Pakistan J. Zool., vol. 50(6), pp 2019-2028, 2018. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.17582/journal.pjz/2018.50.6.2019.2028

Field Efficacy of Selected Synthetic and Botanical Insecticides against Lepidopterous Borers, *Earias vittella* and *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), on Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench)



Mudassar Javed¹, Muhammad Zeeshan Majeed^{1,*}, Muhammad Sufyan¹, Sajjad Ali² and Muhammad Afzal¹

¹Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, University of Sargodha, Sargodha ²Department of Entomology, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Bahawalpur

ABSTRACT

Okra (Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Moench) is an important summer vegetable in many tropical and subtropical countries of Africa and Asia. However, okra production is hampered by the incidence of many insect pests. Particularly, okra shoot and fruit borer (Earias vittella) and okra fruit borer (Helicoverpa armigera) cause substantial quantitative and qualitative losses to okra crop. In this study, 18 pesticides including conventional and new-chemistry synthetic insecticides and different botanical extracts were screened against these pests on okra variety 'Pusa Sawani' under field conditions during 2015 and 2016 in a randomized complete block design. The average number of lepidopterous larvae in plots treated with the synthetic insecticides was 1.87 and 2.12 larvae/plant in 2015 and 2016, respectively, compared with 6.5 larvae/plant in untreated control plots. For the botanicals, an average of 2.57 and 2.92 larvae/plant was recorded in 2015 and 2016 respectively, compared with 4.12 in untreated control plots. Among the synthetic insecticides tested, emamectin benzoate and indoxacarb caused the most significant reduction of okra borers, followed by abamectin and lambda-cyhalothrin. While among the botanicals, Azadirachta indica, Citrullus colocynthis and Nicotiana tabacum caused the most significant reduction of okra borers in both years, followed by Curcuma longa and Corymbia citriodora. These synthetic insecticides and botanicals on average reduced okra fruit infestation by 20 to 56% and by 18 to 10%, respectively, and increased okra fruit yield up to 45%. We therefore recommend their integration in biorational IPM programmes against lepidopterous borers of okra and other vegetables.

Received 14 April 2018 Revised 15 May 2018 Accepted 22 May 2018 Available online 31 August 2018

Authors' Contribution

Article Information

MZM and MJ conceived and designed the experimental protocols. MJ and MS performed experiments. MZM and MA provided technical assistance in experimentation. MZM, SA and MJ performed statistical analyses. MZM, MS and MJ prepared the manuscript.

Key words

Abelmoschus esculentus, Earias vittella, Field efficacy, Fruit infestation, Helicoverpa armigera, Plant extracts, Synthetic insecticides.

INTRODUCTION

Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench, Malvaceae) is endemic to Africa and is an important annual summer vegetable crop cultivated for its green tender pods in many Afro-Asian countries and in Indo-Pak region (Iqbal *et al.*, 2012; Abang *et al.*, 2014). Because of its high yield over investment, farming community prefers okra over other vegetable crops (Aziz *et al.*, 2011). It is a good source of vitamin A, B and C and also rich in carbohydrates, protein and many minerals (Norman, 1992).

Several insect pests and diseases attack okra crop at its different growth stages (Mohammed *et al.*, 2013; Munthali and Tshegofatso, 2014; Halder *et al.*, 2016) but okra shoot and fruit borers (*Earias vittella* Fabricius and *Helicoverpa armigera* Hübner) are the most important pests (Aziz *et al.*, 2011). Both of these lepidopterous pests damage okra, particularly young growing shoots and fruits (pods), and decrease okra fruit yield significantly both in terms of quality and quantity (Aziz *et al.*, 2011). These pests cause about 35-40% of yield loss in okra crop, but under optimal environmental conditions for these pests, the level of damage can increase to 60-70% (Salim, 1999).

In developing countries in Africa and Asia, farmers rely on various conventional and traditional methods for the suppression or eradication of vegetable insect pests, mostly relying on synthetic and persistent agrochemicals. Irrational use of these synthetic chemicals has created several problems such as development of insecticide resistance, eradication of the beneficial fauna, revival of minor and exotic insect pests, and environmental contamination (Edwards, 2013). Although many conventional insecticides are now ineffective,

Corresponding author: shani2000_uaf@yahoo.com;
 zeeshan.majeed@uos.edu.pk
 0030-9923/2018/0006-2019 \$ 9.00/0
 Copyright 2018 Zoological Society of Pakistan

some such as chlorpyriphos and cypermethrin are still effective against many pests. Similarly, many newchemistry insecticides, insect growth regulators and other 4th generation insecticides have demonstrated excellent potential for use against lepidopterous pests (Khan *et al.*, 2007; Devi *et al.*, 2015).

Keeping in view the ecological consequences of the extensive use of persistent synthetic insecticides on vegetables, there is a need to screen out the existing synthetic insecticides particularly the new-chemistry biorational insecticidal formulations and to investigate other alternative biorational approaches such as botanical insecticides for use against lepidopterous pests of okra and other vegetables. Hence, the objective of this study was to evaluate different selective synthetic insecticides and botanical extracts against okra shoot and fruit borers (*E. vittella* and *H. armigera*) under field conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted in the research field of the College of Agriculture, University of Sargodha (32°07'58"N; 72°41'32"E) (Sargodha, Punjab, Pakistan). Firstly, available okra genotypes were screened out under indigenous agro-climatic conditions of Sargodha district in order to determine their relative tolerance or susceptibility against lepidopterous shoot and fruit borers. According to this preliminary screening, the most susceptible okra variety was found to be '*Pusa Sawani*' which was then further used in the experiment in order to ensure sufficient pest infestation for insecticidal trials and to be able to visualise the effect of treatments more clearly. Total plot area was 760 m² which was further divided into three blocks (replications) and in each block all 18 treatments were apportioned according to randomized complete block design. Plant to plant and row to row distance was kept at 15 and 75 cm, respectively. Moreover, a buffer zone of about 6 m was maintained around the trial area and in-between the blocks. Herbicide (Dual-Gold 960 EC; S-metolachlor) was used to suppress weeds at the start of experiment. Irrigation, fertilization and hoeing were carried out as per routine recommendations for okra crop.

Nine synthetic insecticides belonging to different modes of action (Table I) and nine aqueous extracts of indigenous plants (Table II) were evaluated in this study. Insecticides were procured from the authentic and authorised dealers from the local pesticide market of Sargodha district, while the botanical extracts were prepared according to previously described protocol (Onunkun, 2012). In brief, plant material was ground to get the homogenous powder and 100 g of this powder was mixed with 2 liter tap water and then was put on rotary shaker for 24 h. Later on, this extract was filtered and served as stock solution for making 5% aqueous extract of each botanical. Insecticide treatments were sprayed on okra crop according to the dose rates given in Table I using a manual back-mounted knapsack sprayer fitted with a hollow-cone spray nozzle at 50° angle.

Table I.- Synthetic insecticides evaluated under field conditions against lepidopterous borers (*Earias* spp. and *H. armigera*) on okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*).

Chemical	Chemical class	Mode of action	Brand name	Company	Label dose/
name					Acre
Abamectin	Avermectins	Glutamate-gated chloride channel (GluCl) allosteric modulator (Nerve and muscle action)	Sure 1.8 EC	Pan Pacific [®]	120 mL
Emamectin benzoate	Avermectins	Glutamate-gated chloride channel (GluCl) allosteric modulator (Nerve and muscle action)	Proclaim 1.9 EC	Syngenta®	100 mL
Chlorpyrifos	Organophosphate	Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) inhibitor (Nerve action)	Lorsban 40 EC	Arysta LifeScience®	500 mL
Profenofos	Organophosphate	Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) inhibitor (Nerve action)	Curacron 500 EC	Syngenta®	800 mL
Indoxacarb	Oxadiazines	Voltage-dependent sodium channel blocker (Nerve action)	Steward 150 SL	DuPont®	100 mL
Bifenthrin	Pyrethroid	Sodium channel modulator (Nerve action)	Talstar	FMC [®]	330 mL
Cypermethrin	Pyrethroid	Sodium channel modulator (Nerve action)	Arrivo 10 EC	FMC [®]	330 mL
Lambda- cyhalothrin	Pyrethroid	Sodium channel modulator (Nerve action)	Karate 2.5 EC	Syngenta®	330 mL
Spinosad	Spinosyns	Nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR) allosteric modulator (Nerve action)	Tracer 240 SC	Arysta LifeScience®	50 mL

Table II.- Botanical extracts evaluated under field conditions against lepidopterous borers (Earias vittella and Helicoverpa armigera) on okra (Abelmoschus esculentus).

Common name	Botanical name	Family	Major insecticidal constituent	Plant parts extracted
Turmeric	Curcuma longa	Zingiberaceae	Turmerone and ar-turmerone	Rhizomes
Tobacco	Nicotiana tabacum	Solanaceae	Anabasine (neonicotine), nicotine and nornicotine	Leaves
Garlic	Allium sativum	Amaryllidaceae	Salkyl-cysteine sulphoxides (allicins)	Bulbs
Bitter apple	Citrullus colocynthis	Cucurbitaceae	Colocynthin, cucurbitacins and lepidine	Fruits and leaves
Sour orange	Citrus aurantium	Rutaceae	Flavanones and limonenes	Peels and seeds
Onion	Allium cepa	Amaryllidaceae	Flavonoids and dimethyl trisulfides	Bulbs
Lemon-eucalyptus	Corymbia citriodora	Myrtaceae	Eucalyptol (1,8-cineole) and citronellal	Leaves and seeds
Ginger	Zingiber officinale	Zingiberaceae	Monoterpenes (1,8-cineole, α -pinene, myrcene) and sesquiterpenes (zingiberene, zingiberol)	Rhizomes
Neem	Azadirachta indica	Meliaceae	Azadirechtins and triterpenoids	Leaves and seeds

Treatments were applied on all okra plots collectively upon attainment of ETL of E. vittella and H. armigera (i.e. 5% of fruit infestation or appearance of one egg or larva or dead-heart (withered shoot/plant). Treatments were sprayed twice with an interval of 15 days i.e. first at 8-week crop when plant height was about 3 ft and 2nd at 10-week crop when plant height was approx. 4.5 ft. Care was taken to avoid the drift of insecticides being sprayed on the selective plots towards other non-target plots by hanging a 1H x 3L m polythene sheet against prevailing wind direction. The data regarding insect pest population (larval counts) and fruit and shoot infestation was recorded 1 day before treatment application, and again at 1, 3 and 7 days after treatment application. Percent fruit infestation of okra was calculated according to the following formula:

$$Percent fruit infestation = \frac{Infested fruits}{Total No. of fruits} \times 100$$

- -

Data was statistically analysed using Statistix 8.1 V (Tallahassee, FL, USA) using two-way factorial analysis of variance to determine the effect of treatments (insecticides and botanical extracts) and different time intervals on the larval population and plant infestation by shoot and fruit borers. Comparisons of means were performed using Tukey's honestly significance different (HSD) test at the 0.05 level of significance.

RESULTS

Effect of insecticides on okra fruit infestation

The analysis showed that all tested synthetic insecticides significantly reduced the fruit infestation in 2015 ($F_{9,107} = 11.28, P < 0.001$) and in 2016 ($F_{9,107} = 4.90, P$ < 0.000) (Supplementary Table I). Polynomial regression showed that as compared to control, all insecticidal treatments reduced the incidence of fruit infestation with R^2 values mostly more than 0.9 (Tables III, IV). Newchemistry insecticides were generally more effective than conventional insecticides (synthetic pyrethroids and organophosphates). Emamectin benzoate and indoxacarb were significantly more effective and resulted in the maximum reduction of fruit infestation followed by lambda-cyhalothrin, abamectin and spinosad. However, in 2016, profenofos efficiency was similar to emamectin benzoate and indoxacarb (Table IV).

Average fruit infestation in control plots varied from 20.6 to 26.5% in 2015 and from 22.7 to 27.6% in 2016 (Tables III, IV). According to date-wise analyses (Supplementary Table II), there was no statistical difference in fruit infestation in all treatment plots including the control at one day before application of insecticides, as can be seen clearly in Table III (2015) and Table IV (2016). At one day after treatment application (1DAT), a slight difference was observed in fruit infestation only for 2015 trial. In this case, only avermectins (emamectin benzoate and abamectin) showed significant reduction ($F_{0,18} = 2.71$, P < 0.034; Supplementary Table II) in fruit infestation as compared to control and other insecticides. At day 3 post treatment, emamectin benzoate, abamectin and indoxacarb were found more effective as compared to other treatments in 2015 trial (Table III) and emamectin benzoate, lambdacyhalothrin and profenofos gave the maximum control of borer infestation in 2016 trial (Table IV). At 7th day post treatment application, similar trend was found for both year trials and all treatments significantly reduced fruit infestation at $P \leq 0.01$. However, averagely emamectin benzoate, indoxacarb, spinosad and lambda-cyhalothrin caused significant reduction in fruit infestation till the last observation at day seven. Cypermethrin, chlorpyrifos and bifenthrin reduced fruit infestation till 3rd day postapplication but not significantly. Later on, at 7th day, infestation again increased for these three insecticides in both year trials.

Treatments	1 DBT	1 DAT	3 DAT	7 DAT	Trend
					(Polynomial Regression Fit)
Emamectin benzoate ^D	13.7 ± 3.9* a	$9.6 \pm 1.9 \text{ b}$	$8.6 \pm 1.1 \ c$	$8.8 \pm 1.0 \; d$	$y = 1.06x^2 - 6.8x + 19.41 \ (R^2 = 0.99)$
Cypermethrin ^B	$18.3 \pm 5.8 \text{ a}$	$14.9 \pm 4.7 \text{ ab}$	13.9 ± 5.7 bc	$13.2\pm0.5\ b$	$y = 0.67x^2 - 4.98x + 22.50 \ (R^2 = 0.99)$
Chlorpyrifos BC	19.1 ± 8.0 a	$13.9 \pm 2.4 \text{ ab}$	$10.9\pm1.9\ bc$	12.1 ± 1.4 bc	$y = 1.62x^2 - 10.48x + 28.04 \ (R^2 = 0.99)$
Lambda-cyhalothrin ^C	$13.9 \pm 9.5 a$	$14.3 \pm 5.0 \text{ ab}$	$12.2 \pm 3.1 \text{ bc}$	$11.8 \pm 2.6 \text{ cd}$	$y = -0.68x^2 + 3.14x + 9.79 \ (R^2 = 0.53)$
Abamectin CD	14.9 ± 3.6 a	$11.8\pm0.7~b$	$8.9\pm0.8\ c$	$9.3 \pm 2.3 \text{ d}$	$y = 0.87x^2 - 6.34x + 20.52 \ (R^2 = 0.98)$
Profenofos ^B	18.5 ± 4.4 a	15.2 ± 3.9 ab	12.7 ± 0.3 bc	$13.1\pm1.9~b$	$y = 0.93x^2 - 6.51x + 24.21(R^2 = 0.99)$
Spinosad CD	17.8 ± 1.9 a	$14.9 \pm 3.5 \text{ ab}$	$13.1 \pm 3.5 \text{ bc}$	$11.3 \pm 1.0 \text{ cd}$	$y = 0.27x^2 - 3.50x + 20.96 \ (R^2 = 1.00)$
Bifenthrin ^B	20.8 ± 8.2 a	$14.8 \pm 4.6 \text{ ab}$	15.0 ± 2.2 b	12.2 ± 2.0 bc	$y = 0.81x^2 - 6.61x + 26.17 \ (R^2 = 0.90)$
Indoxacarb ^D	13.9 ± 5.9 a	$12.8\pm2.4\ ab$	8.9 ± 1.0 c	$10.5\pm0.6~d$	$y = 0.67x^2 - 4.76x + 18.40 \ (R^2 = 0.77)$
Control ^A	20.6 ± 4.9 a	23.0 ± 5.1 a	24.0 ± 5.7 a	26.5 ± 3.7 a	$y = 0.02x^2 + 1.73x + 18.99 \ (R^2 = 0.98)$

Table III.- Percent fruit infestation by lepidopterous borers (*Earias vittella* and *Helicoverpa armigera*) on okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) treated with different synthetic insecticides during 2015.

*values are means of three independent replications for each treatment \pm standard deviation. Means within a column bearing different letters are significantly different at $P \le 0.05$. DBT/DAT = days before treatment / days after treatment.

Table IV.- Percent fruit infestation by lepidopterous borers (*Earias vittella* and *Helicoverpa armigera*) on okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) treated with different synthetic insecticides during 2016.

Treatments	1 DBT	1 DAT	3 DAT	7 DAT	Trend
					(Polynomial Regression Fit)
Emamectin benzoate D	18.8 ± 1.0* a	17.2 ± 9.4 a	$10.3 \pm 2.4 \text{ c}$	$10.5 \pm 1.1 \text{ c}$	$y = 0.45x^2 - 5.45x + 24.40 \ (R^2 = 0.87)$
Cypermethrin BC	17.9 ± 5.7 a	16.0 ± 2.1 a	15.0 ± 3.3 bc	$14.8 \pm 3.3 \text{ bc}$	$y = 0.41x^2 - 3.06x + 20.50 \ (R^2 = 1.00)$
Chlorpyrifos BC	21.6 ± 7.3 a	13.9 ± 4.2 a	$13.9 \pm 0.7 \text{ bc}$	$13.5 \pm 1.8 \text{ bc}$	$y = 1.85x^2 - 11.69x + 31.05 \ (R^2 = 0.93)$
Lambda-cyhalothrin D	15.1 ± 1.4 a	13.0 ± 3.2 a	$13.5 \pm 0.9 \text{ c}$	11.6 ± 1.2 c	$y = 0.05x^2 - 1.25x + 16.03 \ (R^2 = 0.80)$
Abamectin ^B	21.2 ± 2.6 a	18.9 ± 2.4 a	$15.6 \pm 1.0 \text{ bc}$	$12.9 \pm 2.4 \text{ bc}$	$y = -0.12x^2 - 2.23x + 23.60 \ (R^2 = 0.99)$
Profenofos CD	14.2 ± 4.1 a	13.9 ± 2.5 a	11.8 ± 2.6 c	12.3 ± 1.3 bc	$y = 0.18x^2 - 1.69x + 15.89 \ (R^2 = 0.75)$
Spinosad CD	21.1 ± 11.3 a	$16.7 \pm 3.8 \text{ a}$	$14.8 \pm 5.3 \text{ bc}$	$13.9 \pm 1.6 \text{ bc}$	$y = 0.88x^2 - 6.76x + 26.93 \ (R^2 = 1.00)$
Bifenthrin ^B	17.3 ± 10.1 a	18.3 ± 6.2 a	$19.2 \pm 5.3 \text{ ab}$	20.9 ± 6.0 b	$y = 0.21x^2 + 0.15x + 17.01 \ (R^2 = 0.99)$
Indoxacarb CD	21.0 ± 6.6 a	$19.0 \pm 6.0 \text{ a}$	$14.3 \pm 3.9 \text{ bc}$	$12.5 \pm 3.0 \text{ bc}$	$y = 0.02x^2 - 3.09x + 24.32 \ (R^2 = 0.97)$
Control ^A	22.7 ± 8.2 a	22.6 ± 11.0 a	25.7 ± 3.2 a	27.6 ± 4.7 a	$y = 0.26x^2 - 0.63x + 23.00 \ (R^2 = 0.96)$

*values are means of three independent replications for each treatment \pm standard deviation. Means within a column bearing different letters are significantly different at $P \le 0.05$. DBT/DAT = days before treatment / days after treatment.

Table V.- Percent fruit infestation by lepidopterous borers (*Earias vittella* and *Helicoverpa armigera*) on okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) treated with different botanical extracts during 2015.

Treatments	1 DBT	1 DAT	3 DAT	7 DAT	Trend
					(Polynomial Regression Fit)
Curcuma longa ^{CD}	15.4 ± 3.2 a	14.8 ± 1.1ab	$9.7 \pm 2.9 \text{ bc}$	$8.6\pm3.0\ b$	$y = -0.13x^2 - 1.88x + 17.80 \ (R^2 = 0.90)$
Nicotiana tabacum ^D	16.7 ± 5.8 a	$13.6\pm4.7~b$	$9.3 \pm 1.2 \text{ bc}$	$9.4\pm1.2\;b$	$y = 0.68x^2 - 5.04x + 18.63 \ (R^2 = 0.99)$
Allium sativum ^A	20.6 ± 4.2 a	17.3 ± 2.9 a	16.9 ± 2.7 a	17.9 ± 2.8 a	$y = 1.05x^2 - 6.07x + 25.51 \ (R^2 = 0.99)$
Citrullus colocynthis ^D	16.9 ± 3.9 a	$11.1 \pm 0.6 \text{ b}$	$8.5 \pm 0.9 \text{ bc}$	7.5 ± 0.2 b	$y = 1.19x^2 - 9.02x + 24.65 \ (R^2 = 1.00)$
Citrus aurantium AB	18.6 ± 3.4 a	16.1 ± 2.3 ab	15.9 ± 1.4 a	14.6 ± 1.2 a	$y = 0.31x^2 - 2.74x + 20.88 \ (R^2 = 0.94)$
Allium сера ^{вс}	14.9 ± 5.9 a	14.2 ± 2.1 ab	13.5 ± 3.6 ab	14.7 ± 2.6 a	$y = 0.48x^2 - 2.55x + 17.08 (R^2 = 0.86)$
Corymbia citriodora AB	18.3 ± 2.7 a	15.6 ± 1.9 ab	15.2 ± 3.3 a	15.1 ± 3.5 a	$y = 0.65x^2 - 4.22x + 21.71 \ (R^2 = 0.96)$
Zingiber officinale ^A	20.4 ± 11.2 a	18.0 ± 5.9 a	16.9 ± 3.9 a	16.7 ± 3.7 a	$y = 0.55x^2 - 3.97x + 23.82 R^2 = 1.00)$
Azadirachta indica ^D	15.2 ± 5.4 a	$11.4 \pm 3.2 \text{ b}$	6.5 ± 2.3 c	6.5 ± 0.4 b	$y = 0.96x^2 - 7.90x + 22.46 \ (R^2 = 0.97)$
Control ^A	18.6 ±6.3 a	21.0 ±5.8 a	25.4 ±5.7 a	27.3 ±4.1 a	$y = 0.27x^2 + 2.54x + 19.87 \ (R^2 = 0.94)$

*values are means of three independent replications for each treatment \pm standard deviation. Means within a column bearing different letters are significantly different at $P \le 0.05$. DBT/DAT = days before treatment / days after treatment.

Effect of botanicals on okra fruit infestation

The analysis of variance showed that overall all the tested botanical extracts significantly reduced fruit infestation in 2015 ($F_{9,107} = 10.93$, P < 0.001) and in 2016 ($F_{9,107} = 22.94$, P < 0.000) (Supplementary Table III). Polynomial regression showed that as compared to control, most of the botanical treatments reduced the incidence of fruit infestation with R^2 value more than 0.9 (Tables V, VI). Among the botanical extracts evaluated, *A. indica*, *N. tabacum* and *C. colocynthis* were significantly the most effective in reducing fruit infestation in both years, while *C. longa* and *C. citriodora* were also significantly better than the control in 2015 and 2016, respectively.

Average fruit infestation in control plots varied from 18.6 to 27.3% in 2015 and from 23.5 to 28.3% in 2016 (Tables V, VI). According to date-wise analyses (Supplementary Table IV), there was no statistical difference in fruit infestation for all treatment plots including control at one day before application of botanical extracts (Tables V, VI). At day 1 after treatment application, a significant difference was observed in fruit infestation for both years, *i.e.* in 2015 ($F_{9,18} = 2.06$, P = 0.05) and in 2016 ($F_{9,18} = 3.89$, P < 0.05) (Supplementary Table IV). In both years, extracts of A. indica, N. tabacum and C. colocynthis showed significant reduction in fruit infestation as compared to control and other botanical extracts, while results of C. longa and C. citriodora were found to be intermediate between those of significant treatments and insignificant ones including control (Tables V, VI). At day three post treatment application, A. indica was found more

effective as compared to other treatments in 2015 trial (Table V) reducing fruit infestation from 15.2 to 6.5%, and *A. indica*, *N. tabacum* and *C. colocynthis* gave maximum reduction of fruit infestation in 2016 trial (Table VI). At day seven post treatment application, similar trend was found for both year trials and all treatments significantly reduced fruit infestation at $P \le 0.01$. However, on average basis, *A. indica*, *N. tabacum* and *C. colocynthis* caused significant reduction in fruit infestation up to the last observation at day 7. Minimum reduction in okra fruit infestation was observed respectively for *Z. officinale*, *A. cepa*, *A. sativum* and *C. aurantium* for both years of experimentation.

Effect of insecticides on larval population of okra borers

Table VII presents the average larval numbers of okra fruit borers of both species, i.e. E. vittella and H. armigera observed from five randomly selected okra plants from each treatment plot. Again the trend was similar to that found in case of fruit infestation. Average number of lepidopterous larvae/plant in treated plots was found to be 1.87 in 2015 and 2.12 in 2016 season. According to Table VII, all insecticides reduced larval density on okra plants when observed at 3 and 7 days after treatment, while in control plots, larval numbers gradually increased from about 4.5 to 8.1 larvae/plant. However, the reduction of larvae in response to treatment was only statistically significant for emamectin benzoate, abamectin indoxacarb, spinosad lambda-cyhalothrin and profenofos at $P \leq 0.05$. Cypermethrin, chlorpyrifos and bifenthrin also caused larval reduction but not statistically significant.

Treatments	1 DBT	1 DAT	3 DAT	7 DAT	Trend (Polynomial Regression Fit)
Curcuma longa ^A	24.1 ± 2.3 a	20.7 ± 1.3 ab	19.2 ± 0.7 a	23.1 ± 10.4 a	$y = 1.82x^2 - 9.57x + 32.06 \ (R^2 = 0.96)$
Nicotiana tabacum ^C	$14.2 \pm 2.1 \text{ c}$	11.4 ± 2.0 c	$8.8 \pm 1.2 \text{ b}$	7.7 ± 0.8 c	$y = 0.44x^2 - 4.39x + 18.19 \ (R^2 = 1.00)$
Allium sativum ^A	$21.9 \pm 1.1 \text{ ab}$	$20.2 \pm 1.2 \text{ ab}$	20.1 ± 0.9 a	$18.8 \pm 2.1 \text{ ab}$	$y = 0.11x^2 - 1.47x + 23.07 \ (R^2 = 0.91)$
Citrullus colocynthis ^C	$16.9 \pm 3.9 \text{ bc}$	11.1 ± 0.6 c	$8.3 \pm 1.1 \text{ b}$	7.3 ± 0.4 c	$y = 1.20x^2 - 9.13x + 24.77 \ (R^2 = 1.00)$
Citrus aurantium ^A	22.5 ± 1.0 ab	$20.0 \pm 3.1 \text{ ab}$	$19.4 \pm 0.5 \text{ a}$	$18.9 \pm 1.3 \text{ ab}$	$y = 0.51x^2 - 3.73x + 25.65 \ (R^2 = 0.98)$
Allium cepa ^A	$22.5\pm9.8\ ab$	20.7 ± 13.1 ab	22.6 ± 7.9 a	$20.8\pm5.4~a$	$y = 0.01x^2 - 0.37x + 22.54 \ (R^2 = 0.16)$
Corymbia citriodora ^B	$18.3 \pm 2.7 \text{ ab}$	14.7 ± 1.9 bc	12.1 ± 2.7 b	12.2 ± 2.3 bc	$y = 0.91x^2 - 6.61x + 24.04 \ (R^2 = 0.99)$
Zingiber officinale ^A	$20.6\pm4.9 \text{ ab}$	20.0 ± 2.1 ab	18.5 ± 3.6 a	20.4 ± 2.1 a	$y = 0.63x^2 - 3.35x + 23.55 \ (R^2 = 0.64)$
Azadirachta indica $^{\circ}$	12.9 ± 1.3 c	$9.7\pm0.5\ c$	8.5 ± 2.3 b	$8.8\pm0.3~c$	$y = 0.87x^2 - 5.69x + 17.65 \ (R^2 = 1.00)$
Control ^A	23.5 ± 5.1 a	25.9 ± 10.3 a	26.7 ± 6.5 a	$28.3 \pm 5.8 \text{ a}$	$y = 0.27x^2 - 0.71x + 24.04 \ (R^2 = 0.97)$

Table VI.- Percent fruit infestation by lepidopterous borers (*Earias vittella* and *Helicoverpa armigera*) on okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) treated with different botanical extracts during 2016.

*values are means of three independent replications for each treatment \pm standard deviation. Means within a column bearing different letters are significantly different at $p \le 0.05$. DBT/DAT = days before treatment / days after treatment.

Treatments		2015			2016	
-	1 DBT	3 DAT	7 DAT	1 DBT	3 DAT	7 DAT
Emamectin benzoate	3.40	0.80*	0.60*	4.20	0.80*	0.60*
Cypermethrin	3.20	1.20	2.00	3.80	1.20	2.20
Chlorpyrifos	3.80	0.80	1.80	4.40	1.60	2.00
Lambda-cyhalothrin	3.80	0.60*	1.20	3.60	1.20	0.80*
Abamectin	4.40	1.00	1.00*	3.60	1.40	1.20
Profenofos	4.00	0.80	0.80*	4.00	1.20	1.00*
Spinosad	3.40	1.00	0.80	3.80	1.00	0.80*
Bifenthrin	3.60	1.00	1.20	3.80	1.40	1.80
Indoxacarb	3.20	0.80*	0.60*	4.20	0.80	0.80*
Control	4.20	5.40	7.80	4.00	5.80	8.20

Table VII.- Average number of larvae of lepidopterous borers (*Earias vittella* and *Helicoverpa armigera*) per plant observed on okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) plots treated with different insecticides.

Values are means of 5 random replications. *indicates significance reduction in larval numbers at P≤0.05.

Table VIII.- Average number of larvae of lepidopterous borers (*Earias vittella* and *Helicoverpa armigera*) per plant observed on okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) plots treated with different botanical extracts.

Treatments		2015				
-	1 DBT	3 DAT	7 DAT	1 DBT	3 DAT	7 DAT
Curcuma longa	4.75	1.63*	2.05	5.70	2.05	1.60
Nicotiana tabacum	5.25	1.95*	2.25*	5.75	2.45*	2.95*
Allium sativum	4.30	2.31	2.82	5.40	2.60	3.52
Citrullus colocynthis	4.85	1.35*	2.45	5.50	2.45*	1.55*
Citrus aurantium	4.40	2.03	2.51	5.50	2.87	2.20
Allium cepa	5.50	2.30	1.80	5.20	3.21	2.75
Corymbia citriodora	4.65	1.75	1.55*	5.05	1.75*	1.55*
Zingiber officinale	4.60	3.01	2.72	4.70	3.00	3.83
Azadirachta indica	4.70	1.34*	1.64*	5.20	1.81*	1.32*
Control	4.50	5.90	8.30	5.50	6.35	8.67

Values are means of 5 random replications. *indicates significance reduction in larval numbers at $p \le 0.05$.

Effect of botanical extracts on larval population of okra lepidopterous borers

Table VIII presents the average larval numbers of okra fruit borers of both species, *i.e. E. vittella* and *H. armigera* observed from five randomly selected okra plants from each treatment plot. Again the trend was similar as in case of fruit infestation. Average number of larvae per plant was found 2.57 in 2015 and 2.92 in 2016 season. According to Table VIII, all botanical extracts reduced larval density on okra plants when observed at 3 and 7 days after treatment, while in control plots, larval number gradually increased from 4.5 to 8.8 larvae/plant in 2015 and from 5.5 to 9.2 larvae/plant in 2016. However, the reduction of larvae in response to treatment was only statistically significant for *A. indica*, *N. tabacum* and *C. colocynthis* and *C. citriodora* at $P \le 0.05$ (Table VIII). Other botanical extracts also caused larval reduction but not statistically significant.

Effect of insecticides on okra fruit yield

On per hectare basis, okra yield (marketable green fruits) was found minimum for control plots in both year trials, i.e. 5,421 kg/ha for 2015 and 6,645 kg/ha for 2016 (Table IX). In 2015 experiment, maximum okra fruit yield was found for plots treated with emamectin benzoate (i.e. 8,206 kg/ha) followed by indoxacarb (8,196 kg/ha), abamectin (7,752 kg/ha), spinosad (6,928 kg/ha) and lambda-cyhalothrin (6,549 kg/ha), while the minimum yield was recorded for cypermethrin (5,705 kg/ha), chlorpyrifos (5,637 kg/ha) and bifenthrin (5,812 kg/ha). For year 2016, maximum okra fruit yield was recorded for emamectin benzoate (9,706 kg/ha) followed by indoxacarb (9,588 kg/ha), spinosad (8,428 kg/ha) and lambda-cyhalothrin (8,001 kg/ha), while the minimum okra yield was recorded for chlorpyrifos (7,237 kg/ha) and bifenthrin (7,312 kg/ha). On average for both seasons,

2024

emamectin benzoate, indoxacarb, abamectin, spinosad and lambda-cyhalothrin increased marketable okra fruit yield by 45, 44, 38, 25 and 18%, respectively.

Table IX.- Fruit yield of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) treated from different insecticides during 2015 and 2016.

Treatments	Yie (Kg/he		% increase in yield over control		
	2015	2016	2015	2016	
Emamectin benzoate	8206*	9706	51	40	
Cypermethrin	5705	7205	5	4	
Chlorpyrifos	5637	7237	6	4	
Lambda-cyhalothrin	6549	8001	21	15	
Abamectin	7752	9252	43	33	
Profenofos	6387	7887	18	14	
Spinosad	6928	8428	28	21	
Bifenthrin	5812	7312	7	5	
Indoxacarb	8196	9588	51	38	
Control	5421	6645	-	-	

*, yield is calculated for each treatment from data of five consecutive pickings of marketable green fruits post treatment application.

Table X.- Fruit yield of okra (*A. esculentus*) treated from different botanical extracts during 2015 and 2016.

Treatments	Yie (Kg/he		% increase in yield over control		
-	2015	2016	2015	2016	
Curcuma longa	4855	6297	11	9	
Nicotiana tabacum	7206	8633	65	50	
Allium sativum	4637	6220	6	8	
Citrullus colocynthis	6752	8238	54	43	
Citrus aurantium	5649	7028	29	22	
Allium cepa	5387	6870	23	19	
Corymbia citriodora	6188	7615	41	32	
Zingiber officinale	4812	6295	10	9	
Azadirachta indica	7419	8753	69	52	
Control	4378	5772	-	-	

*, yield is calculated for each treatment from data of five consecutive pickings of marketable green fruits post treatment application.

Effect of botanical extracts on okra yield

On per hectare basis, okra yield was found minimum for control plots in both year trials, *i.e.* 4,378 kg/ha for 2015 and 5,772 kg/ha for 2016 (Table X). In 2015 experiment, maximum okra fruit yield was found for plots treated with *A. indica* extract (*i.e.* 7,419 kg/ha) followed by *N. tabacum* (7,206 kg/ha), *C. colocynthis* (6,752 kg/ha), *C. citriodora* (6,188 kg/ha) and *C. aurantium* (5,649 kg/ha), while the minimum yield was recorded for okra plots treated with *A.* sativum (4,637 kg/ha) and Z. officinale (4,812 kg/ha). For year 2016, maximum okra fruit yield was recorded for A. indica (8,753 kg/ha) followed by N. tabacum (8,633 kg/ ha), C. colocynthis (8,238 kg/ha) and C. citriodora (7,615 kg/ha), while the minimum okra yield was recorded for A. sativum (6,220 kg/ha) and C. longa (6,297 kg/ha). Averagely for both years, A. indica, N. tabacum, C. colocynthis and C. citriodora increased marketable okra fruit yield by 61, 57, 47 and 36%, respectively.

DISCUSSION

Infestation of okra crop by lepidopterous pests such as *E. vittella* and *H. armigera* has been one of the contemporary issues of farmers in African and Indo-Pak regions (Ahmed, 2000; Dabire-Binso *et al.*, 2009). These economically important pests are being controlled by okra growers using hazardous synthetic insecticides without satisfactory control results (Aziz *et al.*, 2011). Nevertheless, irrational and blind use of synthetic chemicals has been creating many environmental issues as described above. Therefore, this study was aimed to screen out under field conditions some existing conventional and new-chemistry synthetic insecticides and certain botanical extracts, with known anti-insect actions, against okra lepidopterous borers which can be integrated further in different biorational pest management programmes.

The experiments were conducted for two years in two different seasons. Eighteen treatments including nine selective synthetic insecticides and nine selective botanical formulations were compared and almost all treatments reduced okra fruit infestation as compared to unsprayed control plots. However, infestation by okra borers was higher in 2016 than in 2015 season. Main reason of this high infestation in seconded year trial would be the growing season because 2016 trial was performed in the rainy season, *i.e.* in July-August which created conditions more conducive to lepidopterous and other insect pests on okra crop (Sugonyaev and Monastyrskii, 2008; Aziz *et al.*, 2011).

Among the insecticides, emamectin benzoate appeared to be the most effective and promising novel insecticide against *E. vittella* and *H. armigera*. These results are in accordance with those of Birah and Raghuraman (2011) who also evidenced that the emamectin benzoate formulations, both in EC or SWG form, effectively control *E. vittella* incidence on okra crop along with high fruit yield. Similarly, our results are in line with the findings of Shivalingaswamy *et al.* (2008) and Bengochea *et al.* (2014) who have demonstrated through field and laboratory experiments that emamectin benzoate is equally effective to control infestation of different

lepidopterous pests of vegetables, *i.e.* brinjal shoot and fruit borer (*Leucinodes orbonalis*), okra shoot and fruit borer (*E. vittella*), beet armyworm (*Spodoptera exigua*) and cabbage diamondback moth (*Plutella xylostella*).

Second most effective insecticide in our experiments is found to be indoxacarb which reduced fruit infestation till 7th day post-treatment concurrently with an increased okra fruit yield. Umrao *et al.* (2013) revealed the same result that indoxacarb gave the maximum control of okra shoot and fruit borer, *E. vittella.* This insecticide has been proved to be effective against a number of lepidopterous insect pests including cabbage looper (*Plutella xylostella*) (Liu *et al.*, 2002), gram pod borer (*H. armigera*) (Babariya *et al.*, 2010), tomato fruit borer (*Heliothis armigera*) (Ravi *et al.*, 2008), fall armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda*) (Wing *et al.*, 2000) and codling moths (*Cydia pomonella* and *C. molesta*) (Loriatti *et al.*, 2009).

Thirdly, abamectin and spinosad also showed significant reduction of both *E. vittella* and *H. armigera* but not as effectively as emamectin and indoxacarb. Same toxicity trend was found for lambda-cyhalothrin and profenofos while remaining insecticides (*i.e.* chlorpyrifos, bifenthrin and cypermethrin) did not cause much reduction of borers' infestation and of larval population and were not statistically different from control plots. One of the reasons behind this may be the development of resistance in field populations of many lepidopterous pests, particularly of *E. vittella* and *H. armigera* because these conventional synthetic insecticides have been widely used in the region of study on cotton, gram, maize, tomato and other vegetable crops upon which these both insect species appear regularly (Ahmad and Arif, 2009; Qayyum *et al.*, 2015).

Among botanical treatments, extract of *A. indica* appeared to be the most effective against *E. vittella* and *H. armigera* infestation and significantly increased the okra yield (almost by 60%). Similar findings were obtained by Ahmed (2000) who revealed a significant effect of aqueous neem extract on insect pest reduction and on yield increase in different vegetable crops including okra. Many studies have shown the potential efficacy of neem extract against different lepidopterous pests *e.g.* against brinjal fruit and shoot borer (*Leucinodes orbonalis*), okra fruit and shoot borer (*E. vittella*), beet armyworm (*Spodoptera exigua*), maize borer (*Sesamia calamistis*) and cabbage diamondback moth (*P. xylostella*) (Leskovar and Boales, 1996; Ukeh *et al.*, 2007; Akhtar *et al.*, 2008).

Second most effective botanical extract in this study appeared to be of *N. tabacum*, which reduced fruit infestation till 7th day post-treatment and increased okra fruit yield by about 57%. Many studies have demonstrated that aqueous tobacco extract could successfully control the insect pests of okra and other vegetables, and even at

1.25%, it can control external parasites of livestock (Olivo *et al.* 2009), at 2–6 % concentration, it is effective against stored grain insect pests (Kulat *et al.*, 1997; Sarmamy *et al.*, 2011).

Thirdly, the extracts of *C. colocynthis* and *C. citriodora* also showed significant reduction of okra fruit and shoot borers but not as effectively as *A. indica* and *N. tabacum*. Same toxicity trend was found for *C. aurantium*, while botanical extracts of remaining plants belonging to Amaryllidaceae and Zingiberaceae families (*i.e. A. cepa*, *A. sativum*, *C. longa* and *Z. officinale*) did not cause much reduction of fruit infestation and of larval population of okra borers and were not significantly different from control plots.

However, most of the botanicals have been found effective for a very short period of time as it is evident from Tables 6 and 8 that fruit infestation was reduced only at 3^{rd} day post treatment and again flared up at 7^{th} day post treatment for all treatments except for *A. indica* and *N. tabacum* extracts. One reason for this short-spanned effect of botanicals against insect pests would be the crude and raw nature of aqueous extracts rather than pure extractives such as essential oils as described by Isman (2006, 2008), Dubey *et al.* (2010); Sarmamy *et al.* (2011) and Gulzar *et al.* (2017).

CONCLUSIONS

Based on above mentioned results and discussion, avermectins (emamectin benzoate and abamectin) and oxadiazine (indoxacarb) insecticides and aqueous extracts of *A. indica* and *N. tabacum* are recommended for sustainable management of shoot and fruit borers on okra and other vegetable crops because these are not only effective against target lepidopterous pests but also exhibit least non-target effects to other organisms and environment. However, application or rotation of pesticides with different modes of action is one of the prerequisites for an effective and sustainable IPM programme. Hence, we recommend the integration of effective synthetic newchemistry insecticides and botanical extracts in order to develop an efficient biorational IPM strategy against lepidopterous pests.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are thankful to Muhammad Asam Riaz and Zhang Bo for their technical support in improving experimental protocols and Sami Ullah for assisting in statistical analysis of data. The authors are also grateful to the farm manager of College of Agriculture, University of Sargodha, Pakistan for his valuable support during the conduction of experiments in both years.

Supplementary material

There is supplementary material associated with this article. Access the material online at: http://dx.doi. org/10.17582/journal.pjz/2018.50.6.2019.2028

Statement of conflict of interest

Authors have declared no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Abang, A.F., Srinivasan, R., Kekeunou, S., Hanna, R., Chagomoka, T., Chang, J.C. and Bilong, C.B., 2014. Identification of okra (*Abelmoschus* spp.) accessions resistant to aphid (*Aphis gossypii* Glover) in Cameroon. *Afri. Entomol.*, 22: 273-284. https://doi.org/10.4001/003.022.0201
- Ahmad, M. and Arif, M.I., 2009. Resistance of Pakistani field populations of spotted bollworm *Earias vittella* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) to pyrethroid, organophosphorus and new chemical insecticides. *Pest Manage. Sci.*, 65: 433-439. https://doi. org/10.1002/ps.1702
- Ahmed, M.M.M., 2000. Studies on the control of insect pests in vegetables (okra, tomato and onion) in Sudan with special reference to neem-preparations.
 Ph. D. dissertation, University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Akhtar, Y., Yeoung, Y.R. and Isman, M.B., 2008. Comparative bioactivity of selected extracts from Meliaceae and some commercial botanical insecticides against two noctuid caterpillars, *Trichoplusia ni* and *Pseudaletia unipuncta*. *Phytochem. Rev.*, 7: 77-88. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11101-006-9048-7
- Aziz, M.A., Hasan, M. and Ali, A., 2011. Impact of abiotic factors on incidence of fruit and shoot damage of spotted bollworms *Earias* spp. on okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.). *Pakistan J. Zool.*, 43: 863-868.
- Babariya, P.M., Kabaria, B.B., Patel, V.N. and Joshi, M.D., 2010. Chemical control of gram pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner infesting pigeonpea. *Legume Res.*, 33: 224-226.
- Bengochea, P., Sánchez-Samos, I., Saelices, R., Amor, F., Del Estal, P., Viñuela, E. and Medina, P., 2014. Is emamectin benzoate effective against the different stages of *Spodoptera exigua* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Irish J. Agric. Fd. Res.*, 2: 37-49.
- Birah, A. and Raghuraman, M., 2011. Impact of

emamectin benzoate on fruit and shoot borer, *Earias vitella* (Fabricius) in okra. *Indian J. Ent.*, **73**: 42-44.

- Dabire-Binso, C.L., Ba, M.N., Somé, K. and Sanon, A., 2009. Preliminary studies on incidence of insect pest on okra, *Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench in central Burkina Faso. *Afri. J. agric. Res.*, 4: 1488-1492.
- Devi, L.L., Ghule, T.M., Chatterjee, M.L. and Senapati, A.K., 2015. Biorational management of shoot and fruit borer of okra (*Earias vittella* Fabricius) and their effect on insect predators. *Environ. Ecol.*, 33: 1052-1054.
- Dubey, N.K., Shukla, R., Kumar, A., Singh, P. and Prakash, B., 2010. Prospects of botanical pesticides in sustainable agriculture. *Curr. Sci.*, **98**: 479-480.
- Edwards, C.A. (ed.), 2013. *Environmental pollution by pesticides*. Springer Science and Business Media, New York, U.S.A., pp. 542. eISBN: 978-1-4615-8942-6.
- Gulzar, A., Maqsood, A., Ahmed, M., Tariq, M., Ali, M. and Qureshi, R., 2017. Toxicity, antifeedant and sub-lethal effects of *Citrullus colocynthis* extracts on cotton bollworm, Helicoverpa armigera (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Pakistan J. Zool.*, 49: 2019-2026. http://dx.doi.org/10.17582/journal. pjz/2017.49.6.2019.2026
- Halder, J., Sanwal, S.K., Deb, D., Rai, A.B. and Singh, B., 2016. Mechanisms of physical and biochemical basis of resistance against leaf-hopper (*Amrasca biguttula biguttula*) in different okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) genotypes. *Indian J. agric. Sci.*, 86: 480-481.
- Iqbal, J., Sagheer, M. and Nadeem, M., 2012. Management of *Amrasca biguttula biguttula* (Ishida) on okra, *Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Monech. *Pak. J. agric. Sci.*, **49**: 179-184.
- Isman, M.B., 2006. Botanical insecticides, deterrents, and repellents in modern agriculture and an increasingly regulated world. *Annu. Rev. Ent.*, 51: 45-66. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev. ento.51.110104.151146
- Isman, M.B., 2008. Botanical insecticides: For richer, for poorer. *Pest Manage. Sci.*, 64: 8-11. https://doi. org/10.1002/ps.1470
- Khan, R.R., Ahmed, S., Saleem, M.W. and Nadeem, M., 2007. Field evaluation of different insecticides against spotted bollworms *Earais* spp. at district Sahiwal. *Pak. Ent.*, **29**: 129-133.
- Kulat, S.S., Nimbalkar, S.A. and Hiwase, B.J., 1997. Relative efficacy of some plant extracts against *Bemisia tabaci* and *Aphis gossypii* Glover and

Amrasca devastans of okra. PKV Res. J., 21: 146-148.

- Leskovar, D.I. and Boales, A.K., 1996. Azadirachtin: potential use for controlling lepidopterous insects and increasing marketability of cabbage. *HortScience*, **31**: 405-409.
- Liu, T.X., Sparks, A.N., Chen, W., Liang, G.M. and Brister, C., 2002. Toxicity, persistence, and efficacy of indoxacarb on cabbage looper (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) on cabbage. *J. econ. Ent.*, **95**: 360-367. https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-0493-95.2.360
- Loriatti, C., Anfora, G., Angeli, G., Civolani, S., Schmidt, S. and Pasqualini, E., 2009. Toxicity of emamectin benzoate to *Cydia pomonella* (L.) and *Cydia molesta* (Busck) (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae): Laboratory and field tests. *Pest Manage. Sci.*, 65: 306-312. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.1689
- Mohammed, I.G., Osipitan, A.A., Pitan, O.R. and Atayese, M. 2013. Evaluation of 15 varieties of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) to field infestation by flea beetles (*Podagrica spp*). *Afri. Ent.*, **21**: 70-78.
- Munthali D.C. and Tshegofatso, A.B., 2014. Major insect pests attacking okra; *Abelmoscus esculentos* (L) Moench, in Sebele. *Botswana J. Agric. appl. Sci.*, 9: 90-96.
- Norman, J.C., 1992. *Tropical vegetable crops*. Arthur H. Stockwell Ltd., Ilfracombe, U.K., pp. 252.
- Olivo, C.J., Heimerdinger, A., Ziech, M.F., Agnolin, C.A., Meinerz, G.R., Both, F. and Charão, P.S., 2009. Aqueous extract of rope tobacco for the control of cattle ticks. *Ciênc. Rural*, **39**: 1131-1135. https:// doi.org/10.1590/S0103-84782009000400026
- Onunkun, O., 2012. Evaluation of aqueous extracts of five plants in the control of flea beetles on okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). J. *Biopestic.*, 5: 62-67.
- Qayyum, M.A., Wakil, W., Arif, M.J., Sahi, S.T., Saeed, N.A. and Russell, D.A., 2015. Multiple resistances against formulated organophosphates, pyrethroids, and newer-chemistry insecticides in populations of

Helicoverpa armigera (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) from Pakistan. *J. econ. Ent.*, **108**: 286-293. https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/tou037

- Ravi, M., Santharam, G. and Sathiah, N., 2008. Ecofriendly management of tomato fruit borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner). J. Biopestic., 1: 134-137.
- Salim, M., 1999. Diversity: Role in integrated pest management. *Sci. Technol. Dev.*, **18**: 26-31.
- Sarmamy, A.G., Hashim, H. and Sulayman, A., 2011. Insecticidal effects of some aqueous plant extracts on the control of Khapra *Trogoderma granarium* Evert. In: *Int. Conf. Chem., Biol. Environ. Sci.* (ICCEBS'2011), Bangkok, pp. 288-292.
- Shivalingaswamy, T.M., Kumar, A., Satpathy, S. and Rai, A.B., 2008. Efficacy of emamectin benzoate in the management of vegetable pests. *Progr. Horti.*, 40: 193-197.
- Sugonyaev, E.S. and Monastyrskii, A.L., 2008. Basic components of the program of ecological integrated management of lepidopterous rice pests (Lepidoptera, Pyralidae) in the Red River Delta in North Vietnam: V. General manual. *Ent. Rev.*, 88: 525-542. https://doi.org/10.1134/ S0013873808050023
- Ukeh, D.A., Emosairue, S.O., Udo, I.A., Ofem, U.A., Du, H., Cui, M. and Dotun, O., 2007. Field evaluation of neem (*Azadirachta indica* A. Juss) products for the management of lepidopterous stem borers of maize (*Zea mays* L.) in Calabar, Nigeria. *Res. J. appl. Sci.*, 2: 15-19.
- Umrao, R.S., Singh, S., Kumar, J., Singh, D.R. and Singh, D.K., 2013. Efficacy of novel insecticides against shoot and fruit borer (*Earias vittella* Fabr.) in okra crop. *HortFlora Res. Spectr.*, 2: 251-254.
- Wing, K.D., Sacher, M., Kagaya, Y., Tsurubuchi, Y., Mulderig, L., Connair, M. and Schnee, M., 2000. Bioactivation and mode of action of the oxadiazine indoxacarb in insects. *Crop Prot.*, **19**: 537-545. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0261-2194(00)00070-3