0.52 i	5.18 i	6.80 i	19.56i
Control (H)	63.1 a	12.18 a	60.28 a	4.58 a	17.43 a	17.42a	37.35a
LSD	0.662	0.008	0.0397	0.007	0.007	0.0073	0.06292

¹Means within a column sharing the same letter are not significantly different from each other at $P = 0.05$ according to Bartlett's test

Table VI. Concomitant effect of bio- and synthetic-chemicals with NPK on the development of *M. incognita*

Treatments	No. of galls	No. of females	No. of egg masses	J _{2s} /100cm ³ of soil	RF ²
Cartap + NPK	72.1 e	78.1 e	66.2 e	144.4 e	0.43 e
Virtako + NPK	86.3 d	95.0 d	80.2 d	162.2 d	0.48 d
Abamectin + NPK	108.0c	119.2c	97.1 c	198.1 c	0.59 c
Azadirachtin + NPK	120.3b	127.4 b	107.5 b	216.6 b	0.65 b
Control (N)	390.7a	390.7 a	365.4 a	1241.0 a	3.72 a
Control (H)	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 f
LSD	0.612	0.610	0.532	0.569	0.003

¹Means with in a column sharing the same letter are not significantly different from each other at $P = 0.05$ according to Bartlett's test. ²Reproduction factor (RF) = final population/initial population at $P = 0.05$ according to Bartlett's test.

Table VII. Concomitant effect of bio- and synthetic-chemicals with NPK on tomato growth.

Treatments	Leaf count/ plant	Fresh root weight (g)	Fresh shoot weight (g)	Dry Root weight (g)	Dry shoot weight (g)	Root length (cm)	Shoot length (cm)
Cartap + NPK	60.0b	11.64b	57.64 b	4.44 a	16.24 b	16.61b	35.62b
Virtako + NPK	55.0c	10.37c	55.84 c	4.12 c	15.97 c	15.43c	33.22c
Abamectin + NPK	46.0d	8.86 d	50.76 d	3.52 d	13.86 d	14.74d	29.40d
Azadirachtin + NPK	43.0e	8.22 e	49.23 e	3.46 e	13.38 e	13.43e	28.50e
Control (N)	19.0f	2.95 f	27.13 f	0.57 f	5.22 f	6.73f	20.24f
Control (H)	64.0a	12.24a	60.72 a	4.30 b	17.53 a	17.61a	37.52a
LSD	0.668	0.028	0.040	0.028	0.006	0.0634	0.0634

¹Means with in a column sharing the same letter are not significantly different from each other at $P = 0.05$ according to Bartlett's test.

DISCUSSION

Various life stages of nematode viz., J₂, d J₂, J₃, J₄, adult female and egg masses were observed by harvesting at different time intervals. Suppression of nematode invasion was varied in Cartap, Virtako, Cure and Azadirachtin and subsequently development of nematode was also reduced. Invasion of nematode was decreased due to the nematicidal activity of chemicals (Tobin *et al.*, 2008). Absorption of chemicals by root system acts as barrier in penetration of nematodes into the roots. Feeding and development of penetrated nematodes was also affected by chemicals (Hague and Gowen, 1987). Invasion of J₂ was lowest in Cartap, as nematode locomotion depends upon motor neurons and interneurons that use a neurotransmitter acetylcholine whose activity is stopped by acetylcholinesterase (Johnson and Stretton, 1980) which was inactivated by Cartap. Some plant metabolites activated in results of nematode invasion i.e., phenolics and phytoalexins that caused suppression in further invasion (McCarter, 2008).

Chemicals also caused reduction in the development of nematodes (Miller, 1971). In present findings, lesser

number of nematodes was able to enter into successive stages of their life cycle due to the nematicidal effects of chemicals. Our results are in line with (Rehman *et al.*, 2009; Safdar *et al.*, 2012) who observed the suppressive effect of chemical on the subsequent development of *M. incognita* after invasion, that reduce invasion rate by causing paralysis of nervous system (Radwan *et al.*, 2019).

Behavioral changes have a significant effect on the development of nematodes. Inhibition in nematode development was also reported as disturbance in feeding behavior of nematodes due to nematicidal effect of oxamyl. Refusal to feed was also caused by Azadirachtin due to negative effects on chemoreceptors (Aerts and Mordue, 1997). Suppression in the development was reported by several researchers (Rehman *et al.*, 2009) due to chemicals impact on nematodes. Reduction in *M. incognita* population was high in protective treatment of chemicals as compared to curative (Garabedian and Van Gundy, 1983). Maximum reduction in number of galls and J_{2s} was recorded in protective treatment as compared to curative. These findings are in conformity with the results of other workers. Safdar *et al.* (2012) reported that nematode population was decreased in protective application.

Reduction in number of egg masses and eggs/egg mass was reported by (Rehman *et al.*, 2009) in protective treatment of chemicals as compared to curative. Reproduction rate was also lower in protective treatment of chemicals. Decrease in nematode population was reported by (Khalil, 2012; Radwan *et al.*, 2012) under the influence of chemicals. Nematicidal potential of chemicals was featured by inactivation of enzyme of nervous system (Batiha *et al.*, 2020; Sasanelli *et al.*, 2020), blockage of electrical conductivity in muscle and nerves cells (Bloomquist, 2003), impaired muscle cells and paralysis (Cordova *et al.*, 2006), phenolic compounds (Mohan, 2011), formaldehyde and fatty acids (Khan *et al.*, 1974) nimbin, nimbidine and limonoid. In protective application, penetration of nematodes decreased as chemicals were absorbed by the root system that prevent entry of nematodes (Javed *et al.*, 2007). Fertilizers caused an increase in plant growth, seed germination, uptake of water and tolerance to diseases as they caused physiological changes in plant that hinder penetration of pathogens (Huber, 1980; Vejan *et al.*, 2016). Suppressive effects of fertilizers were recorded on nematode population (Dawar *et al.*, 2007; Hu and Qi, 2010).

An increase in fresh root and shoot weight, root length, shoot length and leaf/ plant and decrease in number of galls, females, egg masses and $J_2/100\text{ cm}^3$ was observed in individual treatment of N as compared to P and K, similarly concomitant application of N+P was more effective N+K and P+K while NPK was most efficient in nematode suppression and plant growth improvement from all treatments. N application was more effective as involved in cellular functions and production of metabolites that caused an increased yield and reduced nematode reproduction (Bado *et al.*, 2011). Efficiency of P and NPK (Irshad *et al.*, 2006) was reported on plant growth and nematode reproduction, while K was effective only in reducing nematode population when applied at low levels (Badra and Yousuf, 1979).

The most effective fertilizer NPK was selected and further tested with Cartap, Virtako, Cure and Azadirachtin, to determine their combined impact on plant growth and nematode reproduction. Suppression in nematode population was increased in combined application of chemicals with NPK. Plant growth responses also increased in combined application due to dual effect of fertilizer on plant growth and nematode suppression (Akhtar and Mahmood, 1996). These findings are comparable with (Hemmatia and Saeedizadehb, 2020) who reported the increased efficacy of chemicals with NPK and compost.

CONCLUSIONS

From these findings it is summarized that protective application of chemicals is more effective in reducing nematode population under field conditions as compared to curative. Consequently, fertilizers are required to enhance plant growth but their role in nematode management could not be neglected. Their integrated use with chemicals might also a successful tool in management strategies as internal effect due to fertilizers and external by chemicals caused maximum reduction in nematode population.

Statement of conflict of interest

The authors have declared no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Abad, P., Favery, B., Rosso, M.N., and Castagnone-Serena, P., 2003. Root-knot nematode parasitism and host response: Molecular basis of a sophisticated interaction. *Mol. Pl. Pathol.*, **4**: 217-224. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1364-3703.2003.00170.x>
- Abbas, H., Javed, N., Khan, S.A., and Ahmad, S., 2015. Exploitation the nematicidal potential of bio and synthetic chemicals against *Meloidogyne incognita* and their impact on phytotoxicity and nematode reproduction. *Pakistan J. Zool.*, **47**: 1587-1600.
- Abd-Elgawad, M.M.M., 2020. Optimizing biological control agents for controlling nematodes of tomato in Egypt. *Egypt J. Biol. Pest Cont.*, **30**: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-020-00252-x>
- Aerts, R.J., and Mordue, A.J., 1997. Feeding deterrence and toxicity of neem triterpenoids. *J. chem. Ecol.*, **23**: 2117-2133. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:JOEC.0000006433.14030.04>
- Agrios, G.N., 2005. Plant diseases caused by nematodes. In: *Plant pathology*. 5th Ed. Elsevier Academic press, New York. pp. 825-874. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-047378-9.50021-X>
- Akhtar, M., and Mahmood, I., 1996. Control of plant-parasitic nematodes with organic and inorganic amendments in agricultural soil. *Appl. Soil Ecol.*, **4**: 243-247. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0929-1393\(96\)00114-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0929-1393(96)00114-X)
- Bado, B., Sawadogo, A., Thio, B., Bationo, A., Traore, K., and Cescas, M., 2011. Nematode infestation and N effect of legumes on soil and crop yields in legume-sorghum rotations. *Agric. Sci.*, **2**: 49-55. <https://doi.org/10.4236/as.2011.22008>
- Badra, T., and Yousuf, G.M., 1979. Comparative effects of potassium levels on growth and mineral composition of intact and nematized cowpea and

- sour orange seedling. *Nematol. Mediterran.*, **7**: 21-27.
- Batiha, G.E.S., Alqahtani, A., and Ilesanmi, O.B., 2020. Avermectin derivatives, pharmacokinetics, therapeutic and toxic dosages, mechanism of action, and their biological effects. *Pharmaceutical*, **13**: 1-37. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ph13080196>
- Berkeley, M.J., 1855. Vibrio forming cysts on the roots of cucumbers. *Gard. Chron. Agric. Gaz.*, **14**: 220.
- Bhosle, B.B., Sehgal, M., Patait, D.D., Yada, S.M., Bora, B.C., and Chaudhary, B.N., 2012. Efficacy of nematicides in management of root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita* in okra in farmer's participatory mode. *Pak. J. Nematol.*, **30**: 67-73.
- Bloomquist, J.R., 2003. Chloride channels as tools for developing selective insecticides. *Arch. Insect Biochem. Physiol.*, **54**: 145-156. <https://doi.org/10.1002/arch.10112>
- Brodie, B.B., Evans, K., and Franco, J., 1993. *Nematode parasites of potatoes*. In: *Plant parasitic nematodes in temperate agriculture* (K. Evans, D.L. Trudgill and J.M. Webster). CAB International, Wallingford, UK. pp. 87-132.
- Chen, Q., and Peng, D., 2019. Nematode chitin and application. In: *Advances in experimental medicine and biology*. Springer, New York LLC. pp. 209-219. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-7318-3_10
- Cordova, D., Benner, E.A., Sacher, M.D., Rauh, J.J., Sopa, J.S., Lahm, G.P., Selby, T.P., Stevenson, T.M., Flexner, L., Gutteridge, S., Rhoades, D.F., Wu, L., Smith, R.M., and Tao, Y., 2006. Anthranilic diamides: A new class of insecticides with a novel mode of action, ryanodine receptor activation. *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.*, **84**: 196-214. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2005.07.005>
- Dawar, S., Irshad, L., and Kaki, M.J., 2007. Combined effectiveness of fertilizers in the control of root rot and root knot disease complex of mung bean and okra. *Int. J. Biol. Biotech.*, **4**: 83-88.
- Desaeger, J., Wram, C. and Zasada, I., 2020. New reduced-risk agricultural nematicides rationale and review. *J. Nematol.*, **52**: 2020-2091. <https://doi.org/10.21307/jofnem-2020-091>
- Forghani, F., and Hajihassani, A., 2020. Recent advances in the development of environmentally benign treatments to control root-knot nematodes. *Front. Pl. Sci.*, **11**: 1125. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.01125>
- Gamalero, E., and Glick, B.R., 2020. The use of plant growth-promoting bacteria to prevent nematode damage to plants. *Biology, (Basel)*, **9**: 381. <https://doi.org/10.3390/biology9110381>
- Garabedian, S., and Van Gundy, S.D., 1983. Use of avermectins for the control of *Meloidogyne incognita* on tomatoes. *J. Nematol.*, **15**: 503-510.
- Hague, N.G.M., and Gowen, S.R., 1987. *Chemical control of nematodes*. In: Principles and practices of nematodes control in crops (eds. R.H. Brown and B. Kerry). Academic Press, New York. pp. 131-178.
- Hemmatia, S., and Saeezadehb, A., 2020. Root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne javanica*, in response to soil fertilization. *Braz. J. Biol.*, **80**: 621-630. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1519-6984.218195>
- Holbrook, C.C., Knauff, D.A., and Dickson, D.W., 1983. A technique for screening peanut for resistance to *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Pl. Dis.*, **57**: 957-958. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PD-67-957>
- Hu, G., and Oi, Y., 2010. Effect of compost and chemical fertilizer on soil nematode community in a Chinese maize field. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.*, **46**: 230-236. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2010.04.002>
- Huang, D., Yu, C., and Shao, Z., 2020. Identification and characterization of nematicidal volatile organic compounds from deep sea *Virgibacillus dokdonensis* MCCC 1A00493. *Molecules*, **25**: 744. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25030744>
- Huber, D.M., 1980. The role of mineral nutrition in defense. In: *Plant pathology. An advanced treatise*. pp. 381-406. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-356405-4.50028-9>
- Irshad, L., Dawar, S., Zaki, M.J., and Ghaffar, A., 2006. Effect of nursery fertilizers on plant growth and in the control of *Meloidogyne javanica* root knot nematode on mung bean and okra plants. *Pak. J. Bot.*, **38**: 1301-1304.
- Javed, N., Gowen, S.R., Inam-Ul-Haq, M., and Anwar, S.A., 2007. Protective and curative effect of neem (*Azadirachta indica*) formulations on the development of root knot nematode, *Meloidogyne javanica* in root of tomato Plants. *Crop Prot.*, **26**: 530-534. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2006.05.003>
- Johnson, C.O., and Stretton, A.O.W., 1980. Neural control of locomotion in Acaris, Anatomy, electrophysiology and biochemistry. In: *Nematodes as biological models* (ed. B.M. Zuckerman). Academic Press, New York. pp. 159-195. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-782401-7.50010-6>
- Kerry, B.R., 1990. An assessment of progress toward microbial control of plant parasitic nematode. *J. Nematol.*, **22**: 621-631.
- Khalil, M.S., 2012. A comparison study with alternative

- biorational agents to suppress the root-knot nematode populations and galls formation in tomato plants. *Int. J. Nematol.*, **22**: 112-116.
- Khan, M.W., Alam, M.M., Khan, A.M., and Saxena, S.K., 1974. Effect of water soluble fractions of oil cakes and bitter principles of neem on some fungi and nematodes. *Acta Bot. Ind.*, **2**: 120-128.
- Lee, R.M., and Hodsden, M.R., 1963. Cholinesterase activity in *Haemonchus contortus* and its inhibition by organophosphorus anthelminthes. *Biochem. Pharmacol.*, **12**: 1241-1252. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-2952\(63\)90192-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-2952(63)90192-8)
- McCarter, J.P., 2008. Molecular approaches towards resistance to plant-parasitic nematodes. In: *Plant cell monographs* (eds. R.H. Berg and C.G. Taylor). Springer, Heidelberg, Germany. **15**: 239-267. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-85215-5_9
- Miller, H.N., 1971. Comparisons of three nematicides for the control of *Meloidogyne incognita* on gardenia. *Pl. Dis. Rep.*, **55**: 357-360.
- Mohan, K., 2011. Comparison of inorganic and organic nematicides on the population of soil nematodes in hybrid of *Saccharum* species. *J. Biopesti.*, **4**: 201-204.
- Pree, D.J., Townshend, J.L., and Archibald, D.E., 1987. Sensitivity of acetylcholinesterase from *Aphelenchus avenae* to organophosphates and carbamates pesticides. *J. Nematol.*, **19**: 188-194.
- Radwan, M.A., Farrag, S.A.A., Abu-Elamayem, M.M., and Ahmed, N.S., 2012. Efficacy of some granular nematicides against root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita* associated with tomato. *Pak. J. Nematol.*, **30**: 41-47.
- Radwan, M.A., Saad, A.S.A., and Mesbah, H.A., 2019. Investigating the *in vitro* and *in vivo* nematicidal performance of structurally related macrolides against the root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Hell. Pl. Prot. J.*, **12**: 24-37. <https://doi.org/10.2478/hppj-2019-0005>
- Rehman, A.U., Javed, N., Ahmad, R., and Shahid, M., 2009. Protective and curative effect of bio-products against the invasion and development of root-knot nematode in tomato. *Pak. J. Phytopathol.*, **21**: 37-40.
- Safdar, H., Javed, N., Khan, S.A., Haq IU, Safdar, A., and Khan, N.A., 2012. Control of *Meloidogyne incognita* (Kofoid and White) Chitwood by Cadusafos (Rugby®) on tomato. *Pakistan J. Zool.*, **44**: 1703-1710.
- Sasanelli, N., Toderas, I., and Iurcu-Straistaru, E., 2020. *In vitro* effect of abamectin from streptomyces avermitilis on the survival of the cyst nematodes *Globodera pallida*, *Heterodera carotae* and *Heterodera schachtii*. *Plants*, **9**: 12. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9010012>
- Tobin, J.D., Haydock, P.P.J., Hare, M.C., Woods, S.R., and Crump, D.H., 2008. Effect of the fungus *Pochonia chlamydosporia* and fosthiazate on the multiplication rate of potato cyst nematodes (*Globodera pallida* and *G. rostochiensis*) in potato crops grown under UK field conditions. *Biol. Cont.*, **46**: 194-201. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2008.03.014>
- Tocco, G., Eloh, K., Sasanelli, N., and Caboni, P., 2020. Electron-deficient alkynes as powerful tools against root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne incognita*: Nematicidal activity and investigation on the mode of action. *J. Agric. Fd. Chem.*, **68**: 11088-11095. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.0c00835>
- Vejan, P., Abdullah, R., Khadiran, T., Ismail, S., and Nasrulhaq, B.A., 2016. Role of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria in agricultural sustainability. A review. *Molecules*, **21**: 573. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules21050573>