Pakistan J. Zool., vol. 50(5), pp 1921-1927, 2018. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.17582/journal.pjz/2018.50.5.1921.1927

Correlation between Various Udder Measurements and Milk Components in Morkaraman, Tuj and Awassi Sheep

Doğan Türkyılmaz^{1,*}, Selçuk Özyürek², Nurinisa Esenbuğa¹ and Mustafa Yaprak¹

¹Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Atatürk University, Erzurum, Turkey

²Çayırlı Vocational School, Erzincan University, Erzincan, Turkey

ABSTRACT

In this study, it was aimed that to identify components of milk and udder type and to correlations between udder measurements and milk components in Morkaraman, Tuj and Awassi sheep. Milk components are investigated in order to determination of milk quality. The main components of milk are fat, solid non-fat, density, protein, lactose and ash. Density of the milk is expressed in kg/m³ and the other components are in percent. Fat, solid non-fat, density, protein, lactose and ash percentages of the milk in this study were respectively 7.19%, 9.67%, 1030.78 kg/m³, 3.18%, 5.55%, 0.93% in Morkaraman; 7.20%, 9.95%, 1031.78 kg/m³, 3.29%, 5.70%, 0.96% in Tuj; 6.79%, 9.60%, 1030.77 kg/m³, 3.18%, 5.49% 0.93% in Awassi. There isn't any significant difference between the breed for milk components (p>0.05). Between udder width and non-fat dry matter content, high positive correlation was determined. The ideal and most suitable udder type for machine milking is pear-shaped and oblique teat (Type III). So this result can help us for selection of milking ability in Morkaraman and Tuj breeds.

INTRODUCTION

A ccording to 2015 statistics, the number of sheep in Turkey is 33.8 million head. According to the data of the same year, the number of milking sheep is 19.9 million and the total milk production is 1.6 million liters (Anonymous, 2016). In Turkey, there are more than 260 types of cheese and the most of them are made from sheep milk (Cetinkaya, 2005).

Sheep is a multifaceted livestock species having a large number of breeds over the world. In the past years, sheep production was considered as one of the important animal husbandry activities due to climate, topographic structure and rich flora of Turkey (Karadas *et al.*, 2017). Morkaraman breed that constitutes approximately 21.5% of Turkey's sheep population, is predominantly keeping in the Eastern Anatolia Region. Fat tailed Morkaraman breed has different colors from black to brown and has adapted to regional conditions. In terms of farmers, meat yield is in the foreground but it is also used for milk. Awassi breed is predominantly keeping in the Southeastern Anatolia Region, and represent the local breeds with the highest

* Corresponding author: dogan.turkyilmaz@atauni.edu.tr 0030-9923/2018/0005-1921 \$ 9.00/0



Article Information Received 23 May 2017 Revised 25 August 2017 Accepted 13 November 2017 Available online 17 August 2018

Authors' Contribution SO conceived the idea. DT and SO designed the study and wrote the manuscript. NE and MY edited the manuscript.

Key words Awassi, Milk component, Morkaraman, Tuj, Udder measurements.

milk production. Fat tailed Awassi sheep has improved herd instinct, great walking ability and adapted high temperature environment of the region. Tuj breed is predominantly keeping in the Northeastern Anatolia Region. In the region, it is used in local cheese production from Tuj ewes' milk.

Literature data reported that the ewes which has bigger udder had higher milk yield and the positive correlation was established between udder circumferences, distance between udder teats, udder width, udder depth and milk yield (Mikus, 1968; Labussiere et al., 1981). Also, other researchers reported the positive correlation between milk yield, udder circumference and depth (Mavrogenis et al., 1988; Fernández et al., 1997). Aimed for better selection of ewes for milk production, the 9 point scale method for measuring of udder height, udder shape, udder teat angle, and udder teat length was developed by De La Fuente et al. (1996). Subsequently, this method was used for improvement of suitability for machine milking in Churra, Manchega, Latxa (De La Fuente et al., 1999) and Lacaune breeds (Marie et al., 1999). Based on the 9 point scale method developed by De La Fuente et al. (1996), six different udder types were determined in sheep. It was reported that there was correlation between the udder types and milk yield (Kukovics et al., 1993; Dağ and Zülkadir, 2004).

Copyright 2018 Zoological Society of Pakistan

In Turkey as it is all over the world, approximately 83% of milk production is supplied from cattle. However, sheep milk production is important in terms of components rather than the amount. On this way, sheep milk constitutes the main input of cheese industry with its traits such as the more fat it has than the bovine milk.

According the literature data, the fat content in the sheep milk carried from 3.50% to 7.32%; the solid non-fat content in the sheep milk carried from 10.04% to 18.20%; the protein content in the sheep milk carried from 3.39% to 6.48% (Kurt *et al.*, 1975; Kurt and Ergin, 1980; Macit, 1994; Karaoğlu *et al.*, 1999; Çelik and Özdemir, 2003; Pulina *et al.*, 2005; Kominakis *et al.*, 2009; Kondyli *et al.*, 2012; Oliveria *et al.*, 2012; Bonczar *et al.*, 2016; Vianna *et al.*, 2017).

This study was aimed at determining the milk components in Morkaraman, Tuj and Awassi sheep breeds, and the correlations between milk components and udder measurements. Also, it was aimed at determining the fact that which of the different udder types defined in the literature appeared in these three breeds.



Fig. 1. Udder measurements scheme (Akcapinar et al. 2008).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was performed at the Research and Application Farm of Agriculture Faculty, Atatürk University in Turkey, on 158 dairy sheep belonging to 3 breeds: Morkaraman (n = 68), Tuj (n = 64), and Awassi (n = 26). Sheep were between 2nd – 6th lactation. When lambs reached at the 60th day, they became weaned. Udder measurements were taken on the average 90th \pm 5 day of lactation as shown in Figure 1 before milking (Yardımcı, 2001). Udder width, udder depth and distance udder teats places were measured by measuring cane. Udder and just over the udder teats by using tape measure. Udder teats length was measured from linking udder to tip of

teats. Udder teats diameter was measured at the thickest portion of teats by using caliper.



Fig. 2. Udder types in sheep (Epstein 1985; Dogan *et al.* 2013). 1, Cylindrical udder, udder teats are upward and lateral; 2, Cylindrical udder, udder teats are downwards and inclined; 3, Pear-shaped udder, udder teats are downwards and inclined; 4, Pear-shaped udder, udder teats are big, udder which is downwards and vertical; 6, Udder teats are upward and inclined udder.

The udder type in sheep was determined as reported by Epstein (1985) and Doğan *et al.* (2013) (Fig. 2). Linear udder scores was determined according to the method (Fig. 3) reported by De La Fuente *et al.* (1996).

50 mL samples were taken from the milk of the sheep and kept in the cold chain, then on the same day, they were taken into Milk Analysis Laboratory of the Department of Animal Science in Atatürk University Faculty of Agriculture, and the milk component analysis was performed with BOECO Milk Analyzer.

Analysis of variance was applied in determining the differences in milk components of breeds, correlation between udder measurements and milk components were analyzed using SPSS Pearson Correlation, and $\chi 2$ analysis was applied in determining the differences between breeds in terms of udder type. For this analysis, SPSS 17.0 package program was used and the Duncan test for the differences between averages.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the study, the results related to the percentages of fat, solids non-fat, density, protein, lactose and ash which are the components of milk samples of Morkaraman, Tuj and Awassi sheep are given in Table I.

| | Score (1 to 9) | | | | | | |
|--------------|----------------|-------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| | 1 (Low) | 5 (Average) | 9 (High) | | | | |
| Udder height | AF | PAR | AF | | | | |
| Teat angle | | (A) | | | | | |
| Teat length | | | (id) | | | | |
| Udder shape | RAJA | AR | AN AN | | | | |

Fig. 3. Linear scores of udder traits (Caja et al. 1981).

Table I.- Least-squares averages and standarddeviation values of milk components of sheep.

| Traits | Morkaraman | Tuj | Awassi | Р |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------|----|
| Fat (%) | 7.19±0.35 | 7.20±0.31 | 6.79±0.35 | ns |
| Solid non-fat (%) | 9.67±0.26 | 9.95±0.23 | 9.60±0.26 | ns |
| Density (kg/ m ³) | 1030.78 ± 0.82 | 1031.78 ± 0.75 | 1030.77±0.84 | ns |
| Protein (%) | 3.18±0.09 | 3.29±0.08 | 3.18±0.09 | ns |
| Lactose (%) | 5.55±0.15 | 5.70±0.14 | 5.49±0.15 | ns |
| Ash (%) | 0.93±0.03 | 0.96 ± 0.02 | 0.93±0.03 | ns |

ns, non-significant.

Although statistics were insignificant, the fat in Awassi sheep was observed to be relatively lower than other breeds. This situation is considered to be caused by the increase in milk percentage whereas the decrease in fat percentage.

The obtained values in this study in terms of fat percentages were lower than reported values by Demirci and Gündüz (2000), Özder et al. (2004) and Doğan et al. (2013); higher than reported values by Yıldız and Denk (2006), Zamiri et al. (2001) and Sallam et al. (2005). In terms of solid non-fat percentages in the study, the values were lower than reported by Demirci and Gündüz (2000), Özder et al. (2004), Doğan et al. (2013) and Sallam et al. (2005). While the obtained protein percentages were found to be lower than the most of studies, the lactose percentages were found to be higher (Demirci and Gündüz, 2000; Zamiri et al., 2001; Özder et al., 2004; Sallam et al., 2005; Yıldız and Denk, 2006; Doğan et al., 2013). According to values reported by Karaca et al. (2003), while fat percentage was high in Morkaraman and Tuj sheep, it was low in Awassi sheep, solids non-fat was observed to

D. Türkyılmaz et al.

be low, and ash percentage was observed to be similar.

Showing the relationship between the milk component and udder measurements in Morkaraman sheep breeds and linear udder scores is given in Table II. The positively correlation was observed between the milk components and udder width and circumference in Morkaraman sheep breeds; and negative relationship was observed with udder teat length and udder shape from udder teat length and linear scores although it was not significant. Negative correlation was observed between milk components and udder teat length; and positive correlation was observed between milk components and udder diameter. The highest positive correlation in fat yield was found by udder teat width (P<0.01).

| Breed | Fat | Solids non-fat | Density | Protein | Lactose | Ash |
|---------------------------|---------|----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Morkaraman | | | | | | |
| Udder traits (cm) | | | | | | |
| Udder depth | 0.302 | 0.545** | 0.551** | 0.550** | 0.537** | 0.539** |
| Udder circumference | 0.508** | 0.36 | 0.303 | 0.314 | 0.384* | 0.37 |
| Udder width | 0.536** | 0.476* | 0.428* | 0.438* | 0.495** | 0.485** |
| Udder teat length | -0.201 | -0.171 | -0.152 | -0.153 | -0.18 | -0.174 |
| Udder teat diameter | 0.087 | 0.21 | 0.218 | 0.219 | 0.204 | 0.206 |
| DUT | 0.206 | 0.209 | 0.193 | 0.197 | 0.215 | 0.21 |
| DUTF | -0.166 | -0.057 | -0.030 | -0.036 | -0.067 | -0.061 |
| Linear Udder Scores (1-9) | | | | | | |
| Udder height | 0.366 | 0.038 | -0.3 | -0.016 | 0.07 | 0.058 |
| Udder teat angle | -0.062 | -0.21 | -0.222 | -0.22 | -0.202 | -0.199 |
| Udder teat length | -0.254 | -0.193 | -0.166 | -0.168 | -0.205 | -0.197 |
| Udder shape | -0.038 | -0.237 | -0.258 | -0.255 | -0.225 | -0.227 |
| Tuj | | | | | | |
| Udder traits (cm) | | | | | | |
| Udder depth | 0.133 | -0.096 | -0.151 | -0.142 | -0.07 | -0.077 |
| Udder circumference | 0.362* | 0.264 | 0.202 | 0.214 | 0.287 | 0.282 |
| Udder width | 0.392* | 0.426* | 0.383* | 0.392* | 0.439** | 0.440** |
| Udder teat length | -0.027 | 0.165 | 0.199 | 0.191 | 0.146 | 0.16 |
| Udder teat diameter | 0.212 | 0.086 | 0.039 | 0.046 | 0.106 | 0.106 |
| DUT | 0.136 | -0.07 | -0.121 | -0.114 | -0.046 | -0.048 |
| DUTF | 0.05 | 0.147 | 0.157 | 0.158 | 0.142 | 0.136 |
| Linear Udder Scores (1-9) | | | | | | |
| Udder height | 0.248 | -0.098 | -0.19 | -0.177 | -0.052 | -0.069 |
| Udder teat angle | 0.209 | 0.353* | 0.330* | 0.337* | 0.355* | 0.355* |
| Udder teat length | -0.051 | 0.151 | 0.186 | 0.179 | 0.13 | 0.145 |
| Udder shape | 0.488** | 0.623** | 0.545** | 0.563** | 0.644** | 0.637** |
| Awassi | | | | | | |
| Udder traits (cm) | | | | | | |
| Udder depth | 0.046 | 0.664** | 0.730** | 0.724** | 0.617** | 0.648** |
| Udder circumference | 0.033 | 0.549** | 0.605** | 0.600** | 0.510** | 0.538** |
| Udder width | -0.056 | 0.449* | 0.519** | 0.512** | 0.406* | 0.433* |
| Udder teat length | 0.01 | -0.187 | -0.212 | -0.207 | -0.169 | -0.177 |
| Udder teat diameter | 0.016 | -0.038 | -0.036 | -0.034 | -0.036 | -0.033 |
| DUT | -0.124 | 0.38 | 0.458* | 0.447* | 0.334 | 0.363 |
| DUTF | -0.348 | -0.419* | -0.369 | -0.378 | -0.435* | -0.437* |
| Linear Udder Scores (1-9) | | | | | | |
| Udder height | 0.169 | 0.375 | 0.372 | 0.376 | 0.369 | 0.384* |
| Udder teat angle | 0.226 | -0.173 | -0.258 | -0.244 | -0.127 | -0.145 |
| Udder teat length | 0.078 | -0.154 | -0.195 | -0.188 | -0.13 | -0.14 |
| Udder shape | 0.083 | 0.052 | 0.035 | 0.04 | 0.061 | 0.065 |

Table II.- Milk component, udder measurements and scores correlation for sheep.

*, significant (p<0.05); **, very significant (p<0.01); DUT, distance between udder teats; DUTF, distance between udder teats and floor.

1924

| Breed | | Udder type | | | | | | | | | To | Total | |
|------------|----|------------|---|-----|-----|------|---|-----|---|-----|-----|-------|--|
| | 1 | | 2 | | 3 | | 4 | | 6 | | | | |
| | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | |
| Morkaraman | 16 | 22.8 | 1 | 1.4 | 49 | 70 | 2 | 2.8 | 2 | 2.8 | 70 | 100 | |
| Tuj | 8 | 12.3 | 4 | 6.1 | 47 | 72.3 | 6 | 9.2 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 100 | |
| Awassi | 9 | 33.3 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 66.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 100 | |
| Total | 33 | 20.3 | 5 | 3 | 114 | 70.3 | 8 | 4.9 | 2 | 1.2 | 162 | 100 | |

Table III.- Udder types and chi-square analysis according to breeds.

Chi-square value, 15.042; P, 0.058.

In the study carried out by Iniguez *et al.* (2009), the results they reported between milk components and udder circumference and udder depth showed similarity with this study. Also, with the same researchers, Mavrogenis *et al.* (1988) and Emediato *et al.* (2008) observed a negative correlation between milk components and udder teat length in parallel with this study. With Iniguez *et al.* (2009), similar results were observed between milk components and udder teat diameter.

A positive correlation was observed between fat yield and udder shape, udder circumference and udder width in Tuj sheep breeds, and the highest positive correlation with fat yield was observed with udder shape (P<0.01). An insignificant relationship was observed between fat yield and udder teat length and teat length. Also, it was observed that udder width and udder shape had positive effect on milk components.

They observed similar positive correlation with this study between udder circumference and udder width and fat yield and solids non-fat in the study they carried out on Awassi sheep breeds. The negative relationship between udder teat length and fat yield was observed to be similar with Mavrogenis *et al.* (1988), Emediato *et al.* (2008) and Iniguez *et al.* (2009); and the relationship between udder teat length and other milk components were observed to be different.

A trait of significant positive or negative relationship was not observed between fat yield and udder measurements in Awassi sheep. It was observed that fat yield had positive relationship with udder teat angle, and negative relationship with distance between udder teats and distance between udder teats places. A negative relationship was observed between distance between udder teat places and milk components. The positive relationship between udder circumference and milk components stated by Iniguez *et al.* (2009), and the negative relationship between udder teat length and milk components stated by Mavrogenis *et al.* (1988), Emediato *et al.* (2008) and Iniguez *et al.* (2009) showed similarities with this study, except for fat. There was a significant correlation between milk fat percentages and udder width and udder circumference for Morkaraman and Tuj breeds, and not for Awassi sheep. This is because the fat percentages increases with udder width and udder circumference increases, milk yield due to decrease.

A statistical difference was not observed between breeds according to udder type in study carried out (Table III). In all three breeds, 3rd udder type was mostly observed (70.3%), and 6th udder type was observed at the least (1.2%). 5th udder type was not observed in all three breeds. Doğan *et al.* (2013), in the study they carried out on Anatolian merino sheep, stated that 3rd udder type was mostly observed, and then secondly, 1st udder type was observed, and 5th udder type was never observed. In the study carried out by Dağ and Zülkadir (2004), they stated that udder teats were located high, and the cylindrical udder facing the ground was the most common type (74.18%).

According to the results, the most ideal and most suitable for machine milking type was the 3rd udder type, pear-shaped, udder teats are downwards and inclined. This trait is considered to help the selection to be made in terms of milk in Morkaraman and Tuj sheep breeds.

CONCLUSIONS

In the study carried out, a statistical difference was not observed between breeds in terms of milk components. Although statistics were insignificant, it was observed that the fat yield in Awassi sheep breeds was relatively low compared to other breeds. This situation is considered to be caused by the dairy characteristic of Awassi. In all three breeds, high positive correlation was observed between udder length and solids non-fat. The most ideal and most suitable for machine milking type was the 3rd udder type, pear-shaped, udder teats are downwards and inclined. Because of the fact that the 3rd udder type was mostly observed (70.3%) in all three breeds, this trait is considered to help the selection to be made in terms of milk in Morkaraman and Tuj sheep breeds. D. Türkyılmaz et al.

Statement of conflict of interest Authors have declared no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Akçapınar, H., Kadak, R. and Odabaşıoğlu, F., 1982. Comperative studies on fertility and milk yield of Morkaraman and Kangal-Akkaraman sheep. *Ankara Univ. J. Vet. Facul.*, **29**: 289-390.
- Akçapınar, H., Atasoy, H., Yakan, F. and Uğurlu, M.A., 2008. Some udder traits and growth of lambs and phenotypic correlations between those of traits with milking traits and milk production measured by various milk estimation methods in Bafra sheep. *Ankara Univ. J. Vet. Facul.*, **55**: 117-124.
- Anonymous, 2016. TURKSTAT (Turkish Statistical Institute) www.tuik.gov.tr
- Bonczar, G., Walczycka, M.B., Domagala, J., Maciejowski, K., Lejko, D.R., Sady, M. and Wszolek, M., 2016. Effect of dairy animal species and of the type of starter cultures on the cholesterol content of manufactured fermented milks. *Small Rumin. Res.*, **136**: 22-26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. smallrumres.2015.12.033
- Caja, G., Such, X. and Rovai, M., 1981. Udder morphology and machine milking ability in dairy sheep. Proceedings of the 6th Great Lakes Dairy Sheep Symposium.
- Çelik, Ş. and Özdemir, S., 2003. The variations of some chemical and physicochemical parameters of Morkaraman sheep milk during lactation. *Atatürk Univ. J. Agric. Facul.*, 34: 263-268.
- Çetinkaya, A., 2005. Our regional cheeses. abp-Academic Book Pruduction. ISBN 975-921-83-09-05.
- Dağ, B. Zülkadir, U. 2004. Relationships among udder traits and milk production in unimproved Awassi sheep. J. Anim. Vet. Adv., 3: 730-735.
- De la Fuente, L.F., Fernández, G., and San Primitivo, F. 1996. A linear evaluation system for udder traits of dairy ewes. *Livest. Prod. Sci.*, **45**:171-178.
- De la Fuente, L.F., Pérez-Guzman, D., Othmane, M.H. and Arranz, J., 1999. Genetic improvement of the udder morphology in Churra, Latxa and Manchega breeds.. In: *Milking and milk production of dairy sheep and goats* (Eds. F. Barillet and N.P. Zervas), EAAP Publication No. 95, Wageningen Pers., Wageningen. pp. 369-374.
- Demirci, M. and Gündüz, H., 2000. Handbook of dairy technologist, 3rd edition. Altan Press, ISBN 975-8377-31-0, İstanbul, pp. 12.
- Doğan, Ş., Aytekin, İ. and Boztepe, S., 2013. Anadolu

The relationship between udder types and udder characteristics, milk yield and components in Anatolian Merino sheep. *J. Tekirdag Agric. Facul.*, **10**: 58-69

- Emediato, M.S., Siqueira, E.R., Stradiotto, M.M., Maesta, S.A. and Fernandes, S., 2008. Relationship between udder measurements and milk yield in Bergamasca ewes in Brazil. *Small Rumin. Res.*, 75: 232-235.
- Epstein, H., 1985. The Awassi sheep with special reference to the improved dairy type. *FAO Anim. Prod. Hlth. Pap.* 57, Food and Agriculture Organization of The United Nations, Rome.
- Fernández, G., Baro, J.A., de la Fuente, L.F. and San Primitivo, F., 1997. Genetic parameters for linear udder traits of dairy ewes. J. Dairy Sci., 80: 601-605.
- Gelasakisa, A.I., Arsenosa, G., Valergakisa, G.E., Oikonomoua, G., Kiossisb, E. and Fthenakisc, G.C., 2012. Study of factors affecting udder traits and assessment of their interrelationships with milking efficiency in Chios breed ewes. *Small Rumin. Res.*, **103**: 232-239. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. smallrumres.2011.09.045
- Iniguez, L., Hilali, M., Thomas, D.L. and Jesry, G., 2009. Udder measurements and milk production in two Awassi sheep genotypes and their crosses. J. Dairy Sci., 92: 4613-4620. https://doi.org/10.3168/ jds.2008-1950
- Ivanova, T., Raicheva, E. and Pacinovski, N., 2011. Yield of cheese and sheep milk composition from synthetic population of Bulgarian dairy and East-Friesian breed. *Biotechnol. Anim. Husband.*, 27: 1105-1111. https://doi.org/10.2298/BAH11031051
- Karaca, O., Akyüz, N., Andiç, S. and Altın, T., 2003. Milk yield characteristics of Karakaş sheep. *Türk J. Vet. Anim. Sci.*, 27: 589-594.
- Karadas, K., Tariq, M., Traiq, M.M. and Eyduran, E., 2017. Measuring predictive performance of data mining and artificial neural network algorithms for predicting lactation milk yield in indigenous Akkaraman sheep. *Pakistan J. Zool.*, **49**: 1-7. https://doi.org/10.17582/journal.pjz/2017.49.1.1.7
- Karaoğlu, M., Macit, M. and Aksoy, A., 1999. Milk production traits of Tushin sheep in semi-intensive conditions. *Turk J. Vet. Anim. Sci.*, 25: 249-253.
- Kominakis, A., Papavasiliou, D. and Rogdakis, E., 2009. Relationships among udder characteristics, milk yield and, non-yield traits in Frizarta dairy sheep. *Small Rumin. Res.*, 84: 82-88. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.smallrumres.2009.06.010
- Kondyli, E., Svarnas, C., Samelis, J. and Katsiari, M.C.,

2012. Chemical composition and microbiological quality of ewe and goat milk of native Greek breeds. *Small Rumin. Res.*, **103**: 194-199. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smallrumres.2011.09.043

- Kurt, A. and Ergin, G., 1980. Comparisons of the milk component and some other important sheep milk in Awassi at Atatürk University. *Atatürk Uni. J. Agric. Facul.*, **11**: 59-72.
- Kurt, A., Ergin, G. and Kurdal, E., 1975. Comparison of the milk component and some other important sheep milk in Awassi at Atatürk University. *Atatürk Uni. J. Agric. Facul.*, **6**: 24-43.
- Kukovics, S., Nagy, A., Molnar, A. and Abraham, M., 1993. Relationships among udder types and relative udder size and milk production as well as their changes during the successives lactations. In Proc. 5th Int. Symp. Machine Milking Small Ruminants. Budapest, Hungary, pp. 40- 53.
- Labussitre, J., Dotchewski, D. and Combaud, J.F., 1981. Morphological characteristics of the udder of Lacaune ewes and relationships with milkability: Methodology for collection data. *Ann. Zootech.*, **30**: 115-136.
- Macit, M., 1994. Comparison of some significant yield characteristics of Awassi and Morkaraman sheep in semi intensive conditions at Atatürk University. Master's Thesis, Atatürk University, Graduate School of Natural and Applied Sciences, Erzurum, (unpublished).
- Marie, C., Jacquin, M., Aurel, M.R., Pailler, F., Porte, D., Autran, P. and Barillet, F., 1999. Genetic determinism of milk emission kinetics according to Lacaune's ovine dairy potential and phenotypic relationships with udder morphology. In: *Milking and milk production of dairy sheep and goats* (Eds. F. Barillet and N.P. Zervas), EAAP Publication No. 95, Wageningen Pers., Wageningen. pp. 381-388.
- Mavrogenis, A.P., Papachristoforou, C., Lysandrides, P. and Roushias A., 1988. Roushias environmental and genetic factors affecting udder characters and milk production in Chios sheep. *Genet. Sel. Evol.*, 20: 477-488. https://doi.org/10.1186/1297-9686-20-4-477
- Mikus, M., 1978. Study of the mutual relationship between di- mensions of the udder with regard to improvements of sheep for machine milking. In:

Proc. 2nd Int. Symp. Machine Milk- ing Small Ruminants. INRA-ITOVIC, Alghero, Italy, pp. 102-I 12.

- Oliviera, D.E., Gama, M.A.S., Fernandes, D., Tedeschi, L.O. and Bauman, D.E., 2012. An unprotected conjugated linoleic acid supplement decreases milk production and secretion of milk components in grazing dairy ewes. J. Dairy Sci., 95: 1437-1446. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2011-4618
- Özder-Kaymakçı, M., Taşkın, T., Köycü, E., Karaağaç, F. and Sönmez, R., 2004. Growth and milk yield traits of Türkgeldi sheep. *Turk J. Vet. Anim. Sci.*, 28: 195-200.
- Pulina, G., Macciotta, N. and Nudda, A., 2005. Milk composition and feeding in the Italian dairy sheep. *Ital. J. Anim. Sci.*, 4(Suppl. 1): 5-14. https://doi. org/10.4081/ijas.2005.1s.5
- Sallam, S.M.A., Nasser, M.E.A. and Yousef, M.I., 2005. Effect of recombinant bovine somatotropin on sheep milk production, composition and some hemato-biochemical components. *Small Rumin. Res.*, 56: 165-171. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. smallrumres.2004.06.010
- Vianna, F.S., Canto, A.C.V.C.S., Costa-Lima, B.R.C., Salim, A.P.A.A., Costa, M.P., Balthazar, C.F., Oliveira, B.R., Rachid, R.P., Franco, R.M., Conte-Junior, C.A. and Silva, A.C.O., 2017. Development of new probiotic yoghurt with a mixture of cow and sheep milk: effects on physicochemical, textural and sensory analysis. *Small Rumin. Res.*, 149: 154-162. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smallrumres.2017.02.013
- Yardımcı, M. and Özbeyaz, C., 2001. Comparison of milk yield and udder characteristics of Akkaraman and Sakız x Akkaraman F1 crossbreed sheep. J. Lalahan Livest. Res. Ins., 41: 63-77.
- Yıldız, N. and Denk, H., 2006. Some of production traits of Akkaraman ewes raised by farmers in van region I. Reproductive and milk production traits. *J. Hlth. Sci. Firat Univ.*, 20: 21-27.
- Yılmaz, O., Denk, H. and Nursoy, H., 2004. Milk yield characteristics of Norduz sheep. J. Vet. Facul. Yüzüncü Yıl Univ., 15: 27-31.
- Zamiri, M.J., Qotbi, A. and Izadifard, J., 2001. Effect of daily oxytocin injection on milk yield and lactation length in sheep. *Small Rumin. Res.*, 40: 17-185. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-4488(01)00166-3