Repellent and Growth Inhibitory Impact of Plant Extracts and Synthetic Pyrethroids on Three Strains of *Callosobruchus chinensis* L.

Sidra-Tul-Muntaha¹, Muhammad Sagheer^{1*}, Mansoor-ul-Hasan¹ and Shahbaz Talib Sahi²

¹Department of Entomology, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan ²Department of Plant Pathology, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan

ABSTRACT

The present research work was conducted to evaluate the repellent and growth inhibitory efficiency of five plant extracts (*Azadirachta indica, Melia azadirach, Pegnum hermala, baryosma* and *Zingiber officinale*) and three synthetic pyrethroids (bifenthrin, cypermethrin and deltamethrin) on three geographical populations of *Callosobruchus chinensis* collected during 2013 from Faisalabad, Multan and Nankana districts of Punjab, Pakistan. Three concentrations of each plant extract (5, 10, 15 and 20%) and synthetic pyrethroids (0.01, 0.02 and 0.03%) were evaluated in this study. We observed significant results with each treatment. For both repellent and growth inhibitory effects, *A. indica* and deltamethrin were most efficient among plant extracts and pyrethroids respectively. At highest dose rates, more than 90% repellency was recorded with both *A. indica* and deltamethrin. Upto 80% progeny inhibition was caused by the extract of *A. indica*. While more than 50% population of *C. chinensis* was inhibited with deltamethrin. More pronounced results were obtained at high concentrations. Plants were effective in order of *Azadirachta indica* > *Melia azadirach* > *Pegnum hermala* > *Salsola baryosma* > *zingiber officinale;* whereas effectiveness of pyrethroids was in order of pulses by integrating the reduced risk pesticides with plant extracts.

INTRODUCTION

Pulse beetle, *Callosobruchus chinensis* L. (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) also known as dhora beetle is the most destructive cosmopolitan pest of stored gram and cause both qualitative and quantitative losses in legumes (Ahmed and Din, 2009; Righi-Assia *et al.*, 2010; Upadhyay *et al.*, 2011). It is a pest of stored pulses in Asia and Africa (Tapondjou *et al.*, 2002; Kiradoo and Srivastava, 2010). *Callosobruchus* spp. cause 12-13% loss by feeding the protein contents of grains (FAO, 1994). *C. chinensis* causes up to 10% damage to stored chick pea (Aslam *et al.*, 2002), and up to 90% loss to stored gram (Qayyum and Zafar, 1978).

Synthetic pyrethroids are new class of insecticides (Kumar, 2012) which are being used since 1970s. These are neurotoxic insecticides and effect neuro-endocrine functions. Due to pyrethroids, axon of a neuron get excited and insects become inactive. They also affect sodium channel (Beeman, 1982) due to which normal neuronal signaling is interrupted (Mujeeb and Shakoori, 2012).





Article Information Received 28 December 2015 Revised 05 June 2016 Accepted 08 July 2016 Available online 14 February 2017

Authors' Contributions MS designed the study and analyzed the data. STM executed experimental work and wrote the article. MS, MH and STS supervised the work.

Key words

Beetles, botanicals, conventional insecticides, cereals, deterrence, exposure time, growth inhibition, stored products.

They have great knockdown, antifeedant, rep

ellent and residual effect (Hirano, 1989). They are photostable and easily degradable, even at the low dose rate (Barlow *et al.*, 1971; Hadaway, 1972). Numerous insect pests are controlled more efficiently by the use of synthetic pyrethroids than carbamate and organophosphate (Srivastava, 1996). Pyrethroids are rapidly metabolized in mammalian bodies, and thus their toxicity is very restricted (Soderlund *et al.*, 2002). Pyrethroids are used against household, agricultural, stored grain and animal insect pests (Hutson *et al.*, 1981).

Plant materials are used against stored product pests from the ancient time (Aslam *et al.*, 2002). Plant extract and oils are being used in different parts of the world (Burroughs *et al.*, 1988; Koul *et al.*, 2008; Ali *et al.*, 2012). Plant based natural pesticides are used as an alternative insect control measure to protect atmosphere from hazardous residual insecticides (Khan *et al.*, 2013). Many compounds are present in these plant extracts that have effect on insect growth, development and behavior, acting as attractants, antifeedants, repellents, toxins, fumigants and insect growth inhibitors (Singh and Jain, 1987; Champagne *et al.*, 1992; Carlini and Grossi-de-Sa, 2002; Cox, 2004; Kubo, 2006). So the plant extracts have broad spectrum properties and safer to use for sustainable

^{*} Corresponding author: sagheersharif@yahoo.com 0030-9923/2017/0002-0581 \$ 9.00/0 Copyright 2017 Zoological Society of Pakistan

pest management with pesticide free environment (Kiradoo and Srivastava, 2010). Several plants have been proved effective to control stored grain insects (Ratnasekera and Rajapakse, 2009).

Keeping this in mind the present study was designed to explore the efficiency of plant extracts and pyrethroids for the evaluation of repellent and growth inhibitory effect against pulse beetles. So that in future, plant extracts can be used in IPM of stored grain pests.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Rearing of homogenous insect culture

Heterogeneous samples of adults of *C. chinensis* were collected from infested pulses stored in grain market and farmer storages of three District Nankana Sahib, Faisalabad and Multan. The insect culture was reared in plastic jars (1.5 kg capacity) using lentils as food medium. The jars were covered with muslin cloth, tightened with rubber bands to avoid the escape of insects and were placed in cooled incubator at optimum growth conditions $30\pm 2^{\circ}C$ and 65 ± 5 % R.H. After 3 days, adults were sieved out from the lentils, the grains having egg laying were again put into the jars and were placed again incubator for one month to get homogenous culture of *C. chinensis*. One week old beetles of *C. chinensis* from this culture were used for all bioassay studies.

Plant materials and their extracts

Fresh leaves of dhrek, *Melia azadirach*, seeds of hermal, *Pegnum harmala*, stem of khar booti, *Salsola barysoma*, leaves of neem, *Azadirachta indica* and rhizome of ginger, *Zingiber officinale* were collected from different locations from district Faisalabad and Nankanasahib.

After drying in shade the plant parts were ground using an electrical grinder into fine powder. The powders were used to make extracts in acetone as solvent. In 250 ml flask, 50 g of plant powder was soaked in 150 ml of acetone. The flasks were placed on rotary shaker for 48 h at 220 rpm. The prepared extract was filtered and used to make different concentrations. After that, the mixture was filtered and filtrates were placed in rotary evaporator to evaporate the acetone from filtrate. The final extract was considered as stock solution to prepare further dilutions for bioassay studies. Different concentrations *viz.*, 5, 10, 15 and 20% were prepared from the stock solution. Like different concentrations of pyrethroids 0.01, 0.02 and 0.03% were also prepared in acetone.

Repellent effect of plant extracts and synthetic pyrethroids

The repellence activity was determined using area preference method (Rehman and Khan, 2014). After cutting

the filter papers into two equal halves one half of each paper was treated with each concentration of plant extracts and synthetic pyrethroids. After evaporation of excess solvent two halves were joined together and placed in petri dishes. 50 adults of *C. chinensis* were released in the center of treated filter papers. Repellency data was recorded after 24, 48 and 72 h.

Percent repellency was calculated following the formula used by Rehman and Khan, 2014.

Percent Repellency =
$$\frac{Nc - Nt}{Nc + Nt} \times 100$$

Where, N_c is the No. of insects in control half; and N_t is the No. of insects in treated half.

Progeny inhibition studies

Twenty five pairs of insects were released in the jars containing 50 g of treated grains of each concentration. Acetone was used as a control treatment. After 7 days the released insects were removed from the jars and data regarding population inhibition was recorded after 30 and 60 days (Rehman and Khan, 2014). Percent inhibition rate was calculated using following formula:

Percent Inhibition Rate
$$= \frac{Cn - Tn}{Cn} \times 100$$

Where, Cn is the No. of progeny in control jars; and Tn is the No. of progeny in treated jars.

Data analysis

Data were subjected to Analysis of Variance for mean repellence and progeny inhibition caused by both plant extracts and pyrethroids will be computed using Statistica-6 following Completely Randomized Design. Means of significant treatments were compared using Tukey's HSD test at 0.05 probability level, to check the significant difference among treatments.

RESULTS

Repellent activity of plant extracts and pyrethroids

In the case of *C. chinensis* Faisalabad strain (hereafter used FSD), highest repellency 93% was recorded with the extract of *A. indica* followed by *M. azadirach* (90%). The repellent effect of *P. hermala* and *Z. officinale* were at par, they caused 82% repellency of the test insect. *S. baryosma* was least effective of all plant extracts causing 81% repellency (Table I).

In case of *C. chinensis* Multan strain (MLN), highest repellency 90% was recorded with *A. indica* followed by *M. azadirach* (89%) and *Z. officinale* (86%). *P. hermala* and *S. baryosma* caused 76% and 77% repellency respectively. *A.*

Exposure Times			Faisal	Faisalabad (FSD)	D) strain			Mult	Multan (MLN) strain	rain			Nankan	Nankana Sahib (NNS) strain	S) strain	
TIMES	Concen-	A.	M.	P.	S.	Z.	A.	M.	P.	S.	Z.	A.	M.	P.	S.	Z.
	trations	Indica	azadıracı	nermata	Daryosma	officinate	indica	azadırach	hermala	paryosma	officinate	Indica	azadıracı	nermala	paryosma	officinate
		±00.09	53.33±	49.33±	46.67±	50.67±	58.67±	57.33±	47.33±	45.33±	53.33±	54.67±	53.33±	45.33±1	46.67±	49.33±
74	0%0	1.33a	1.33a	1.33a	1.33a	1.33a	1.33a	1.33a	1.33a	1.33a	1.33a	1.33a	1.33a	.33a	1.33a	1.33a
PC PC	1001	70.67±	65.33±	58.67±	53.33±	57.33±	69.33±	€66.67±	51.33±	54.67±	64.00±	65.33±	65.33±	56.00±	57.33±	61.33±
74		1.33b	1.33b	1.33b	1.33b	1.33b	1.33b	1.33b	1.33b	1.33b	2.31bc	1.33b	1.33b	1.33b	1.33b	1.33b
10		77.33±	70.67±	62.67±	61.33±	61.33±	74.67±	±10.67±	61.33±	58.67±	69.33±	73.33±	€6.67±	58.67±	61.33±	66.67±
47	0%.01	1.33bcd	3.53bcd	1.33bc	1.33c	1.33bc	1.33bc	1.33bc	1.33bc	1.33bc	1.33cd	1.33c	1.33bc	1.33bc	1.33bc	1.33bc
10	3 200	85.33±	77.33±	€66.67±	65.33±	68.00±	82.67±	\$0.00±	66.67±	68.00±	77.33±	76.00±	73.33±	62.67±	65.33±	72.00±
47		1.33def	1.33cde	1.33cd	1.33cd	1.33de	1.33e	1.33de	1.33cd	1.33def	1.33ef	1.33cd	1.33de	1.33cd	1.33de	1.33def
40		74.67±	65.33±1	58.67±	61.33±	65.33±	70.67±	65.33±	57.33±	58.67±	61.33±	77.33±	68.00±	57.33±	56.00±	64.00±
40	0%.0	1.33bc	.33b	1.33b	1.33c	1.33cd	1.33bc	1.33b	1.33b	1.33bc	1.33b	1.33cde	1.33bcd	1.33bc	1.33b	2.31bc
40		77.33±	69.33±1	62.67±	64.00±	66.67±	76.00±	70.67±	62.67±	62.67±	68.00±	82.67±	72.00±	60.00±	58.67±	70.67±
64	10%0	3.53bcd	.33bc	1.33bc	1.33cd	1.33cd	1.33cd	1.33bc	1.33bc	1.33cd	2.31bcd	1.33efg	2.31cde	1.33bcde	1.33bc	1.33cde
10		82.67±	74.67±1	68.00±	69.33±	70.67±	81.33±	74.67±	66.67±	66.67±	73.33±	86.67±	77.33±	64.00±	64.00±	74.67±
48	0%01	1.33cde	.33cde	1.33cde	1.33de	1.33def	1.33de	1.33cd	1.33cd	2.66def	1.33de	1.33gh	1.33ef	1.33def	1.33cd	1.33ef
		86.67±	81.33±1	73.33±	73.33±	73.33±	85.33±	84.00±	69.33±	70.67±	84.00±	90.67±	81.33±	69.33±	66.67±	78.67±
48	0%.07	3.53efg	.33ef	1.33ef	1.33ef	1.33efg	1.33ef	1.33ef	1.33d	1.33efg	1.33fg	1.33hi	1.33fg	1.33fg	1.33de	1.33fg
5		±18.67±	78.86±1	€0.00±	68.00±	66.67±	74.67±	74.67±	61.33±	64.00±	73.33±	80.00±	\$0.00±	65.33±	65.33±	77.33±
71	04.0	1.33bcd	.33def	1.33b	1.33de	1.33cd	1.33bc	1.33cd	1.33bc	1.33cde	1.33de	1.33def	1.33fg	1.33ef	1.33de	1.33efg
5	1007	84.00±	82.67±1	69.33±	73.33±	74.67±	84.00±	\$0.00±	65.33±	±10.09	78.67±	85.33±	85.33±	69.33±	70.67±	82.67±
71		1.33def	.33efg	1.33def	1.33ef	1.33fg	1.33e	1.33de	1.33cd	1.33def	1.33ef	1.33fgh	1.33gh	1.33fg	1.33ef	1.33gh
CL	1500	89.33±	86.67±1	74.67±	76.00±	78.67±	86.67±	84.00±	70.67±	72.00±	82.67±	90.67±	88.00±	73.33±	74.67±	86.67±
		1.33fg	.33fg	1.33f	1.33fg	1.33gh	1.33ef	1.33ef	1.33de	1.33fg	1.33fg	1.33hi	1.33hi	1.33g	1.33fg	1.33hi
22	JUCK	93.33±	90.67±1	82.67±	81.33±	82.67±	90.67±	89.33±	76.00±	77.33±	86.67±	94.67±	92.00±	\$0.00±	78.67±	90.67±
1		1.33g	.33g	1.33g	1.33g	1.33h	1.33f	1.33f	1.33e	1.33g	1.33g	1.33i	1.33i	1.33h	1.33g	1.33i
Table II	Repellency	y (%) caus	ed by three	e concentra	ations of thr	ee syntheti	c pyrethre	Repellency (%) caused by three concentrations of three synthetic pyrethroid insecticides in three geographical populations (strains) of Callosobruchus chinensis at three	les in three	geographic	cal populati	ions (strain	ns) of Callos	obruchus ci	hinensis :	t three
	exposure times.	times.														
Exposure Times		Concentrations	Bifenthrin		Cypermethrin	Deltamethrin		Bifenthrin	Cypern	Cypermethrin I	Deltamethrin		Bifenthrin	Cypermethrin		Deltamethrin
	0	0.01%	54.67±1.33a		54.67±1.33a	58.67±1.33a		54.67±1.33a	58.67±1.33a		58.67±1.33a		50.00±1.33a	61.33±1.33a	Ū	64.00±1.33a
24	0	0.02%	62.67±1.33b		65.33±1.33b	69.33±1.33b	Ĩ	50.00±1.33ab	Ĩ		66.67±1.33b		61.33±2.67a	69.33±1.33b		74.67±1.33b
	0	0.03%	64.00±1.33b		76.00±1.33c	78.67±1.33d	Ĩ	58.00±1.33cd	Ì		78.67±1.33cd	P	72.00±1.33bc	81.33±1.33cd		82.67±1.33cd
	0	0.01%	73.33±1.33c		65.33±1.33b	72.00±1.33bc		58.67±1.33ab	58.67±1.33a	-	69.33±1.33b		69.33±1.33b	69.33±1.33b		81.33±1.33c
48	0	0.02%	73.33±1.33c		73.33±1.33cd	77.33±1.33cd		62.67±1.33bc	Ĩ		76.00±1.33c		77.33±1.33cd	77.33±1.33c		86.67±1.33cde
	0	0.03%	74.67±1.33c	~	80.00±1.33de	81.33±1.33de		70.67±1.33d		~	82.67±1.33de		82.67±1.33de	81.33±1.33cd		92.00±1.33efg
		0.01%	76.67±1.33c		78.67±1.33cd	81.33±1.33de		73.33±1.33de	Ĩ		78.67±1.33cd	_	80.00±1.33de	80.00±1.33cd		88.00±1.33def
72	0	0.02%	82.67±1.33d		85.33±1.33ef	86.67±1.33ef	-	77.33±1.33ef			86.67±1.33ef	t.	84.00±2.31de	85.33±1.33de	0	93.33±1.33fg
	0	0.03%	89.33±1.33e		90.67±1.33f	92.00±1.33f	~	82.67±1.33f	89.33±1.33e		90.67±1.33f		86.67±1.33e	89.33±1.33e		97.33±1.33g

Repellent and Growth Inhibitory Impact of Plant Extracts

indica was most active while *P. hermala* was least effective of all plant.

The results regarding repellent effect of plant extracts against *C. chinensis* Nankana Sahib (NNS)strain shows that the highest repellency 94% was recorded with *A. indica* followed by *M. azadirach* (92%) and *Z. officinale* (90%). The highest repellency by *P. hermala* and *S. baryosma* were 80% and 78%, respectively.

All plant extract treatments showed highly significant results. The repellent effect of pyrethroids was time and dose dependent. The repellency increase with the increase in concentration and exposure time. Overall results shows that the highest repellency was recorded in NNS strain followed by FSD and MLN strain.

Table II describes the repellent effect of pyrethroids which shows that in case of *C. chinensis* FSD strain, highest repellency 92% was recorded with deltamethrin which was followed by cypermethrin (90%) and bifenthrin (89%). In the case of *C. chinensis* MLN strain, highest repellency 90% was recorded with deltamethrin which was followed by cypermethrin (89%) and bifenthrin (82%). In the case of *C. chinensis* NNS strain, highest repellency (97%) was recorded with deltamethrin which was followed by cypermethrin (89%) and bifenthrin (86%).

All treatments of insecticides showed highly significant results. The repellent effect of pyrethroids was time and dose dependent. The repellency increase with the increase in concentration and exposure time. Out of all pyrethroids, highest repellency was recorded by deltamethrin in case of all strains. Deltamethrin results were most effective in NNS strain followed by FSD and MLN strains. By cypermethrin highest repellency was recorded in FSD while results were approximately same for both NNS and MLN strain. However, with bifethrin repellency was high in FSD strain which was followed by NNS and MLN strains.

Post treatment progeny inhibition of C. chinensis caused by plant extracts

Table III shows that in the case of *C. chinensis* FSD strain, highest inhibition (79.99%) was recorded with *A. indica* at 20% concentration after 60 days which was followed by *M. azadirach* (77.41%), *S. baryosma* (67.42%), *P. hermala* (62.90%) and *Z. officinale* (62.90%) at same concentration and time interval. After 30 days, highest inhibition (59.90%) was recorded with *A. indica* at 20% concentration which was followed by *S. baryosma* (58.38%), *M. azadirach* (57.36%), *P. hermala* (55.33%) and *Z. officinale* (50.76%) at same concentration and time interval. Of all the plant extracts used, *A. indica* was the most active plant with inhibition range 35.54-79.99% and *Z. officinale* was least effective with 27.41- 62.90% inhibition range.

In the case of *C. chinensis* MLN strain, highest inhibition (66.67%) was recorded with *A. indica* at 20% concentration after 60 days which was followed by *M. azadirach* (61.27%), *S. baryosma* (61.27%), *P. hermala* (58.41%) and *Z. officinale* (55.56%) at same concentration and time interval. After 30 days, highest inhibition (58.53%) was recorded with *A. indica* at 20% concentration, which was followed by *M. azadirach* (53.88%), *S. baryosma* (50.77%), *P. hermala* (49.74%) and *Z. officinale* (47.15%) at same concentration and time interval. Of all plant extracts used, *A. indica* was most active plant with inhibition % range 30.56-66.67% and *Z. officinale* was least effective with 25.90- 55.56%.

In the case of *C. chinensis* NNS strain, highest inhibition (80.81%) was recorded with *A. indica* at 20% concentration after 60 days which was followed by *M. azadirach* (77.49%), *S. baryosma* (75.34%), *P. hermala* (63.84%) and *Z. officinale* (58.30%) at same concentration and time interval. After 30 days, highest inhibition (70.00%) was recorded with *A. indica* at 20% concentration which was followed by *M. azadirach* (66.67%), *S. baryosma* (58.67%), *P. hermala* (50.00%) and *Z. officinale* (47.33%) at same concentration and time interval. Of all plant extracts used, *A. indica* was most active plant with inhibition % range 41.33-80.81% and *Z. officinale* was least effective with 24.67- 58.30%.

All plant extract treatments showed highly significant results. The effect of plant extracts was time and dose dependent as inhibition percentage was increasing with the increase in concentration and time interval. After 30 days, minimum values of inhibition percentage were recorded. Highest results were recorded at 20% concentration after 60 days of treatments application in case of all geographical strains of *C. chinensis* used during the study. Population of NNS strain was highly inhibited by plant extracts followed by FSD and MLN strain.

In the case of FSD strain, progeny was highly inhibited (66.67%) was by deltamethrin which was followed by cypermethrin (57.09%) and bifenthrin (49.99%) at 0.03% concentration after 60 days. In the case of *C. chinensis* MLN strain, highest inhibition (60.32%) was recorded with deltamethrin which was followed by cypermethrin (55.56%) and bifenthrin (45.39%) at same time period and dose rate. In the case of *C. chinensis* NNS strain, at 0.03% dose and after 60 days of exposure, highest repellency (70.40%) was recorded with deltamethrin which was followed by cypermethrin (51.43%) and bifenthrin (56.05%).

All plant extract treatments showed highly significant results. The effect of pyrethroids was time and dose dependent as progeny inhibition was increasing with the increase in concentration and time interval. After 30 days, minimum values of inhibition percentage were recorded.

			Faisala	Faisalabad (FSD))) strain			Mult	Multan (MLN) strain	train			Nankan	Nankana Sahib (NNS) strain	s) strain	
Exposure Times	Concen- trations	A. indica	M. azadirach	P. hermala	S. baryosma	Z. officinale	A. indica	M. azadirach	P. hermala	S. baryosma	Z. officinale	A. indica	M. azadirach	P. hermala	S. baryosma	Z. officinale
10	505	35.54±	34.52±	33.51±	30.46±	27.41±	30.56±	32.63±	27.97±	29.01±	25.90±	41.33±	38.67 ±	29.33±	30.67±	24.67±
74	0%.C	0.51a	0.51a	0.51a	0.51a	0.51a	0.52a	0.52a	0.52a	0.52a	0.52a	0.67a	0.67a	0.67a	0.67a	0.67a
24	10%	43.15± 0.51b	43.15± 0.515	39.09± 0 51h	37.06± 0.51b	36.55± 0.51b	37.30± 0.52h	41.45± 0.52h	32.64± 0.52h	38.85± 0 52h	34.71± 0.52h	54.67± 0.67b	44.67± 0.67h	35.33± 0.67b	37.33± 0.67h	34.67± 0.67b
č	1501	50.26±	48.22±	44.67±	44.17±	41.63±	43.52±	46.11±	38.86±	44.56±	39.89±	61.33±	57.33±	41.33±	43.33±	$40.00\pm$
74	0%01	0.51c	0.51c	0.51c	0.51c	0.51c	0.52d	0.52d	0.52c	0.52c	0.52c	0.67c	0.67d	0.67c	0.67c	0.67c
74	2000	59.90±	57.36±	55.33±	58.38±	50.76±	58.53±	53.88±	49.74±	50.77±	47.15±	70.00±	66.67±	50.00±	58.67±	47.33±
t 7	0/ 07	0.51d	0.88e	0.51e	0.51e	0.51e	0.52f	0.52f	0.52e	0.52e	0.52d	1.15d	0.67e	0.67e	0.67e	0.67d
48	50%	58.06±	55.16±	46.12±	45.16±	40.96±	41.26±	43.81±	38.09±	40.00±	38.41±	63.09±	53.87±	39.85±	47.08±	33.21±
P	2 0	0.32d	0.32d	0.32c	0.32c	0.56c	0.32c	0.32c	0.32c	0.32b	0.32c	0.37c	0.37c	0.37c	0.45d	0.37b
	1005	64.84±	62.90±	53.22±	51.29±	47.42±	52.69±	49.84±	45.39±	47.94±	44.76±	69.37 ±	59.77±	47.60±	59.19±	42.06±
48	0/.01	0.32e	0.32f	0.32d	0.32d	0.32d	0.32e	0.32e	0.32d	0.32d	0.55d	0.37d	0.37d	0.37d	0.45e	0.37c
10	1501	73.55±	71.29±	58.06±	59.35±	54.83±	$60.00\pm$	58.09±	52.38±	52.70±	51.43±	73.79±	±00.69	54.98±	64.57±	51.29±
P	0/ 01	0.32f	0.32g	0.32f	0.32e	0.32f	0.32f	0.32g	0.32f	0.32e	1.45e	0.98e	0.37e	0.37f	0.45f	0.64e
10	2000	±66.97	77.41±	62.90±	67.42±	$62.90\pm$	66.67±	$61.27\pm$	58.41±	61.27±	55.56±	$80.81 \pm$	77.49±	$63.84 \pm$	75.34±	58.30±
40	04.07	0.32g	0.32h	0.32g	0.32f	0.32g	0.32g	0.32h	0.32g	0.32f	0.32f	0.37f	0.37f	0.37g	0.45g	0.37f
Table IV Population inhibition (%) in three strains of Callosobruchus chinensis caused by three concentrations of three synthetic pyrethroids.	Populatio	on inhibitio	n (%) in th	ree strains	of Callosob	ruchus chi	nensis cau	sed by three	e concentra	tions of the	ee svntheti	c pvrethro	ids.			
												:				
Exposure Times (days)	•	Concentrations	Bifenthrin		Cypermethrin	Deltamethrin		Bifenthrin	Cypern	Cypermethrin	Deltamethrin		Bifenthrin	Cypermethrin		Deltamethrin
		0.01%	35.02±0.51a		38.07±0.51a	45.69±0.51a		30.57±0.52a	35.75±0.52a		42.48±0.52a		38.67±0.67a	40.00±0.67a		50.00±0.67a
30		0.02%	39.08±0.51b		42.13±0.51b	49.24±0.51b		35.23±0.52b	39.89±0.52b		46.11±0.52b		42.67±0.67b	44.00±1.15b		54.67±0.67b
		0.03%	43.15±0.51c		47.21±0.51c	54.32±1.01c		41.97±0.52c	48.18±0.52d	_	51.81±0.52d		46.00±1.15c	48.67±0.67c		60.00±0.67d
		0.01%	44.51±0.32c		45.80±0.32c	56.13±0.32d		36.83±0.32b	45.71±0.55c		50.16±0.32c		45.29±0.45bc	47.08±0.45c		57.40±0.45c
60		0.02%	46.44±0.32d		50.32±0.32d	59.68±0.32e		41.27±0.32c	51.43±0.32e		56.19±0.32e		49.32±0.45d	52.02±0.45d		64.57±0.45e
		0.03%	49.99±0.526		o7.09±0.52e	179°.//±0.321		45.59±0.52d	126.0±00.00	_	175.0 <u>7</u> 240.521		90.U±0.0¢	61.45±0.45e		/0.40±0.451

585

Highest results were recorded at 0.03% concentration after 60 days of application of treatments in case of all geographical strains of *C. chinensis* used during the study. Out of all pyrethroids, highest inhibition was recorded by deltamethrin in case of all strains. All pyrethroids were most effective in case of NNS which were followed by FSD and MLN strains.

DISCUSSION

The present research work was conducted to evaluate the repellent and growth inhibitory efficiency of five plant extracts (Azadirachta indica, Melia azadirach, Pegnum hermala, Salsola baryosma and Zingiber officinale) and three synthetic pyrethroids (bifenthrin, cypermethrin and deltamethrin) on three geographical populations of Callosobruchus chinensis collected during 2013 from Faisalabad, Multan and Nankana districts of Puniab. Pakistan. Three concentrations of each plant extract (5, 10, 15 and 20%) and synthetic pyrethroids (0.01, 0.02 and 0.03%) were used in this study. We observed significant results with each treatment. For both repellent and inhibition effects, A. indica and deltamethrin were most efficient. At highest dose rates, more than 90% repellency was recorded with both A. indica and deltamethrin. Upto 80% progeny inhibition was documented with most active A. indica. While more than 50% population of C. chinensis was inhibited with deltamethrin.

Several plants have been reported to control stored grain insects efficiently (Ratnasekera and Rajapakse, 2009). Plant extracts may work as insect growth inhibitors, repellents, antifeedants, fumigants and entomocides (Kubo, 2006; Koul *et al.*, 2008). Pyrethroids are rapidly metabolized in mammalian bodies, and thus their toxicity is very restricted (Soderlund *et al.*, 2002). They are less toxic to the mammals (Gupta and Kumar, 1991). Pyrethroids have great knockdown, antifeedant, repellent and residual effect (Hirano, 1989).

The foremost emphasis of the study was to elucidate the effect of plant extracts and pyrethroids on repellence activity and progeny inhibition of three different strains of *C. chinensis*. The major finding of this study was that *A. indica* plant extract provide more better results and is more effective in managing *C. chinensis*. Its efficiency was increased with the increase in dose and time interval (Rehman and Khan, 2014). Plants contain some active compounds that are likely to cause insecticidal activities, repellency and progeny inhibition (Jilani and Su, 1983; Schmutterer, 1995). The adverse effects of the *A. indica*may be due to its effect on the hormonal system of the insects (Murugan *et al.*, 1999). High repellent effect has been studied by *A. indica* extract by Pradhan *et al.* (1963). Upto 30% repellency has been reported by Rehman and Khan (2014). *A. indica* extract has great effect on the oviposition reduction (Panday *et al.*, 1986). Similarly, reduced progeny emergence of *C. chinensis* by the use of *A. indica* leaves extracts has been reported by Rouf *et al.* (1996), Khalequzzaman and Goni (2009) and Rehman and Khan (2014).

In our research study, the extract of *Melia azadirach* caused significant repellence as well as growth inhibition of *C. chinensis*. These results are in concordance with result of Aslam *et al.* (2002) and Mehdi and Rehman (2008) which reported high progeny inhibition of *C. chinensis* with the treatment of *spices*. Saljoqi *et al.* (2006) reported high toxic and repellent effect of *M. azadirach* extract on *Sitophilus oryzae*. Valladares *et al.* (1999) reported repellent and antifeedant effect of *M. azadirach* extract. Khan and Marwat (2004) reported 82.50% repellency of *R. dominica* with treatment of *M. azadirach* has also been reported (Chauhan *et al.*, 1987; Sexena, 1987).

Deltamethrin is one of the pyrethroid which is being used more frequently to control stored product insects (Saleem and Shakoori, 1990; Athanassiou *et al.*, 2004). Resistance in *Tribolium castaneum* to deltamethrin has been reported by many authors (Champ, 1986; Collins, 1998; Daglish, 1998). Athanassiou *et al.* (2004) reported that even after 6 months of deltamethrin exposure there was no progeny recorded in *T. confusum*. Arthur (1996) reported that insecticides will keep on protecting stored product from insect damage. Pyrethroids for their activity are possible to become predominant grain protectant.

In our study *P. hermala* caused 82% repellency and more than 60% growth inhibition in all strains of *C. chinensis*. Abbassi *et al.* (2003) reported that alkaloids present in *P. hermala* are responsible for their insecticidal activity. Salari *et al.* (2012) reported low activity of *P. hermala* after 3 days of exposure against *T. castaneum*, while Jbilou *et al.* (2006) reported high insecticidal activity of *P. hermala* after 32 days of exposure on *T. castaneum*. High repellent effect has been studied by *P. hermala* against *M. persicae* by several authors. Repellent effect of different chemical compounds present in *P. hermala* on *M. persicae* has been reported (Gutierrez *et al.* 1997; Hori, 1998; Bruce *et al.*, 2005).

Our results regarding the toxic effect of *S. baryosma* are in concordance with the results of Hasan *et al.* (2005) who reported good toxic effect of *S. baryosma* and cypermethrin against *Trogoderma granarium*. 80% repellency has been reported by *S. baryosma* against *Triobolium castaneum* (Sagheer *et al.*, 2011) which confirms our results regarding repellent effect of *S. baryosma*. *Z. officinale* contain some alkaloids responsible for its inhibition effect (Purseglove, 1972). Carriquiriborde *et al.* (2009) reported adverse effect of cypermethrin on the growth and survival of *Odontesthes bonariensis*. Pennetier *et al.* (2009) proposed that the compounds responsible for repellence and insecticidal effect on combination can have synergistic effect against insect pests.

CONCLUSIONS

Keeping in view the results of the current work, it is concluded that plant extracts and pyrethroids are effective tools for sustainable management of stored product insect pests. But in order to avoid resistance problem these should be used only in recommended doses as well as rotation of insecticides may also be useful. Our aim should not be only to kill insect but our emphasis should be on the suppression of the next progeny of insects. So, further studies should be carried on to prepare botanical insecticide formulations so that they may be properly used by the grain handling agencies.

Statement of conflict of interest

Authors have declared no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Abbassi, K., Atay-Kadiri, Z. and Ghaout, S., 2003. Biological effects of alkaloids extracted from three plants of Moroccan arid areas on the desert locust. *Physiol. Ent.*, 28: 232-236. https://doi.org/10.1046/ j.1365-3032.2003.00329.x
- Ahmed, S. and Din, N., 2009. Leaf Powders of basil (Ocimum basilicum L.), lantana (Lantana camara L.) and gardenia (Gardenia jasminoides Ellis) affect biology of Callosobruchus chinensis L. (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). Pak. Ent., 31: 5-9.
- Ali, A., Ahmad, F., Biondi, A., Wang, Y. and Desneux, N., 2012. Potential for using *Datura alba* leaf extracts against two major stored grains pests, the rice weevil *Sitophillus oryzae* and Khapra beetle *Trogoderma* granarium. J. Pestic. Sci., 85: 359-366. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10340-012-0426-1
- Arthur, F.H., 1996. Grain protectants: current status and prospects for the future. J. Stored Prod. Res., 32: 293-302. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-474X(96)00033-1
- Aslam, M., Khan, K.A. and Bajwa, M.Z.H., 2002. Potency of some spices against *Callosobruchus chinensis* L. J. biol. Sci., **2**: 449-452. https://doi. org/10.3923/jbs.2002.449.452
- Athanassiou, C.G., Kavallieratos, N.G., Vayias, B.J., Dimizas, C.B., Papagregoriou, A.S. and Buchelos, C.T., 2004. Residual toxicity of beta cyfluthrin,

alpha cypermethrin and deltamethrin against *Tribolium confusum* Jacquelin du Val (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) on stored wheat. *Appl. Ent. Zool.*, **39**: 195-202. https://doi.org/10.1303/aez.2004.195

- Barlow, F., Elliotte, M., Farnham, A.W., Hadaway, A.B., Janes, N.F., Needham, P.H. and Wickham, J.C., 1971.
 Insecticide activity of the pyrethrins and related compounds essential features of insecticide activity in chryseinthemates and related cyclopropane esters. *Pestic. Sci.*, 2: 115-118. https://doi.org/10.1002/ ps.2780020306
- Beeman, R.W., 1982. Recent advances in mode of action of insecticides. *Annu. Rev. Ent.*, **27**: 253-281. https:// doi.org/10.1146/annurev.en.27.010182.001345
- Bruce, T.J.A., Birkett, M.A., Blande, J., Hooper, A.M., Martin, J.L. and Khambay, B., 2005. Response of economically important aphids to components of *Hemizygia petiolata* essential oil. *Pest Manage. Sci.*, 61: 1115-1121. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.1102
- Burroughs, R., Schenck-Hamlin, D. and Wright, V., 1988. A bibiliography of plant materials tested for activity against stored-product insects. Res. Rep. 29. Food and feed grain Institute, Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas.
- Carlini, C.R. and Grossi-De-Sa, M.F., 2002. Plant toxic proteins with insecticidal properties– A review on the potentialities as bio-insecticide. *Toxicology*, **40**: 1515-1539.
- Carriquiriborde, P., Diaz, J., Lopez, G.C., Ronco, A.E. and Somoza, G.M., 2009. Effects of cypermethrin chronic exposure and water temperature on survival, growth, sex differentiation, and gonadal developmental stages of *Odontesthes bonariensis* (Teleostei). *Chemosphere*, **76**: 374-380. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2009.03.039
- Champ, B.R., 1986. Occurrence of resistance to pesticides in grain storage pests. In: *Pesticides and humid tropical grain storage systems:* ACIAR Proceedings No 14 (eds. B.R. Champ and E. Highley). ACIAR, Canberra, pp. 229-255.
- Champagne, D.E., Koul, O., Isman, M.B., Scudder, G.G.E. and Towers, G.H.N., 1992. Biological activity of limonoids from the Rutales, *Phytochemistry*, 31: 377-394. https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9422(92)90003-9
- Chauhan, S.P., Kumar, A., Singh, C.L. and Pandey, U.K., 1987. Toxicity of some plant extracts against rice moth, *Corcyracephalonica* (Stainton) (Lepidoptera). *Ind. J. Ent.*, **49**: 532-534.
- Collins, P.J., 1998. Inheritance of resistance to pyrethroid insecticides in *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst)

(Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). J. Stored Prod. Res., 34: 395-401. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-474X(98)00020-4

- Cox, P.D., 2004. Potential for using semiochemicals to protect stored products from insect infestation. J. Stored Prod. Res., 40: 1-25. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0022-474X(02)00078-4
- Daglish, G.J., 1998. Efficacy of six grain protectants applied alone or in combination against three species of Coleoptera. J. Stored Prod. Res., 34: 263-268. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-474X(98)00007-1
- FAO (Food Agricultural Organization), 1994. Grain storage techniques, evaluation and trends in developing countries. *FAO Agric. Ser. Bul.*, 109, Rome, Italy.
- Gupta, P.K. and Kumar, S., 1991.Cumulative toxicity of deltamethrin in mice. *J. environ. Biol.*, **12**: 45-50.
- Gutierrez, C., Fereres, A., Reina, M., Cabrera, R. and Gonzalez, C.A., 1997. Behavioral and sublethal effects of structurally related lower terpenes on *Myzus persicae*. J. chem. Ecol., **23**: 1641-1650. https://doi. org/10.1023/B:JOEC.0000006428.00568.c5
- Hadaway, A.B., 1972. Toxicity of insecticide to tsetse flies. *Bull. World Hlth. Org.*, **46**: 353-362.
- Hasan, M., Siddique, M.A., Sagheer, M. and Aleem, M., 2005. Comparative efficacy of ethanol extracts of *Amaranthus viridis* L. and *Salsola baryosma* (Schultes) and Cypermethrin against *Trogoderma* granarium (Everts). *Pak. J. agric. Sci.*, **42**: 61-63.
- Hirano, M., 1989. Characteristics of pyrethroid for insect pest control in agriculture. *Pestic. Sci.*, 27: 353-360. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.2780270404
- Hori, M., 1998. Repellency of rosemary oil against Myzus persicae in a laboratory and in a screen house. J. chem. Ecol., 24: 1425-1432. https://doi. org/10.1023/A:1020947414051
- Hutson, D.H., Gaughan, L.C. and Casida, J.E., 1981. Metabolism of the cis- and trans-isomers of cypermethrin in mice. *Pestic. Sci.*, **12**: 385-398. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.2780120405
- Jbilou, R., Ennabili, A. and Sayah, F., 2006. Insecticidal activity of four medicinal plant extracts *Afr. J. Biotechnol.*, 5: 936-940.
- Jilani, G. and Helen, C.F., 1983. Laboratory studies on several plant materials as insect residual deterrent for production of cereal grain. *J. econ. Ent.*, 76: 154-157.
- Jilani, G. and Su, H.C.F., 1983. Laboratory studies on several plants materials as insect repellent for protection of cereal grains. *J. econ. Ent.*, 76: 154-157.
- Khalequzzaman, M. and Goni, S.H.M.O., 2009. Toxic

potentials of some plant powders on survival and development of *Callosobuchus maculatus* (F.) and *Callosobuchus chinensis. J. Life Earth Sci.* **3**: 1-6.

- Khan, S.M. and Marwat, A.A., 2004. Effect of bakain (*Melia azadarach*) and Ak (*Calatropis procera*) against lesser grain borer *Rhyzopertha dominica* F. *J. Res. Sci.*, **15**: 319-324.
- Khan, F.Z.A., Sagheer, M., Mansoor-ul-Hasan, Saeed, S., Ali, K.. Gul, H.T., Bukhari, S.A. and Manzoor, S.A., 2013. Toxicological and repellent potential of some plant extracts against stored product insect pest, *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst.) (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). *Int. J. Biosci.*, **3**: 280-286. https:// doi.org/10.12692/ijb/3.9.280-286
- Kiradoo, M.M. and Srivastava, M., 2010. A comparative study on the efficacy of two lamiaceae plants on egg-laying performance by the pulse beetle *Callosobruchus chinensis* Linn. (Coleoptera:Bruchidae). J. Biopestic., 3: 590-595.
- Koul, O., Walia, S. and Dhaliwal, G.S., 2008. Essential oils as green pesticides: potential and constraints. *Biopestic. Int.*, 4: 63-84
- Kubo, I., 2006. New concept to search for alternate insect control agents from plants. In: *Naturally* occurring bioactive compounds 3 (eds. M. RAI, and M. Carpinella). Elsevier, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. pp. 61-80. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S1572-557X(06)03004-2
- Kumar, S., 2012. Mode of action of pyrethroid on energy dependent molecules and inorganic ions in *Clarius batrachus. Int. J. Biol. Ecol. environ. Sci.*, 1: 21-24.
- Mehdi, S.H.A. and Rahman, M.K., 2008. Insecticidal effect of some spices on *Callosobruchus maculatus* in black gram seeds. *Univ. J. Zool.* 27: 47-50.
- Mujeeb, K.A. and Shakoori, A.R., 2012. Effect of fury, a synthetic pyrethroid, on esterases of different developmental stages of stored grain pest, red flour beetle, *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst.)spectrophotometric analysis. *Pakistan J. Zool.*, 44: 601-613.
- Murugan, K., Kumar, V.S., Jeyabalan, D., Babu, R., Nathan, S.S. and Sivaramakrishnan, S., 1999. Interactive effect of neem products on the control of pulse beetle, *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F). *Neem Newsl.*, **15**: 41-44.
- Pandey, N.D., Mathur, K.K., Pandy, S. and Tripathi, R.A., 1986. Effect of some plant extracts against pulse beetle, *Callosobruchus chinensis* (L). *Indian J. Ent.*, 48: 85-90.
- Pennetier, C., Costantini, C., Corbel, V., Licciardi, S., Dabire, R.K., Lapied, B., Chandre, F. and Mougard, J.M., 2009. Synergy between repellents

and organophosphates on bed nets: efficacy and behavioural response of natural free-flying. *An. Gambiae mosquitoes. PLoS One*, **4**: 1-8. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0007896

- Pradhan, S., Jotwani, M.G. and Rai, B.K., 1963. The neem seed deterrent to locusts. *Indian J. Ent.*, 12: 7-11.
- Purseglove, J.W., 1972. *Tropical crops. Monocotyledons*, volume 2, Longman Group Ltd, London, pp. 633.
- Qayyum, H.A. and Zafar, M.A., 1978. Research on stored grain pests in Pakistan. Final Rep. PL480 Project, Department of Entomology, University Agriculture, Faisalabad, pp. 67-112.
- Ratnasekera, D. and Rajapakse, R., 2012. The potential use of indigenous plant materials against *Callosobruchuschinensis* L. and *Callosobruchus maculatus* L. (Coleoptera, Bruchidae) in stored legumes in Sri Lanka. J. Biopestic., 5: 88-94.
- Rehman, H.U. and Khan, S.M., 2014. Growth inhibition and repellent effect of neem seed powder on pulse beetle, *Callosobruchus chinensis* L. (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). *Pak. J. Sci.*, **66**: 301-305.
- Righi-Assia, A., Khelil, M., Medjdoub-Bensaad, F. and Righi, K., 2010. Efficacy of oils and powders of some medicinal plants in biological control of the pea weevil (*Callosobruchus chinensis* L.). *Afr. J. agric. Res.*, **5**: 1474-1481.
- Rouf, F.M., Sardar, M.A. and Ahmed, K.S., 1996. Individual and combined effect of some plant materials for protection of lentil seeds against pulse beetle, *Callosobruchus Chinensis* L. *Bangladesh J. Ent.*, 6: 13-21.
- Sagheer, M., Mansoor-ul-Hasan, Latif, M.A. and Iqbal, J., 2011. Evaluation of some indigenous medicinal plants as a source of toxicant, repellent and growth inhibitors against *Tribolium castaneum* (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). *Pak. Entomol.*, **33**: 87-91.
- Salari, E., Ahmadi, K., Dehyaghobi, R.Z., Purhematy, A. and Takalloozadeh, H. M., 2012. Toxic and repellent effect of harmal (*Peganum harmala* L.) acetonic extract on several aphids and *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst). *Chil. J. agric. Res.*, **72**: 147-151. https:// doi.org/10.4067/S0718-58392012000100023
- Saleem, M.A. and Shakoori, A.R., 1990. The toxicity of eight insecticides on sixth instar larvae and adult beetles of *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst.). *Pakistan*

J. Zool., 22: 207-216.

- Saljoqi, A.U.R., Afridi, M.K., Khan, S.H. and Rehman, S., 2006. Effects of six plant extracts on rice weevil *Sitophilus oryzae* L. in the stored wheat grain. J. Agric. biol. Sci., 1: 1-5.
- Schmutterer, H., 1995. The neem tree, source of unique natural products for integrated pest management, medicine, industry and other purposes, VCH Weinheim, New York, Basel, Cambridge, Tokyo, 696.
- Sexena, R.C., 1987. Antifeedants in tropical pest management. *Insect Sci. Applic.*, 8: 731-736. https:// doi.org/10.1017/s1742758400022840
- Singh, M.B. and Jain, D.C., 1987. Relative toxicity of various organic solvents generally used in screening plant product for insecticidal activity against house fly (*Muscadomestica* L.). *Ind. J. exp. Biol.*, 25: 560-570.
- Soderlund, D.M., Clark, J.M., Sheets, L.P., Mullin, L.S., Piccirillo, V.J., Sargent, D., Stevens, J.T. and Weiner, M.L., 2002. Mechanisms of pyrethroid neurotoxicity: implications for cumulative risk assessment. *Toxicology*, **171**: 3-59. https://doi. org/10.1016/S0300-483X(01)00569-8
- Srivastava, K.P., 1996. *A text book of applied entomology*, Kalyani Publisher, India, New Delhi.
- Tapondjou, L.A., Adler, C., Bouda, H. and Fontem, D.A., 2002. Efficacy of powder and essential oil from *Chenopodium ambrosioides* leaves as postharvest grain protectants against six stored product beetles. J. stored Prod. Res., 38: 395-402. https:// doi.org/10.1016/S0022-474X(01)00044-3
- Upadhyay, R.K., Yadav, N. and Ahmad, S., 2011. Toxic effects of solvent and aqueous extracts of *Cassia alata* against bio-molecules and enzymatic parameters of *Callosobruchuschinensis* L. (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). *Adv. appl. Sci. Res.*, **2**: 367-381.
- Valladares, G., Salvo, A. and Videla, M., 1999. Moscas minadoras en cultivos de Argentina. *Horticul. Argent.*, 18: 56-61.
- Wekesa, I., Onek, L.A., Deng, A.L., Hasanali, A. and Othira, J.O., 2011. Toxicity and repellant potency of *Hyptis spicigera* extracts on *Sitophilus zeamais* Motschulsky (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *J. stored Prod. Postharv. Res.*, 2: 113-119.