



Prevalence of Endo-parasites in Peafowls (*Pavo cristatus*) under Captivity Stress and Ameliorative Effect of Anti-Helminthic Drugs

Arnab Tanveer, Shabana Naz*, Azhar Rafique and Asma Ashraf

Department of Zoology, Government College University, Faisalabad, Pakistan

ABSTRACT

A study was conducted to find the prevalence of endo-parasites in *Pavo cristatus* and the comparative efficacy of Albendazole and Levamisole against the endo parasites at three different locations viz. Jallo Wildlife Park Lahore, Wildlife Park Murree and Wildlife Park Bahawalnagar. Freshly dropped fecal sample were collected once before treatment with anthelmintic drug and twice after treatment and brought to laboratory for qualitative and quantitative analysis. Direct smear method and fecal floatation technique was used for isolation and identification of endo-parasites. Modified McMaster technique was used to calculate Eggs per gram. The data was subjected to ANOVA and Tukey-HSD (post hoc test). Six species of endo-parasites were identified from Jallo Wildlife Park. *Eimeria* (7500) and *Ascaris* (1100) were the most abundant. Murree Wildlife park had only two species i.e. *Eimeria* (3850) and *Strongyloides* (350). In Bahawalnagar Wildlife Park, 4 species of endo-parasites were found (*Eimeria* = 1450, *Strongyloides* = 550, *Heterakis* = 350 and *Hymenolepis* = 550). Levamisole was more efficient against endo-parasites as compared to Albendazole. Efficacy of Levamisole at Lahore, Murree and Bahawalnagar wildlife parks after 15 days was 98.36%, 92.85% and 84.48% respectively as compared to Albendazole whose efficacy was 94.53%, 78.57% and 53.44%, respectively.

Article Information

Received 02 February 2019

Revised 16 May 2019

Accepted 13 November 2019

Available online 22 February 2021

Authors' Contribution

AT collected the data and wrote the manuscript. SN designed the study. AR and AA analyzed the data.

Key words

Peafowls, Captivity stress, Endo-parasites, Albendazole, Levamisole, Anti-helminthic drug

INTRODUCTION

A large number of wild animals and birds are kept in captivity. Captivity can be a zoo, wildlife park, sanctuary, breeding farm and privately owned farm. The purpose of keeping animals and birds in captivity can be conservation, recreation, economic benefits and research (Varadharajan and Kandasamy, 2000). Captivity produces stress in the birds which make them more susceptible to variety of infections as compared to their counterparts in the wild. Captivity stress could be limited space in the enclosures, overcrowding, unnatural habitat, unfriendly environmental conditions and suboptimal management (Athar *et al.*, 2001; Parsani *et al.*, 2003). Under captivity stress, birds also suffer from a number of behavioral problems, improper body functioning, reduced immunity, parasitism and stress-related health.

One of the major stress factors that reduce the performance of the birds is intestinal parasitism (Badran and Lukesova, 2006). Captive birds become susceptible to endo-parasites because of low space, over-crowded enclosures, poor hygiene, improper use of anti-helminthic drugs and finally poor and late health assessments.

All these factors become the cause of easy transmission of endo-parasites from one bird to another (Pradeep *et al.*, 2017). Consequently, the birds may suffer from subclinical conditions of anorexia, depression, emaciation, enteritis, and anemia (Forrester *et al.*, 1978). The endo-parasites also damage the health of birds by consuming their nutrients leading to decreased feed utilization, intestinal obstruction and production of toxins and ultimately death may occur (Pradeep *et al.*, 2017).

A broad range of drugs have been used against helminthes, however, resistance has been reported in small ruminants and other livestock animals (Saddiqi *et al.*, 2006; Jabbar *et al.*, 2008), probably affected by the intrinsic efficacy of the drug itself, pharmacokinetic properties, and susceptibility of the host animal and specificity of the parasite (Basit *et al.*, 2014). The present study was conducted to determine the occurrence of endo-parasites in Indian peafowl kept in captivity and to find the efficacy of Albendazole and Levamisole against these endo-parasites at three different Wildlife Parks of Punjab.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study site

The present study was conducted at Jallo Wildlife Park, Lahore, Murree Wildlife Park and Bahawalnagar Wildlife Park to determine the rate of infestation by endo-parasites

* Corresponding author: drshabanaz@gcuf.edu.pk
0030-9923/2021/0002-0627 \$ 9.00/0
Copyright 2021 Zoological Society of Pakistan

Table I. Comparative pre-treatment EPG (mean±SE) and prevalence percentage of endo-parasites at Jallo Wildlife Park Lahore, Wildlife Park Murree and Wildlife Park Bahawalnagar.

Identified Endo-parasitic species	Quantitative analysis	Jallo Wildlife Park Lahore	Wildlife Park Murree	Wildlife Park Bahawalnagar	N	F	Level of significance
<i>Eimeria</i>	EPG	250±71.99 ^a	128.3±53.37 ^b	48.33±22.9 ^c	30	3.615	0.031
	EPG	7500	3850	1450			
	Prevalence%	63.33	46.67	20			
<i>Ascaridia</i>	EPG	3.33±3.33	00±00	00±00	30	1.00	0.372
	EPG	100	0	0			
	Prevalence%	3.33	0	0			
<i>Strongyloides</i>	EPG	1.67±1.66	11.67±5.71	18.97±8.38	30	2.180	0.119
	EPG	50	350	550			
	Prevalence%	3.33	16.67	20			
<i>Ascaris</i>	EPG	75±50.95	00±00	00±00	30	2.167	0.121
	EPG	1100	0	0			
	Prevalence%	6.67	0	0			
<i>Heterakis</i>	EPG	1.67±1.66	00±00	11.67±8.194	30	1.708	0.187
	EPG	50	0	350			
	Prevalence%	3.33	0	6.67			
<i>Hymenolepis</i>	EPG	15±11.781	00±00	18.33±8.80	30	1.323	0.272
	EPG	350	0	550			
	Prevalence%	10	0	13.33			
Overall EPG		9150 ^a	4200 ^b	2900 ^c	90	5.666	0.005
Overall prevalence%		70	56.67	46.67			

EPG, Eggs per gram; SE, Standard Error; N, No. of birds; Means having different letters in a row are statistically significant ($P < 0.05$).

at three different temperature ranges in the same season.

Treatment groups

For treatment of the peafowls with anthelmintic drugs, birds were randomly divided into two groups with 15 birds each. One group was given Albendazole (Group A) while other group was given Levamisole (Group L). Data was collected three times from the same site. Three treatment levels were set. Level 1 pre-treatment (Day 1), Level 2 post-treatment 1st (Day 7) and level 3 post-treatment 2nd (Day 15). Each post-treatment group was further divided into sub-groups for the treatment of Albendazole and Levamisole. Deworming was done after first sampling from all sites. Water was removed from peafowl cages one day before the administration of anthelmintic drugs. Drug was added to drinking water as per prescription on the label. It was given for three days. Water was changed daily and same process repeated again.

Collection of fecal samples

Freshly dropped fecal sample were collected in fecal

cups and labeled properly (Keatts *et al.*, 2016). Color, consistency, blood and mucus was noted for each fecal sample. Samples were stored in pack of handling bags with ice packs for safe transport. All samples were analyzed within 24 h of collection (Kathiravan *et al.*, 2017).

Parasitological examination

Direct smear method and fecal floatation technique was used for qualitative analysis of gastrointestinal parasites (Hodgson, 1970; Fowler, 1978; Soulsby, 1982). Endo-Parasites were identified using identification keys by Jaiswal *et al.* (2013). Modified McMaster technique was used for quantitative analysis (Titilincu *et al.*, 2009). Eggs per gram (EPG) was calculated by using formula: $EPG = (\text{Chamber 1} + \text{Chamber 2}) \times 50$ (Titilincu *et al.*, 2009).

Drug efficacy

Drug efficacy was calculated using the following formula (Basit *et al.*, 2014):

$$\text{Drug efficacy} = \frac{\text{Pretreatment EPG} - \text{Posttreatment EPG}}{\text{Pretreatment EPG}} \times 100$$

Table II. Comparative EPG and prevalence percentage of endo-parasitic species in Indian Peafowl at Wildlife Park of Lahore, Murree and Bahawalnagar.

Site	Species		Control	Albandazole		Levamisole		
				Day 7	Day 15	Day 7	Day 15	
Jallo Wildlife Park, Lahore	<i>Eimeria</i>	EPG	7500	500	250	250	150	
		Prevalence%	63.33	53.33	20	33.33	20	
	<i>Ascaridia</i>	EPG	100	0	0	0	0	
		Prevalence%	3.33	0	0	0	0	
	<i>Strongyloides</i>	EPG	50	0	0	0	0	
		Prevalence%	3.33	0	0	0	0	
	<i>Ascaris</i>	EPG	1100	0	0	0	0	
		Prevalence%	6.67	0	0	0	0	
	<i>Heterakis</i>	EPG	50	0	0	0	0	
		Prevalence%	3.33	0	0	0	0	
	<i>Hymenolepsis</i>	EPG	350	0	0	0	0	
		Prevalence%	10	0	0	0	0	
	Wildlife Park Murree	<i>Eimeria</i>	EPG	3850	900	350	600	300
			Prevalence%	46.67	33.33	33.33	33.33	26.66
<i>Ascaridia</i>		EPG	0	0	0	0	0	
		Prevalence%	0	0	0	0	0	
<i>Strongyloides</i>		EPG	350	0	0	100	0	
		Prevalence%	16.67	0	0	33.33	0	
<i>Ascaris</i>		EPG	0	0	0	0	0	
		Prevalence%	0	0	0	0	0	
<i>Heterakis</i>		EPG	0	0	0	0	0	
		Prevalence%	0	0	0	0	0	
<i>Hymenolepsis</i>		EPG	0	0	0	0	0	
		Prevalence%	0	0	0	0	0	
Wildlife Park Bahawalnagar		<i>Eimeria</i>	EPG	1450	950	300	850	450
			Prevalence%	20	20	33.33	20	20
	<i>Ascaridia</i>	EPG	0	0	0	0	0	
		Prevalence%	0	0	0	0	0	
	<i>Strongyloides</i>	EPG	550	400	200	350	0	
		Prevalence%	20	13.33	20	20	0	
	<i>Ascaris</i>	EPG	0	0	0	0	0	
		Prevalence%	0	0	0	0	0	
	<i>Heterakis</i>	EPG	350	0	0	0	0	
		Prevalence%	6.67	0	0	0	0	
	<i>Hymenolepsis</i>	EPG	550	0	0	0	0	
		Prevalence%	13.33	0	0	0	0	

Prevalence percentage

Prevalence percentage was calculated by using formula:

$$\text{Prevalence\%} = \frac{\text{No. of infected birds}}{\text{No. of examined birds}} \times 100$$

Data analysis

Results were analyzed using SPSS software. Normality and homogeneity of variances were checked for all variables. The data was subjected to one-way ANOVA. Tukey-HSD test was performed as a post hoc test for

pairwise comparisons of means. Significance level was set at $P < 0.05$. Standard Deviation (SD) in post treatment analysis (Table IV) was greater than mean because data was widely distributed about mean. The SD is a description of data's spread. Large SD indicates the data are more spread out while smaller SD shows that data clustered around the mean value. After treatment number of eggs per sample varied from zero (no eggs) to few hundreds (e.g. 200, 500 etc.). Since data had very vast spread about, it had larger SD than mean (Zar, 1999; Isotalo, 2001).

RESULTS

The results of parasitic egg count of different gastrointestinal parasites in Jallo Wildlife Park Lahore, Wildlife Park Murree and Wildlife Park Bahawalnagar are given in Table I. *Eimeria* (Fig. 1A) was significantly high ($P < 0.05$) in Jallo Wildlife Park compared to Wildlife Park Murree and Wildlife Park Bahawalnagar. Similarly, overall egg count was also significantly high at Jallo Wildlife Park Lahore as compared to the other two parks. There was no significant difference in *Ascaridia* (Fig. 1B), *Strongyloides* (Fig. 1C), *Ascaris* (Fig. 1D), *Heterakis* (Fig. 1E) and *Hymenolepis* (Fig. 1F) in the three locations.




Fig. 1. Morphological identification of the ova of different species. *Eimeria* (A); *Ascaridia* (B); *Strongyloides* (C); *Ascaris* (D); *Heterakis* (E); *Hymenolepis* (F).

As shown in Table II and III, Levamisole was more effective than Albendazole at all three sites. Efficacy of Levamisole at Lahore, Murree and Bahawalnagar after 15 days was 98.36%, 92.85% and 84.48%, respectively as compared to Albendazole whose efficacy was 94.53%, 78.57% and 53.44%, respectively.

In post-treatment fecal analysis, only two species were found. That is why all null results were excluded from statistical analysis (Table IV). *Eimeria* and *Strongyloides* EPG varied significantly in post treatment levels ($F=3.749$, $P=0.054$; $F=2.323$, $P=0.017$) and at each site ($F=3.141$, $P=0.046$; $F=5.086$ $P=0.07$).

Table III. Drug efficacy of Albendazole and Levamisole at Wildlife Park of Lahore, Murree and Bahawalnagar.

Anthelmintic drugs	Post treatment(7)		Post treatment(15)	
	EPG	Efficacy %	EPG	Efficacy %
Lahore				
Albendazole	500	94.53	250	97.26
Levamisole	250	97.26	150	98.36
Murree				
Albendazole	900	78.57	350	91.66
Levamisole	700	83.33	300	92.85
Bahawalnagar				
Albendazole	1350	53.44	600	79.31
Levamisole	1200	58.62	450	84.48

EPG, Eggs per gram.

DISCUSSION

Qualitative and quantitative analysis of end parasites

It was observed that there is a strong correlation between the prevalence of end parasites and temperature of the areas of the study. A total of 30 samples were analyzed before treatment from each site. Overall prevalence of endo-parasites in Jallo Wildlife Park, Wildlife Park Murree and Bahawalnagar was 70%, 56.6% and 46.6%, respectively. Zamora-Vilchis *et al.* (2012) conducted a study on the prevalence of end parasites in lowland and upland areas and found that the parasites were more prevalent in the areas with higher temperature as compared to upland areas. Prevalence of End parasites in peafowls kept at Bhawalnager wildlife Park is less than that of Lahore which can be explained as the moist conditions favor the outbreak of end parasites (Card and Nesheim, 1972; Matter and Oester, 1989). These results are in accordance with results (56.32%) of Basit *et al.* (2014). Results of current study also related to Lierz *et al.* (2002). He studied endo-parasites in 84 birds of prey and owls in Germany with overall prevalence of 58.3%. Similar trends can be seen in studies of Patel *et al.* (2000) who reported 48% overall prevalence, 68% was reported by Wojcik *et al.* (1999) and Varghese (1987) concluded 67.3%. Pal and Ahmed (1985) reported 69% overall prevalence whereas Kurt and Acici (2008) found prevalence percentage of 88%. Phiri *et al.* (2007) found that 95% of the study birds were infected. Eshetu *et al.* (2001) reported prevalence of 91.01% while Poulsen *et al.* (2000) reported 100% prevalence of endo-parasites.

Table IV. Comparative analysis of post-treatment EPG mean±SE of *Eimeria* and *Strongyloides* at Wildlife Park of Lahore, Murree and Bahawalnagar (n=45).

	<i>Eimeria</i>				<i>Strongyloides</i>			
	PostA1	PostL1	PostA2	PostL2	PostA1	PostL1	PostA2	PostL2
L	33.3±1.1 ^{a-a}	10± 0.7 ^{b-a}	16.6±3.1 ^{c-a}	10± 0.7 ^{b-a}	00±00	00±00	00±00	00±00
M	60± 1.29 ^{a-b}	40±1.6 ^{b-b}	23.3±1.6 ^{c-b}	20±1.4 ^{d-b}	00±00 ^{a-a}	6.6±1.5 ^{b-a}	00±00 ^{a-a}	00±00 ^{a-a}
B	63.3±1.87 ^{a-c}	56.6±1.7 ^{b-c}	20±1.6 ^{c-c}	30±1.9 ^{d-c}	26.6±0.3 ^{a-b}	23.3±1.5 ^{b-b}	13.3±2.6 ^{c-b}	00±00 ^{d-b}
Total	52.2±1.8 ^{a-c}	35.5±1.5 ^{b-d}	20±3.9 ^{c-c}	20±4.7 ^{c-d}	8.8±2.5 ^{a-c}	10±1.2 ^{b-c}	4.4±1.9 ^{c-c}	00±00 ^{d-c}

N, Total no. of samples examined; Means having different letters in a row or in a column are statistically significant (P<0.05). PostA1, Post-treatment First, subgroup Albendazole; PostL1, Post-treatment First, subgroup Levamisole; PostA2, Post-treatment Second, subgroup Albendazole; PostL2, Post-treatment Second, subgroup Levamisole; L, Lahore; M, Murree; B, Bahawalnagar.

In the present study prevalence of *Heterakis* in two sites was very low compared to other studies. *Heterakis* prevalence was 3.33% in Jallo, and 6.67% in Bahawalnagar while in fecal samples from Murree Wildlife Park no *Heterakis* was found. On the contrary in a study by Basit *et al.* (2014), relative prevalence of *Heterakis* was 36.73% and 29% was reported by Kurt and Acici (2008) in a study on chicken. Prevalence of *Ascaridia* in current study was 3.33% and it was found only in Jallo Wildlife Park. Contradicting results are seen in study by Basit *et al.* (2014) who found 26.53% prevalence of *Ascaridia* and 28.8% was reported by Phiri *et al.* (2007).

Relative prevalence of endo-parasites in Indian Peafowl at Jallo Wildlife Park was maximum i.e. 70% followed by 56.67% in Murree and 46.67% in Bahawalnagar Wildlife Park (Table I). Number of infected birds were more at Jallo Wildlife Park (21) and Wildlife Park of Murree (21) as compared to Bahawalnagar (14). It is established fact that better management conditions lessen the chances of parasitic infections. This claim is also supported by Sascnyanga (1982) where he reported the infestation by *Ascaridia galli* was more (49%) in birds kept under ordinary conditions and only 8% *A. galli* were found in birds under good conditions. He further added that changes in prevalence of endo-parasites at various localities might be due to changed climatic conditions and management practices. Higher prevalence rate like 88%, 95.2% 91.01% and even 100% is reported by Kurt and Acici (2008), Phiri *et al.* (2007), Eshetu *et al.* (2001) and Poulsen *et al.* (2000) respectively.

Drug efficacy

In present study it was found that Levamisole was more effective than Albendazole at all the three sites. Efficacy of Levamisole at Jallo Wildlife Park Lahore, Murree Wildlife Park and Bahawalnagar Wildlife Park after 15 days was 98.36%, 92.85% and 84.48% respectively compared to Albendazole with efficacy of 94.53%, 78.57% and 53.44%

in respective Wildlife Parks and are in accordance with Basit *et al.* (2014) who found the efficacy of Albendazole being 94.92% at 10th day. Ashraf *et al.* (2002) observed 95.79% efficacy of Albendazole against endo-parasitic nematodes. Similar trend was observed by Villanua *et al.* (2007). In a study on Red Legged Partridges, efficacy of Albendazole against endo-parasites was only 38.8%. Khan *et al.* (2010) concluded that Albendazole was more effective (96.33%) than Levamisole (84.90%). However, a study by Sharma *et al.* (1989) and Clarkson and Beg (1970) reported results similar to current study. They stated that Levamisole was 100% effective against endo-parasites as it inhibits activity of malate dehydrogenase.

In present study Albendazole and Levamisole were 100% efficient against all endo-parasites except *Eimeria*. Jiang and Li (1985) found 100% efficacy of Albendazole against *A. galli*. Albendazole was 100% effective against *Strongyloides* in both sites except in Wildlife Park Bahawalnagar where after 15 days prevalence of *Strongyloides* was 20% with EPG of 200. This anomaly in efficacy may be attributed to genetic resistant of nematodes against repetitive use of Albendazole. Schou (2003) also observed a similar trend. He reported that nematodes in cattle showed resistance to use of Albendazole as dewormer especially *A. galli*.

CONCLUSION

Levamisole has better effect against gastrointestinal parasites as compared to Albendazole. Albendazole is a commonly used dewormer and endo-parasitic species are developing resistance against it. So it is recommending that with proper hygiene measures, good management practices and use of different dewormers, especially with Levamisole, we may be able to reduce the infestation of animals and birds in captivity by endo-parasites and reduce economic loss.

ACKNOWLEDEMENT

We are thankful to Higher Education Commission (HEC) of Pakistan for providing financial support to this research work under the Project No. 5656/Punjab/NRPU/R&D/HEC/2016. Thanks are also extended to Mr. Kahlid Ayas, Dr. Zahid Farooq, Mr. Zahid Ali and Mr. Muhammad Abrar, Punjab Wildlife Department for granting permission and technical assistance to conduct this research. We are also grateful to Dr. Rifat Ullah Khan, Department of Animal Health, The University of Agriculture Peshawar, for editing this paper.

Statement of conflict of interest

Authors have declared no conflict of interest

REFERENCES

- Ashraf, M., Waraich, F.N., Ahmad, I.G. and Pervez, K., 2002. Chemotherapy of gastro-intestinal nematodes in common peafowl (*Pavo cristatus*). *Pak. Vet. J.*, **22**: 91-93.
- Athar, M., Shakoor, A., Muhammad, G., Asi, N.M. and Saqib, M., 2001. Surgical rectification of thread-associated Glossoptosis in peafowls. *Pak. Vet. J.*, **21**: 92-94.
- Badran, I. and Lukesova, D., 2006. Control of coccidiosis and different coccidia of chicken in selected technologies used in tropics and subtropics. *Agric. trop. Subtrop.*, **1**: 39-44.
- Basit, A., Ali, A.A., Malik, M.S., Malik, A.N., Iftikhar, M., Haq, H. and Nadeem, S.M., 2014. A study of gastrointestinal helminths in native peafowl and comparative efficacy of Albendazole and a *Pyrantel pamoate* against the helminth parasites. *J. Infect. mol. Biol.*, **2**: 22-25. <https://doi.org/10.14737/jimb.2307-5465/2.2.22.25>
- Card, L.E. and Nesheim, M.C., 1972. Diseases and parasites. In: *Poultry production*. 11th ed. Lea and Febiger, Philadelphia, PA. Chapter 10. Pages 244–273.
- Clarkson, M.J. and Beg, M.K., 1970. The anthelmintic activity of L- tetramisole against *Ascaridia galli* and *Capillaria obsignata* in the fowl. *Vet. Rec.*, **86**: 652-654. <https://doi.org/10.1136/vr.86.22.652>
- Eshetu, Y., Mulualem, E., Ibrahim, H., Berhanu, A. and Abera, K., 2001. Study of gastrointestinal helminthes of scavenging chickens in four rural districts of Amhara region, Ethiopia. *Rev. Sci. Tech.*, **20**: 791 - 796. <https://doi.org/10.20506/rst.20.3.1310>
- Forrester, D.J., Carpenter, J.W. and Blankinship, J.R., 1978. Coccidia of whooping cranes. *J. Wildl. Dis.*, **14**: 24-27. <https://doi.org/10.7589/0090-3558-14.1.24>
- Fowler, M.E., 1978. *Zoo and wild animal medicine*. WB Saunders Company, Philadelphia, Pa.
- Hodgson, J., 1970. Coccidiosis: Oocyst counting technique for coccidiostat evaluation. *Exp. Parasitol.*, **28**: 99-102. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-4894\(70\)90073-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-4894(70)90073-1)
- Isotalo, J., 2001. *Basics of statistics*. University of Tampere Finland. pp. 36-39.
- Jabbar, A., Iqbal, Z., Saddiqi, H.A., Babar, W. and Saeed, M., 2008. Prevalence of multiple anthelmintic resistant gastrointestinal nematodes in dairy goats in a desolated tract (Pakistan). *Parasitol. Res.*, **103**: 29-35. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-008-0923-6>
- Jaiswal, A.K., Sudan, V., Shanker, D. and Kumar, P., 2013. Endoparasitic infections in Indian peacocks (*Pavo cristatus*) of Veterinary College Campus, Mathura. *J. Parasit. Dis.*, **37**: 26-28. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12639-012-0124-1>
- Jiang, Y.P. and Li, Z.D., 1985. Anthelmintic efficacy of Albendazole against *Ascaridia galli*. *China. J. Vet. Med.*, **10**: 40-41.
- Kathiravan, R., Ramachandran, P., Shanmuganathan, S., Karthikeyan, A., Sathiyamoorthy, N., Gollapalli, S., Silambarasan, R., Bhinsara, D. and Madesh, E., 2017. Prevalence of endoparasitic infection in free ranging peacocks of Southern Tamil Nadu, India. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. appl. Sci.*, **6**: 366-371. <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2017.610.045>
- Keatts, L., Fine, D.A. and Consortium, P.O.H., 2016. PREDICT operating procedures: Avian sampling. *Methods*, **23**: 4-6.
- Khan, M.A., Khan, M.S., Shafee, M. and Khan, J.A., 2010. Prevalence and chemotherapy of helminthiasis in parrots at Lahore Zoo, Pakistan. *J. Anim. Pl. Sci.*, **20**: 189-192.
- Kurt, M. and Acici, M., 2008. Cross-sectional survey on helminth infections of chickens in the Samsun region, Turkey. *Dtsch. Tierarztl. Wochenschr.*, **115**: 239-242.
- Lierz, M., Gobel, T. and Schuster, R., 2002. Occurrence of parasites in indigenous birds of prey and owls. *Berl. Munch. Tierarztl. Wochenschr.*, **115**: 43-52.
- Matter, F. and Oester, H., 1989. Hygiene and welfare implications of alternative husbandry systems for laying hens. In: *Proceedings from the 3rd European symposium on poultry welfare* (eds. J.M. Faure and D. Mills). Tours, France, pp. 201–212.
- Pal, R.A. and Ahmed, K.N., 1985. A survey of intestinal helminthes of poultry in some districts of Punjab

- and NWFP. *Pakistan J. Zool.*, **17**: 193-200.
- Parsani, H.R., Monim, R.R., Sahu, R.K. and Patel, B.G., 2003. Prevalence of gastro-intestinal parasites in captive birds at Kamla Nehru Zoological Garden, Kankaria Zoo, Ahmedabad, Gujarat. *Zoos' Print J.*, **18**: 987-992. <https://doi.org/10.11609/JoTT.ZPJ.18.1.987-92>
- Patel, P.V., Patel, A.I., Sahu, R.K. and Raju, V., 2000. Prevalence of gastro-intestinal parasites in captive birds of Gujarat Zoos. *Zoos' Print J.*, **15**: 295-296. <https://doi.org/10.11609/JoTT.ZPJ.15.7.295-6>
- Phiri, I.K., Phiri, A.M., Ziela, M., Chota, A., Masuku, M. and Monrad, J., 2007. Prevalence and distribution of gastrointestinal helminths and their effects on weight gain in free-range chickens in Central Zambia. *Trop. Anim. Hlth. Prod.*, **39**: 309-315. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-007-9021-5>
- Poulsen, J., Permin, A., Hindsbo, O., Yelifari, L., Nansen, P. and Bloch, P., 2000. Prevalence and distribution of gastro-intestinal helminthes and haemoparasites in young scavenging chickens in upper eastern region of Ghana, West Africa. *Prev. Vet. Med.*, **45**: 237-245. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-5877\(00\)00125-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-5877(00)00125-2)
- Pradeep, R., Senthilkumar, A., Sangaran, A., Prathaban, S. and Pradeep, A., 2017. Incidence of endoparasites in captive pheasants in Arignar Anna Zoological Park, Vandalur, Chennai. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. appl. Sci.*, **6**: 2517-2521. <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijemas.2017.609.309>
- Saddiqi, H.A., Jabbar, A., Iqbal, Z., Babar, W., Sindhu, Z.U.D. and Abbas, R.Z., 2006. Comparative efficacy of five anthelmintics against trichostrongylid nematodes in sheep. *Can. J. Anim. Sci.*, **86**: 471-477. <https://doi.org/10.4141/A06-036>
- Sascnyanga, G.S., 1982. Prevalence of helminth parasites of domestic fowl in Uganda. *Trop. Ani. Hlth. Prod.*, **14**: 201-204. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02242158>
- Schou, T., Permin, A., Roepstorff, A., Srensen, P. and Kjaer, J., 2003. Comparative genetic resistance to *Ascaridia galli* infections of 4 different commercial layer-lines. *Br. Poult. Sci.*, **44**: 182-185. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00071660301952>
- Sharma, R.K., Singh, K. and Saxena, K.K., 1989. The effect of levamisole and albendazole on some enzymes of *Ascaridia galli* and *Heterakis gallinae*. *Vet. Parasitol.*, **30**: 213-222. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4017\(89\)90017-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4017(89)90017-4)
- Soulsby, E.J.L., 1982. *Helminths, arthropods and protozoa of domestic animals*. 7th edn. Baillere Tindall, London. pp. 765-766.
- Titilincu, A., Mircean, V., Bejan, A., Iovu, A., Ungureanu, R. and Cozma, V., 2009. Prevalence of endoparasites in peacocks (*Pavo cristatus*). *Sci. Parasitol.*, **10**: 101-105.
- Varadharajan, A. and Kandasamy, A., 2000. A survey of gastro-intestinal parasites of wild animals in captivity in the VOC Park and Mini Zoo, Coimbatore. *Zoos' Print J.*, **15**: 257-258. <https://doi.org/10.11609/JoTT.ZPJ.15.5.257-8>
- Varghese, T., 1987. Endoparasites of birds of paradise in Papua New Guinea. *Vet. Parasitol.*, **26**: 131-144. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4017\(87\)90083-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4017(87)90083-5)
- Villanua, D., Perez, R.L., Rodrique, O., Vinuela, J. and Gortazar, C., 2007. How effective is pre-release nematode control in farm-reared red-legged partridges, *Alectoris rufa*. *J. Helminthol.*, **81**: 101-103. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X07251211>
- Wojcik, A.R., Wasielewski, L., Grygon-Franckiewicz, B. and Zbikowska, E., 1999. Economic losses in pheasant breeding evoked with endoparasites. *Wiad Parazytol.*, **45**: 363-368.
- Zamora-Vilchis, I., Williams, S.E. and Johnson, C.N., 2012. Environmental temperature affects prevalence of blood parasites of birds on an elevation gradient: Implications for disease in a warming climate. *PLoS ONE*, **7**: e39208. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0039208>
- Zar, J.H., 1999. *Biostatistical analysis*. Pearson Education, India. pp. 73-77.