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## INTRODUCTION

Native range of Oncorhynchus mykiss is the Eastern Pacific Ocean and the freshwaters, mainly west of the Rocky Mountains, from northwest Mexico (including extreme northern Baja, California) to the Kuskokwim River, Alaska. It is probably native in the drainages of the Peace and Athabasca rivers east of the Rocky Mountains (MacCrimmon, 1971; NOBANIS, 2015). Nowadays except for certain parts of tropical areas it has reached a global distribution area throughout the world. This distribution is based on human activity such as amateur fishing and aquaculture. O. mykiss was brought from Germany at first in 1970. Then its aquaculture started in Turkey (Çetinkaya, 2006). This fish is now distributed over large areas of Turkey's inland waters due to the proliferation of aquaculture locations. This fish is included in IUCN's (International Union for Conservation of Nature) list of 100 World's Worst Invasive Alien Species, though Çetinkaya (2006) has reported that there is no invasive risk of $O$. mykiss because of its inability to create fertile population in Turkey. On the contrary, Küçük and İkiz (2004) have reported that this species has ability to reproduce in some natural waters of South-West Mediterranean region of Turkey. Similarly, Candiotto et al. (2011) have reported

[^0]that in some cases, $O$. mykiss can constitute self-sustaining stable populations. Davis (2012) has likewise reported that hatchery raised rainbow trout have the ability to reproduce and become naturalized to reservoir systems and also wild rainbow trout are recruiting to the adult population in Deerfield Reservoir.

The population of $O$. mykiss is increasing year by year. The total production was 277 t in 2000, which increased to 438 t in 2013. This production contributed around 980,000 US\$ in national economy (TUIK, 2015).

In the previous studies, Leiner (1995) studied growth, mortality and production of brown and rainbow trout in 32 sites on 15 streams of New Mexico. Candiotto et al. (2011) studied biology of one of the rare European spawning populations of $O$. mykiss in Italian stream. Korman et al. (2012) studied recruitment dynamics and movement of rainbow trout in the Colorado River in Grand Canyon using an integrated assessment model.

For evaluation of commercial fish stock we have studied here the growth, mortality, recruitment and yield of $O$. mykiss. It is hoped that the results of this study will improve our understanding of population dynamics of $O$. mykiss in Karacaören-I Dam Lake.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Study area

The Karacaören-I Dam Lake is located in limits of the cities Isparta and Burdur province (West South Anatolia)
(Fig. 1). Surface of the lake is $45.5 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$, maximum depth is 65 m and altitude is 85 m (Ozvarol and Ikiz, 2009). Fish sampling was carried out on monthly basis, at two different stations with a total of 24 trials, during July 2013 and June 2014. Sampling station depths were between 6-9 m . Gillnets were made of monofilament material with 3.2, $4,5,6,7,8$ and 9 cm stretched mesh sizes with 0.20 mm rope thickness and hanging ratio of 0.50 . Depths of all nets were 50 meshes which were 100 m long for each panel. All nets were set in the afternoon and retrieved the following morning. The fork length ( $F L$ ) of the fish was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm with a measuring board and weight recorded with 1 g precision digital scale.


Fig. 1. Karacaören-I Dam Lake and sampling station.

## Length-weight relationship and condition factor

Fishes had nonlinear relationship between length and weight that can be expressed as $W=a L^{b}$ (Froese et al., 2011), where $W=$ weight of the samples in $\mathrm{g}, L=$ length of the samples in $\mathrm{cm}, a$ and $b$ are constant parameters of the regression equation. In the calculation of condition factor $(C)$ the formula of Fulton's coefficient of condition factors $C=\left(W^{*} 100\right) / L^{3}$ (Ricker, 1975) was used.

## Growth analysis

The FISAT II software (Gayanilo et al., 2005) was used to analyze the monthly length-frequency data. Estimates of the growth parameters, $L_{\infty}$ (asymptotic length), and $K$ (growth coefficient) for the von Bertalanffy growth function (VBGF), were derived from the length
frequency data using the ELEFAN I routine incorporated in the FISAT II. Algorithms of the routine require that the $L_{\infty}$ parameter is known, at least within a biologically acceptable range. Initial values of $L_{\infty}$ were obtained using the Powell-Wetherall method as modified by Pauly and Soriano (1986).

The ELEFAN program uses a nonparametric method to fit the von Bertalanffy growth curve through modes. The best curve will pass through the maximum possible number of modes, and the goodness of fit index $(R n)$ is defined by $R n=10^{\mathrm{ESP} / A S P} / 10$ ( $E S P$ : Explained Sum of Peaks, ASP: Available Sum of Peaks) (Ye et al., 2014).

The value of $t_{o}$ was obtained from the equation Log $\left(-t_{o}\right)=-(0.3922)-0.2752 \log \left(L_{\infty}\right)-1.038 \quad \log (K)$ (Pauly, 1983). The standard growth index $(\phi)$ was used as a measure of overall growth performance (Moreau et al., 1986). The index is defined as $\phi^{\prime}=\log K+2 \log L_{\infty}$.

## Estimation of mortality rates

Mortality was estimated for the total sampling period. Length-converted catch curve was used to estimate total annual instantaneous mortality rates ( $Z$ ) (Memon et al., 2016). The right descending arm of this curve was fitted with a regression line. The regression equation has the form $\ln (N)=a+b t^{\prime}$, where $N$ is the number of fish in pseudocohorts 'sliced' by means of successive growth curves, $t$ ' is the relative age of the fish in that pseudo-cohort, and $b$ with the sign changed provides an estimate of $Z$. To obtain an independent estimate of natural mortality rate $(M)$, the equation of Pauly (1980) $\log (M)=-0.0066-0.279 \log \left(L_{\infty}\right)$ $+0.6543 \log (K)+0.4634 \log (T)$ was employed. Here, $T$ means annual habitat temperature $\left(19.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.

## Estimation of recruitment pattern

Recruitment patterns were determined from the routine implemented in FISAT II, which involved backward projection of the length frequency data onto the time axis based on the estimated growth parameters (Moreau and Cuende, 1991; Ye et al., 2014).

## Estimation of relative yield per recruit

The model of Beverton and Holt (1956) as modified by Pauly and Soriano (1986) was used to estimate relative yield-per-recruit $\left(Y^{\prime} / R\right)$ and relative biomass per-recruit $\left(B^{\prime} / R\right)$ for $O$. mykiss. Both the ogive selection method and the knife-edge selection method were used. From these, the values of exploitation rate giving maximum relative yield-per-recruit $\left(E_{\max }\right)$ was estimated. $E_{\max }$ (exploitation rate producing maximum yield), $E_{0.1}$ (exploitation rate at which the marginal increase of $Y^{\prime} / R$ is $10 \%$ of its virgin stock) and $E_{0.5}$ (the exploitation rate under which the stock is reduced to half its virgin biomass) were computed through the first derivative of the function (Uneke et al., 2010).

## RESULTS

In the fishing trial we caught 166 O. mykiss species fork lengths of which were between $13.6-34.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. Generally, more samples were collected during winter months than in other seasons. $O$. mykiss could not be obtained in June, July and August. Monthly catch composition is given in Table I and length-frequency distribution is shown in Figure 2.

Figure 3 shows a nonlinear relationship between length and weight of $O$. mykiss population. Regression model parameters calculated as $a=0.006 ; b=3.207$ [3.1623.252], $R^{2}=0.968$ and $p<0.001$. Using these parameters in the equation ( $W=a^{*} L^{b}$ ) (Froese et al., 2011) and ultimately length-weight relationship equation gave the estimate as $W=0.006 * L^{3.207}$. In this study $O$. mykiss showed positive allometric growth pattern $(b>3)$.

Mean condition factor of $O$. mykiss population in Karacaören-I Dam Lake was calculated as 1.13 (min.= 0.85 , max. $=1.61$ and $\mathrm{SE}=0.01$ ).

Powell-Wetherall plot of $O$. mykiss is shown in Figure 4. Black points in Figure 4A were used for the regression analysis $\left(Y=7.29+(-0.220) * X, r^{2}=0.977, L_{\infty}=33.08 \mathrm{~cm}\right.$ and $Z / K=3.538$ ).

Table I.- Monthly catch composition of $\boldsymbol{O}$. mykiss.

| Sampling <br> period | N | Fork length | Total weight |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean $\pm$ SEM <br> (Min - Max) | Mean $\pm$ SEM <br> (Min - Max) |
| Oct 2012 | 1 | $19.5 \pm 0.00$ | $94.0 \pm 0.00$ |
|  |  | $(19.5-19.5)$ | $(94.0-94.0)$ |
| Nov 2012 | 6 | $25.8 \pm 0.3$ | $194.5 \pm 2.4$ |
|  |  | $(25.0-27.0)$ | $(189.0-205.0)$ |
| Dec 2012 | 61 | $18.6 \pm 0.5$ | $83.2 \pm 8.6$ |
|  |  | $(13.6-29.5)$ | $(33.0-321.0)$ |
| Jan 2013 | 25 | $17.6 \pm 0.3$ | $56.3 \pm 3.0$ |
|  |  | $(14.5-22.4)$ | $(35.0-101.0)$ |
| Feb 2013 | 40 | $19.6 \pm 0.4$ | $89.1 \pm 8.9$ |
|  |  | $(15.3-29.5)$ | $(40.0-315.0)$ |
| Mar 2013 | 7 | $21.0 \pm 1.6$ | $141.3 \pm 34.7$ |
|  |  | $(15.5-28.8)$ | $(75.0-337.0)$ |
| Apr 2013 | 19 | $25.6 \pm 0.7$ | $210.9 \pm 16.5$ |
|  |  | $(18.0-31.8)$ | $(76.0-383.0)$ |
| May 2013 | 7 | $28.5 \pm 1.2$ | $330.3 \pm 43.0$ |
|  |  | $(25.8-34.5)$ | $(236.0-554.0)$ |



Fig. 2. Monthly length-frequency distribution of $O$. mykiss.


Fig. 3. Length and weight relationship of $O$. mykiss.


The growth pattern, which was von Bertalanffy growth curves of O. mykiss is shown in Figure 5. Parameters of the von Bertalanffy growth equation were indicated by ELEFAN as $L_{t}=36.50\left[1-\exp ^{-0.33(t+0.4760)}\right]$ and $W_{t}=583.87[1-$ $\left.\exp ^{-0.33(+t+0.4760)}\right]^{3.207}\left(L_{\infty}=36.50 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{FL}, W_{\infty}=583.87 \mathrm{~g}, K=0.33\right.$ year ${ }^{-1}, t_{o}=-0.4760$ years, $S S=8, S L=31.50, R n=0.597$ and $\phi=2.64$ ).

In the population of $O$. mykiss, there was one recruitment peak in a year (Fig. 6). This peak was in June with $18.38 \%$ recruitment. Most of the recruitments occurred in spring (i.e. March $15.92 \%$, April 15.63\%, May $16.67 \%$ ).

The computed length-at-first-capture $\mathrm{L}_{25}, \mathrm{~L}_{50}$ (or $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ), and $\mathrm{L}_{75}$ were $13.84,14.11$ and 14.37 cm , respectively (Fig. 7). Total mortalities ( $Z$ ) calculated by non-seasonalized length-converted catch curves were 0.83 year ${ }^{-1}$. Catch curve is shown in Figure 8. Natural mortality was estimated as $M=$ 0.69 year $^{-1}$ (annual mean water temperature was evaluated


Fig. 4. Powell-Wetherall plot for (A) and Pseudo-Catch Curve (B) O. mykiss.


Fig. 5. von Bertalanffy growth curves of $O$. mykiss with normal length-frequency histogram (A) and restructured histogram (B).


Fig. 6. The annual recruitment pattern of $O$. mykiss.


Fig. 7. Probability of capture analysis for $O$. mykiss.
as $19.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Fishing mortality coefficient F was 0.14 year $^{-1}$. $E$ (exploitation rate) was computed as 0.17 . Annual survival rate was estimated as $43.60 \%, 9.52 \%$ and $46.88 \%$ for $S$ (survive), $C$ (fishing), $D$ (natural), respectively.

Using the Knife-Edge selection procedure for the analysis of relative yield and biomass per recruit of $O$. mykiss (Fig. 9) gave an $E_{\max }$, (the value of exploitation rate $E$ giving the maximum relative yield per recruit) of 0.618 , $E_{0.1}$ (the value of E at which increase in the $Y^{\prime} / R$ is $10 \%$ of its value) of 0.520 and $E_{0.5}$ (the value of $E$ at $50 \%$ of the unexploited relative biomass per recruit) of 0.327 (Table II). Selection ogive procedure of $O$. mykiss is shown in Figure 9. $E_{0.1}, E_{0.5}$ and $E_{\max }$ values estimated as $0.517,0.316$ and 0.618 , respectively, based on ogive procedure.


Fig. 8. Length-converted catch curve of $O$. mykiss.


Fig. 9. Relative yield and biomass recruit of $O$. mykiss using selection Knife Edge selection procedure (A) and Ogive selection procedure (B).

## DISCUSSION

The reason for low or no fish catch during June, July and August is because of high water temperature. The decrease in water depth may also be cause of sudden rise of water temperature which makes the fish to move to deeper lake area or relatively colder spring waters supporting the Dam Lake.

In this study, O. mykiss population of Karacaören-I Dam Lake's showed positive allometric growth proportion ( $b>3$ ). This result showed parallelism with other studies
which were conducted in Turkey (i.e. Erguden and Goksu, 2009; Çiçek and Birecikligil, 2013). But our $b$ value was different from the one reported by Leiner (1995),

Table II.- Result of Relative Yield/Recruit Analysis for O. mykiss ( $L_{C} L_{\infty}=0.380 ; M / K=2.09$ ).

| Parameters | Knife edge selection | Ogive selection |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{E}_{0.1}$ | 0.520 | 0.517 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{0.5}$ | 0.327 | 0.316 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\max }$ | 0.618 | 0.618 |

Table III.- Summary of previous biology studies on O. mykiss.

|  | Parameters |  |  |  | Location | Author |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | a | b | $\mathbf{r}^{2}$ |  |  |
| Length-weight relationship | 157 | -4.698* | 2.88 | 0.96 | Rio Gr.,Str, New Mexico |  |
|  | 16 | -4.377* | 2.73 | 0.94 | Red Stream, New Mexico | Leiner (1995) |
|  | 8 | -5.687* | 3.30 | 0.98 | Pecoz Stream,New Mexico |  |
|  | 39 | 0.004 | 3.29 | 0.92 | Seyhan Dam Lake, Turkey | Erguden and Goksu (2009) |
|  | 794 | 0.00005 | 2.69 | 0.98 | Lemme Creek, Italy | Candiotto et al. (2011) |
|  | 359 | -4.921* | 2.96 | 0.99 | Dachigam Stream, India | Shah et al. (2011) |
|  | 87 | 0.0066 | 3.19 | 0.99 | Ecemiş Stream, Turkey | Çiçek and Birecikligil (2013) |
|  | 70 | 0.902 | 3.39 | 0.86 | Kashmir Valley, India | Sharma and Bhat (2015) |
|  | 166 | 0.006 | 3.20 | 0.96 | Karacaören-I D.L. Turkey | Present Study |
| Condition factor | K_Mean |  | K_Min | K_Max | Flaming Gorge, USA | Budy et al. (2003) |
|  | - |  | 0.76 | 1.08 |  |  |
|  | 1.15 |  | - | - | Dachigam Stream, India | Shah et al. (2011) |
|  | 1.83 |  | - | - | Kashmir Valley, India | Sharma and Bhat (2015) |
|  | 1.13 |  | 0.85 | 1.61 | Karacaören-I D.L. Turkey | Present Study |
| Age | Class |  | Min. | Max. | Mimbres Str., New Mexico | Leiner (1995) |
|  | 6 |  | 2 | 7 |  |  |
|  | 5 |  | 0 | 4 | Red Stream, New Mexico |  |
|  | 6 |  | 0+ | 5+ | Sacramento River, USA | Glowacki (2003) |
|  | 2 |  | 3 | 4 | Kadıncık Stream, Turkey | Korkmaz (2004) |
|  | 5 |  | 0+ | 4+ | Lemme Creek, Italy | Candiotto et al. (2011) |
|  | 2 |  | $2+$ | $3+$ | Karakaya D.L. Turkey | Ateşşahin et al. (2011) |
|  | 6 |  | 0+ | 5+ | Karacaören-I D.L. Turkey | Present Study |
| Growth parameters | $\mathbf{L}_{\infty}$ |  | K | $\mathrm{t}_{0}$ |  |  |
|  | 37.63 |  | 0.080 | -1.630 | Mimbres Str., New Mexico | Leiner (1995) |
|  | 29.13 |  | 0.390 | -0.360 | Red Stream, New Mexico | Leiner (1995) |
|  | 36.50 |  | 0.330 | -0.476 | Karacaören-I D.L. Turkey | Present Study |
| Mortality rate | Z | M | F | E | Mimbres Str., New Mexico | Leiner (1995) |
|  | 0.42 | - | - | - |  |  |
|  | 0.46 | - | - | - | Red Stream, New Mexico |  |
|  | 0.83 | 0.69 | 0.14 | 0.17 | Karacaören-I D.L. Turkey | Present Study |

[^1]Candiotto et al. (2011) and Shah et al. (2011) (Table III). The mean condition factor estimated as 1.13 is similar to that reported by Shah et al. (2011) but very low compared to 1.83 reported by Sharma and Bhat (2015). It is thought that this differences may be due to sex ratio distributions, feeding status, age distributions, number of samples and sampling period.

While estimated $K$ value (0.33) is similar to (0.39) that reported by Leiner (1995) for Red stream O. mykiss population, it is different from that of Mimbers stream population ( 0.08 ) reported by same author. It is assumed that this difference is because of different number of samples, sampling methods (i.e. gillnet vs electro shockers) and origin of rainbow trout. Davis (2012) reported that wild fish exhibited faster growth than the rainbow trout raised in a hatchery and he developed a non-lethal method to differentiate between hatchery-raised and naturally reproduced rainbow trout based on growth characteristics of scales.

It could not be explicitly determined that whether recruitment of $O$. mykiss in Karacaören I Dam Lake was based on self-sustaining individuals or due to escape from the nearby aquaculture facility every year. Davis (2012) reported that managers should focus on continuing to monitor genetic contribution to natural reproduction by hatchery-reared rainbow trout and also fisheries biologists should focus on continuing to improve non-lethal technique to continue to monitor recruitment.

In the light of relative yield and biomass recruit information, it can be concluded that there are no serious fishing pressures on stock, since the estimated $E_{\max }$ with knife-edge selection (0.618) and ogive selection (0.618) greater than current exploitation rate $(E=0.17)$. The main target of fishermen are common carp (Cyprinus carpio) and Prussian carp (Carassius gibelio) in Dam Lake, so fishermen use gillnet and trammel nets with $100-110 \mathrm{~mm}$ stretched mesh size intended for this species. These nets are very inefficient in $O$. mykiss fishing due to very large size of this species. Estimated fishing mortality $(F=0.14)$ may originate from pikeperch's (Sander lucioperca) nets or tangling with teeth on carps nets. The anglers probably cause least fish mortality.

## CONCLUSION

It shows that $O$. mykiss stock is not use within economic ratability scope due to most of the deaths based on natural. Because of gillnets are inefficient in $O$. mykiss fishing (Cilbiz et al., 2015), angling may be encourage in Karacaören I Dam Lake for better exploiting of the O.mykiss stock.
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